

ARTICLE

Received 10 Jul 2014 | Accepted 30 Dec 2014 | Published 4 Feb 2015

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms7185

Long-term nitrogen deposition depletes grassland seed banks

Sofía Basto¹, Ken Thompson², Gareth Phoenix², Victoria Sloan², Jonathan Leake² & Mark Rees²

Nitrogen (N) pollution is a global threat to the biodiversity of many plant communities, but its impacts on grassland soil seed banks are unknown. Here we show that size and richness of an acid grassland seed bank is strongly reduced after 13 years of simulated N deposition. Soils receiving 140 kg N ha^{-1} per year show a decline in total seed abundance, seed species richness, and the abundance of forbs, sedges and grasses. These results reveal larger effects of N pollution on seed banks than on aboveground vegetation as cover and flowering is not significantly altered for most species. Further, the seed bank shows no recovery 4 years after the cessation of N deposition. These results provide insights into the severe negative effects of N pollution on plant communities that threaten the stability of populations, community persistence and the potential for ecosystems to recover following anthropogenic disturbance or climate change.

¹Unidad de Ecología y Sistemática, Departamento de Biología, Facultad de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Carrera 7 No. 43-82 Ed. Jesús Emilio Ramírez (53), Bogotá, Colombia. ² Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, The University of Sheffield, Western Bank, S10 2TN Sheffield, UK. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.B. (email: sbasto@javeriana.edu.co). tmospheric nitrogen (N) deposition is among the top three threats to global biodiversity¹. It is estimated that by 2050 global N deposition will be almost twice as high as at the beginning of the 1990s (ref. 2), threatening many ecosystems worldwide³. Anthropogenic activities have caused an increase in atmospheric N deposition, which in turn has altered the global N cycle radically, threatening the biodiversity of terrestrial and marine ecosystems⁴. In terrestrial ecosystems, several effects of N deposition on aboveground plant communities have been identified, including changes in plant cover, abundance, species composition and richness^{4–6}, a decline in resistance to pathogens and pests^{4,5}, an increase in susceptibility to drought and frost^{4,5} and a decrease in the stability of biomass production (by the effects of N eutrophication)⁶.

The impact of N deposition on soil seed banks is a major concern since they contribute to the maintenance of plant species diversity⁷, buffer small, isolated populations against local extinction⁸, and are key determinants of ecosystem resistance and resilience⁹. Despite widespread evidence of impacts of N pollution on floristic diversity and plant community structure^{4,5}, studies on soil seed banks are scarce¹⁰⁻¹³ and most are limited to short-term effects. For example, a 3-year study of N addition in a desert community found no change in the density or species richness of the seed bank¹¹, while another 1-year study found that N addition increased seedling emergence¹². One long-term study of N addition showed changes in seed bank composition in a tundra plant community¹³. However, N pollution impacts on grassland seed banks are unknown. In principle N addition could increase seed bank density if the increases in inputs (seed production) dominate, or decrease seed bank density if seed production is reduced, losses from the seed bank are increased or seed bank formation is restricted by the build-up of excessive litter layers¹⁴. Quantifying the long-term impact of N addition on seed banks is therefore a key missing link in our understanding of ecosystem responses to N pollution, with important implications for grassland conservation and restoration.

Here we show the effects of atmospheric N deposition on the soil seed bank in acid grassland plots in the UK that have been exposed to simulated N deposition since 1995, and also in plots allowed to recover (following cessation of treatments) since 2005. The Wardlow site is both one of the earliest and longest running N deposition experiments in the world, simulating atmospheric N deposition on grassland ecosystems⁵. Seed bank analysis was further supported by an evaluation of the vegetative cover and flowering. We demonstrate that N pollution has large and previously unsuspected negative effects on the soil seed bank beneath one of the most widespread and plant-species rich types of acidic grassland in Europe. Specifically, 13 years of simulated N deposition reduced the species richness and abundance of the seed bank, with negative impacts on all the three functional groups: forbs, sedges and grasses. In addition, the seed bank showed no sign of recovery >4 years after cessation of N deposition, even though flowering recovered. These results indicate that N pollution has larger effects on grassland seed banks than on vegetation, providing new insights into the mechanisms of biodiversity loss by this globally intensifying pollutant.

