

Correspondence

Endogenous and Iatrogenic Diabetes Mellitus in Drug-naïve Schizophrenia: The Role of Olanzapine and its Place in the Psychopharmacological Treatment Algorithm

Dan Cohen^{*1,2} and Marc De Hert³¹Department Chronic Psychiatry, Mental Health Care North-Holland North, Heerhugowaard, The Netherlands; ²Department of Epidemiology, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; ³University Psychiatric Centre, Catholic University Leuven, Kortenberg, Belgium

Neuropsychopharmacology (2011) 36, 2368–2369; doi:10.1038/npp.2011.94

The complex association of diabetes mellitus in patients with schizophrenia requires a twofold approach, that addresses both the illness-related and the iatrogenic side of the problem (Cohen 2006; De Hert *et al*, 2011).

In their study of the development of drug-induced diabetes mellitus in a cohort of 7139 young (mean age 29.4 years) antipsychotic-naïve schizophrenia patients, Nielsen *et al* (2010) not only contribute to our knowledge of the iatrogenic (= drug-induced) side of the problem, but also they—seemingly unknowingly—substantially improve our understanding of the illness-related side of this problem, although this contribution seems to have escaped the attention of the authors.

The authors report the presence of 91 cases of diabetes mellitus in drug-naïve patients with schizophrenia. Because of this, these patients were excluded from the study of drug-induced diabetes mellitus, as the outcome was already present before exposure to antipsychotic drugs. Not only can we calculate the size of the original drug-naïve study population ($N=7230$ (7139 included + 91 excluded), but also the percentage, 1.26% (91/7230), of diabetes, which represents the prevalence of endogenous diabetes in a large population of young patients with schizophrenia.

How are we to read these results? First, a diagnostic procedure, specifically designed for a full diagnosis of all possibly undetected cases of diabetes was lacking; quite on the contrary, the diagnosis of diabetes in the study population was a clinical diagnosis, depending on both the patients—to present their symptoms to the GP—as on the GPs diagnostic acumen, quite comparable to the procedure in the general population. During the study period, the prevalence of diabetes in the general Danish population increased from 0.77% in 1997 to 0.99% in 2005 (Carstensen *et al*, 2008).

Three conclusions can be drawn. First, the authors have documented the minimal prevalence of endogenous diabetes

mellitus in by far the largest drug-naïve Caucasian population with schizophrenia so far. Second, drug-naïve schizophrenia is, among other aspects, characterized by a 1.27–1.63 times increased prevalence of diabetes mellitus, compared with the general population, which represents the endogenous component of diabetes in schizophrenia. Third, the outcome of the study vindicates the 2009 schizophrenia PORT treatment review that recommends ‘antipsychotic medications, other than clozapine and olanzapine, as first-line treatment for persons experiencing their first acute positive symptoms episode’ (Buchanan *et al*, 2010). The position of clozapine—high liability for metabolic side effects, lack of superiority as first-line treatment and proven superior effectiveness in treatment-resistant schizophrenia—does not come as a surprise. The argumentation for olanzapine is twofold, a lack of clinically meaningful differences in efficacy among antipsychotic drugs in meta-analysis, and a significant higher metabolic risk for olanzapine compared with other antipsychotics (Rummel-Kluge *et al*, 2010). The upshot is a three-phased algorithm for antipsychotic treatment in drug-naïve schizophrenia with FGA or SGA as first-line, olanzapine as second-line and clozapine as third-line treatment.

DISCLOSURE

Dr Cohen has been a consultant for, received grant/research support and honoraria from, and been on the speakers/advisory boards of Astra Zeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Jansen Cilag. Dr M de Hert has been a consultant for, received grant/research support and honoraria from, and been on the speakers/advisory boards of Astra Zeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Janssen-Cilag, Lundbeck JA, Pfizer, and Sanofi Aventis.

REFERENCES

Buchanan RW, Kreyenbuhl J, Kelly DL, Noel JM, Boggs DL, Fischer BA *et al* (2010). The 2009 schizophrenia PORT psychopharmacological treatment recommendations and summary statements. *Schizophr Bull* 36: 71–93.

*Correspondence: Dr D Cohen, Department Chronic Psychiatry, Mental Health Care North-Holland North, Hectorlaan 19, 1702 CL Heerhugowaard, The Netherlands (Europe), Tel: (+31) 72 57 65 666, Fax: (+31) 72 57 65 687, E-mail: d.cohen@ggz-nhn.nl

Correspondence

- Carstensen B, Kristensen JK, Ottosen P, Borch-Johnsen K (2008). The Danish National Diabetes Register: trends in incidence, prevalence and mortality. *Diabetologia* 51: 2187–2196.
- Cohen D (2006). *Diabetes mellitus in schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder: an iatrogenic or endogenic problem?* (thesis), Haarlem, Netherlands. ISBN: 90-808509-3-4.
- De Hert M, Detraux J, van Winkel R, Correll C (2011). Antipsychotic medications: metabolic, cardiovascular and cardiac risks. *Nature Rev Endocr* (in press).
- Nielsen J, Skadhede S, Correll CU (2010). Antipsychotics associated with the development of type 2 diabetes in antipsychotic-naïve schizophrenia patients. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 35: 1997–2004.
- Rummel-Kluge C, Komossa K, Schwarz S, Hunger H, Schmid F, Asenjo Lobos C *et al* (2010). Head-to-head comparisons of metabolic side effects of second generation antipsychotics in the treatment of schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Schizophr Res* 123: 225–233.