Multidimensional entropy landscape of quantum criticality

K. Grube^{1*}, S. Zaum¹, O. Stockert², Q. Si³ and H. v. Löhneysen^{1,4*}

The third law of thermodynamics states that the entropy of any system in equilibrium has to vanish at absolute zero temperature. At nonzero temperatures, on the other hand, matter is expected to accumulate entropy near a quantum critical point, where it undergoes a continuous transition from one ground state to another^{1,2}. Here, we determine, based on general thermodynamic principles, the spatial-dimensional profile of the entropy S near a quantum critical point and its steepest descent in the corresponding multidimensional stress space. We demonstrate this approach for the canonical quantum critical compound CeCu_{6-x}Au_x near its onset of antiferromagnetic order². We are able to link the directional stress dependence of S to the previously determined geometry of quantum critical fluctuations³. Our demonstration of the multidimensional entropy landscape provides the foundation to understand how quantum criticality nucleates novel phases such as high-temperature superconductivity.

Quantum criticality arises near a second-order phase transition that is driven to zero temperature by competing interactions. For metallic systems, it provides a mechanism to generate new types of electron-derived excitations that are distinct from Landau's Fermi liquid². Because quantum fluctuations are enhanced when the dimensionality is reduced, quantum critical points (QCPs) often arise in anisotropic systems. The quantum critical fluctuations lead to unconventional scaling behaviour and the accumulation of entropy at very low T, thereby allowing unusual electronic excitations and new phases. The enhanced entropy S upon approaching a QCP has been probed by measurements of the specific heat, and its dependence on pressure was studied by volume thermal expansion. The entropy landscape has been studied up to now using a single tuning parameter⁴. To understand how entropy evolves as the system traverses near a QCP, exploration of its profile in a multidimensional parameter space is needed.

Heavy-fermion systems represent prototype settings for QCPs induced by pressure. The latter tunes the hybridization of the almost localized 4*f* states with the conduction band, thereby tilting the balance in the competition between Ruderman–Kittel–Kasuya–Yosida (RKKY) and Kondo interactions. Previous experiments on quantum critical heavy-fermion systems^{5,6} focused on the volume expansivity α_V and volume Grüneisen ratio Γ_V . Both α_V/T and Γ_V were found to diverge as $T \rightarrow 0$, indicating a diverging pressure dependence of *S* and a vanishing energy scale near the QCP, as predicted⁷. Spatial anisotropy, a hallmark of many heavy-fermion systems, allows a QCP to be accessed with multiple tuning parameters.

Here we show that, for anisotropic systems, the directional dependence of the thermal expansivity provides a means to determine the spatial-dimensional profile of the thermodynamic singularities near a QCP. We establish a procedure to identify the combination of stresses that aims directly at the QCP and accomplishes the steepest change of the entropy *S*. We thereby can find the optimal way to approach the QCP and in principle directly link it with the geometry of the underlying quantum critical fluctuations.

We now specify the quantities of interest to our study. While the specific heat *C* reveals the *T* dependence of the entropy *S*, the linear thermal-expansion coefficients are related to its uniaxial pressure dependence: $\alpha_i = \partial \varepsilon_i / \partial T = -V^{-1} \partial S / \partial \sigma_i$. Here, ε_i and σ_i are strain and stress, respectively, along the principal crystallographic axes, for orthorhombic crystal structures: i = a, b, c (see Supplementary Note). *V* is the molar volume. If a system is dominated by a single energy scale E^* , as in a Fermi liquid, *C* and α_i are proportional to each other. In this case, the proportionality factor, the Grüneisen ratio, related to the normalized stress dependence of E^* , $\Gamma_i(\sigma_i) = V \alpha_i / C = d \ln E^* / d\sigma_i$ is constant. The three components α_i are then proportional to each other—that is, their anisotropy is temperature independent, as $\Gamma_i / \Gamma_j = \alpha_i / \alpha_j$ with i, j = a, b, c. The quantities define the volume expansivity $\alpha_V = \sum_i \alpha_i$ and volume Grüneisen ratio $\Gamma_V = \sum_i \Gamma_i$.

For our study of anisotropic quantum criticality, we choose the heavy-fermion compound $\text{CeCu}_{6-x}\text{Au}_x$, which is characterized by a strongly anisotropic structure with orthorhombic symmetry, space group *Pmna* (neglecting a minute monoclinic distortion, see Methods), and Ising-like magnetic anisotropy⁸. Consequently, all directional properties exhibit a considerable dependence on the crystal orientation. In addition, inelastic neutron scattering experiments³ give evidence that the critical, incommensurate magnetic fluctuations at the QCP ($x_c \approx 0.1$) are of quasi-two-dimensional (2D) nature and form two sets of correlated planes that are spanned by [0, 1, 0] and approximately [0.73, 0, ± 0.68]. Therefore, CeCu_{5.9}Au_{0.1} constitutes an ideal platform to investigate the effect of anisotropic uniaxial pressures on quantum critical behaviour.

