

DEVELOPMENT OF JAVA BASED GRAPHICAL USER INTERFACE FOR DIAGNOSIS OF HEPATITIS USING MIXTURE OF EXPERT

Shankaracharya*, <u>Suchitra kumari</u>, Ambarish S.Vidyarthi Department of Biotechnology, Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Ranchi 835215, India. *Address correspondence to: Shankaracharya, e-mail: <u>shankaracharya@bitmesra.ac.in</u> Presenting author: Suchitra kumari, e-mail: <u>suchibiotech27@gmail.com</u>



ABSTRACT

Hepatitis is a deadly and fifth most death causing diseases after heart disease, stroke, chest disease and cancer. Worldwide, 1.5 million deaths have been estimated each year. Detection of hepatitis is really a big problem for general practitioners. An expert doctor commonly takes decisions by evaluating the current test results of a patient or by comparing the patient with other patients with the same condition with reference to the previous decisions. Many machine learning and data mining techniques have been designed for the automatic diagnosis of hepatitis. However, no any tool is available to the general population for the diagnosis of Hepatitis. Hence, Graphical user interface-enabled tool needs to be developed through which medical practitioners can feed patient data easily and find the hepatitis diagnose instantly and accurately. **Methods:** In this study hepatitis dataset taken from UCI machine repository database in which total 20 attributes having two classes, Affected and Not Affected . **Result and Conclusion:** The models have been generated with Mixture

RESULTS

We get high accuracy in diagnosis of hepatitis with Mixture of Expert method. Total eight parameters were considered in the development of different models in this method. Out of which six parameters were kept constant and two were kept variable to define various cases. Following are the 8 parameters :

No. of hidden units for the expert MLPs, No. of expert, No. of hidden units for the gate Multi Layer Perceptron, Expert output activation function, Type of ME, The no. of iterations in the M-Step, Optimization in the M-step, Expectation Maximization (EM).

The highest accuracy of 98.18% was achieved while considering the following parameters (Table 1)

of experts as a classification method for the diagnosis of hepatitis. Very good accuracy has been observed in the generated models. Finally the model having least minimum square error was selected. This model was then linked with GUI for the design of tool for hepatitis prediction.

Keywords: Hepatitis Diagnosis, Problem for Practitioners, UCI, Mixture of Expert, Algorithm.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis is the fifth most death causing diseases after heart disease, stroke, chest disease and cancer [Mougiakakou *et al*, 2009]. The word hepatitis simply means an inflammation of the liver without pinpointing (http://kidshealth.org/parent/infections/bacterial-viral/hepatitis.html). Various risk factors for hepatitis includes blood transfusions, tattoos and piercing, drug abuse, haemodialysis, health workers and sexual contact with hepatitis carrier [http://www.angelfire.com/biz2/physician29/hepatitis.html].

Early stage diagnosis of hepatitis is very difficult in general population due to the lack of regular routine checkup and awareness. Therefore, medical diagnosis is quite difficult and totally depends on visual task done by expert doctors based on their expertise. An expert doctor commonly takes decisions by evaluating the current test results of a patient or compares the patient with other patients with the same condition by referring to the previous decisions [Sartakhti *et al*, 2011]. For this reason so many machine learning and data mining techniques have been designed for the automatic diagnosis of hepatitis. The advantages of these approaches in medical diagnosis may result in decreased cost and increased diagnosis accuracy.

📲 MATLAB 7.6.0 (R2008a)												
File Edit Debug Par	allel Desktop Window	Help										
🗋 🗃 👗 ங 🛍 🤊 🍖 🎒 🗊 😰 Ourrent Directory: C:\Documents and Settings\sklDesktop\DO NEXT TIME\100,95 🛛 🔽 🗔 🖻												
Shortcuts 💽 How to A	Add 💽 What's New											
Current Directory	× € □ * ×	Workspace		Command Window								
Comparison of the second seco				New to MATLA8? Watch	h this <u>Video</u> , see <u>Demos</u> , or read <u>Getting Starte</u>	<u>ed</u> .	×					
All Files	Туре	Size Date Modified	Des	iteration # 282	MSE = 0.000000		~					
 result demo1.m demo4.m test_data test_data.txt train_data train_data.txt 	Folder M-file M-file File Text Document File Text Document	3/3/12 11:49 PM 3 KB 3/4/12 12:28 AM 3 KB 3/4/12 1:50 PM 4 KB 3/3/12 11:54 PM 4 KB 3/3/12 11:54 PM 6 KB 3/3/12 11:54 PM 6 KB 3/3/12 11:54 PM	DEP DEP	iteration # 283 iteration # 284 iteration # 285 iteration # 286 iteration # 287 iteration # 288 iteration # 289 iteration # 290 iteration # 291 iteration # 292	MSE = 0.000000 MSE = 0.000000							
Command History	=		× • 1	iteration # 293 iteration # 294 iteration # 295 iteration # 296 iteration # 298	HSE = 0.000000 MSE = 0.000000 MSE = 0.000000 MSE = 0.000000 MSE = 0.000000							
	::59 AM%			iteration # 299 iteration # 300 iteration # 301	MSE = 0.000000 MSE = 0.000000 MSE on training set = 0.00	0000						
				MSE on test set	= 0.006081							
$ \begin{array}{c c} & = 3/4/12 & 1 \\ & \Box_{C} \\ & = 3/4/12 & 1 \\ & \Box_{C} \\ & = 3/4/12 & 1 \\ & \Box_{C} \\ & = 3/4/12 & 1 \end{array} $	1:49 PM%			C = 6 1 0 48								
- C			<u>~</u>	>>			×					
start		Concernance of the second s					OWN 13					
start	100,55	- MATLAB 7.6.0 (R200	Editor -	Cl Document 🚺 Figu	re 1 🛃 Figure 2		S - S - S - S - S - S - FM					