Results

Effects on seed bank size and richness. We found that total abundance of the seed bank was reduced by 61% and 34% in soils receiving 140 (140N) and 35 (35N) kg N ha⁻¹ per year, respectively (Fig. 1a). Moreover, there was a 41% and 29% reduction in species richness in the high and low nitrogen-addition treatments (Fig. 1b), an effect not recorded in the



Figure 1 | Effect of nitrogen deposition on the soil seed banks of an acid grassland after 13.5 years of experimental N addition at Wardlow Hay Cop. (a) Number of seeds. (b) Number of species. Both 35N and 140N deposition rates reduced the total seed abundance significantly (GLMM; -0.41 ± 0.14 ; z = -2.9, P < 0.01 and -0.94 ± 0.15 ; z = -6.4, P < 0.00001, respectively). Moreover, species richness decreased under 35N (GLMM; -0.35 ± 0.11 ; z = -3.2, P < 0.01) and 140N (GLMM; -0.52 ± 0.12 ; z = -4.5, P < 0.00001). A significant decrease in the (a) number of species (GLMM; -0.33 ± 0.01 ; z = -34.7, P < 0.00001) and (b) the number of species (GLMM; -0.15 ± 0.01 ; z = -11.6, P < 0.00001) was recorded with the increasing soil sample depth across all the treatments. The figure shows the scatter plots with the fitted curves. Each point is the number obtained by adding the values of four soil samples in every individual plot (n = 108).

aboveground vegetation⁵. Although seed bank composition was changed significantly by N deposition, the correlation between N treatment and seed bank composition was weak (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Effects on functional groups and the most abundant species. For all the three main functional groups, there were significant reductions in seed bank abundance of forbs (73%), sedges (56%) and grasses (56%) in soils receiving 140N. However, in soils receiving 35N only the abundance of grasses was reduced significantly (34%) (Fig. 2). Among the eight most abundant species in the seed bank, four species showed no significant reduction in the number of seeds (generalized linear mixed model (GLMM); P > 0.1 in all the cases) by either 35N or 140N deposition rates (Agrostis capillaris × stolonifera, Carex pilulifera, Holcus lanatus and Poa pratensis). The abundance of three species was reduced significantly by the 140N rate, Agrostis vinealis by 61% (GLMM; estimate \pm s.e. of the estimate of the fixed effect parameter in the model = -0.92 ± 0.39 ; z-test = -2.4, P = 0.02), Galium saxatile by 70% (GLMM; -1.22 ± 0.42 ; z = -2.9, P < 0.01) and Potentilla erecta by 76% (GLMM; -1.43 ± 0.34 ; z = -4.3, P < 0.0001), while abundance of A. capillaris was reduced significantly by both 35N (56%, GLMM; -0.82 ± 0.33 ; z = -2.5, P = 0.01) and 140N deposition rates (70%, GLMM; $-1.19 \pm 0.33; z = -3.6, P < 0.001).$

Effect of the aboveground vegetation response on seed banks. The impacts of N deposition on the seed bank do not simply reflect the response of the aboveground vegetation; in fact we found reduced similarity between the seed bank and the aboveground plant community in plots receiving 140N treatment (Supplementary Fig. 2). Moreover, cover of only one species, *P. erecta* was reduced significantly by 140N deposition (generalized linear model (GLM); F = 9.8; df = 2,33; *P*<0.001; *t* = -4.4, *P*<0.001) but not by 35N (GLM; *t* = -1.9, *P*=0.06), while flowering in the same species was reduced at both 35N and 140N deposition rates (GLM; F = 14.5; df = 2,33; *P*<0.0001; *t* = -3.5, *P*=0.01 and *t* = -6.1, *P*=<0.00001, respectively). Cover and



