

ARTICLE

Received 17 Oct 2012 | Accepted 7 Mar 2013 | Published 9 Apr 2013

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms2714

Ancient DNA reveals that bowhead whale lineages survived Late Pleistocene climate change and habitat shifts

Andrew D. Foote^{1,*}, Kristin Kaschner^{2,*}, Sebastian E. Schultze¹, Cristina Garilao³, Simon Y.W. Ho⁴, Klaas Post⁵, Thomas F.G. Higham⁶, Catherine Stokowska¹, Henry van der Es⁵, Clare B. Embling⁷, Kristian Gregersen¹, Friederike Johansson⁸, Eske Willerslev¹ & M. Thomas P. Gilbert^{1,9}

The climatic changes of the glacial cycles are thought to have been a major driver of population declines and species extinctions. However, studies to date have focused on terrestrial fauna and there is little understanding of how marine species responded to past climate change. Here we show that a true Arctic species, the bowhead whale (*Balaena mysticetus*), shifted its range and tracked its core suitable habitat northwards during the rapid climate change of the Pleistocene-Holocene transition. Late Pleistocene lineages survived into the Holocene and effective female population size increased rapidly, concurrent with a threefold increase in core suitable habitat. This study highlights that responses to climate change are likely to be species specific and difficult to predict. We estimate that the core suitable habitat of bowhead whales will be almost halved by the end of this century, potentially influencing future population dynamics.

¹Centre for GeoGenetics, Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, Øster Voldgade 5–7, DK-1350 Copenhagen K, Denmark. ²Evolutionary Biology and Ecology Lab, Institute of Biology I (Zoology), Albert-Ludwigs-University, Hauptstr. 1, 79104 Freiburg, Germany. ³GEOMAR Helmholtz-Zentrum für Ozeanforschung Kiel Düsternbrooker Weg 2, 24105 Kiel, Germany. ⁴School of Biological Sciences, Edgeworth David Building, University of Sydney, New South Wales 2006, Australia. ⁵Natuurhistorisch Museum Rotterdam, Dijkzigt 3015 AA, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. ⁶Research Laboratory for Archaeology and the History of Art, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QY, UK. ⁷Centre for Ecology and Conservation, University of Exeter, Cornwall Campus Penryn, Cornwall TR10 9EZ, UK. ⁸Göteborgs Naturhistoriska Museum, Slottsskogen Östra, 413 08 Göteborgs, Sweden. ⁹Ancient DNA Laboratory, School of Biological Sciences and Biotechnology, Murdoch University, South Street, Western Australia 6150, Australia. * These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.D.F. (email: FooteAD@gmail.com) or to K.K. (email: Kristin.Kaschner@biologie.uni-freiburg.de).

limate change is a major selective force that can cause population declines or extinctions¹⁻⁴. Darwin was one of the first to suggest that species could avoid extinction and remain in evolutionary stasis by tracking suitable habitat northwards and southwards during glacial cycles⁵. However, studies of ancient DNA (aDNA) have found that changes in numerous species' distribution during the Late Pleistocene–Early Holocene transition were caused by the extinction of populations outside of isolated habitat refugia^{1,6,7}. This is often associated with a loss of genetic diversity⁷, which is concerning because northwards habitat shifts are a common and global consequence of contemporary ongoing climate change^{8–10}. However, most aDNA studies have been based on terrestrial species and little is known about the responses of marine species to climate change during the glacial cycles¹¹.

The bowhead whale is a true Arctic species that remains in polar waters throughout the year¹². Like the polar bear, it is a sentinel species of the Arctic marine ecosystem due to its dependence on the sea ice 13,14 . Bowhead whales specialize in feeding on copepods and euphausiids, which are associated with primary production around the sea ice¹²⁻¹⁴. This ecological specialization and a long lifespan¹⁵ make the bowhead whale a poor candidate for adapting to rapid climate change^{13,14}; thus the species is listed by the International Whaling Commission as being vulnerable to climate-induced disturbance¹⁶. However, bowhead whales are known to travel long distances within relatively short timescales^{17,18}, which should enable the tracking of suitable habitat even in fast-changing environments. Radiocarbon dates of subfossil bowhead whales also suggest changes in presence and absence in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago that may be due to climate-induced range contractions and expansions during the Holocene¹⁹.

