

ARTICLE

Received 6 Dec 2012 | Accepted 27 Mar 2013 | Published 30 Apr 2013

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms2802

Suppression of population transport and control of exciton distributions by entangled photons

Frank Schlawin¹, Konstantin E. Dorfman¹, Benjamin P. Fingerhut¹ & Shaul Mukamel¹

Entangled photons provide an important tool for secure quantum communication, computing and lithography. Low intensity requirements for multi-photon processes make them idealy suited for minimizing damage in imaging applications. Here we show how their unique temporal and spectral features may be used in nonlinear spectroscopy to reveal properties of multiexcitons in chromophore aggregates. Simulations demostrate that they provide unique control tools for two-exciton states in the bacterial reaction centre of *Blastochloris viridis*. Population transport in the intermediate single-exciton manifold may be suppressed by the absorption of photon pairs with short entanglement time, thus allowing the manipulation of the distribution of two-exciton states. The quantum nature of the light is essential for achieving this degree of control, which cannot be reproduced by stochastic or chirped light. Classical light is fundamentally limited by the frequency-time uncertainty, whereas entangled photons have independent temporal and spectral characteristics not subjected to this uncertainty.

¹ Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, California 92697-2025, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.M. (email: smukamel@uci.edu).

ntangled quantum systems are known to outperform their classical counterparts in many applications such as quantum information processing^{1,2}, quantum communication^{3,4}, lithography⁵ and metrology⁶. In addition, entangled light has promising opportunities as a novel spectroscopic $tool^{7-12}$. This could be highly significant, as nonlinear spectroscopy has emerged as the most important tool for studying quantum dynamics in excitonic systems. For instance, 2D electronic spectroscopy with ultrashort pulses has been used to monitor energy transport processes¹³ in photosynthetic complexes, but the broad bandwidth of the pulses prohibits selective excitation of a single excited state. Hence, typical spectra often contain many, overlapping features. Control over exciton distributions could help identify relaxation pathways by disentangling otherwise congested signals^{14,15}. Such control is challenging: the electronic states of photosynthetic complexes form distinct manifolds with a fixed number of excitons, and the excitation of any two-exciton state can take place through many different intermediate single-exciton states. Interference between different excitation pathways further complicates the dynamics. Elaborate optimization techniques have been employed to enhance a certain pathway, which has to compete with rapid (femtosecond) population transport in the single-exciton manifold¹⁶. Entangled photons possess unique nonclassical spectral and temporal features, which predestine them as probes for the interaction between molecular excitations. The frequency-time entanglement of photon pairs offers novel control parameters, which can be used to manipulate population transport in the single-exciton manifold.

Here, we examine two-exciton distributions created by the absorption of entangled photon pairs. Even though two-exciton states outnumber the single excitons, thus rendering optical signals even more complicated than classical signals of single excitons, they are worth investigating. They contain information on the many-body interactions between excitons, and on interexciton distances¹⁷. The respective two-exciton distributions can be detected by a variety of techniques, like two-photon absorption, fluorescence or two-photon counting. We present simulations of the bacterial reaction centre (RC) of Blastochloris viridis, depicted in Fig. 1a. Excitation energy transfer within the RC and the subsequent charge separation cascade convert the sunlight's energy into a chemical potential gradient with nearunit efficiency¹⁸. Understanding the many-body physics of the bacterial RC could provide important insights for the construction of optoelectronic devices, which benefit from using the near-IR region of the solar spectrum^{19,20}. Our model includes 12 single- e_1 , \cdots , e_{12} and 41 two-exciton states f_1 , \cdots , f_{41} (for the labelling of states see the caption of Fig. 1), exciton transport is described by the Redfield equations (see Methods section). We aim to describe the excited state distribution induced by entangled light, which is different from the natural photosynthesis process^{21,22}.