Figure 2 | Effect of nitrogen deposition on the number of seeds of the three main functional groups in the soil seed bank after 13.5 years of N addition at Wardlow Hay Cop. (a) Forbs. (b) Sedges. (c) Grasses. The 140N deposition rate reduced the number of seeds of forbs significantly (GLMM; -1.33 ± 0.3 ; z = -4.5, P < 0.00001) and sedges (GLMM; -0.85 ± 0.39 ; z = -2.2, P = 0.03) while 35N did not have a significant effect on both the number of seeds of forbs (GLMM; -0.45 ± 0.28 ; z = -1.6, P = 0.1) and sedges (GLMM; -0.71 ± 0.38 ; z = -1.9, P = 0.06). Both 35N and 140N treatments reduced the number of seeds of grasses significantly (GLMM; -0.41 ± 0.18 ; z = -2.3, P = 0.02 and -0.83 ± 0.18 ; z = -4.5, P < 0.00001, respectively). A significant decrease in the number of seeds of (a) forbs (GLMM; -0.31 ± 0.02 ; z = -16.8, P < 0.00001), (b) sedges (GLMM; -0.12 ± 0.03 ; z = -4.3, P < 0.0001) and (c) grasses (GLMM; -0.37 ± 0.01 ; z = -29.6, P < 0.00001) was recorded with increasing soil sample depth across all treatments. The figure shows the scatter plots with the curves. Each point is the number obtained by adding the values of four soil samples in every individual plot (n = 108).

flowering of the other species was not affected significantly by 35N or 140N treatment (GLM; P > 0.1 in all the cases). Although exotic species in the seed bank can increase after N deposition¹¹, we did not record any weeds or invasive species in the soil, which is consistent with the aboveground vegetation responses⁵.

Seed bank recovery. Four years after experimental N deposition ceased, there was no significant recovery in any of the seed bank characteristics (total abundance (GLMM; 0.12 ± 0.12 ; z = 0.99, P = 0.32), richness (GLMM; -0.01 ± 0.09 ; z = -0.093, P = 0.93), or the abundance of forbs (GLMM; 0.22 ± 0.23 ; z = 0.97, P = 0.33), sedges (GLMM; 0.09 ± 0.3 ; z = 0.31, P = 0.76), grasses (GLMM; 0.02 ± 0.15 ; z = 0.12, P = 0.91) or the abundance of individual species (*A. vinealis* (GLMM; -0.22 ± 0.31 ; z = -0.7, P = 0.5), *G. saxatile* (GLMM; 0.28 ± 0.33 ; z = 0.84, P = 0.4), *P. erecta* (GLMM; 0.24 ± 0.24 ; z = 1.0, P = 0.31) and *A. capillaris* (GLMM; -0.26 ± -0.26 ; z = -1.0, P = 0.31)). This is despite the flowering of *P. erecta* recovering following cessation of both 35N and 140N treatments (GLM; F = 6; df = 2,30; P < 0.01; t = 2.6, P = 0.01 and t = 3.3, P < 0.01, respectively).

Discussion

Over a large geographical scale, evidence indicates that chronic nitrogen deposition has decreased plant species richness in acid grasslands in Europe¹⁵. At local scale, a 2004 UK-wide survey in areas of contrasting N deposition revealed a major impact of N deposition on the species diversity of acid Festuca-Agrostis pasture, a very abundant type of upland grassland throughout northern Europe¹⁶. We have now demonstrated a large impact of experimental N deposition on the size and diversity of the soil seed bank beneath the same grassland type. This impact is of major concern owing to the role of seed banks in population recovery and vegetation management. The mechanisms underpinning these changes are unknown, but several possibilities seem likely (Fig. 3). First, it is well known that N-containing compounds including nitrate, nitrite and ammonium stimulate seed germination in many species, and therefore N pollutants might diminish the seed bank by promoting seed germination^{10,12,17-19}. N deposition also acidifies soils²⁰, which has complex effects on seed germination,



Figure 3 | Simplified scheme of the potential causes of soil seed bank depletion by N pollution. The unidirectional red solid arrows represent processes affecting seed bank size. The positive, negative or neutral direction of the impacts is indicated by (+), (-) and (0), respectively. Blue dashed lines show alternative mechanisms of seed bank depletion based on the species-specific effects of N pollution on seeds (not discussed above). N-containing compounds, soil acidification and phytotoxic metals may have a negative^{21,22,43,44} or neutral^{22,44} effect on germination, and therefore can increase the size of the seed bank through increased seed dormancy. Greater seed availability to microorganisms can then increase seed mortality with time.