Although bowhead whales are currently separated into five geographical stocks for management purposes, there is little genetic evidence of population structuring. Sea ice does, therefore, not appear to have been a barrier to movement between stocks, even those in different ocean basins, during warmer periods of the Holocene^{20,21}. Previous aDNA studies have found that genetic diversity was maintained throughout the Holocene, despite bowheads being heavily exploited across their range by whalers^{20,22}. Modelled projections based on Holocene data suggest that effective female population size increased in the Late Pleistocene^{20,23}. However, it is not known whether these predicted changes in demography reflect changes in connectivity or overall population size¹¹. Ancient DNA analysis of samples from the Late Pleistocene would allow the direct measurement of genetic parameters through this period of rapid climate change and potentially the inference of the underlying processes¹¹.

Here we present a multi-disciplinary study that utilizes aDNA and habitat suitability modelling to provide the first analysis of population dynamics of a marine species using a Late Pleistocene aDNA data set. Specifically, we use aDNA to verify the species identification of subfossils catalogued as bowhead whales based on morphology, but which could be right whales given their southerly distribution and the difficulty in distinguishing between the two taxa based on some morphological traits²⁴. We model the distribution of the preferred habitat of the bowhead whale during the Late Pleistocene using paleoclimate data from the Last Glacial Maximum to investigate if the distribution of bowhead whale subfossils matched the predicted range. We test the hypothesis that, as with other Holarctic species, the bowhead whale would have suffered a demographic decline during the rapid climate change of the Late Pleistocene. We compare the genetic composition of Late Pleistocene samples south of 59°N with Early Holocene samples found North of 75°N to investigate whether these southern bowhead whales repopulated the Arctic

following the retreat of the permanent sea ice. Finally, we use predicted climatic data to estimate the change in the distribution and extent of core suitable habitat for the bowhead whale by the end of this century.

Results

Species occurrence. We carried out aDNA analysis on 44 subfossil bone samples that had been morphologically identified as possible bowhead whales. These samples originated from an area south of 59°N, over 1,000 km south of the current Arctic range of the bowhead whale^{11–22}. We successfully genetically identified the species of 27 ancient samples, of which 15 were bowhead whales (Supplementary Fig. S1). These southern bowhead whale samples were all radiocarbon dated to the Late Pleistocene (Fig. 1). In contrast, nine of the younger, Holocene, samples from the same geographic range were genetically identified as the closely related North Atlantic right whale (Eubalaena glacialis) (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. S1), which occupies a more temperate climatic niche (Fig. 2a,b). This presence/pseudo-absence data strongly imply that the bowhead whale was replaced by its sister species, the right whale, at this latitude during the start of the Holocene. The North Atlantic right whale sequences were new haplotypes for this species, but clustered with previously sequenced haplotypes from the western North Atlantic stock, suggesting there may have been low population structuring between the eastern and western North Atlantic (Supplementary Fig. S2).

Habitat modelling. The distribution of the Late Pleistocene bowhead whale subfossils overlapped with areas of core suitable habitat, which were predicted using AquaMaps²⁵ based on climate data from the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM; Fig. 2c). During this period, much of the bowhead whale's current range would have been inaccessible due to the extent of the permanent sea ice (Fig. 2c), and so could not have supported a refugial population. The occurrence of early Holocene subfossils in the high Arctic^{20,22} also overlapped with the predicted geographic range of suitable habitat for bowhead whales during the Holocene (Fig. 2d). The most southerly bowhead whale samples, found off the coast of the Netherlands, were also the oldest (> 30,000 years)



Figure 1 | Changes in species occurrence during the Pleistocene-Holocene transition. Ancient DNA analysis of radiocarbon-dated balaenid subfossils identified the occurrence of a true Arctic species, the bowhead whale, south of 59°N during the Pleistocene, which is then replaced by the right whale during the Holocene. Insert shows a map of the study area and locations of the samples used in this study: Late Pleistocene bowhead whale subfossil samples (blue squares); Early Holocene right whale subfossil samples²² (blue circles); and Early Holocene right whale subfossils (purple circles).



