1The Modified Pharaoh Approach: Stingless bees mummify beetle 2parasites alive

3

4Mark K Greco ^{1,6}, Dorothee Hoffmann ⁴, Anne Dollin ⁵, Michael Duncan ⁶, Robert Spooner-5Hart ⁶, Peter Neumann ^{1,2,3}

6

- 7¹ Swiss Bee Research Centre, Agroscope Liebefeld-Posieux Research Station ALP, CH-83033 Bern, Switzerland
- 9º Eastern Bee Research Institute of Yunnan Agricultural University, Heilongtan, Kunming,
 10Yunnan Province, China
- 11³ Department of Zoology and Entomology, Rhodes University, 61440 Grahamstown,12Republic of South Africa
- 13⁴ Department of Zoology, Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Hoher Weg 4, 06099 14Halle (Saale), Germany
- 155 Australian Native Bee Research Centre, North Richmond, NSW, Australia
- 16⁶ Centre for Plant and Food Science, School of Natural Sciences, University of Western 17Sydney, Richmond, NSW 1797, Australia

18

19Social insect colonies usually live in nests, which are often invaded by parasitic species¹.

20Workers from these colonies use different defence strategies to combat invaders¹.

21 Nevertheless, some parasitic species are able to bypass primary colony defences due to their 22morphology and behaviour¹⁻³. In particular, some beetle nest invaders cannot be killed or 23removed by workers of social bees²⁻⁵, thus creating the need for alternative social defence 24strategies to ensure colony survival. Here we show, using Diagnostic Radioentomology⁶, that 25stingless bee workers Trigona carbonaria, immediately mummify invading destructive nest 26parasites Aethina tumida alive, with a mixture of resin, wax and mud, thereby preventing 27severe damage to the colony. In sharp contrast to the responses of honeybee⁷ and bumblebee 28colonies⁸, the rapid live mummification strategy of *T. carbonaria* effectively prevents beetle 29parasite advancements and removes their ability to reproduce. The convergent evolution of 30live mummification by stingless bees and social encapsulation by honeybees³ suggests that 31 colonies of social bees generally rely on, secondary defence mechanisms when harmful nest 32intruders cannot be killed or ejected easily. This process is analogous to immune responses 33 within organisms.

34 Social insects live in colonies and usually construct nests which are often attractive to 35parasites. Some parasites feed on stored food or brood and can destroy colonies³ thus generating the 36need for efficient defence mechanisms. While some Coleopteran nest intruders are harmless⁸⁻¹², 37others can be damaging parasites⁴. Parasitising beetle species pose particular difficulties for their 38social insect hosts because their hard exoskeletons protect them from direct primary defence 39strategies such as biting or stinging. The small hive beetle, *Aethina tumida* (Coleoptera: 40Nitidulidae), is a parasite and scavenger of honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) colonies endemic to sub-

41Saharan Africa^{2,5,7,13}. It has become an invasive species¹⁴ with well established populations in North 42America and Australia^{13,15}. It lives within *A. mellifera* nests and feeds on brood, stored food and 43dead bees^{5,7,16,17}. Frequently, the feeding small hive beetle larvae cause the complete destruction of 44the nest^{5,7} however, the presence of adult small hive beetles alone can be detrimental to colonies of 45European honeybees¹⁸. This obviously creates demand for efficient defence mechanisms against 46intrusion and reproduction by adult small hive beetles.

- Unlike other parasites, small hive beetles are easily detected and can be vigorously attacked 48by honeybee workers¹⁹. Nevertheless, adult small hive beetles can bypass primary defences of the 49bees and easily intrude weak or strong host colonies^{5,7} because it is difficult for honeybees to kill or 50eject them^{3,5} due to the beetles' hard exoskeletons and defensive behaviours, such as the turtle 51defence posture or by dropping from combs^{3,7}. Cape honeybees, *A. m. capensis*, display secondary 52defence mechanisms by encapsulating small hive beetles in tombs made from tree resin (propolis), 53which the bees collect for use as a nest cavity sealant³. Despite the lack of co-evolution between 54host and parasite, European honeybees also encapsulate small hive beetles in propolis tombs²⁰ 55suggesting that encapsulation appears to be part of the general secondary defence of honeybee 56colonies.
- Recent evidence suggests that small hive beetles also parasitise colonies of other social bees.