but may promote germination in some species, either directly²¹ or indirectly (for example, by the effects of increasing toxic metal levels^{22,23} and microbial growth and composition²⁴). The attack by pathogenic microorganisms is a major source of seed mortality in soil, and it may be that one effect of N deposition is to promote microbial seed pathogenesis²⁵. Certainly N deposition can increase the susceptibility of established plants to pathogens⁵. Moreover, a higher N content of the seed coat in relation to C (carbon) content may provide an improved nutritional resource for decomposer microorganisms^{25,26}. It may also be that the

negative impacts of N deposition on flowering that have been recorded in some communities, lead to a reduction in seed production⁵. Finally, high N addition can increase litter build-up, which may act as a barrier to the entry of seeds to the seed bank¹⁴. Our results do not allow us to attribute the decline in the seed bank to a single cause, but in the case of *P. erecta*, which showed both a decline in the seed bank and significant reductions in cover (under 140N deposition) and flowering (under both 35N and 140N depositions), reduction in seed production is likely to have been important. In contrast, graminoids increased in vegetation cover⁵, suggesting that the decline in grass and sedge seed banks is more related to the losses of seeds from the soil than to reduced seed inputs. Overall, changes in the seed bank were more severe than changes in the aboveground plant communities, suggesting that the main effect of N deposition on the seed bank operates via belowground processes.

Impoverishment of grassland floras by N deposition is clearly widespread^{5,15,27}, with no reason to assume that depletion of grassland seed banks is not equally common, with potentially large effects on diversity, composition and functioning of grassland ecosystems. Such impoverishment threatens to increase the local extinction risk by reducing the capacity of the plant populations to recover from disturbance⁹. Loss of the seed bank also degrades a reservoir of genetic diversity²⁸ and therefore the ability of the community to respond to habitat alteration²⁹ and environmental change³⁰. Finally, seed bank loss not only jeopardizes the natural ability of grasslands to recover from hazards such as fire, drought or overgrazing, but also limits the usefulness of seed banks as a source of plant material for the use in ecological restoration programmes. Particularly worrying is the failure of grassland seed banks to show any evidence of recovery after the N deposition ceases. This occurred despite flowering of some species (for example, P. erecta) recovering following the cessation of treatments and total potassium-chloride extractable mineral nitrogen in the top soil rapidly falling back to control values even in the 140N plots³¹. The lack of seed bank response indicates that the recovery process is slow, although recovery may be possible in the long term. Our results suggest that future studies should measure the time lags between the above and belowground processes and between the vegetation and seed banks. Moreover, seed bank conservation and recovery should be an integral part of grassland restoration programmes.

Methods

Study site. On the basis of previous studies^{20,32}, an acidic grassland was chosen to investigate the effects of long-term atmospheric nitrogen deposition on seed banks. The grassland is located on the south-east facing slope (5-10°) of a conical hill at Wardlow Hay Cop, in the South Pennines, in Cressbrook Dale National Nature Reserve within the Peak District National Park, UK (53°15'44N, 1°44'02W), and 370 m.a.s.l (ref.20). During the past century, Wardlow Hay Cop has received one of the highest rates of N pollutant deposition in the United Kingdom^{20,32}. Therefore, although the highest application treatment (140N) exceeds the current deposition rates reported for the UK, this treatment was chosen because the South Pennines region received cumulative N deposition in the 20th century amounting to 2,200-3,200 kg N ha⁻¹, equating to between 22-33 kg ha⁻¹ per year³³. Our treatment application rates thus ranged from rates similar to historical deposition rates (35 kg N ha⁻¹ per year above ambient values in 1995) to the 140N treatment that equates to application rates used in agricultural practices³². Since the main form of N deposition in upland areas is wet deposition, the treatments have been applied monthly in 21 of distilled water, per plot per application, as a mist by using a backpack sprayer²⁰. The acidic grassland is situated over a Carboniferous limestone bedrock, which has been covered with paleo-argillic brown earth from the Nordrach series³⁴ that reaches 70-cm deep³⁵. Owing to the thickness of the soil and the excess of precipitation in relation to evapotranspiration, the bedrock does not have an effect on the soil pH in the surface layers, therefore the soil is extremely acidic in the surface layer where pH ranges from 3.5 to 4.0 and has been acidified by acid rain²⁰. The organic-matter-rich surface soil horizons are 12–15-cm deep, dark brown, humus-rich, stoneless, silty loam 20 , with $\sim\!40\%$