Figure 1a shows the 4*f*-electron contribution to the thermalexpansion coefficients α_i of CeCu_{5.9}Au_{0.1} as a function of temperature in the range up to the Kondo temperature, $T_K \approx 6$ K. All α_i display characteristic features with slightly different positions in temperature. While α_c has a broad maximum at ~1.5 K, α_a and α_b show clear shoulders at ~0.3 K. In this *T* range, $\alpha_a(T)$ is negative while $\alpha_c(T)$ and $\alpha_b(T)$ are positive. The low-*T* data below ~1 K cover the quantum critical regime. The data above 6 K change their anisotropy, reflecting the effect of the known crystalline-electricfield (CEF) excitations—that is, at ~7 meV, as found in inelastic neutron scattering and specific-heat measurements⁹.

In the quantum critical temperature regime, the linear thermal expansivities divided by temperature, $\alpha_i/T(i = a, b, c)$, are shown along each direction in Fig. 1b on a logarithmic *T* scale. Each

¹Institut für Festkörperphysik, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, D-76021 Karlsruhe, Germany. ²Max-Planck-Institut für Chemische Physik fester Stoffe, D-01187 Dresden, Germany. ³Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA. ⁴Physikalisches Institut, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, D-76049 Karlsruhe, Germany. *e-mail: kai.grube@kit.edu; h.vL@pi.uka.de



Figure 1 | **Thermal expansion of CeCu_{5.9}Au_{0.1}. a**, Linear thermal-expansion coefficients α_i as a function of temperature for all three directions i = a, b, c in the orthorhombic notation (see Methods). With decreasing temperature, the varying anisotropy of α_i signals a change of the underlying dominating interactions. **b**, The linear thermal-expansion coefficients α_i from **a** divided by *T* as a function of ln *T*. **c**, The volume thermal-expansion coefficient C/T (right-hand scale) versus ln *T*. The solid lines are fits to the data as described in the text.

component α_i/T tends to diverge towards low temperatures, indicating non-Fermi-liquid behaviour. The same is also true for the volume thermal-expansion coefficient divided by temperature plotted in Fig. 1c. This should be contrasted to a Fermi liquid, where α_i/T is equal to $-V^{-1}d\gamma/d\sigma_i$, with the Sommerfeld coefficient $\gamma = C/T$ expected to be constant at low *T*. The divergences of α_i/T versus *T* for $T \rightarrow 0$ complement the well-known¹⁰ logarithmic divergence of γ versus *T* observed in the same compound, also shown in Fig. 1c. However, the divergences of α_i/T are much stronger than that of γ as predicted⁷. Indeed, their ratio, the Grüneisen ratios $\Gamma_i = V\alpha_i/C$, diverge for each direction i = a, b, c, as demonstrated in Fig. 2a. The same holds for the hydrostatic-pressure Grüneisen ratio Γ_V (see Fig. 2b).

We now turn to the analysis of the low-T divergences. Because the Sommerfeld coefficient is best described by a logarithmic temperature dependence, we have fitted the linear thermal coefficients in terms of powers of logarithm. For all three directions, we find that the best representation of the data is given by $\alpha_i/T = a_i [\ln^2(T_{0i}/T)]$, with $a_i = -1.01(3)$, 1.92(3), $1.64(3) \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-2}$, and $T_{0i} = 2.41(14)$, 2.43(7), 8.18(49) K (i=a,b,c) (see solid lines in Fig. 1b). To obtain the best $\ln^2 T$ fit, a constant (Fermi-liquid like) contribution $a_{0i}/T = -0.60(8)$, 1.67(8), and 1.68(25) $\times 10^{-6}$ K⁻² was assumed. The T_{0i} are of similar magnitude to $T_0' = 6.33(7)$ K extracted from $\gamma = a' \ln(T_0'/T)$ (ref. 10). T'_0 and T_{0i} constitute cut-off temperatures for the quantum critical behaviour arising from the competition between Kondo and RKKY interactions and are, therefore, constrained to the Kondo energy scale $T_{\rm K}$. Thus, the different T_{0i} might signal an anisotropy of the stress dependence of $T_{\rm K}$ due to the shape of the 4f ground-state wavefunction. As T'_0 and T_{0i} mark the upper



Figure 2 | **Grüneisen ratios of CeCu_{5.9}Au_{0.1}. a**, The stress Grüneisen ratios Γ_i along the three crystal axes as a function of T. **b**, The

hydrostatic-pressure Grüneisen ratio versus T. The solid lines are fits as described in the text.

limit of the non-Fermi-liquid regime, summing over $\ln^2(T_{0i}/T)$ with different T_{0i} will at $T \ll T_{0i}$ still result in a $\ln^2 T$ dependence of α_V/T , as indeed shown by the fit (solid line) in Fig. 1c. The fit parameters for α_V/T are $b = 2.70(5) \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-2}$ and $T_0 = 4.69(22)$ K. Previous work on polycrystalline CeCu_{5.8}Ag_{0.2}, which shows quantum critical behaviour in the specific heat closely resembling that of CeCu_{5.9}Au_{0.1}, reported a linear dependence of α_V/T versus $\ln T$ for $0.07 \leq T \leq 0.5$ K (ref. 6).