Results

Suppression of single-exciton transport. Figure 1b depicts the proposed experimental setup. A photon of the pump pulse with frequency ω_p is down-converted in a birefringent crystal into a pair of entangled photons with frequencies ω_1 and ω_2 . Energy conservation induces energy-time entanglement between the two photons, that is, the bandwidth of the pump pulse limits the width of the sum of the two photons' frequencies. At the same time, different group velocities within the crystal can lead to a broad bandwidth of the individual photons, which may greatly exceed the one of their sum. This velocity delay can be characterized by the entanglement time *T* (ref. 23), which sets an upper bound on the time delay between the absorption of the two



Figure 1 | Model system and proposed experimental setups. (a) The bacterial RC and its electronic level scheme. The active branch where charge separation takes place is shown in blue, the inactive in red. The model has 12 e-states and 41 *f*-states, labelled as $e_1, ..., e_{12}$ and $f_1, ..., f_{41}$. (b) The proposed setup for entangled photons: a pump photon of frequency ω_p is down-converted into two photons with frequencies ω_1 and ω_2 , and directed onto the bacterial RC. We consider type-II down conversion, which means one of the photons is created along the ordinary axis of the crystal, and the other one along the extraordinary axis⁴⁶. The different group velocities along these two axes create a time delay between the two-photon wavepacket—the entanglement time *T*. Owing to energy conservation, the two photons are entangled in their frequencies $\omega_p = \omega_1 + \omega_2$: both the green and red beam may have a very broad bandwidth, but as soon as the frequency of one of the two photons is measured, the wavefunction of the other beam collapses, and the frequency of the photon is sharply defined as well. By selecting the angles θ_1 and θ_2 , one can tune the central frequencies of the entangled wavepackets. (c) The setup for chirped pulses: two laser pulses with frequencies ω_1 and ω_2 are stretched in time by grating pairs in an anti-correlated manner, thus inducing frequency anti-correlations.

photons, and turns out to be decisive for the control of doubleexcitons. Entangled two-photon absorption has also been shown experimentally to be greatly enhanced compared with classical two-photon absorption²⁴, thanks to its linear rather than quadratic scaling with the pump intensity⁹.

By varying the entanglement time and the pump frequency, one can reveal the influence of exciton transport in the singleexciton manifold. If a peak becomes stronger with increasing T_{i} this indicates that the intermediate state is populated by transport, and vice versa. This is illustrated by the population of the two-exciton state f_{11} . It is depicted in Fig. 2a versus the pump frequency ω_p and the entanglement time T, the total twoexciton population is normalized at every point, $\sum_{f} p_{f} = 1$. This state is mostly excited through the single-exciton state e_5 , which in turn is populated directly by photon absorption as well as by transport. For long entanglement times, where effects of quantum light are diminished, there are a number of resonances between 22,000 and 24,000 cm⁻¹, for which f_{11} is strongly excited. The resonance at $\omega_{\rm p} = \omega_{f11} = 22,160$ cm⁻¹ stems from the direct excitation $g \rightarrow e_5 \rightarrow f_{11}$, the others result from transport processes within the single-exciton manifold. As T is decreased, the additional resonances dissappear, and only the direct excitation pathway survives. As the entanglement time sets an upper bound on the time the system spends in the single-exciton manifold between the absorption of the first and the second photon, it can be used to minimize the influence of population transport. The same goal could also be attempted by using ultrashort classical laser pulses. However, the simultaneous high spectral resolution along the ω_p axis is only made possible by the strong frequency entanglement between the two photons¹¹, and cannot be realized with classical light. Even though each photon has a very broad bandwidth (see Supplementary Fig. S1), their sum is narrowly distributed. Hence, population transport can be suppressed by entangled photon absorption, and used to enhance the excitation

of otherwise very weak states. In Fig. 2b, we repeat this calculation for state f_{23} . This state is solely excited through the short-lived single-exciton state e_6 , which decays within 100 fs to state e_5 . The latter is in turn most strongly linked to f_{11} . Thus, by varying the entanglement time, we can monitor how the $e_6 \rightarrow e_5$ transport process decreases the f_{23} -population and causes the simultaneous appearance of an additional resonance of f_{11} at 24,000 cm⁻¹. In short, the selectivity along the ω_p axis allows us to excite a distinct two-exciton state such as f_{23} . Varying *T* then enables us to monitor transport processes in the subset of single excitons that are connected to the selected two-exciton state (e_6 and f_{23} in our case). Spectroscopy with ultrafast classical pulses cannot resolve specific single-exciton pathways.