Figure 2 | Modelled predictions of suitable habitat. Predicted suitable habitat for right whales during (a) the Last Glacial Maximum and (b) the Holocene; and for bowhead whales during (c) the Last Glacial Maximum and (d) the Holocene. Red areas correspond to core suitable habitat as defined in the Supplementary Methods. Locations of the samples used in this study are marked as: Late Pleistocene bowhead whale subfossil samples (blue squares); Early Holocene bowhead whale subfossil samples²² (blue circles); and Early Holocene right whale subfossils (purple squares).

BP). No bowhead whales were dated to the LGM, during which most of the North Sea would have been dry land²⁶. The younger bowhead whale samples (10,000–14,000 years BP) were all found around northern Denmark and southern Sweden (Supplementary Table S1).

Population structure and dynamics. We compared the Late Pleistocene samples with two data sets from the Arctic dated to the Early Holocene^{19,22} to determine whether the southern lineages repopulated the Arctic following the rapid climate change that occurred between these sampling periods. The first comparison with 34 samples from Svalbard²², which is almost directly north of the locations of our Late Pleistocene subfossils, revealed four shared haplotypes and no significant differentiation ($\Phi_{\rm ST} = 0.008$, P = 0.252) between the two time periods (Fig. 3). The second comparison with a data set of Early Holocene subfossils from the Central Canadian Arctic²⁰ identified three shared haplotypes and no significant differentiation ($\Phi_{\rm ST} = 0.003$, P = 0.473) between the two time periods.

The Late Pleistocene bowhead whale sequences were compared with a data set of 99 Holocene bowhead whale sequences from subfossils collected from Svalbard in the Arctic²². The skyline plot estimated from these sequences (Fig. 4) did not detect any loss of genetic diversity due to a founder effect in early Holocene Arctic bowhead whales. This is contrary to predictions arising from the leading-edge model of post-glacial expansion^{4,27}. Conversely, using coalescent-based estimation of changes in effective female population size through time, based on a data set of 114 radiocarbon-dated mitochondrial DNA sequences of bowhead whales spanning 50,000 years, we detect an approximately sixfold increase during the Pleistocene-Holocene transition (Fig. 4). Therefore, bowhead whales are an unusual case study, as most terrestrial Holarctic mammals that have been studied using aDNA went through demographic declines or went extinct at the end of the Pleistocene^{1,3,7}. The area of predicted suitable core habitat of bowhead whales tripled during the rapid climate transition between the Late Pleistocene and Early Holocene (Fig. 2c,d), concurrent with the detected increase in effective population size (Fig. 4).



Figure 3 | Survival of bowhead whale lineages during the Pleistocene-Holocene transition. A haplotype network illustrates the survival of the lineages of bowhead whales found south of 59°N during the Late Pleistocene through to the early Holocene, when bowhead whales were found in their current Arctic range. The haplotype network is stratified into two time periods: the top network (blue) indicates data from the Late Pleistocene and the lower network (green) indicates data from the Early Holocene²². Vertical lines link haplotypes found in both time periods. Circles are proportional to haplotype frequency in that time period. White circles indicate haplotypes found in one time period, but not the other; black circles indicate inferred haplotypes.

Forecasted change in core suitable habitat. There has been a significant linear warming of the upper 300 m of the world's oceans in recent decades^{28,29}. This increase is almost twice as large in Arctic waters due to Arctic amplification, a feedback

mechanism whereby the loss of sea ice increases the rate of temperature rise, which in turn increases the rate of loss of sea ice²⁹. As a consequence, it is expected that sea ice will further and rapidly diminish from Arctic waters in the next few decades. Modelling future habitat distribution using AquaMaps²⁵, we predict a loss of almost 50% of core suitable habitat for bowhead whales by the year 2100 (Fig. 5).

