FROM ANTENNA TO ANTENNA: LATERAL SHIFT OF OLFACTORY MEMORY IN BEES

Authors: Lesley J. Rogers^a and Giorgio Vallortigara^b

Addresses:

^aCentre for Neuroscience and Animal Behaviour, University of New England, Armidale, NSW 2351, Australia; : <u>lrogers@une.edu.au</u>

^bCentre for Mind/Brain Sciences, University of Trento, Corso Bettini 31, I-38068,

Rovereto, Italy; e-mail: giorgio.vallortigara@unitn.it

Address for all correspondence:

Professor Lesley J. Rogers

Centre for Neuroscience and Animal Behaviour,

School of Science and Technology,

University of New England,

Armidale,

NSW 2351,

Australia.

e-mail: lrogers@une.edu.au

Tel: +61 267 733 969

Fax: +61 267 733 452

Honeybees, *Apis mellifera*, readily learn to associate odours with sugar rewards¹ and we show here that recall of the olfactory memory, as demonstrated by the bee extending its proboscis when presented with the trained odour, involves first the right and then the left antenna. At 1-2 hour after training using both antennae, recall is possible only when the bee uses its right antenna but by 6 hours after training the memory has made a lateral shift and can now be recalled only when the left antenna is in use. Long-term memory one day after training is also accessed only via the left antenna. This time-dependent shift from right to left antenna is seen as side biases in responding to odour presented to the bee's left or right side and hence may be manifested in natural behaviour.

KEY WORDS: bee, lateralization, memory recall, olfactory

Bees form olfactory memories of the scents of flowers from which they have obtained nectar and this can be demonstrated easily using the proboscis extension reflex (PER). In just three trials a bee will learn to associate an odour, such as lemon, vanilla or geraniol, with a sugar reward and will extend its proboscis when the odour alone is presented ¹⁻². By coating either the left or right antenna with latex and thus rendering one antenna incapable of detecting odour, Letzkus et al.³ were able to show that learning of odour associated PER requires use of the right but not the left antenna.

This finding of lateralization in the bees numbers amongst the handful of studies showing that invertebrate species may be lateralized, similar to the widespread lateralization of the nervous system in vertebrates⁴⁻⁶. Other examples of lateralization in invertebrate species include a side bias seen in spitting spiders, *Scytodes globula*, to probe potential prey with the front legs on the left side⁷ and for this and many other species of spiders and ants to sustain injury to legs on the left side⁸. An asymmetrical neural structure in the fruitfly brain is coincident with the ability to form long-term memories⁹, which indicates an advantage of lateralization as also found in the domestic chick¹⁰.

It is beginning to look as if lateralization of the nervous system is a feature of simpler brains as well as more complex ones. Hence, careful observation of the behaviour of insects may reveal more examples of lateralization even in their natural behaviour in the wild. In fact, Kells and Goulson¹¹ have reported that bumble bees, *Bombus spp.*, show preferred directions of circling as they visit florets arranged in circles around a vertical inflorescence. In three out of four species examined the majority of bumble bees circled in the same direction. Since two species circled anticlockwise and one clockwise, it is likely that the asymmetry was a function of the bees and not the structure of the florets. In fact, the circling might well have something to do with lateralization of the antennal responsiveness to odours.

We were interested in finding out whether the lateralization of olfactory learning demonstrated in honeybees could be seen in recall of memory at various times after the bees had been trained using both antenna and also to see whether such lateralities would be manifested as side biases to odours in bees tested with both antennae functional, and so in a more natural condition than the paradigm requiring one antenna to be coated with latex.