Population oscillations reveal excitation pathways. The evolution of f_{11} also shows oscillations for some of the peaks with varying entanglement time. These oscillations shown in Fig. 2c are caused by the interference between excitation pathways. We observe strong oscillations, when ω_p is tuned on resonance with f_{15} (f_{22}). State f_{15} (f_{22}) can be excited through the two intermediate states e_5 and e_7 (e_{10}). As the excitation probability of any state is given by the coherent sum of all possible pathways leading to the state, this translates into a beating with the corresponding frequency difference (Fig. 2d). In contrast, the population of f_{23} in Fig. 2b can only be excited through one intermediate state, and therefore shows no oscillations with *T*.

Manipulating two-exciton distributions by quantum versus classical light. We next discuss whether entanglement is essential for reaching the degree of control demonstrated above, and to what extent similar control could be achieved using properly shaped laser pulses. To achieve such control, we need to



Figure 2 | **Control of two-exciton distributions.** (a) Variation of the population of state f_{11} with the entanglement time *T* and the central pump frequency ω_p . Both beams have degenerate central frequencies $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_p/2$. (b) The same for state f_{23} . (c) Population of f_{15} (red) for $\omega_p = 22,600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and f_{22} for $\omega_p = 23,200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (red). (d) Fourier transform of (c). The insets depict the main excitation pathways leading to f_{15} and f_{22} .

mimic both the suppression of single-exciton transport and the high-frequency resolution. Odd-order dispersion cancellationan effect usually attributed to frequency entanglement-was demonstrated in Kaltenbaek et al.²⁵ using an ensemble of classical chirped laser pulses. To mimic the frequency entanglement by classical correlations, we consider pairs of chirped pulses as sketched in Fig. 1c. The frequency correlations are induced by opposite chirping (see Supplementary Fig. S2 for details). The chirped pulse parameters are adjusted to mimic the four-point correlation function of the entangled photons (equation (5)). In Fig. 3a, we compare the two-exciton distributions generated by entangled photon and by chirped pulses. The space between two lines corresponds to the fraction of a single state to the total population as a function of the pump frequency. This representation provides an intuitive picture of the degree of the control on the state distributions: When it rapidly varies with the pump frequency, one has a strong influence on the distribution by tuning this frequency. The two-exciton distributions in Fig. 3a are created by entangled pulses with high entanglement entropy²⁶, whereas Fig. 3b shows low entanglement (details on the entanglement entropy are given in the Supplementary Note 1). In the first case, the entangled photons create exciton distributions that strongly depend on the pump frequency. Whenever an *f*-state is on resonance with the pump frequency, its excitation is enhanced. Similar features can be observed in the distributions created by chirped pulses. However, they now lie on top of a broad background caused by autocorrelation contributions $\langle E^{\dagger}E \rangle$ of the individual beams (see Supplementary Fig. S3 and Supplementary Note 2), which are absent for entangled photons. In order for these pulses to be sufficiently short to suppress single-exciton transport, they must have a very broad frequency spectrum, which creates this background. This difference is not apparent for a weakly entangled state (see Fig. 3b) with low entanglement entropy. Both entangled photons and chirped pulses have lost their selectivity, and the populations look very similar to those produced by stochastic light.

We recall that state f_{23} is primarily excited via the short-lived state e_6 . Figure 3a demonstrates that one cannot selectively excite f_{23} with chirped pulses. Owing to the large bandwidth of each beam, the autocorrelation contribution of the two beams excites brighter states with similar energies, such as f_{22} and f_{25} . We expect to be able to selectively excite this state by taking two laser beams, and tuning them on resonance with the $g \rightarrow e_6$ and $e_6 \rightarrow f_{23}$ transitions. In the following, we demonstrate that this may not be done as efficiently as with entangled photons.