Discussion

Our analysis of the population dynamics of bowhead whales has documented the survival of lineages through the Pleistocene– Holocene transition, with evidence of an increase in effective female population size concurrent with a shift in geographic distribution. This is in contrast with many terrestrial Holarctic mammals, which suffered population declines or went extinct altogether during the Late Pleistocene^{1,3,4,6,7,26,30}. Cold-adapted Arctic species are thought to have been in a contraction phase and living in refugia during inter-glacials such as the Holocene^{1,4,6}. However, our findings of increases in both core suitable habitat and effective female population size during the Holocene suggest that bowhead whales do not follow this pattern,



Figure 4 | Skyline plot showing temporal changes in genetic diversity in bowhead whales. The *x* axis is in calendar years; the *y* axis is the product of effective population size and generation time ($N_{e}\tau$). The temporal span of the radiocarbon-dated samples used in this analysis is shown as vertical lines below the *x* axis; each line represents one dated individual.

providing further evidence that responses to climate change are likely to vary among species³. The ability to adapt to climateinduced shifts in habitat distribution may therefore sometimes depend on changes in the amount, rather than distribution, of suitable habitat available for a species. Additionally, the lower energetic cost of movement may make habitat tracking more feasible for marine than terrestrial species³¹.

It is also important to consider that population structuring of bowhead whales and other Arctic marine mammals is likely to have changed during the climatic shifts of the Late Pleistocene-Early Holocene transition¹¹. Bowhead whales in the Atlantic and Pacific were probably isolated from each other by the ice sheets during the Late Pleistocene³². In contrast, the Northwest Passage was thought to be open to bowhead whales at the start of the Holocene, with the greatest frequency of bowhead subfossils in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago occurring between 11,000 and 9,000 years ago³². Previous aDNA studies of the bowhead whale showed that there has been connectivity between the Bering-Chukchi-Beaufort Seas, the Central Canadian Arctic and Svalbard-Barents Sea stocks during periods of the Holocene²⁰⁻²². Therefore, the increase detected in effective female population size at the end of the Pleistocene may be linked to habitat increase, but may also reflect an increase in connectivity between the Atlantic and the Pacific. The lack of genetic differentiation between the Late Pleistocene and Early Holocene samples suggests we were unable to detect potential recent migrants from the Pacific. Given the substitution rate of 2×10^{-7} substitutions per site per year³³ and a separation of stocks lasting approximately 10,000 years during the LGM, we would not expect sufficient sequence divergence to be generated between Pacific and Atlantic bowhead whales to be able to distinguish between them.

Given the lack of phylogeographic structure in this species, we are unable to conclusively determine the relative contribution of the Late Pleistocene samples included in this study to the repopulation of the northern habitats. However, we can make some inferences. Previous studies suggested that the Arctic habitat of the bowhead whale was unavailable to them while it was glaciated during the Last Glacial Maximum. From 99 samples found around Svalbard, three were dated to 40,000–51,000 years BP, the remainder were dated to 11,000 years BP or younger²². Furthermore, all of the >400 bowhead whale remains found in the Canadian Arctic were radiocarbon dated to 10,500 years BP or younger¹⁹. Therefore, it seems unlikely that the bowhead whales that contributed to the Holocene populations survived in northern refugial populations during the Late Pleistocene. Following deglaciation, the waters around Svalbard would have



Figure 5 | Changes in predicted bowhead whale core suitable habitat by the year 2100. Red areas are predicted to be lost by 2100, green areas are predicted to become core suitable habitat by the year 2100 and turquoise areas are not expected to change in habitat suitability.

been reoccupied by bowhead whales from either more southerly waters in the Atlantic or from the Pacific. Therefore, some northerly movement and large-scale migrations must have taken place. A genetic study of bowhead whale subfossils from along the coast of Norway as the sea ice retreated would shed further light on how bowhead whale lineages tracked the retreating ice sheets.

The potential relationship between the extent of core suitable habitat and effective female population size has implications for bowhead whale conservation, given our prediction of a 50% decrease in core suitable habitat by the year 2100. The extreme depletion of the North Atlantic bowhead population through whaling over the past three centuries may mean that population growth is unlikely to be constrained by habitat availability in the immediate future. Nevertheless, if population responses to past climatic change are indicative of future responses, cumulative impacts related to climate change and the associated habitat loss may eventually limit the carrying capacity of bowhead whale populations. Furthermore, if populations respond to ongoing directional climate change by shifting their distribution northwards to track the retreating sea ice, then the endangered Okhotsk Sea population may become increasingly isolated and vulnerable¹¹. Therefore, as with other Arctic species dependent on sea ice such as polar bears³⁴, measures to mitigate climate change and sea ice reduction are needed if bowhead whales are to be effectively conserved.