In the first experiment we looked at recall when bees used only their left or right antenna after training with both antennae. Bees were captured when foraging at around 9.00h, cooled in 750ml containers until immobilised and then secured in holders, using the method of Bitterman et al.¹ but with the addition of a small strip of paper to cover the bee's back so that it would not be damaged by the adhesive tape and could be released after testing. After 1 hour each bee was trained using lemon plus 1M sucrose solution as the positive stimulus and vanilla plus saturated saline as the negative stimulus (10ul of lemon or vanilla essence was dissolved in 3 ml of the solutions). Three trials spaced 6 mins apart were given. On the first trial a droplet of the lemon sugar solution at the end of a 23 gauge needle was held over the bee's antennae at 1 cm from the antennae and, after 5 seconds the antennae were touched, which led to the proboscis extension response (PER). The bee was then allowed to ingest the drop of lemon sugar solution. Immediately after this, the procedure was repeated with the vanilla saline solution, which did not trigger PER but avoidance by moving the antennae away from the droplet. Trial 2 commenced 6 min. later and usually PER occurred without the need to touch the antennae, followed by trial 3 after another 6 min. later. Within 5-10 mins after training one antenna was coated (left or right antenna chosen at random) with Silagum-Mono (Chemisch-Pharmazeutische Fabik Cmbtt, Germany). Retention was tested 1 hour later by presenting lemon or vanilla solutions in distilled water and holding the droplet 1 cm from the antennae while moving it slightly but being sure not to touch the antennae. Vanilla water was presented for 5 secs followed by lemon water for the same time and this was repeated 10 times at intervals of approximately 60 sec. The responses were scored as follows: A, extension of the proboscis to lemon and no extension to saline; B, extension of the proboscis to lemon and no extension to saline; B, extension of the proboscis to lemon and no extension to saline; B, extension of the proboscis to lemon and no extension to saline; B, extension of the proboscis to lemon and no extension to saline; B, extension of the proboscis to lemon and no extension to saline; B, extension of the proboscis to lemon and no extension to saline; B, extension of the proboscis to lemon and no extension to saline; B, extension of the proboscis to lemon; D, no extension of the proboscis to lemon or to vanilla. By obtaining 10 scores per bee we controlled for consistency of responses for each bee and could use smaller sample sizes than used previously³.

Immediately after the 10 retention trials were completed the Silagum coating was removed from the antenna by pulling an edge of it very gently using fine forceps and viewing under a binocular microscope. Next the other antenna was coated with Silagum. If the procedure caused any damage to the antenna that bee was discarded but this was very rare. One hour after this operation the retention test was repeated.

A total of 12 bees were tested for recall at 1-2 hour after training, 7 tested with the right antenna coated first and 5 tested with the left antenna coated first, and 14 bees

were tested for recall at 23-24 hours after training, 6 with the right antenna tested first and 8 with the left first.

The scores analysed were total number of A responses per bee (maximum possible A scores per bee was 10). D scores made up by far the majority of all other responses, both B and C responses being rare. At 1-2 hours after training, A responses were significantly higher when the right antenna was in use than when the left antenna was in use; Figure 1A. At 23-24 hours after training, A responses we significantly higher when the left antenna was in use than when the left antenna was in use than when the left antenna was in use than when the right antenna was in use (Figure 1B). Hence access to memory shifts from antenna to antenna.

Letzkus et al.³ restricted stimulation to only one antenna during both training and recall testing 24 hours later and found better performance with the right antenna. Persistent use of the right antenna in this case is likely to be due to the restricted use of one antenna only during training. Learning with both antennae, as in our test, allows competition between inputs to both sides of the brain and, as shown previously¹², increases processing capacity. Both these aspects may be needed for time-dependent shifts in lateral biases to take place.

In the second experiment we looked at recall at several intervals after training both antennae and by testing using lateral presentation and no coating of the antennae. The odour was presented to the left or right side of the bee (Figure 2). A total of 29 bees were trained with lemon sugar and vanilla. Six bees were tested for recall at either 1, 3 or 6 hours after training and 11 bees were tested 23 hours after training. A droplet of lemon water was held to one side of the bee (order randomised) at the level of its eye for 5 sec., followed 30 sec. later by a droplet of vanilla held on the same side of the bee for 5 sec. The bee's response was scored as in experiment 1. The same procedure was repeated 10 times on each side in random order (i.e. total of 20 presentations of lemon water and 20 presentations of vanilla water). In addition to calculating the total number of A, B, C and D scores, a Laterality Index (LI) was calculated for each bee, using L-R/L+R, where L represents the number of proboscis extensions on presentation of lemon water on the left side and R the number of PER on presentations of lemon water on the right side.