The population of f_{23} induced by classical pulses with rectangularly shaped temporal envelope are compared with the population induced by entangled photons in Fig. 3c. We set $\omega_1 = 11,908.3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (the $g \rightarrow e_6$ transition), and $\omega_1 = 11,500,5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (the $e_6 \rightarrow f_{23}$ transition) as central frequencies of the two beams. Clearly, there is a trade-off between two competing mechanisms: pulses with a very narrow spectral density (that is, small $\sigma_{\rm p}$) can better selectively excite a specific transition. However, as revealed by Fig. 3c, this only yields a small population in f_{23} , as these pulses are longer, and the singleexciton transport depletes the intermediate state. Broadband (short) pulses (large σ_p) excite mostly brighter states around f_{23} , and one ends up with a population of about 0.15 in f_{23} for $\sigma_{\rm p} = 500 \,{\rm cm}^{-1}$. For an intermediate value $\sigma_{\rm p} \sim 200 \,{\rm cm}^{-1}$, we can achieve a slightly higher excitation of f_{23} , but the population hardly exceeds 0.2. In marked contrast, the pump bandwidth of entangled photons does not influence the single-exciton transport, and we can reach a population of almost 0.8 with a spectrally narrow pump pulse.

Discussion

To explain our findings, we note that in order to control the excitation of a specific double-exciton state one seeks to minimize



Figure 3 | **Comparison with classical light and entanglement entropy.** (**a**) Two-exciton state distributions induced by the absorption of entangled photon pairs (bottom), chirped pulses (middle) and stochastic light (top) with T = 10 fs, and $\sigma_p = 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The central frequency of beam 2 is fixed at $\omega_2 = 11,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, while ω_1 is varied. The two previously mentioned states f_{11} and f_{23} are highlighted. (**b**) The same for T = 100 fs, and $\sigma_p = 400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. (**c**) The population of state f_{23} versus the pump bandwidth σ_p with $\omega_1 = 11,908.3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\omega_2 = 12,200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Population created by entangled photons is plotted in red, classical pulses in blue. (**d**) Entanglement entropy of the two-photon state (5) versus the entanglement time *T* and the pump bandwidth σ_p . The area, for which (1) is violated, is separated by a red line, and the parameters of figures (**a,b**) are indicated.

the time Δt the system spends in the single-exciton manifold, and the sum frequency bandwidth $\Delta \omega_{\rm p}$. We use their product as a figure-of-merit for our degree of control. For classical pulses, we are fundamentally limited by the Fourier uncertainty, $\Delta t \simeq 1/\sigma$ and $\Delta \omega_{\rm p} \simeq \sigma$, such that

$$\Delta t \ \Delta \omega_p \ge 1. \tag{1}$$

This explains why one cannot generate large populations of state f_{23} by classical pulses. Moreover, one cannot violate equation 1 with chirped pulses either, as chirping can only increase the time-bandwidth product²⁷, and equation 1 remains the lower bound.

In the case of entangled photons in contrast, Δt depends on the length of the crystal, that is, the entanglement time *T*, while $\Delta \omega_{\rm p}$ is determined by the pump envelope. These are independent quantities, not Fourier conjugates, and their product has no lower bound. For the parameters of Fig. 3a, we have $\Delta t \Delta \omega_{\rm p} = 0.18 \ll 1$. To discuss how this is related to the frequency entanglement of the two photons, we depict the entanglement entropy²⁶ of the entangled photon state versus *T* and pump bandwidth $\sigma_{\rm p}$ in Fig. 3d. We also add the 'classical boundary' when $\Delta t \Delta \omega_{\rm p} = 1$. Clearly, whenever the entanglement entropy is large, one violates the inequality (1). Hence, strongly entangled photons clearly provide an advantage over classical light. The converse is not true, as a very short-entanglement time does not guarantee strong frequency anti-correlations, even though it may violate the inequality (1).

In conclusion, we have shown that entangled photon pulses offer a unique degree of control on two-exciton distributions of molecular aggregates. Controlling population transport in the single-exciton manifold can better reveal excitation pathways in the RC. This advantage is most pronounced, when the entanglement entropy is also maximal as seen in Fig. 3d. Finally, we note that our findings can be applied to any excitonic system with a similar level structure such as quantum dots or carbon nanotubes²⁸.