Methods

DNA extraction and amplification. Subfossil baleen whale samples were sampled following the protocol outlined in McLeod et al.20, from collections held at the Zoological Museum, University of Copenhagen; Natural History Museum, Rotterdam; Gothenburg Museum of Natural History; Gothenburg Stadsmuseum; Vendsyssel Historical Museum; Museumcenter, Hanstholm; and Natural History Museum of Aarhus. Previous genetic studies have shown that bowhead whale B. mysticetus and right whale E. glacialis skeletal remains cannot always be reliably distinguished based on morphology²⁴. Given that many of our samples were from vertebrae, from which curators suggested they could not conclusively distinguish among taxa, species identification was molecularly determined using aDNA protocols. All laboratory work on unamplified DNA was carried out in a designated clean lab, set up specifically for aDNA analyses. Blank DNA extractions and PCRs were incorporated to monitor for contamination. No modern whale DNA was present in the same building. Workflow conformed to aDNA protocols, that is, individuals did not return to the clean lab on the same day following working in post-PCR areas. All post-PCR laboratory work on amplified DNA was conducted in a separate laboratory facility. DNA was extracted and purified from approximately 0.01-0.19 g (sample dependent) of powdered bone. Blank extractions were included every five samples to monitor for contamination. PCR amplification of 219 bp of the hypervariable region of the mtDNA d-loop was conducted using primer pairs fwd1 5'-TTCACTACGG GAAGTTAAAGCTCG-3' and rev1 5'-GGAGCGGCCATAGGATTCAG TTG-3'; fwd2 5'-CCGCTCCATTAGATCACGAG-3' and rev2 5'-CTGA AGTAAGAACCAGATGTCT-3'; fwd3 5'-CAGGGATCCCTCTTCTCGCA-3' and rev3 5'-CCATCGWGATGTCTTATTTAAGRGGAA-3' resulting in two non-contiguous fragments corresponding to positions 15,676-15,753 and 15,791-15,927 of the bowhead whale mitochondrial genome. Each $25\,\mu l$ PCR contained 5 μl of extracted DNA, 1 $\times\,$ buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl_2, 1 mM of each primer, 1 mM mixed dNTPs and 0.2 µl AmpliTaq Gold enzyme (Applied Biosystems). PCR amplifications were performed using an MJ Thermocycler with a 4 min activation step at 95 °C, followed by 50 cycles of 95 °C for 20 s, 54 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 20 s, followed by a final extension period of 72 °C for 7 min. PCR negative controls without DNA were included for every three samples amplified to monitor for contamination during the PCR set up. To guard against the incorporation of erroneous data derived from DNA damage or contamination, the PCR amplification and sequencing process was replicated twice for each sample. The amplified PCR products were purified using a Qiagen MinElute PCR purification kit. The product was cloned with the Invitrogen TA cloning system, and 16 colonies per sample were sequenced with the commercial facility offered by Macrogen (Seoul, Korea).

DNA data analysis. Species identification was determined by comparing with sequences in GenBank using the BLAST algorithm (see Supplementary Table S1) and by building a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree performed using PHYML 3.0 (ref. 35). The HKY model of nucleotide substitution³⁶ was selected based on the Bayesian information criterion using Modelgenerator³⁷. The transition/transversion ratio, the proportion of invariable sites, the