The temperature dependence of our Grüneisen ratios Γ_i (Fig. 2), in line with the expectation, shows an approximate form of $\Gamma_i = a_{\Gamma,i} \ln(T_{\Gamma,i}/T)$. Because of $T_{0i} \neq T'_0$, this dependence is only approximately observed at T < 1 K. The deviation at higher T is taken into account by adding a corrective term $2c_i + c_1^2 / [\ln(T_0/T)]$, with $c_i = \ln(T'_0/T_{0i})$.

To shed light on the origin of the logarithmic temperature dependence of Γ_i , we first note that a divergent Grüneisen ratio is expected for any QCP: scaling dictates its T dependence as $1/T^{\gamma}$, where the exponent y is the scaling dimension of the operator that tunes through the zero-temperature transition⁷. For a spin-density-wave QCP, the expected exponent is y = 1, which is inconsistent with our measured Grüneisen ratio. In a local QCP involving a Kondo destruction¹¹, as arising in an Ising-anisotropic Kondo lattice appropriate for $CeCu_{6-x}Au_x$, the corresponding scaling dimension is $y = 0^+$ (see Methods), which is consistent with the observed logarithmic divergence of the Grüneisen ratio. We note that the model of critical spin fluctuations bootstrapped by energy fluctuations proposed $C/T \sim T^{-1/8}$ for the specific heat of a 2D QCP^{12,13}: since weak power-law and logarithmic Tdependencies are difficult to distinguish, a small-exponent power law is compatible with the C/T data of CeCu_{5.9}Au_{0.1}; however, this same model predicted a T^{-1} dependence for α_i/T , which is much stronger than the observed $\ln^2 T$ dependence.

Regardless of the (model-dependent) analytical form of the divergence of the volume Grüneisen ratio, the natural question arises of how an anisotropic system such as $CeCu_{5,9}Au_{0,1}$ would respond to stress in an arbitrary direction. The above determination of α_i allows us to describe geometrically the components of the entropy derivatives with respect to stress $\partial S/\partial \sigma_i$ (Supplementary Note). For orthorhombic systems, the three normal stresses σ_i are linearly independent of each other and span a Cartesian coordinate system. The steepest change of *S* is given by the gradient that is formed by the components along each axis:

$$\nabla S = (\partial S / \partial \sigma_a, \partial S / \partial \sigma_b, \partial S / \partial \sigma_c)$$

This vector determines the stress combination that maximizes the entropy variation. The construction leads to an entropy landscape



Figure 3 | **Entropy landscape of CeCu**_{5.9}**Au**_{0.1} at $T \approx 1$ K. a, Pictorial illustration of the anisotropic stress dependence of *S*. The red arrow represents the stress combination leading to the steepest slope ∇S aiming at the QCP. All perpendicular stress directions lie within the red plane and $\partial S/\partial \sigma$ vanishes for these directions. The blue arrow indicates the hydrostatic pressure **p**. The blue plane perpendicular to **p** represents the possible pure-shear-stress combinations (thinner blue arrows for an orthorhombic Cartesian system $\sigma_{(ij)}$). As shown by the dashed red lines, ∇S has a hydrostatic component and one which is nearly parallel to $\sigma_{(ca)}$. The pure shear stress $-\sigma_{(cb)}$ is close to the intersection line between the two planes, and therefore approximately perpendicular to ∇S . Accordingly, $\Gamma_{(cb)}$ does not diverge at low *T* as shown in Fig. 4. **b**, Two-dimensional analogue of the entropy landscape discussed for a given temperature. Tuning the system along directions orthogonal to the steepest slope ∇S (that is, following the contour lines) will leave the distance to the QCP unchanged.



Figure 4 | **Pure shear stress dependences of CeCu_{5.9}Au_{0.1} at T=1K. a**, $\alpha_{(ij)}/T = \alpha_i/T - \alpha_j/T$ with $i \neq j = a, b, c$ as a function of *T*. **b**, The corresponding differences of the Grüneisen ratios $\Gamma_{(ij)} = \Gamma_i - \Gamma_j$ versus *T*. The solid lines are fits as described in the text.

in the parameter space of directional stresses for each temperature. The result of this construction for $CeCu_{5.9}Au_{0.1}$ at T = 1 K is shown in Fig. 3a.