Methods

The model system. The model Hamiltonian is taken from Fingerhut and Mukamel²⁹. Its construction is based on X-ray structural data for *B. viridis* (PDB code: 1PRC)³⁰, excitation energies are taken from Won and Friesner³¹, and Förster couplings are calculated in the dipole approximation. Restricting the charge transfer states to the active branch of the RC (see Fig. 1a), the model incorporates 12 single-exciton states (6 charge-separated states and 6 molecular excitations), which can form 41 two-excitons in the active branch of the RC. Excitons e_1-e_4 are charge-separated states, whereas e_5-e_{10} are Frenkel type (all states are labelled with increasing energies). Exciton transport induced by coupling to the environment is described by secular Redfield equation³² (for details of the Master equation, see the Supplementary Methods). This level of theory ensures a physically acceptable evolution of the density matrix for arbitrary (positive) times. It cannot account for more subtle phenomena, such as population oscillations or memory effects^{33,34}. However, the suppression of single-exciton transport reported here relies on beating the time scales of the single-exciton typamics with the short entanglement time. The precise form of these dynamics is not vital for this arguement to hold, and should therefore not alter the physical picture.

The coupling to the radiation field is described by a dipole Hamiltonian in the rotating wave approximation,

$$H_{\rm int}(t) = V(t)E^{\dagger}(t) + V^{\dagger}(t)E(t), \qquad (2)$$

where V(t) denotes the exciton annihilation operator, and E(t) the photon annihilihation operator. Both are taken in the interaction picture with respect to the matter and radiation field Hamiltonians.

Two-exciton populations. The leading-order contribution to the two-exciton populations $p_f = tr{[f(t) \ge \langle f(t) | \rho(t) \rangle}$ calculated perturbatively in the quantum light intensities is given by the loop diagram in Fig. 4,

$$p_{f}(t; \Gamma) = \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)^{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_{3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_{1} \\ \times \langle \mathcal{T}B_{f}(t)V(\tau_{3})V(\tau_{4})V^{\dagger}(\tau_{2})V^{\dagger}(\tau_{1})\rangle \\ \times \langle \mathcal{T}E^{\dagger}(\tau_{3})E^{\dagger}(\tau_{4})E(\tau_{2})E(\tau_{1})\rangle,$$

$$(3)$$

with $B_f(t) = |f(t) \rangle \langle f(t)|$, Γ denotes the set of control parameters such as frequencies, pump envelopes and so on, and the time-ordering operator is represented by T. In the figures we have normalized p_{f_i} such that $\sum_f p_f(t; \Gamma) = 1$ at each t.

The electric field enters in (3) through the same four-point correlation function responsible for the Hong-Ou-Mandel dip³⁵ and other photon correlation effects, which is the reason we expect to see genuine entanglement effects in the present calculations. Additionally, the exciton system enters through the four-point correlation function, just like the photon echo and similar spectroscopic techniques. This is the reason it contains the same information as these conventional techniques.

To obtain a reduced description where environmental degrees of freedom are eliminated, we need to break up the above equation into three fully time-ordered ladder diagrams as depicted in Fig. 4 (plus their complex conjugates). We evaluate the time integrations by recasting the field correlation function in the frequency domain

$$\langle E^{\dagger}(\tau_{3})E^{\dagger}(\tau_{4})E(\tau_{2})E(\tau_{1})\rangle = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_{a}}{2\pi} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_{b}}{2\pi} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_{a}}{2\pi} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_{a}}{2\pi} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_{b}}{2\pi} \times e^{-i(\omega_{a}\tau_{1}+\omega_{b}\tau_{2}-\omega_{a}'\tau_{3}-\omega_{b}'\tau_{4})}$$
(4)

 $\times \langle E^{\dagger}(\omega_a') E^{\dagger}(\omega_b') E(\omega_b) E(\omega_a) \rangle,$

which allows us to carry out the time integrations, resulting in equations (S25)–(S27) of the Supplementary Methods. The remaining integrations can be performed analytically using contour integrations (see Supplementary Methods for details). The final sum-over-states expressions are given by equations (S28)–(S30) of the Supplementary Methods.

The twin photon state. In Fig. 1b of the main text, we outline the proposed experimental setup: a pump pulse with central frequency ω_p is down-converted in a birefingent crystal into two photons of frequencies ω_1 and ω_2 . In this situation the quantum state of light is described by the wavefunction³⁶

$$|\psi\rangle = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_a}{2\pi} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_b}{2\pi} \, \Phi(\omega_a, \omega_b) \, a^{\dagger}_{\omega_a} a^{\dagger}_{\omega_b} \, |0\rangle, \tag{5}$$

where a_{ω}^{\dagger} the photon creation operator in the frequency mode ω and $\Phi(\omega_a, \omega_b)$ is the two-photon amplitude,