gamma distribution and the starting tree, estimated using a BIONJ algorithm³⁸, were also estimated by PHYML 3.0. The reliability of the optimized tree was estimated using the approximate Likelihood Ratio Test method with Shimodaira-Hasegawa-like support³⁹ (Supplementary Fig. S1). Sequences identified as right whale were aligned by eye with previously published right whales DNA sequences from GenBank, and these were built into a phylogenetic tree as above (Supplementary Fig. S2). Sequences identified as bowhead whale were aligned by eye with previously published bowhead whales DNA sequences from samples from Svalbard dated to the early Holocene (11,000-8,500 BP)²² and visualized as a heterochronous parsimony network using TempNet (ref. 40). To estimate population history, a Bayesian skyline analysis was conducted using the phylogenetic software BEAST v1.7.2 (ref. 41). The HKY + G model of nucleotide substitution³⁶ was selected based on the Bayesian information criterion using Modelgenerator³⁷, with rate heterogeneity among sites modelled using a discrete gamma distribution with six rate categories. Population history was inferred using the Bayesian skyline plot with six groups of coalescent intervals. Estimates of the substitution rate and coalescence times were calibrated using the radiocarbon ages of the samples. To evaluate whether these sampling times presented sufficient temporal information for calibration, we analysed ten replicate data sets in which the sampling times were randomized among sequences⁴². All ten replicate data sets yielded rate estimates that did not overlap with the mean rate estimated from the original data set, suggesting that the sampling times provide sufficient calibrating information. Posterior distributions of parameters, including the tree, were estimated using Markov chain Monte Carlo sampling. Samples were drawn every 5,000 steps over a total of 50,000,000 steps, with the first 10% of samples discarded as burn-in. Convergence to the stationary distribution and sufficient sampling were checked using Tracer v1.5 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer)⁴¹. We repeated the analysis using an age-dependent model of post-mortem damage⁴³, but a Bayes factor analysis yielded decisive support for the original model (without post-mortem damage). Furthermore, the 95% credibility interval of the damage parameter included a value of zero, suggesting that there is no evidence of appreciable post-mortem damage in the sequence data. Power analyses to see if the data could be used to compare nucleotide diversity between time periods were conducted following McLeod et al.²⁰, but power was low as previously found by McLeod et al.²⁰ and this comparison is not included here. New mitochondrial sequence data have been deposited at GenBank (Supplementary Table S1).

Radiocarbon dating. Several samples had been radiocarbon dated by previous studies⁴⁴. The remainder were AMS dated at the ¹⁴CHRONO Centre, Queens University Belfast. C:N ratio was between 3.2 and 3.4, indicating acceptable preservation of collagen⁴⁵. The results in Supplementary Table S2 were calibrated using the INTCAL09 Marine modelled curve⁴⁶. Accounting for a reliable reservoir offset is difficult, as the reservoir may vary through time in single locations and the mammals themselves are migratory species and their protein intake varies, and probably crosses different reservoirs⁴⁷. For this reason we have corrected the radiocarbon dates using a delta-R value of 0 and estimated an uncertainty of 40 years. For radiocarbon determinations of ~10 ka BP or older this uncertainty for younger measurements. However, it does not alter the conclusions of this paper.

Habitat suitability modelling. We used the AquaMaps approach (http://www. aquamaps.org) to species distribution modelling for mapping current, potential Pleistocene and future bowhead and North Atlantic right whale ranges⁴⁸. AquaMaps is a bioclimatic envelope model that combines existing point occurrence data with available expert knowledge on species occurrence in environmental and geographic space to generate large-scale predictions of the relative occurrence of marine species. In this context, habitat usage of species can be described based on a predefined set of environmental parameters including depth, temperature, salinity, primary production and sea ice concentration, which is subsequently projected into geographic space in a global grid of 0.5 degree latitude by 0.5 degree longitude cells. As an environmental niche model, AquaMaps was developed specifically to deal with non-representative sampling of marine species ranges, but also to address the prevailing overall paucity of available point occurrence records by incorporating expert knowledge on species habitat usage.

For bowhead whales specifically, there is currently only a single record available through OBIS (http://www.iobis.org). Similarly, bowhead whale records accessible through GBIF that can be used as input data of species distribution models are almost equally rare: only 11 out of >1,000 bowhead whale specimen records accessible through GBIF (http://www.gbif.org) are identified as geo-referenced observations of live animals (that is, sightings). However, all of these records are clustered along the US Alaskan coastline in the Beaufort Sea, thus making it problematic to directly base predictions of species occurrence in the North Atlantic on these data alone using standard presence-only species distribution modelling (SDM) approaches such as Maxent⁴⁹. Using AquaMaps allowed the verification of environmental envelope settings, calculated from available point data, and the resulting extrapolated species occurrence in the North Atlantic during an iterative review process involving several species experts. Resulting model outputs are highly consistent with available other range maps such as NOAA (http:// maps.iucnredlist.org/map.html?id=2467) and checked by colleagues familiar with

ARTICLE

the distribution of this species. Similarly, use of expert knowledge allowed modelling of the pre-exploitation range of North Atlantic right whales, including the Northeastern Atlantic where there are almost no point locality data, as whaling extirpated the species several centuries ago in these waters.