For a vanishingly small but nonzero temperature, the red arrow ∇S describes the steepest slope in the entropy landscape as the system is tuned towards the QCP, as illustrated for a 2D stress space (σ_x, σ_y) in Fig. 3b. On the other hand, tuning along any direction perpendicular to ∇S , marked by the red circle in Fig. 3a, will leave the distance to the QCP as a function of stress unaltered, and thus result in a vanishing critical contribution to the thermal expansion along this particular direction. From Fig. 1b we infer from the roughly constant ratios α_i/α_j (i, j = a, b, c) that the direction ∇S is only weakly dependent on temperature. We note that exactly at the QCP, $\partial S/\partial \delta$ is strictly zero for any trajectory passing through the QCP at δ_c . At δ_c , $\partial S/\partial \delta$ changes its sign¹⁴. Thus, our data indicate that the critical concentration x_c is in fact a little larger than x = 0.1 for our sample.

The dependence of *S* on any arbitrary stress combination σ_x can be expressed as the projection of ∇S onto the unit vector in the σ_x direction:

$$\partial S/\partial \sigma_x = \nabla S \cdot \sigma_x / \sigma_x$$

This allows us to discuss the isotropic and anisotropic contributions to ∇S , corresponding to the responses to the hydrostatic pressure and the so-called pure shear stresses, respectively (see Supplementary Note). The hydrostatic pressure is defined as $\mathbf{p} = p \cdot (1, 1, 1)$, resulting in the well-known volume thermal expansion: $\alpha_{\rm V} = -V^{-1}\nabla S \cdot \mathbf{p}/p = -V^{-1} {\rm div} S = V^{-1} \sum_i \alpha_i$.

The pure shear stresses are planar stresses, represented by combinations of two perpendicular uniaxial pressures of opposite sign, for example, $\sigma_{(ca)} = p \cdot (-1, 0, 1)$. As they are orthogonal to the hydrostatic pressure, $\sigma_{(ij)} \cdot \mathbf{p} = 0$, they affect only anisotropic stress dependences. For an elastically homogeneous solid, their application results in the cancellation of the Poisson effect so that the shape of the solid is changed only in the (*ij*) plane, while the volume remains constant (see Supplementary Note).

This leads us to analysing the responses to the pure shear stresses for an anisotropic system such as CeCu_{5.9}Au_{0.1}, which are not accessible by the hydrostatic-pressure or volume-dependent $\alpha_{\rm V}$ and $\Gamma_{\rm V}$. These stress combinations are proportional to the differences of the linear thermal-expansion coefficients along different, perpendicular directions: $\partial S / \partial \sigma_{(ii)} \propto \alpha_{(ii)} = \alpha_i - \alpha_i$, where the hydrostatic, isotropic contributions $\alpha_V/3$ cancel each other. Figure 4a shows the resulting differences divided by T. They exhibit contrasting scaling behaviour. Whereas $\alpha_{(ca)}/T$ and $\alpha_{(ba)}/T$ exhibit divergences with $\ln^2 T$ dependence, as do the single components and $\alpha_{\rm V}/T$, $\alpha_{(cb)}/T$ levels off below 1 K. Correspondingly, $\Gamma_{(cb)} = \Gamma_{\rm c} - \Gamma_{b}$ becomes roughly constant at T < 0.5 K, thus seemingly pointing to a stability of the Fermi-liquid state for $T \rightarrow 0$ although the specific-heat coefficient $\gamma(T)$ clearly demonstrates non-Fermi liquid behaviour. This apparent dichotomy can be resolved by noting that none of the stress combinations perpendicular to ∇S (red circle in Fig. 3a) will tune the system to the QCP. In CeCu_{5.9}Au_{0.1} it is accidental that one of the pure shear stresses is almost orthogonal to ∇S . This is visualized by the fact that the direction of the pure shear stress $\sigma_{(cb)}$ in stress space (that is, (0, -1, 1)) is close to the direction of the intersection line of the red and blue circles in Fig. 3a.

Knowing the entropy landscape of CeCu_{5.9}Au_{0.1}, we can ask how the anisotropic stress space connects with the geometry of the anisotropic quantum critical magnetic fluctuations determined by inelastic neutron scattering² (the important differences between stress and strain for anisotropic systems are outlined in the Methods). To address this issue, we project the maximal stress dependence of S onto the pure-shear-stress plane. This is the pure shear stress applied to the ac plane (see Fig. 3a), as can indeed be seen from Fig. 4b, showing $\Gamma_{(ca)}$ to be the largest $\Gamma_{(ij)}$. The application of this pure shear stress $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle (ca)}$ leads to a distortion of the ca plane which is always normal to the planes of quantum critical fluctuations. This is, in fact, the only stress combination which does not alter the distance between nearest-neighbour Ce atoms, but results in a tilt of the almost orthogonal fluctuating planes against each other (Supplementary Fig. 3). We note that a change of S is also induced by $\sigma_{(ba)}$. Thus, a linear combination of $\sigma_{(ca)}$ and $\sigma_{(ba)}$ would also be perpendicular to *p*. The components of this vector in the pure-shear-stress plane would be $a_1\sigma_{(ca)} + a_2\sigma_{(ba)}$, with $a_1/a_2 < 10\%$, as can be seen by the thinner red arrows in Fig. 3a. Physically, $\sigma_{(ba)}$ corresponds to a distortion of the *a*-*b* plane (with c = const), and thus to a (smaller) change of the inclination angle between fluctuating planes. This merely reflects the fact that $\sigma_{(ca)}$ and $\sigma_{(ba)}$ are not linearly independent. How these observations relate to the microscopic anisotropies of RKKY versus Kondo interactions requires a detailed determination of the electronic structure, which remains a challenge for the future.