$$\Phi(\omega_{a},\omega_{b}) = A_{p}(\omega_{a} + \omega_{b})[\operatorname{sinc}((\omega_{a} - \omega_{1})T_{1}/2 + (\omega_{b} - \omega_{2})T_{2}/2)$$

$$\times e^{i(\omega_{a} - \omega_{1})T_{1}/2 + i(\omega_{b} - \omega_{2})T_{2}/2} + \operatorname{sinc}((\omega_{a} - \omega_{2})T_{1}/2 + (\omega_{b} - \omega_{1})T_{2}/2) \times e^{i(\omega_{a} - \omega_{2})T_{1}/2 + i(\omega_{b} - \omega_{1})T_{2}/2}].$$
(6)



Figure 4 | Diagrammatic representation of the two-exciton states. Equation 3 is given by a single unrestricted loop diagram. It can be represented by the sum of fully time-ordered ladder diagrams (i)-(iii) (plus complex conjugated). The latter are necessary for a reduced description of an open quantum system.

NATURE COMMUNICATIONS | 4:1782 | DOI: 10.1038/ncomms2802 | www.nature.com/naturecommunications

ARTICLE

Here, $A_p(\omega) = A_0/(\omega - \omega_p + i\sigma_p)$ is the envelope of the pump pulse, and *L* the length of the birefringent crystal in the direction of the pulse. The two time scales $T_1 = (1/v_p - 1/v_1)L$ and $T_2 = (1/v_p - 1/v_2)L$ represent the time delays aquired between the various beams in the course of the propagation through the crystal. Here, *v* denotes the group velocity of the pump pulse, or beams 1 and 2, respectively³⁷⁻⁴⁰. The two times T_1 and T_2 in equation 6 can be combined into the entanglement time $T = T_2 - T_1$ (ref. 23). Even though *T* does not show up directly in (6), it still turns out to be crucial for the behaviour of the correlation function. It sets an upper bound on the time between two successive interactions with the entangle photons. In this paper, we consider entanglement times on the order of 10–100 fs. The crystal length corresponding to these times depends on the birefringent crystal in use; for instance, in the case of a BBO crystal, this corresponds to crystal lengths between 50 and 500 μm^{41} , which have been used experimentally⁴². Pulse shaping⁴³ can be used to further control the beams. As a direct consequence of this state, the four-point correlation function in equation 3 factorizes into two parts $\langle E^{\dagger}(\omega'_a)E^{\dagger}(\omega'_b)E(\omega_b)E(\omega_a) \rangle = \Phi^{\ast}(\omega'_{a'}\omega'_b)\Phi(\omega_{a'}\omega_b)$.

Classical light. Exciton distributions can be manipulated by classical frequency correlations. Recently, several effects, for example, dispersion cancellation²⁵ and ghost imaging^{44,45}, that were thought to be genuine quantum effects have been demonstrated by classical light. In order to establish the impact of genuine frequency quantum entanglement (as opposed to classical frequency correlations) on the exciton distributions, we need to mimic the frequency entanglement of twin photons by classical frequency correlations.

The heuristic models for chirped pulses and the stochastic light are designed to reproduce the two-point ($\langle E^{\dagger}(\omega)E(\omega')\rangle$) (see Supplementary Fig. S3) as well as the four-point correlation function ($\langle E^{\dagger}(\omega'_{a})E^{\dagger}(\omega'_{b})E(\omega_{b})E(\omega_{a})\rangle$) of the entangled photons, details are given in Supplementary Fig. S3 and Supplementary Note 2.

Assuming rectangularly shaped pulses in time domain, we further use for the laser pulses in Fig. 3

$$E(\omega) = |\operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\omega - \omega_1}{\sigma}\right)| + |\operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\omega - \omega_2}{\sigma}\right)|.$$
(7)