Fig 3 : Showing iteration with best result model

Table 1: MSE result												
S. No.	Optimization in the M-Step	Expectation Maximization step	Min . Squared Error (MSE) for train data	MSE for test data	Accuracy	Matrix						
1.	Scaled gradient	200	0.000000	0.036248	92.73%	5 2 2 46						
2.	Scaled gradient	200	0.000000	0.013742	98.18%	6 1 0 48						
3.	Conjugate gradient	200	0.000000	0.006081	98.18%	6 1 0 48						
4.	Conjugate gradient	300	0.000000	0.013742	98.18%	6 1 0 48						

METHOD

Mixture of expert (ME) models (Fig. 1) comprise of a gating network that divides the problem into smaller problems and expert networks that solve each sub problem [Titsias and Likas, 2002]. The global output of the ME system is derived as a convex combination of the outputs from a set of N experts, in which the overall predictive performance of the system is generally superior to that of any of the individual experts . The standard choices for gating and expert networks are generalized linear models and multilayer perceptrons. [Shankaracharya *et al.*, 2012]



Fig 1: Mixture of Expert Architecture

Conjugate 800 gradient

5

e Edit Vew Inset Tools Desitop Window Help 18 🖬 🕼 🖕 🔍 🏷 🎯 🐙 🖌 - 🗔 🔲 🖽 🛄

art 👔 😨 Monseek RowerPort ... 🍃 2,1,2,2,0, VA, 30,30... 🚺 MATLAB 7.6.0 (2000... 🚺 Agure 1

Figure 4.Train

graphical result

0.000001

96.36%



0.018146

Table 2. Confusion
MatrixMatrixMithout
hepatitisWithout
hepatitisWithout
hepatitis61With
hepatitis1With
hepatitis48

6 1

47

CONCLUSIONS

Mixture of Expert based tool can help the physician for the easy, cost effective, fast and accurate hepatitis diagnosis. In future studies on the hepatitis diagnosis diseases, this method can be further modified to get more accuracy. The accuracy may also be increased by increasing the number of subjects in the dataset.

REFERENCES



- 1. Adeli M. and Zarabandipour H.2011. *Automatic disease diagnosis systems using pattern recognition based genetic algorithm and neural networks*; International Journal of the Physical Sciences; 6: 6076–6081
- 2. Chen et al.,2011. A new hybrid method based on local fisher discriminates analysis and support vector machines for hepatitis disease diagnosis; Expert Systems with Applications;38; 11796–11803
- 3. http://kidshealth.org/parent/infections/bacterial-viral/hepatitis.html
- 4. http://www.angelfire.com/biz2/physician29/hepatitis.html
- 5. Mougiakakou G.S .2009. Diagnostic Support Systems and Computational Intelligence: Differential Diagnosis of Hepatic Lesions from Computed Tomography Images; IGI; DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60566-314-2.ch005
- 6. Shankaracharya, Odedra D., Samanta S., Vidyarthi A.S. 2011. Computational Intelligence in Early Diabetes Diagnosis: A Review; Rev Diabet Stud; 7(4):252-262
- 7. Titsias K.M. and Likas A. 2002. *Mixture of Experts Classification Using a Hierarchical Mixture Model*. Neural Computation, 14:2221–2244

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the Sub-Distributed Information Center (BTISnet SubDIC), Department of Biotechnology (No. BT/BI/04/065/04), New Delhi, India, and to the Department of Biotechnology, Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Ranchi, for providing access to software and infrastructure facility for the present study.