 58In fact, small hive beetles have been found naturally infesting commercial bumblebee colonies,

 59Bombus impatiens, in the field²¹ and in greenhouses⁸ in North America. Natural small hive beetle

 60infestations were reported in colonies of stingless bees, *Dactylurina staudingerii*, in West Africa²²

 61and small hive beetle larvae were also observed in a *T. carbonaria* colony that had recently died

62(Anne Dollin, personal observations) in Australia. Odour cues from stored nest products could 63attract host-searching adult small hive beetles. We therefore expect colonies of stingless bees to be 64attractive to small hive beetles and, possibly, suitable for their reproduction. Analogous to 65honeybees, stingless bees use batumen (a mixture of wax, plant resins and mud) to seal nest 66cavities²³, thus similar to honeybees, stingless bees may also show alternative secondary defence 67mechanisms against harmful nest intruders. Here, we evaluated the defence behaviour of an 68Australian species of stingless bee, *T. carbonaria*, against hive-intruding small hive beetles.

Laboratory reared²⁴ adult small hive beetles, with BaSO₄-marked elytra, were introduced to 70the entrances of five *T. carbonaria* hives (N=10 each hive) via a transparent plastic tube^{3,8}. All hives 71were CT scanned in a human body scanner (General Electric HiSpeed 64 Slice, General Electric 72Company) at 5 min intervals for 90 min²⁵. To assess small hive beetle distribution within the hives, 73we used BeeView 3D rendering software (Disect Systems Ltd; Suffolk, UK). Two dimensional 74images were performed to enable precise measurement of small hive beetle positions and 3D 75images were performed to provide spatial representation of small hive beetles with respect to hive 76structures. One hive was randomly selected after scanning and snap frozen with LN₂ for visual 77screening to compare positions of small hive beetles with respect to scanned images.

Upon introduction of small hive beetles, bees from all *T. carbonaria* hives immediately 79coated beetles with batumen. The vigorous attacks by workers (Fig. 1) caused the beetles to remain 80motionless, with their heads tucked underneath the pronotum and legs and antennae pressed tightly 81to the body (= turtle defence posture³). When not attacked, beetles progressed further into the hive. 82However, most *T. carbonaria* bees continuously attacked the small hive beetles, thereby keeping

83them in the turtle defence posture. While six small hive beetles did not manage to progress into the 84hives and were mummified on the spot, others were able to progress further. In one hive, two small 85hive beetles reached a distance of 170 mm from the hive entrance, just beneath the brood (Fig. 2A). 86All forward advancements by beetles ceased within 10 min of their introduction into the hive (Fig. 872B). The dissection of one hive confirmed the positions of small hive beetles (N = 10) in relation to 88its scanned images.

89 When colonies of social bees are invaded by nest parasites which are difficult to kill or eject, 90the host colony faces a dilemma. Successful parasite reproduction must be prevented but direct 91physical attacks alone are not always sufficient to kill defensive opponents like adult small hive 92beetles³. The encapsulation process of adult small hive beetles in honeybee colonies combines 93prison construction and guarding which usually lasts 1-4 days³. Beetles mimic worker bee begging 94behaviour and are fed by worker bees²⁷, thus allowing enough time for beetle mating to occur²⁷. Our 95data clearly show that the stingless bees, T. carbonaria, use live mummification of parasitic small 96hive beetles, the "Alternative Pharaoh Approach", as an effective and fast secondary defence 97mechanism to prevent successful parasite reproduction. While social encapsulation of small 98intruders in wax or propolis confinements has been described from Bombus and Apis²⁸, to our 99knowledge, this is the first report of live mummification of nest intruders in colonies of social bees. 1000ur experiment shows that live beetle mummification by *T. carbonaria* takes as little as 10 min Fig. 1012B, suggesting that this behaviour can be more effective than that of honeybees. When small hive 102beetles adopt the turtle defence posture most of the honeybee guards leave the beetles, which then 103scurry into hiding 3,19 . In contrast, most *T. carbonaria* bees continuously attack the small hive

104beetles, thereby keeping them in the turtle defence posture. This enables other workers to mummify 105the beetles alive with batumen whilst they remain motionless Fig.3. Therefore, it appears that the 106combination of continuous attacks and quick recruitment of mummifying bees underlies this 107efficient secondary colony defence mechanism of *T. carbonaria*. There have however, been reports 108of heat-stressed *T. carbonaria* colonies being destroyed by small hive beetles in Australia (Mark 109Greco, personal observations), suggesting that this invasive species may still pose some threat to 110native pollinators Fig. 4.