For the purpose of this study, we used a slightly modified version of AquaMaps default, expert-reviewed, envelope settings for both, bowhead and North Atlantic right whales. Specifically we excluded primary production from the model, as there are no data for Pleistocene conditions including this parameter (Supplementary Table S3). Comparisons of Fig. 2d with AquaMaps predictions for bowhead whales, which included this parameter (http://www.aquamaps.org/preMap2. php?cache=1&SpecID=ITS-180533, reviewed version 2012-07-13) and outputs from a similar model using distance from the ice edge as an input parameter (ref. 50, p. 164) indicated that model outputs are fairly robust to these changes, resulting in just some localized changes in the relative suitability of habitat.

We projected predictions of relative probability of whale occurrence based on local conditions into geographic space using environmental data for different time periods, assuming no changes in species-specific habitat usage over time. As the climate has been relatively stable throughout the Holocene, with variability being small compared with the difference between glacial cycles⁵¹, current environmental conditions were assumed to be representative of conditions throughout the Holocene. Current distribution was based on the compiled standard AquaMaps environmental data, as described by the meta-data available at http://www. aquamaps.org/download/main.php. Pleistocene environmental conditions reconstructed for the last glacial maximum (~20,000 calendar years before presence) as part of the GLAMAP project⁵² were used in the hind-casting scenario. We computed mean annual environmental conditions during the Pleistocene based on the available interpolated winter and summer predictions for sea surface temperature and salinity deduced from sediment core data⁵². As an approximation for mean annual sea ice concentration required as input by the AquaMaps model, we used the mean proportion of time a given cell had been defined to be covered by ice in the GLAMAP data set⁵². Future annual average environmental conditions for the 2091-2100 decade were based on the Special Report on Emissions A2 climate change scenario⁵³. Applying a presence threshold of 0.6, as indicated by recent validation analysis⁵⁴, we predict a loss of 47% of core suitable habitat (throughout the whole range) for bowhead whales by the year 2100 and an increase in core suitable habitat of 69% after the end of the Pleistocene.

References

- Dalén, L. et al. Ancient DNA reveals lack of postglacial habitat tracking in the arctic fox. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 104, 6726–6729 (2007).
- Blois, J. T., McGuire, J. L. & Hadly, E. A. Small mammal diversity loss in response to Late-Pleistocene climatic change. *Nature* 465, 771–774 (2010).
- 3. Lorenzen, E. D. *et al.* Species-specific responses of Late Quaternary megafauna to climate and humans. *Nature* **479**, 359–364 (2011).
- Lister, A. M. The impact of the Quaternary ice ages on mammalian evolution. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 359, 221–241 (2004).
- 5. Darwin, C. R. On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, or the Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life (John Murray, 1859).
- Stewart, J. R., Lister, A. M., Barnes, I. & Dalén, L. Refugia revisited: individualistic responses of species in space and time. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 277, 661–671 (2010)
- Hofreiter, M. & Barnes, I. Diversity lost: are all Holarctic large mammal species just relict populations? *BMC Biol.* 8, 46 (2010).
- Parmesan, C. & Yohe, G. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature* 421, 37–42 (2003).
- Root, T. L. *et al.* Fingerprints of global warming on wild animals and plants. *Nature* 421, 57–60 (2003).
- Tingley, M. W., Monahan, W. B., Beissinger, S. R. & Moritz, C. Birds track their Grinnellian niche through a century of climate change. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* USA 106, 19637–19643 (2009).
- O'Corry-Crowe, G. Climate change and the molecular ecology of Arctic marine mammals. *Ecol. Appl.* 18, S56–S76 (2008).
- Ferguson, S. H., Dueck, L., Loseto, L. L. & Luque, S. P. Bowhead whale Balaena mysticetus seasonal selection of sea ice. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 411, 285–297 (2010).
- Moore, S. E. & Huntingdon, H. P. Arctic marine mammals and climate change: impacts and resilience. *Ecol. Appl.* 18, S157–S165 (2008).
- 14. Laidre, K. L. *et al.* Quantifying the sensitivity of Arctic marine mammals to climate-induced habitat change. *Ecol. Appl.* **18**, S97–S125 (2008).
- George, J. C. & Bockstoce, J. R. Two historical weapon fragments as an aid to estimating the longevity and movements of bowhead whales. *Polar Biol.* 31, 751–754 (2008).
- International Whaling Commission. Report of the IWC workshop on climate change and cetaceans. *Rep. Int. Whaling Comm.* 47, 291–320 (2007).
- Heide-Jørgensen, M. P., Laidre, K. L., Quakenbush, L. T. & Citta, J. J. The Northwest Passage opens for bowhead whales. *Biol. Lett* 8, 270–273 (2011).
- Lydersen, C. *et al.* Lost highway not forgotten: satellite tracking of a bowhead whale (*Balaena mysticetus*) from the critically endangered Spitsbergen stock. *Arctic* 65, 76–86 (2012).