References

- Horodecki, R., Horodecki, P., Horodecki, M. & Horodecki, K. Quantum entanglement. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 81, 865–942 (2009).
- Lvovsky, A. I. & Raymer, M. G. Continuous-variable optical quantum-state tomography. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 81, 299–332 (2009).
- Pan, J.-W. et al. Multiphoton entanglement and interferometry. Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 777–838 (2012).
- Gisin, N., Ribordy, G., Tittel, W. & Zbinden, H. Quantum cryptography. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 74, 145–195 (2002).
- Boyd, R. W. & Bentley, S. J. Recent progress in quantum and nonlinear optical lithography. J. Modern Opt. 53, 713–718 (2006).
- Giovannetti, V., Lloyd, S. & Maccone, L. Advances in quantum metrology. *Nat. Photon.* 5, 222–229 (2011).
- Saleh, B. E. A., Jost, B. M., Fei, H.-B. & Teich, M. C. Entangled-photon virtualstate spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80, 3483–3486 (1998).
- Muthukrishnan, A., Agarwal, G. S. & Scully, M. O. Inducing disallowed twoatom transitions with temporally entangled photons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93, 093002 (2004).
- 9. Javanainen, J. & Gould, P. L. Linear intensity dependence of a two-photon transition rate. *Phys. Rev. A* **41**, 5088–5091 (1990).
- Guzman, A. R., Harpham, M. R., Suzer, O., Haley, M. M. & Goodson, T. G. Spatial control of entangled two-photon absorption with organic chromophores. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 7840–7841 (2010).
- Schlawin, F., Dorfman, K. E., Fingerhut, B. P. & Mukamel, S. Manipulation of two-photon-induced fluorescence spectra of chromophore aggregates with entangled photons: A simulation study. *Phys. Rev. A* 86, 023851 (2012).
- 12. Kira, M., Koch, S. W., Smith, R., Hunter, A. E. & Cundiff, S. Quantum spectroscopy with Schrödinger-cat states. *Nat. Phys.* 7, 799-804 (2011).
- Milota, F., Sperling, J., Nemeth, A., Mančal, T. & Kauffmann, H. F. Twodimensional electronic spectroscopy of molecular excitons. *Accounts Chem. Res.* 42, 1364–1374 (2009).
- Turner, D. B. *et al.* Quantitative investigations of quantum coherence for a light-harvesting protein at conditions simulating photosynthesis. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 14, 4857–4874 (2012).
- 15. Schlau-Cohen, G. S. et al. Elucidation of the timescales and origins of quantum electronic coherence in LHCII. Nat. Chem. 4, 389–395 (2012).
- 16. Yan, Y. & Kühn, O. Laser control of dissipative two-exciton dynamics in molecular aggregates. *New J. Phys.* 14, 105004 (2012).
- Abramavicius, D., Palmieri, B. & Mukamel, S. Extracting single and two-exciton couplings in photosynthetic complexes by coherent two-dimensional electronic spectra. *Chem. Phys.* 357, 79–84 (2009).
- Strümpfer, J., Sener, M. & Schulten, K. How quantum coherence assists photosynthetic light-harvesting. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 3, 536–542 (2012).