In conclusion, single bees, are not able to kill or eject beetle parasites alone. Only a team 112with individuals performing specific tasks (e.g. wrestling or gluing in the case of live 113mummification) can overcome parasite advancements. Live mummification of small hive beetles by 114stingless bees has probably evolved as a secondary defence mechanism to prevent successful 115reproduction of nest parasites. This process is a social analogue to immune responses within 116organisms. It is clearly effective, because small hive beetles are quickly immobilised and prevented 117from successful reproduction. This seems especially important in light of the high reproductive 118potential of small hive beetles²⁴. The convergent evolution of live mummification of nest parasites 119in stingless bees and social encapsulation in honeybees is another striking example of evolution 120between insect societies and their parasites.

121

- 1221. Breed MD, Guzman-Novoa E, Hunt GJ (2004) Defensive behaviour of honey bees:
- Organization, Genetics, and Comparisons with Other Bees. Annual Review of Entomology
- 124 49:271–98

- 1252. El-Niweiri MAA, El-Sarrag MS, Neumann P (2008) Filling the Sudan gap: the northernmost
- natural distribution limit of small hive beetles. Journal of Apicultural Research: 47(3):184–185
- 1273. Neumann P, Pirk CWW, Hepburn HR, Solbrig AJ, Ratnieks FLW, Elzen PJ, Baxter JR (2001)
- Social encapsulation of beetle parasites by Cape honeybee colonies (Apis mellifera capensis
- 129 Esch.). Naturwissenschaften 88: 214-216
- 1304. Schmid-Hempel, P. 1998 Parasites in social insects. Princeton University Press.
- 1315. Lundie AE (1940) The small hive beetle Aethina tumida, Science Bulletin 220, Department of.
- Agriculture and Forestry, Government Printer, Pretoria.
- 1336. Greco MK, Spooner-Hart R, Holford P (2005) A new technique for monitoring Trigona
- carbonaria nest contents, brood and activity using X-ray computerised tomography. Journal of
- Apicultural Research 44:97-100
- 1367. Neumann P, Elzen PJ (2004) The biology of the small hive beetle (Aethina tumida Murray,
- 137 Coleoptera: Nitidulidae): Gaps in our knowledge of an invasive species. Apidologie 35: 229-
- 138 247
- 1398. Hoffmann D, Pettis JS, Neumann P (2008) Potential host shift of the small hive beetle (Aethina
- tumida) to bumblebee colonies (Bombus impatiens). Insectes Soc 55:153–162
- 1419. Lea AM (1910) Australian and Tasmanian Coleoptera inhabiting or resorting to the nests of
- ants, bees and termites. Proc R Soc Victoria [NS] 23: 116-230.
- 14310. Lea AM (1912) Australian and Tasmanian Coleoptera inhabiting or resorting to the nests of

ants, bees and termites. Proc R Soc Victoria [NS] 25 Suppl: 31-78.

- 14511. Neumann P, Ritter W (2004) A scientific note on the association of Cychramus luteus
- (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae) with honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) colonies. Apidologie 35: 665-666
- 14712. Haddad N, Esser J, Neumann P (2008) Association of Cryptophagus hexagonalis (Coleoptera:
- 148 Cryptophagidae) with honey bee colonies (Apis mellifera). Journal of Apicultural Research
- 149 47:189-190
- 15013. Neumann P, Ellis JD (2008) The small hive beetle (Aethina tumida Murray, Coleoptera:
- Nitidulidae): distribution, biology and control of an invasive species. Journal of Apicultural
- 152 Research 47:181–183
- 15314. Elzen PJ, Baxter JR, Westervelt D, Randall C, Delaplane KS, Cutts L, Wilson WT (1999) Field
- 154 control and biology studies of a new pest species, Aethina tumida Murray (Coleoptera,
- Nitidulidae) attacking European honey bees in the Western hemisphere, Apidologie 30:361–366
- 15615. Spiewok S, Pettis JS, Duncan M, Spooner-Hart R, Westervelt D, Neumann P (2007) Small hive
- beetle, Aethina tumida, populations I: Infestation levels of honeybee colonies, apiaries and
- 158 regions. Apidologie 38:595–605
- 15916. Schmolke MD (1974) A study of Aethina tumida: the small hive beetle, Project Report,
- 160 University of Rhodesia
- 16117. Spiewok S, Neumann P (2006a) Cryptic low-level reproduction of small hive beetles in
- honeybee colonies. Journal of Apicultural Research 45:47-48
- 16318. Ellis J.D., Hepburn H.R., Delaplane K., Neumann P., Elzen P.J. (2003a) The effects of adult
- small hive beetles, Aethina tumida (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae), on nests and flight activity of Cape
- and European honey bees (*Apis mellifera*). Apidologie 34, 399-408.