Our determination of the entropy landscape in CeCu_{5.9}Au_{0.1} explicitly demonstrates how entropy climbs as the system evolves towards its fully exposed quantum critical point in a multidimensional parameter space. The pronounced entropy enhancement renders quantum critical systems highly susceptible to the development of novel phases, such as unconventional superconductivity. This fundamentally promotes the understanding of superconductivity for heavy-fermion systems¹⁵. Another prominent example along this line is the cuprates¹⁶, where the entropy as a function of hole doping, $n_{\rm h}$, peaks at the value where the $T_{\rm c}(n_{\rm h})$ dome is maximum¹⁷. This entropy maximum is found well above T_c and, moreover, the anisotropy of the cuprates due to the quasi-2D electronic structure entails a strongly anisotropic stress dependence¹⁸. Other pertinent systems with strong correlations include the iron pnictides and chalcogenides¹⁹, and organic charge-transfer salts²⁰. In all these systems, novel phases emerge close to instabilities that are characterized by a strongly enhanced entropy in a phase space which is spanned up by multiple control parameters.

Methods

Methods, including statements of data availability and any associated accession codes and references, are available in the online version of this paper.

Received 29 April 2016; accepted 28 March 2017; published online 8 May 2017

References

- 1. Coleman, P. & Schofield, A. J. Quantum criticality. Nature 433, 226-229 (2005).
- Löhneysen, H. v., Rosch, A., Vojta, M. & Wölfle, P. Fermi-liquid instabilities at magnetic quantum phase transitions. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 79, 1015–1075 (2007).

- Stockert, O. *et al.* Two-dimensional fluctuations at the quantum-critical point of CeCu_{6-x}Au_x. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5627–5630 (1998).
- 4. Rost, A. W. *et al*. Entropy landscape of phase formation associated with quantum criticality in Sr₃Ru₂O₇. *Science* **325**, 1360–1363 (2009).
- Küchler, R. *et al.* Divergence of the Grüneisen ratio at quantum critical points in heavy fermion metals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 066405 (2003).
- 6. Küchler, R. *et al*. Grüneisen Ratio Divergence at the Quantum Critical Point in CeCu_{6-x}Ag_x. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 096402 (2004).
- Zhu, I., Garst, M., Rosch, A. & Si, Q. Universally diverging Grüneisen parameter and the magnetocaloric effect close to quantum critical points. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 066404 (2003).
- Schlager, H., Schröder, A., Welsch, M. & Löhneysen, H. v. Magnetic ordering in CeCu_{6-x}Au_x single crystals: thermodynamic and transport properties. *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **90**, 181–204 (1993).
- Stroka, B. *et al*. Crystal-field excitations in the heavy-fermion alloys CeCu_{6-x}Au_x studied by specific heat and inelastic neutron scattering. *Z. Phys. B* 90, 155–160 (1993).
- Löhneysen, H. v. et al. Non-Fermi-liquid behavior in a heavy-fermion alloy at a magnetic instability. Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 3262–3265 (1994).
- Si, Q., Rabello, S., Ingersent, K. & Smith, J. L. Locally critical quantum phase transitions in strongly correlated metals. *Nature* 413, 804–808 (2001).
- Abrahams, E. & Wölfle, P. Critical quasiparticle theory applied to heavy fermion metals near an antiferromagnetic quantum phase transition. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 109, 3238–3242 (2012).
- 13. Abrahams, E., Schmalian, J. & Wölfle, P. Strong-coupling theory of heavy-fermion criticality. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 045105 (2014).
- Garst, M. & Rosch, J. Sign change of the Grüneisen parameter and magnetocaloric effect near quantum critical points. *Phys. Rev. B* 72, 205129 (2005).
- Marthur, N. *et al.* Magnetically mediated superconductivity in heavy fermion compounds. *Nature* **394**, 39–43 (1998).
- Badoux, S. *et al.* Change of carrier density at the pseudogap critical point of a cuprate superconductor. *Nature* 531, 210–214 (2016).
- Loram, J. W. et al. Evidence on the pseudogap and condensate from the electronic specific heat. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 62, 59–64 (2001).
- Hardy, F. *et al.* Enhancement of the critical temperature of HgBa₂CuO₄₊₈ by applying uniaxial and hydrostatic pressure: implications for a universal trend in cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 167002 (2010).
- 19. Si, Q., Yu, R. & Abrahams, E. High temperature superconductivity in iron pnictides and chalcogenides. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **1**, 16017 (2016).
- Oike, H., Miyagawa, K., Taniguchi, H. & Kanoda, K. Pressure-induced Mott transition in an organic superconductor with a finite doping level. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 114, 067002 (2015).