organic matter content³⁶. The subsurface mineral horizons²⁰ reach the bedrock and are stoneless, silty clay loam with clay and sesquioxide translocation^{34,37}. The

vegetation of the area is classified as a *Festuca-Agrostis-Galium* grassland of NVC U4e (ref. 38). The community is part of the Derbyshire Dales National Nature Reserve currently managed by Natural England.

The long-term nitrogen and phosphorus deposition plots. Plots $(3 \times 3 \text{ m})$ have been receiving N treatments of 35 (35N) or 140 (140N) kg N ha⁻¹ per year of NH₄NO₃ in factorial combination with 35 kg P ha⁻¹ per year of NaH₂PO₄, or no added P, since September 1995; controls receive distilled water only^{20,32}. Since January 2005, half of each plot has continued to receive one of the treatments while the other half ('recovery plots') has not. The treatments were applied in a fully randomized block design replicated three times $(3N \times 2P \times 3 \text{ blocks})^{32}$. Each replicate block consisted of six 3×3 m plots, split in half $(3 \times 1.5 \text{ m})$ to establish the recovery treatments.

Seed bank sampling and characterization. Inside each 3×1.5 m plot, soil samples were removed in March–April 2009, before spring germination and seed set and after natural winter stratification. To minimize the disturbance to the plots, four soil cores, 4.5 cm in diameter, were taken from the centre of each plot (72.5 cm to the right and left of the edge of the plot). The first core was taken at 60 cm from the top of the plot, the second one in the middle (120 cm from the top), the third in the middle (180 cm from the top) and the last one at the bottom (60 cm from the bottom of the plot). The samples were subdivided at 5-cm depth intervals (0–5 cm, 5.1–10 cm and 10.1–15 cm) for processing and analysis. The experiment also included a phosphorus addition treatment; however, as this did not alter any of the seed bank characteristics (GLMM; P > 0.1) it was dropped from the analysis. The total number of soil samples per plot was 12, 144 per block and 432 per all the three blocks. The total volume of soil samples in every plot was 1178 cm³.

Characterization of seed banks took place following the recommendations of Thompson et al.³⁹ The vegetative parts of plants were removed from soil samples³⁹. Then, the samples were sieved (2.8 mm, 2 mm and 710 µm) and spread in germination trays in a layer of 1-3 mm on top of compost (Levington Professional Growing Medium-M3 High Nutrient: peat based, standard pH (5.5-6) and low conductivity (450-550 µS). In addition, 100 germination trays were filled with compost only to test for background seed contamination. All trays were randomly distributed in the greenhouse (Unigro Grodome growth chambers at The Arthur Willis Environment Centre in The University of Sheffield) and maintained under 16-h photoperiod with a temperature of 15 °C (night) and 25 °C (day). Light was supplied by high-pressure sodium bulbs, which provided an irradiance of 183 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ at bench height. The light and temperature were controlled by an automatic environment system (Unigro Building Management System). All trays were watered daily from below with tap water. Seedling emergence was recorded weekly from April 2009 to January 2010. Seedlings were removed soon after being identified to species.

Flowering characterization. The number of flowers (*P. erecta*) or inflorescences (*A. capillaris, A. vinealis, H. lanatus, P. pratensis, C. pilulifera* and *G. saxatile*) per 3×1.5 m plot were counted during summer 2011. Counts of all grass and forb species took place between 12th and 16th July, at peak flower abundance, determined from frequent visual inspections of the site during known flowering periods, and before the main period of sheep and cattle grazing at the site (late July to mid September). Inflorescences of the spring flowering sedge, *C. pilulifera*, were counted on 9th June. Strings were used to divide each of the plots into eighteen, 0.5×0.5 m squares to facilitate accurate counting. Inflorescences touching the outer strings were considered to be within the plot only if originating from an individual rooted within the plot.