The data for number of A responses (extension to lemon and not to vanilla) were analysed by GLM with side as a repeated measure and time as a factor. There was a significant main effect of side ($F_{1,25}$ =10.452, p=0.003) and a significant interaction between side and time of testing ($F_{3,25}$ =13.709, p=0.000); Figure 2. Post hoc paired ttests between the left and right sides showed that there were significantly more A responses on the right than on the left side at 1 hour after training (1-tailed, paired ttest, p=0.045), replicating the results of experiment 1 using the different method of testing. No significant left/right difference in A scores occurred at 3 hours after training (p=0.768) and this was due to the number of A responses being high on both sides of presentation. At both 6 and 23 hours after training the left/right difference was significant (p=0.016 and p=0.0001, respectively, 2-tailed paired t-tests) and at these times the A responses were higher on the left side than on the right side. The non-A responses were almost entirely D responses (i.e. no PER for either lemon or vanilla). LI scores are shown in Fig. 3, showing a right antenna bias at 1 hour after training, no bias at 3 hours after training and a left bias at 6 and 23 hours after training.

One hour after training with both antennae in use, the bees showed excellent recall of the task when they were tested using the right antenna but poor or no recall when they were using the left antenna. Hence, not only is learning better when the right antenna only is in use than when the left antenna only is in use³ but also recall 1-2 hours after training is better when the bee uses its right antenna than when it uses its left antenna. This role of the right antenna in memory recall is, however, transient: longer-term recall relies on use of the left but not the right antenna. Such a shift of recall from one to the other side of the brain has been noted previously in birds^{13,14,15}. Hence, not only are the cellular events of memory formation similar in bees and vertebrate species¹⁵ but also the lateralized networks involved may be similar.

Since memory of odours is known to be encoded bilaterally in the honeybee¹⁷, it appears that the time-dependent recall of these memories is lateralized with the transition from shorter-term recall via the right antenna to long-term memory recall via the left antenna taking place at about 3 hours after training. Although similar neural structures might be involved in memory formation on the left and right sides, it seems that antennal inputs may trigger a different cascade of cellular events that encode memory on the left and right sides of the bee's brain. Associative olfactory learning in bees involves both unilateral and bilateral neural processes¹⁷ and the mushroom bodies on each side of the brain are involved in memory formation following unilateral input¹⁸. Moreover, odours evoke symmetrical patterns of glomerular activity¹⁹. Hence it is possible that learning via the right antenna is

sufficient to trigger shorter-term encoding on the left side and longer-term encoding on the right side. Alternatively, the memory encoding is the same on both sides of the brain but only the right antenna has access for shorter-term recall and only the left antenna has access for longer-term recall. Using previous terminology for the stages of memory recall, our shorter-term recall via the right antenna is referred to as midterm memory, whereas the 23-hour recall is definitely long-term memory¹⁶.

Perhaps the shift from one antenna to the other allows the right antenna to learn about new odours without interference from odour memories in long-term stores. It is known that bees visit different flowers at different times of the day, as nectar becomes available²⁰, and this would require the formation of different odour associations during the course of the day, a process that might be aided if recall of earlier odour memories is avoided on the learning side of the brain.

Recall of the olfactory learning task is manifested as lateral biases in responsiveness to olfactory stimuli, as we have shown at 1, 6 and 23 hours after training but not at 3 hours after training. At 1 hour after training recall is better on the right side than the left side. At 6 and 23 hours after training recall is better on the left side. These results seen in experiment two using bees with both antennae free during training and recall are consistent with the results found by coating one antenna and then the other with latex.