- Fingerhut, B. P., Zinth, W. & de Vivie-Riedle, R. The detailed balance limit of photochemical energy conversion. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 12, 422–432 (2010).
- Holzapfel, W. *et al.* Initial electron-transfer in the reaction center from rhodobacter sphaeroides. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 87, 5168–5172 (1990).
- Brumer, P. & Shapiro, M. Molecular response in one-photon absorption via natural thermal light vs. pulsed laser excitation. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 109, 19575–19578 (2012).
- Dorfman, D., Voronine, K. E., Mukamel, S. & Scully, M. Photosynthetic reaction center as a quantum heat engine. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 110, 2746–2751 (2013).
- Peřina, J., Saleh, B. E. A. & Teich, M. C. Multiphoton absorption cross section and virtual-state spectroscopy for the entangled n-photon state. *Phys. Rev. A* 57, 3972–3986 (1998).
- Lee, D.-I. & Goodson, T. Entangled photon absorption in an organic porphyrin dendrimer. J. Phys. Chem. B 110, 25582–25585 (2006).
- Kaltenbaek, R., Lavoie, J., Biggerstaff, D. N. & Resch, K. J. Quantum-inspired interferometry with chirped laser pulses. *Nat. Phys.* 4, 864–868 (2008).
- Law, C. K., Walmsley, I. A. & Eberly, J. H. Continuous frequency entanglement: Effective finite hilbert space and entropy control. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84, 5304–5307 (2000).
- Gunaratne, T., Kangas, M., Singh, S., Gross, A. & Dantus, M. Influence of bandwidth and phase shaping on laser induced breakdown spectroscopy with ultrashort laser pulses. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **423**, 197–201 (2006).
- Stone, K. W. et al. Two-quantum 2d FT electronic spectroscopy of biexcitons in GaAs quantum wells. Science 324, 1169–1173 (2009).
- Fingerhut, B. P. & Mukamel, S. Resolving the electron transfer kinetics in the bacterial reaction center by pulse polarized 2-d photon echo spectroscopy. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 3, 1798–1805 (2012).
- Deisenhofer, J., Epp, O., Sinning, I. & Michel, H. Crystallographic refinement at 2.3 Å. Resolution and refined model of the photosynthetic reaction centre from *Rhodopseudomonas viridis. J. Mol. Biol.* 246, 429–457 (1995).
- Won, Y. & Friesner, R. A. Simulation of optical spectra from the reaction center of rhodopseudomonas viridis. J. Phys. Chem. 92, 2208–2214 (1988).
- Abramavicius, D., Palmieri, B., Voronine, D. V., Šanda, F. & Mukamel, S. Coherent multidimensional optical spectroscopy of excitons in molecular aggregates; quasiparticle versus supermolecule perspectives. *Chem. Rev.* 109, 2350–2408 (2009).
- May, V. & Kühn, O. Charge and Energy Transfer Dynamics in Molecular Systems (Wiley-VCH, 2004).
- 34. Breuer, H. & Petruccione, F. *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford University Press, 2002).
- Hong, C. K., Ou, Z. Y. & Mandel, L. Measurement of subpicosecond time intervals between two photons by interference. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 59, 2044–2046 (1987).
- Branning, D., Grice, W. P., Erdmann, R. & Walmsley, I. A. Engineering the indistinguishability and entanglement of two photons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 83, 955–958 (1999).
- 37. Aytür, O. & Kumar, P. Pulsed twin beams of light. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 65, 1551–1554 (1990).
- Smithey, D. T., Beck, M., Belsley, M. & Raymer, M. G. Sub-shot-noise correlation of total photon number using macroscopic twin pulses of light. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 69, 2650–2653 (1992).
- Dayan, B., Pe'er, A., Friesem, A. A. & Silberberg, Y. Nonlinear interactions with an ultrahigh flux of broadband entangled photons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 94, 043602 (2005).
- Dayan, B., Pe'er, A., Friesem, A. A. & Silberberg, Y. Two photon absorption and coherent control with broadband down-converted light. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93, 023005 (2004).
- Jennewein, T., Ursin, R., Aspelmeyer, M. & Zeilinger, A. Performing highquality multi-photon experiments with parametric down-conversion. *J. Phys. B* 42, 114008 (2009).
- Branning, D., Migdall, A. L. & Sergienko, A. V. Simultaneous measurement of group and phase delay between two photons. *Phys. Rev. A* 62, 063808 (2000).
- Zäh, F., Halder, M. & Feurer, T. Amplitude and phase modulation of timeenergy entangled two-photon states. *Opt. Express* 16, 16452–16458 (2008).
- Bennink, R. S., Bentley, S. J. & Boyd, R. W. 'Two-photon' coincidence imaging with a classical source. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89, 113601 (2002).
- 45. Ferri, F. et al. High-resolution ghost image and ghost diffraction experiments with thermal light. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 183602 (2005).
- Shih, Y. Entangled photons. IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron. 9, 1455–1467 (2003).

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the support of the National Science Foundation through grants no. CHE-1058791 and CHE-0840513, and the Chemical Sciences, Geosciences and Biosciences Division, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Science, US Department of Energy. B.P.F. gratefully acknowledges support from the Alexander-von-Humboldt Foundation through the Feodor–Lynen program.

Author contributions

B.P.F. and S.M. developed the model of the bacterial reaction centre. F.S., K.E.D. and S.M. derived the theory. F.S. wrote the paper.

Additional information

 $\label{eq:super-$

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

How to cite this article: Schlawin, F. *et al.* Suppression of population transport and control of exciton distributions by entangled photons. *Nat. Commun.* 4:1782 doi: 10.1038/ncomms2802 (2013).