Vegetation percentage cover characterization. The percentage cover of all species was recorded in ten, 0.5×0.5 m quadrats placed contiguously, 0.25 m from the outer margins of each 1.5×3 m plot, during the period 27th July–3rd August 2011. Estimates were made to the nearest 5%, with the exception of species comprising <5% cover, which were recorded as 1, 2 and 3%. Separation of the grass species *A. capillaris* and *A. vinealis* could not be reliably undertaken within an appropriate timescale and was not attempted. Values from the ten quadrats were averaged to produce an estimated cover for each plot.

Statistical analysis. The seed bank response variables were number of species, total number of seeds, number of seeds of each functional group (forbs, grasses and sedges) and number of seeds of the eight most abundant species. GLMMs were fitted using the R-package lme4 (refs 40,41) with treatment (nitrogen and/or the recovery treatments) and depth of the sample as the fixed effects and plot as a random effect with the Poisson error distributions. Flowering data were square-root transformed and analysed using a linear model (Gaussian errors, identity link). Mean percentage cover data for *G. saxatile, P. erecta* and *P. pratensis* were arcsine transformed and analysed using a linear model (Gaussian errors, identity link). For *Agrostis* spp. and *H. lanatus* cover was analysed using quasi-Poisson models and a log link function. Too few individuals of *C. pilulifera* were recorded aboveground and so no statistical analysis was conducted for this species. All statistical analyses and figures were performed in R⁴⁰. To visualize the changes in

the seed bank across treatments, composition data were ordinated by NMDS (non-metric multidimensional scaling), using a Bray–Curtis distance measure. Analyses were performed with the meta-MDS function in the vegan package in $\mathbb{R}^{40,42}$. The default square root and double-Wisconsin transformations were disabled and the effect of data transformations was tested manually; square-root transformation did not substantially alter NMDS stress values or species and site scores, therefore analyses are based on untransformed values.

The aboveground plant community and seed bank were compared using Bray–Curtis distance. Seed bank data were converted to relative abundance and vegetation survey data to relative cover to avoid differences in sampling scales.

