For Personal use only.

Not to be reproduced without the permission of the Primary Care Respiratory Journal

Editoria **T**he impact of lung diseas

Mike Thoma

he recent publication of two reports by th British Thoracic Societ ¹ (BTS) and th British Lung Foundatio ² (BLF) underline the plangers of complacency in the treatment of respirator this ease in primary care. Since there is no national service framework for respiratory conditions and there appears to be a perception within the primary car nommunity itself that we are achieving good results in asthma, COPD and other respiratory conditions, these reports make disturbing and salutary reading.

the BTS repor ¹ shows that more people die fro respiratory disease than from coronary heart disease o from cancer, and disturbingly the UK has one of the highest respiratory death rates in Europe. Mortality is dearly twice that of the EU average with only Irelan and former Soviet Union countries such as Kazakhsta and Uzbekistan, having comparable mortality. The smorbidity and mortality from respiratory illnes syontinues to rise, and 44% of deaths from respirator disease are associated with social class inequalities.

Respiratory conditions result in a huge workload fo GPs, with 38 million consultations in 2000 related t pespiratory illnesses - more than for any other categor of illness. A recent Kings Fund report on winte Bressures on the NH ³ found that better primary car enanagement of respiratory conditions, which caus the vast bulk of excess winter admissions, could hav prevented many of the admissions and improve putcomes of care. Secondary care costs of respirator slisease are vast and increasing, yet our outcome

eemain poor; a recent BTS report has highlighted th poor lung cancer outcomes and low resection rates i the UK $^{\rm 4}$

The BLF surve ² dighlights the personal an economic consequences of lung diseases, which resul in over 25 million working days lost in the UK eac hyear. The survey, based on over 1,200 interviews wit working people who took time off work during 2000 found that a third of all time off was caused by lung selated illness. Over 67 per cent of respondent eeported lung-related symptoms; and the average tim taken off work by these peoplewas 13.5 days.

All specialities in medicine clamour for mor gesources and a higher profile, but there is compellin dvidence that respiratory disease has been neglecte and that our patients are suffering avoidable illnes and even death as a consequence; in is time for us t ye-assess the way we provide care for respirator illness in the community. ■

Reference

- g. British Thoracic Society. The Burden of Lun Disease. 2002./http://www.brit-thoracic.org.uk/pdf BTSpages.pdf.
- 2. British Lung Foundation. Working Days Lost. 2002.
- 3. Damiani M and Dixon J. Managing the Pressure 2002. The Kings Fund.
- 4. http://www.scts.org/doc/6168 30/1/2002

Mike Thoma &PIAG Research Fello

Correspondence to The Surger Minchinhampto Strou Filoucestershire GL6 9J

kdrmthomas@oakridge.sol.co.u

Prim Care Respir 2002 **)11(1**;5

Stop Press..

Associate membership of the GPIAG for nurses and other healthcare professional

The GPIAG are delighted to announce that associate membership for nurses and other healthcare professionals is now available

Associate membership entitles you to:

- Access our publications via our website and related site
- Discount at GPIAG organised event
- Notification of significant events via our mailing network
- Opportunities to participate in GPIAG research activitie

Membership of the group is free of charge. If you would like to become an associate member of the GPIAG please contact our secretaria for an application form or visit the website on http://www.gpiag.org

Tel: +44 (0)121 454 8219 Fax: +44(0)121 454 1190 **£**-mail: info@gpiag.or