Acknowledgements

We thank C. Meingast, P. Wölfle and L. Zhu for valuable discussions and S. Drobnik for experimental help. The work at Karlsruhe was supported by the DFG Research Unit FOR960 'Quantum Phase Transitions', work at Rice University by the ARO Grant No. W911NF-14-1-0525 and the Robert A. Welch Foundation Grant No. C-1411, with travel support provided by NSF Grant No. DMR-1611392. One of us (Q.S.) graciously acknowledges the support of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and the hospitality of the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology. This article was completed during stays of Q.S. and H.v.L. at the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics at University of California, Santa Barbara supported by the NSF Grant No. PHY-1066293, and the Aspen Center for Physics supported by the NSF grant No. PHY-1066293.

Author contributions

K.G. and H.v.L. conceived the experiment, S.Z. and K.G. conducted the measurements, O.S. provided the samples, Q.S. carried out the scaling analysis, and H.v.L., Q.S. and K.G. interpreted the results and wrote the paper.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available in the online version of the paper. Reprints and permissions information is available online at www.nature.com/reprints. Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.G. or H.v.L.

Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

LETTERS

NATURE PHYSICS DOI: 10.1038/NPHYS4113

Methods

Experimental set-up. The thermal-expansion measurements were carried out in a temperature range from 30 mK to room temperature by using a home-built capacitive dilatometer mounted in a dilution refrigerator (30 mK–9 K) and in a helium gas-flow cryostat (5–300 K). The raw thermal-expansion data were corrected for the expansion of the dilatometer by using measurements of Si and Cu single crystals. The investigated CeCu_{5.9}Au_{0.1} single crystal was grown by the Czochralski method under a high-purity argon atmosphere, oriented with Laue X-ray diffraction, and spark-cut to yield a cube of approximately 3 mm edge length. For this length, the low-temperature resolution ($\Delta L/L$) of the measurements reached 10⁻¹⁰. The measurements were performed along the three axes of the orthorhombic crystal structure (with orthorhombic axes notation), neglecting the very small monoclinic distortion setting in below $T_s \approx 64$ K with $\varphi_0 = 90.7^\circ$ for $T \ll T_s$ (refs 21–23). The 4*f*-electron contribution to the thermal expansivity was determined by subtracting the expansivities of an isostructural LaCu₆

Pressure versus volume—**stress versus strain.** Throughout the main part of this paper we have used pressure-dependent thermodynamic quantities, such as the pressure-dependent entropy and the Grüneisen ratios $\Gamma_i(\sigma_i)$ as a function of stress. However, historically, the strain-dependent (or volume-dependent) Grüneisen parameters $\Gamma_i^{(e)}(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^3 c_{ij} \Gamma_j$ have been regarded as more fundamental, because they are not affected by the elasticity of the material—here taken into account by the elastic constants c_{ij} —and are related directly to atomic positions or bond lengths. In particular, they are corrected for the Poisson effect—that is, the dilation (compressive) of a solid body perpendicular to the direction of the applied compressive (tensile) stress. For studies of critical phenomena, on the other hand, which have been performed at constant pressure constitutes the control parameter.

In addition, the conversion to $\Gamma_i^{(\varepsilon)}$ demands the knowledge of the elastic constants c_{ij} . Their determination requires elaborate measurements, as compounds of lower crystal symmetry are characterized by a large number of independent c_{ij} constants. The elastic behaviour of $\text{CeCu}_{6-x}\text{Au}_x$ is described by nine constants; six of which have been published so far for x = 0 and partly for 0.1 (refs 25–28). Between 100 mK and 10 K they change by less than $\Delta c_{ij}/c_{ij} = 10^{-3}$. The elasticity of $\text{CeCu}_{6-x}\text{Au}_x$ is mainly determined by the chemical bonds between the Cu/Au atoms and the outer Ce $6s^2$ and $5d^1$ electrons. Consequently, the c_{ij} can be regarded as temperature independent and corresponding linear combinations of $\Gamma_i^{(\varepsilon)}$ show the same *T* dependence as $\Gamma_i(\sigma_i)$. It is worth noting that the weak coupling of the critical fluctuations to the crystal lattice ensures that the scaling behaviour is not obscured by a softening of the lattice.