16619. Elzen PJ, Baxter JR, Neumann P, Solbrig A, Pirk CWW, Hepburn HR, Westervelt D, Randall C

167 (2001) Behaviour of African and European subspecies of *Apis mellifera* toward the small hive

- beetle, Aethina tumida. Journal of Apicultural Research 40:40-41
- 16920. Ellis JD, Hepburn HR, Ellis AM, Elzen PJ (2003b) Social encapsulation of the small hive beetle
- 170 (Aethina tumida Murray) by European honeybees (Apis mellifera L.). Insectes Sociaux 50:286–
- 171 291
- 17221. Spiewok S, Neumann P (2006b) Infestation of commercial bumblebee (Bombus impatiens) field
- 173 colonies by small hive beetles (*Aethina tumida*). Ecological Entomology 31:623-628
- 17422. Mutsaers M (2006) Beekeepers' observations on the small hive beetle (Aethina tumida) and
- other pests in bee colonies in West and East Africa. In: Proceedings of the 2nd EurBee
- 176 conference, Prague, Czech Republic. p 44
- 17723. Michener CD (1961) Observations on the nests and behaviour of *Trigona* in Australia and New
- Guinea (Hymenoptera: Apidae). American Museum of Novitates 2026 (08-05-1961): 1-45
- 17924. Muerrle TM, Neumann P (2004) Mass production of small hive beetles (Aethina tumida
- Murray, Coleoptera: Nitidulidae). Journal of Apicultural Research 43:144-145
- 18125. Greco MK, Bell M, Spooner-Hart R, Holford P (2006) X-ray computerized tomography as a
- new method for monitoring *Amegilla holmesi* nest structure, nesting behaviour and adult female
- activity. Entomologia Experimtalis et Applicata 120:71-76
- 18426. Breed, M. D. 2003. Nestmate Recognition Assays As a Tool for Population and Ecological
- Studies in Eusocial Insects: A Review. J. Kansas Entomol. Soc. 76: 539-550

18627. Ellis JD, Pirk C, Hepburn HR, Kastberger G, Elzen PJ (2002) Small hive beetles survive in

honeybee prisons by behavioural mimicry. Naturwissenschaften 89: 326-328

18828. Michener, C.D. (1974) The social behaviour of the bees; A comparative study. Harvard

189 University Press, pp. 404.

190

191Acknowledgements We thank Macarthur Diagnostic Imaging for donating time on the CT scanner

192and for use of their Campbelltown facility.

193

194Author contributions M.G., M.D., D.H. and P.N. performed the experiment. P.N. and M.G. wrote

195the paper. All authors designed the experiment, discussed the results, analysed the data and

196commented on the manuscripts.

197

198Author information Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.G.

199(mark.greco@alp.admin.ch).

200

201Figure 1: A T. carbonaria worker mummifies a live small hive beetle by gluing bits of batumen on

202its elytra and legs.

203



Figure 2: Live mummification of adult small hive beetles in *T. carbonaria* hives visualised by CT 214scans: (a) 3D CT image of *T. carbonaria* brood (single arrow) and two small hive beetles below 215brood (double arrows); (b) 2D CT image of small hive beetles (short arrows) in entrance of 216*T. carbonaria* hive demonstrating no change in position after 10 min.




Figure 3: A 3D pseudocolour CT scan image of a *T. carbonaria* hive, detailing brood (b) and live 225mummified small hive beetles (four white oval bodies) in entrance (e).




Figure 4: Photograph of a *T. carbonaria* hive invaded by reproducing small hive beetles, detailing 234brood (b) and small hive beetle larvae (L). The hive became vulnerable to invasion after being 235weakened as a result of extreme ambient temperature (48°C).