References

- Sala, O. E. *et al.* Global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. *Science* 287, 1770–1774 (2000).
- Galloway, J. N. *et al.* Nitrogen cycles: past, present, and future. *Biogeochemistry* 70, 153–226 (2004).
- Phoenix, G. K. *et al.* Atmospheric nitrogen deposition in world biodiversity hotspots: the need for a greater global perspective in assessing N deposition impacts. *Global Change Biol.* 12, 470–476 (2006).
- Bobbink, R. et al. Global assessment of nitrogen deposition effects on terrestrial plant diversity: a synthesis. Ecol. Appl. 20, 30–59 (2010).
- Phoenix, G. K. *et al.* Impacts of atmospheric nitrogen deposition: responses of multiple plant and soil parameters across contrasting ecosystems in long-term field experiments. *Global Change Biol.* 18, 1197–1215 (2012).
- 6. Hautier, Y. *et al.* Eutrophication weakens stabilizing effects of diversity in natural grasslands. *Nature* **508**, 521–525 (2014).
- Royo, A. A. & Ristau, T. E. Stochastic and deterministic processes regulate spatio-temporal variation in seed bank diversity. *J. Veg. Sci.* 24, 724–734 (2012).
- Piessens, K., Honnay, O., Nackaerts, K. & Hermy, M. Plant species richness and composition of heathland relics in north-western Belgium: evidence for a rescue-effect? J. Biogeogr. 31, 1683–1692 (2004).
- Godfree, R. *et al.* Multiscale topoedaphic heterogeneity increases resilience and resistance of a dominant grassland species to extreme drought and climate change. *Global Change Biol.* 17, 943–958 (2011).
- Ochoa-Hueso, R. & Manrique, E. Nitrogen fertilization and water supply affect germination and plant establishment of the soil seed bank present in a semi-arid Mediterranean scrubland. *Plant Ecol.* 210, 263–273 (2010).
- Schneider, H. E. & Allen, E. B. Effects of elevated nitrogen and exotic plant invasion on soil seed bank composition in Joshua Tree National Park. *Plant Ecol.* 213, 1277–1287 (2012).
- Plassmann, K., Brown, N., Jones, M. L. M. & Edwards-Jones, G. Can atmospheric input of nitrogen affect seed bank dynamics in habitats of conservation interest? The case of dune slacks. *Appl. Veg. Sci.* 11, 413–420 (2008).
- Moulton, C. A. & Gough, L. Effects of soil nutrient availability on the role of sexual reproduction in an Alaskan tundra plant community. *Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res.* 43, 612–620 (2011).
- Ghorbani, J., Duc, M. G., McAllister, H. A., Pakeman, R. J. & Marrs, R. H. Effects of the litter layer of *Pteridium aquilinum* on seed banks under experimental restoration. *Appl. Veg. Sci.* 9, 127–136 (2006).
- Stevens, C. J. et al. Nitrogen deposition threatens species richness of grasslands across Europe. Environ. Pollut. 158, 2940–2945 (2010).
- Stevens, C. J., Dise, N. B., Mountford, J. O. & Gowing, D. J. Impact of nitrogen deposition on the species richness of grasslands. *Science* 303, 1876–1879 (2004).
- Finkelstein, R., Reeves, W., Ariizumi, T. & Steber, C. Molecular aspects of seed dormancy. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 59, 387–415 (2008).
- Graeber, K., Nakabayashi, K., Miatton, E., Leubner-Metzger, G. & Soppe, W. J. Molecular mechanisms of seed dormancy. *Plant Cell Environ.* 35, 1769–1786 (2012).
- Pellissier, V., Rozé, F., Aguejdad, R., Quénol, H. & Clergeau, P. Relationships between soil seed bank, vegetation and soil fertility along an urbanisation gradient. *Appl. Veg. Sci.* 11, 325–334 (2008).
- Horswill, P., O'Sullivan, O., Phoenix, G. K., Lee, J. A. & Leake, J. R. Base cation depletion, eutrophication and acidification of species-rich grasslands in response to long-term simulated nitrogen deposition. *Environ. Pollut.* 155, 336–349 (2008).
- Deska, J., Jankowski, K., Bombik, A. & Jankowska, J. Effect of growing medium pH on germination and initial development of some grassland plants. *Acta Sci. Pol.* **10**, 45–46 (2011).
- Abedi, M., Bartelheimer, M. & Poschlod, P. Aluminium toxic effects on seedling root survival affect plant composition along soil reaction gradients-a case study in dry sandy grasslands. J. Veg. Sci. 24, 1074–1085 (2013).
- Osaki, M., Watanabe, T. & Tadano, T. Beneficial effect of aluminum on growth of plants adapted to low pH soils. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 43, 551–563 (1997).