The conversion from stress to strain dependences does not qualitatively change the overall behaviour of $\text{CeCu}_{6-x}\text{Au}_x$, as can be checked if all c_{ij} are known. We therefore have determined the remaining elastic constants c_{12} , c_{13} , and c_{23} by employing measurements of the linear compressibilities $k_i = -\partial \varepsilon_i / \partial p$ (with i = a, b, c in Voigt's notation) by using a miniaturized capacitive dilatometer built into a gas pressure cell^{29,30}). To ensure hydrostatic-pressure conditions, we used helium as pressure transmitting medium. For $CeCu_6$ and T = 10 K, the measured linear compressibilities amount to $k_a = 2.884 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GPa}^{-1}$, $k_b = 4.45 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GPa}^{-1}$, and $k_c = 3.435 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GPa}^{-1}$. The elastic constants hardly change between x = 0 and 0.1 (ref. 28). To complete our measurements, we have chosen the c_{ii} data from Weber *et al.*²⁵ due to their better agreement with ours and the low-temperature measurements from Finsterbusch and colleagues²⁸. By using these constants, we can estimate the dependence of the lattice parameters on arbitrary combinations of stresses. For a pure shear stress of the c-a plane, $\sigma_{(ca)} = (\sigma_{(ca)}/\sqrt{2})(-1,0,1)$, the stress dependence along the *a* axis $\partial \varepsilon_a/\partial \sigma_{(ca)}$ is approximately equal to $-\partial \varepsilon_c / \partial \sigma_{(ca)}$, and with $\approx 0.02 \, \text{GPa}^{-1}$ clearly larger than the change of the entire volume strain $\partial \varepsilon_{\rm V} / \partial \sigma_{\rm (cd)} \approx 0.0005 \,{\rm GPa}^{-1}$. Pure shear stresses applied to other planes result in similar, negligibly small changes of $\varepsilon_{\rm V}$. Therefore, the pure shear stresses can be approximately understood as pure shear strains-that is, distortions without a sizable volume change.

The influence of the elastic properties on the anisotropy of the linear thermal-expansion coefficients and the Grüneisen ratios can be determined from the related strain dependences of the entropy $\partial S/\partial \varepsilon_i = V \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{3} c_{ij} \alpha_j$ and the aforementioned Grüneisen parameters $\Gamma_i^{(e)}$. At low temperature they show the same anisotropy as the stress-dependent quantities. In conclusion, both stress and strain dependences can be explained by a combination of a hydrostatic, isotropic volume effect and a high sensitivity to distortions of the *c*-*a* plane.

Functional form of the Grüneisen ratio divergences from scaling analysis. We consider the Kondo-lattice model as specified by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{ij\sigma} t_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \sum_{i} J_{K} \mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{c,i} + \sum_{ij} \frac{I_{ij}}{2} \mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j}$$
(1)

Here \mathbf{S}_i and $\mathbf{s}_{c,i}$, respectively, describe a local moment at site *i* and the spin of the conduction electrons at the same site, and t_{ij} is the tight-binding hopping

parameter whose spatial Fourier transform yields the band dispersion ε_k . The parameters J_k and I_{ij} are the Kondo and RKKY exchange interactions, respectively. Within the extended dynamical mean-field theory³¹, the local properties of this lattice model are determined through a self-consistent Bose–Fermi Kondo model:

$$H_{\text{imp}} = J_K \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{s}_c + \sum_{p,\sigma} E_p c_{p\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{p\sigma} + g \sum_p \mathbf{S} \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_p + \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{-p}^{\dagger} \right) + \sum_p w_p \boldsymbol{\varphi}_p^{\dagger} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_p \quad (2)$$

where E_p and w_p are the dispersions determined self-consistently for the fermionic bath (c_{po}) and bosonic bath (φ_p), respectively. The locally quantum critical point with a Kondo destruction arises in the self-consistent solution to the model if the magnetic fluctuations are two-dimensional³¹. At the locally quantum critical point, the density of states of the fermionic bath is

$$\sum_{p} \delta(\omega - E_p) = N_0 \tag{3}$$

that is, the bare density of states of the conduction electrons, whereas the spectral function of the bosonic bath is

$$\sum_{p} \left[\delta(\omega - w_p) - \delta(\omega + w_p) \right] = (K_0^2 / \pi) |\omega|^{\gamma} \operatorname{sgn} \omega \quad \text{for } |\omega| < \Lambda$$
(4)

where Λ is a cutoff frequency. Self-consistently, the power exponent of ω is $\gamma = 0^+$.

To determine the scaling dimension of the most relevant operator for the Kondo destruction, we use an ε -expansion procedure discussed earlier³². Here $\varepsilon \equiv 1 - \gamma$, which in the end is set to its self-consistent value 1⁻. Unlike the critical exponent for the local spin susceptibility, the exponent pertinent to our analysis here turns out to depend on the symmetry in the spin space. To show this, we allow the exchange interactions in the longitudinal (between the *Z* components) and transverse (between the *XY* components) channels to be different. For the Kondo exchange interaction, they are given by J_K^z and J_K^{\perp} , respectively. Likewise, for the spin–boson coupling, they correspond to g^z and g^{\perp} , respectively. These are the four coupling constants, A_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4), that come into the renormalization–group analysis.