The side biases found without any coating of the antenna may depend on relative differences in the concentration of odour reaching each antenna but we cannot exclude a contribution from lateralized visual responsiveness²¹. Since these lateralities

are shown without any coating of the antenna, it is likely that they are manifested as side biases in natural foraging behaviour. Indeed, it may be the explanation for the circling seen in bumblebees as they visit florets¹¹. It would be interesting to see whether the such circling occurs in *Apis mellifera* and possibly the direction would be anticlockwise, using the right antenna, on first exposure to a particular flower's odour and anticlockwise, using the left antenna, after a delay period. Lateralized responsiveness to odours may also be important in the known time-dependent changes in foraging at different flowers²⁰ and the accuracy of rhythms in foraging²².

In the very least, our results show that future research involving odour conditioning of single antennae should take side differences into account and not assume that they can ignore left-right differences if groups are simply balanced for left and right antennal training^{12,19}.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by a University of New England Faculty Grant and a Project EDCBNL (Evolution and Development of Cognitive, Behavioural and Neural Lateralization - 2006/2009) Grant, supported by the Commission of the European Communities (Programme "Integrating and strengthening the European Research Area"; Initiative *"What it means to be human"*) to G.V.. We are most grateful to Prof. M. Srinivasan for commenting on this manuscript.

References

- Bitterman, M.E., Menzel, R., Fietz, A. and Schäfer, S. Classical conditioning of proboscis extension in honeybees (*Apis mellifera*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology* 97, 107-119 (1983).
- Batson, J.D., Hoban, J.S. and Bitterman, M.E. Simultaneous conditioning in honeybees (*Apis mellifera*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology* 106, 114-119 (1992).
- Letzkus, P., Ribi, W.A., Wood, J.T., Zhu, H, Zhang, S-W, Srinivasan, M.V. Lateralization of olfactory learning in the honey bee *Apis mellifera*. *Current Biology*, 16, 1471-1476 (2006).
- Rogers, L.J. Lateralization in vertebrates: Its early evolution, general pattern and development. In P.J.B. Slater, J. Rosenblatt, C. Snowdon and T. Roper (eds) *Advances in the Study of Behavior*, 31: pp. 107-162 (2002).
- Rogers, L.J. and Andrew, R.J. (eds). *Comparative Vertebrate Lateralization*. Cambridge University Press, N.Y (2002).
- Vallortigara, G. and Rogers, L.J. Survival with an asymmetrical brain: Advantages and disadvantages of cerebral lateralization. *The Behavioral Brain Sciences*, 28, 575-633 (2005).
- Ades, C. and Ramires, N. Asymmetry of leg use during prey handling in the spider Scytodes globula (Scytodidae). Journal of Insect Behavior, 15, 563-570 (2002).
- Heuts, B.A. and Brunt, T. Behavioral left-right asymmetry extends to arthropods. *The Behavioral Brain Sciences*, 28, 601-602 (2005).

- Pascual, A., Huang, K-L., Nevue, J. and Préat, T. Brain asymmetry and long-term memory. *Nature*, 427, 605–606 (2004).
- Rogers, L.J., Zucca, P. and Vallortigara, G. Advantage of having a lateralized brain. *Proceedings of the Royal Society London B – Biological Sciences*, 271, \$420-\$422 (2004).
- Kells, A.R. and Goulson, D. Evidence of handedness in bumblebees. *Journal of Insect Behavior*, 14, 47-55 (2001).
- Komischke, B., Sandoz, J-C, Lachnit, H and Giurfa, M. Non-elemental processing in olfactory discrimination tasks needs bilateral input in honeybees. Behavioural Brain Research 145, 135-143 (2003).
- Cipolla-Neto, J., Horn, G. and McCabe, B.J. Hemispheric asymmetry and imprinting: the effect of sequential lesions of the hyperstriatum ventrale. *Experimental Brain Research* 48, 22-27 (1982).
- 14. Clayton, N.S. Lateralization and unilateral transfer of spatial memory in marsh tits. *Journal of Comparative Physiology* A 171, 799-806 (1993).
- 15. Andrew, R.J. The differential roles of right and left sides of the brain in memory formation. Behavioural Brain Research 98, 289-295 (1999).
- 16. Menzel, R. Searching for the memory trace in a mini-brain, the honeybee. *Learning and Memory* 8, 53-62 (2001).
- 17. Galizia, C.G., Nagler, K., Holldobler, B., and menzel, R. Odour coding is bilaterally symmetrical in the antennal lobes of honeybees (*Apis mellifera*). *European Journal of Neuroscience* 10, 2964-2974 (1998).
- Sandoz, J-C, Hammer, M. and Menzel, R. Side-specificity of olfactory learning in the honeybee: US input side. *Learning and Memory* 9, 337-348 (2002).