- Rousk, J., Brookes, P. C. & Bååth, E. Fungal and bacterial growth responses to N fertilization and pH in the 150-year 'Park Grass' UK grassland experiment. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 76, 89–99 (2011).
- Davis, A. S. Nitrogen fertilizer and crop residue effects on seed mortality and germination of eight annual weed species. Weed Sci. 55, 123–128 (2007).
- Chee-Sanford, J. C., Williams, II M. M., Davis, A. S. & Sims, G. K. Do microorganisms influence seed-bank dynamics? Weed Sci. 54, 575–587 (2006).
- Duprè, C. et al. Changes in species richness and composition in European acidic grasslands over the past 70 years: the contribution of cumulative atmospheric nitrogen deposition. *Global Change Biol.* 16, 344–357 (2010).
- Ottewell, K. M., Bickerton, D. & Lowe, A. J. Can a seed bank provide demographic and genetic rescue in a declining population of the endangered shrub Acacia pinguifolia? Conserv. Genet. 12, 669–678 (2011).
- 29. Marini, L. *et al.* Traits related to species persistence and dispersal explain changes in plant communities subjected to habitat loss. *Divers. Distrib.* **18**, 898–908 (2012).
- Brown, J. S. & Venable, D. L. Evolutionary ecology of seed-bank annuals in temporally varying environments. Am. Nat. 127, 31–47 (1986).
- 31. O'Sullivan, O. S., Horswill, P., Phoenix, G. K., Lee, J. A. & Leake, J. R. Recovery of soil nitrogen pools in species-rich grasslands after 12 years of simulated pollutant nitrogen deposition: a 6-year experimental analysis. *Global Change Biol.* 17, 2615–2628 (2011).
- Phoenix, G. K. *et al.* Effects of enhanced nitrogen deposition and phosphorus limitation on nitrogen budgets of semi-natural grasslands. *Global Change Biol.* 9, 1309–1321 (2003).
- 33. Fowler, D. et al. A chronology of nitrogen deposition in the UK between 1900 and 2000. Water, Air Soil Pollut. 4, 9–23 (2004).
- Johnson, P. A. Soil Survey Record, Soil Survey of England and Wales (Rothamsted Experimental Station, 1971).
- Pigott, C. D. Soil formation and development on the carboniferous limestone of Derbyshire. I. Parent materials. J. Ecol. 50, 145–156 (1962).
- 36. Johnson, D., Leake, J. R., Lee, J. A. & Campbell, C. D. Changes in soil microbial biomass and microbial activities in response to 7 years simulated pollutant nitrogen deposition on a heathland and two grasslands. *Environ. Pollut.* 103, 239–250 (1998).
- Ragg, J. M. et al. Soils and their use in Midland and Western England. Soil Survey of England and Wales. Bulletin No. 12 (Rothamsted Experimental Station, 1984).
- Rodwell, J. S. British Plant Communities 3: Grassland and Montane Communities (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992).
- Thompson, K., Bakker, J. P. & Bekker, R. M. The Soil Seed Banks of North West Europe: Methodology, Density and Longevity (Cambridge Univ Press, 1997).
- 40. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2014), http://www.R-project.org/.
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. *lme4: Linear Mixed-Effects* Models Using Eigen and S4 (R package version 1.0-4, 2013), http://cran. r-project.org/package=lme4/.
- 42. Oksanen, J. et al. Vegan: Community Ecology Package (R package version 2.0-10, 2013), http://cran.r-project.org/package=vegan/.
- Foster, B. L. & Gross, K. L. Species richness in a successional grassland: effects of nitrogen enrichment and plant litter. *Ecology* 79, 2593–2602 (1998).
- 44. Roem, W. J., Klees, H. & Berendse, F. Effects of nutrient addition and acidification on plant species diversity and seed germination in heathland. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **39**, 937–948 (2002).

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Programme Alβan, the European Union Programme of High Level Scholarships for Latin America, scholarship No. E07D400528CO and Pontificia Universidad Javeriana to S.B. The field experiment was funded by Defra through the Terrestrial Umbrella Contract via the Centre For Ecology and Hydrology Bangor and by grants from the NERC (GST/02/2683 and NE/D00036X/1) to G.P. and J.L. We acknowledge David A. Johnson, Steven Lees, Odhran O'Sullivan, Paul Horswill, Catriona McDonald, Trina Ames and David Johnson, for having maintained the plots over the years. We thank Geoffrey Odds and Joe Quirk for field assistance and Judith Allinson for helping to identify some grassland species. We also thank Colin P. Osborne and Duncan D. Cameron for reading an earlier version of this manuscript and providing constructive comments.

Author contributions

J.L. designed the nitrogen-addition experiment and with G.P. have run the field site and proposed the study of seed banks, S.B. collected the soil samples and ran the seed bank germination study data, S.B. and K.T. identified the seedling species. M.R. and K.T. supervised the study. V.S. collected the vegetation data. S.B, V.S. and M.R. analysed the data. S.B. prepared the manuscript and wrote the paper with contributions from all the authors.

Additional information

 $\label{eq:super-$

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

How to cite this article: Basto, S. *et al.* Long-term nitrogen deposition depletes grassland seed banks. *Nat. Commun.* 6:6185 doi: 10.1038/ncomms7185 (2015).