The beta functions β_{A_i} for the anisotropic Bose–Fermi Kondo problem with xy invariance are given in ref. 32. The fixed points are determined by setting $\beta_{A_i} = 0$. The scaling dimensions can be obtained by solving for the eigenvalues of the 4×4 matrix $-\partial \beta_{A_i}/\partial A_j$, evaluated at the fixed point. To order ε^2 , the largest eigenvalue of the matrix is

$$R = \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{5\sqrt{5} - 9}{8(5 + \sqrt{5})}\varepsilon^2 \approx 0.618034\varepsilon + 0.0376644\varepsilon^2$$
(5)

It is approximately equal to 0.62 and 0.66 to the linear and quadratic orders in ε , respectively. For the $\varepsilon = 1^-$ limit, this corresponds to the exponent $\eta \approx 0.66$ of the temperature dependence of the Grüneisen ratio $\Gamma \propto T^{-\eta}$. This value is consistent with the Grüneisen ratio observed in YbRh₂Si₂ (ref. 5), in which the magnetic anisotropy has the xy form. For the Ising case appropriate for CeCu_{6-x}Au_x (ref. 33), the ε -expansion is set up in terms of renormalization-group equations that generalize those for the Kosterlitz–Thouless case (for $\varepsilon = 0$) to nonzero ε . The largest eigenvalue is $\lambda = \sqrt{2\varepsilon}$, to the linear order in ε . For small ε , this result compares well with numerical results³⁴. Here, however, the corrections from the higher orders are difficult to determine. For the $\varepsilon = 1^-$ limit, the numerical result³⁴ is that λ approaches 0⁺. This corresponds to $\eta = 0^+$, which is consistent with a logarithmically divergent Grüneisen ratio towards low T, as experimentally observed here.

Data availability. The data that support the plots within this paper and other findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

References

- Grube, K., Fietz, W. H., Tutsch, U., Stockert, O. & Löhneysen, H. v. Suppression of the structural phase transition in CeCu₆ by pressure and Au doping. *Phys. Rev. B* 60, 11947–11953 (1999).
- Schröder, A., Aeppli, G., Bucher, E., Ramazashvili, R. & Coleman, P. Scaling of magnetic fluctuations near a quantum phase transition. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80, 5623–5626 (1998).
- 23. Gratz, E. et al. Lattice distortion in CeCu₆. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 63 & 64, 312–314 (1987).
- Drobnik, S. Thermische Ausdehnung und Magnetostriktion von CeCu_{6-x}Au_x bei sehr tiefen Temperaturen (PhD thesis, Univ. Karlsruhe 2006).
- Weber, D., Yoshizawa, M., Kouroudis, I., Lüthi, B. & Walker, E. Electron-phonon coupling in the heavy-fermion compound CeCu₆. *Europhys. Lett.* 3, 827–831 (1987).
- Suzuki, T. *et al.* Elastic soft mode and crystalline field effect of Kondo lattice substance; CeCu₆. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn* 54, 2367–2370 (1985).
- Goto, T., Suzuki, T., Fujimura, T., Onuki, Y. & Komatsubara, T. Elastic properties of the Kondo lattice compound CeCu₆. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 63, 309–311 (1987).

NATURE PHYSICS DOI: 10.1038/NPHYS4113

- 28. Finsterbusch, D. *et al*. Thermodynamic properties of CeCu_{6-x}Au_x:
- Fermi-liquid vs. non-Fermi-liquid behaviour. Ann. Phys. 508, 184–196 (1996).
 Grube, K. Thermal Expansion of C₆₀ Single Crystals under Pressure (PhD thesis, Univ. Karlsruhe, Report FZKA 5611, 1995).
- 30. Fietz, W. H., Grube, K. & Leibrock, H. Dilatometry under high pressure. *High Pressure Res.* **19**, 373–378 (2000).
- Si, Q., Rabello, S., Ingersent, K. & Smith, J. L. Local fluctuations in quantum critical metals. *Phys. Rev. B* 68, 115103 (2003).
- Zhu, L. & Si, Q. Critical local-moment fluctuations in the Bose–Fermi Kondo model. *Phys. Rev. B* 66, 024426 (2002).
- Tomanic, T., Hamann, A. & Löhneysen, H. v. Anisotropy of the magnetic susceptibility of CeCu_{6-x}Au_x near the quantum phase transition. *Physica B* 403, 1323–1324 (2008).
- 34. Glossop, M. T. & Ingersent, K. Kondo physics and dissipation: a numerical renormalization-group approach to Bose–Fermi Kondo models. *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 104410 (2007).