- Sandoz, J-C, Galizia, C.G. and Menzel, R. Side-specific olfactory conditioning leads to more specific odor representation between sides but not within sides in the honeybee antennal lobes. *Neuroscience* 120, 1137-1148 (2003).
- Zhang, S., Schwartz, S., Pahl, M., Zhu, H. and Tautz, J. Honeybee memory: a honeybee knows what to do and when. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 209, 4420-4428 (2006).
- 21. Letzkus, P., Boeddeker, N., Wood, J.T., Zhang, S-W, Srinivasan, M.V. Lateralization of visual learning in the honeybee. *Biology Letters*, in press. Doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2007.0466.
- 22. Moore, D. and Rankin, M.A. Diurnal changes in the accuracy of the honeybee foraging rhythm. *Biol. Bull.*, 164, 471-482 (1983).

Figure 1: A photograph of lateral presentation of the odour as in experiment 2.

Figure 2: Experiment 1: Recall of memory at 1-2 and 23-24 hours after training with either the left antenna (LA) or right antenna (RA) covered with latex. The mean number (\pm standard error) of type A responses (proboscis extension to lemon odour and not vanilla odour) is plotted. The A scores were analysed by GLM using the factors Antenna (left versus right antenna in use) and Order (left antenna tested first or right antenna tested first). At 1-2 hours after training, there was no significant main effect of Order (F(1,20)=2.896, p=0.104) and no significant interaction between Order and Antenna (F(1,20)=0.478, p=0.479). The main effect of Antenna was significant (F(1,20)=7.358, p=0.013). A responses were significantly higher when the right antenna was in use than when the left antenna was in use; Figure 2A. At 23-24 hours after training, there was no significant main effect of Order (F(1,22)=0.124, p=0.728) and no significant interaction between Order and Antenna (F(1,22)=1.597, p=0.220). The main effect of Antenna was significant (F(1,22)=14.064, p=0.001). A responses we significantly higher when the left antenna was in use than when the right was in use (Figure 2B).

Figure 3: The mean number of A responses, plotted with standard error bars, in recall tested at various intervals after training is shown for presentations of the odours on the bee's left side (open circles) or right side (closed circles).

Figure 4: Experiment 2: The laterality Index is plotted as means + standard errors at different intervals after training. Asterisks indicate significant divergence from no bias. Note the shift from a right side bias at 1 hour to a left side bias at 6 and 23 hours. The LI scores were analysed by ANOVA using time of testing as a factor. A significant effect of time of testing was found ($F_{3,25}$ =16.769, p=0.000). Post hoc LSD tests showed that the LI scores at 1 hour after training differed significantly from those at 3 hours (p=0.046), 6 hours (p=0.000) and 23 hours (p=0.000). The LI at 1 hour was biased to the right side, whereas at 6 and 23 hours the bias was to the left side and the same at both times (p=0.473). At 3 hours after training the LI had no significant bias as responding was equal on both sides and differed significantly from LI at 6 hours (p=0.007) and 23 hours (p=0.0001).

Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4



Interval between training and testing