- 19. Dyke, A. S., Hooper, J. & Savelle, J. M. A history of sea ice in the Canadian Arctic archipelago based on postglacial remains of the bowhead whale (*Balaena mysticetus*). Arctic **49**, 235–255 (1996).
- 20. McLeod, B. A., Frasier, T. R., Dyke, A. S., Savelle, J. M. & White, B. N. Examination of ten thousand years of mitochondrial DNA diversity and population demographics in bowhead whales (*Balaena mysticetus*) of the Central Canadian Arctic. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 28, E426–E443 (2012).
- Alter, S. E. et al. Gene flow on ice: the role of sea ice and whaling in shaping Holarctic genetic diversity and population differentiation in bowhead whales (Balaena mysticetus). Ecol. Evol. 2, 2895–2911 (2012).
- Borge, T., Bachman, L., Bjørnstad, G. & Wiig, Ø. Genetic variation in Holocene bowhead whales from Svalbard. *Mol. Ecol.* 16, 2223–2235 (2007).
- Rooney, A. P., Honeycutt, R. L. & Derr, J. N. Historical population size change of bowhead whales inferred from DNA sequence polymorphism data. *Evolution* 55, 1678–1685 (2001).
- McLeod, B. A. *et al.* Bowhead whales, and not right whales, were the primary target of 16th–17th-century Basque whalers in the western North Atlantic. *Arctic* 61, 61–75 (2008).
- Ready, J. et al. Predicting the distributions of marine organisms at the global scale. Ecol. Model 221, 467–478 (2010).
- Hofreiter, M. & Stewart, J. Ecological change, range fluctuations and population dynamics during the Pleistocene. *Curr. Biol.* 19, R584–R594 (2009).
- Hewitt, G. The genetic legacy of the Quaternary ice ages. Nature 405, 907–913 (2000).
- Lyman, J. M. et al. Robust warming of the global upper ocean. Nature 465, 334–337 (2010).
- Screen, J. A. & Simmonds, I. The central role of diminishing sea ice in recent Arctic temperature amplification. *Nature* 464, 1334–1337 (2010).
- 30. Brace, S. *et al.* Serial population extinctions in a small mammal indicate Late Pleistocene ecosystem instability. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 20532–20536 (2012).
- Williams, T. M. The evolution of cost efficient swimming in marine mammals: limits to energetic optimization. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 354, 193–201 (1999).
- Polyak, L. et al. History of sea ice in the Arctic. Quaternary Sci. Rev. 29, 1757–1778 (2010).
- Ho, S. Y. W., Kolokotronis, S.-O. & Allaby, R. G. Elevated substitution rates estimated from ancient DNA sequences. *Biol. Lett.* 3, 702–705 (2007).
- Amstrup, S. C. et al. Greenhouse gas mitigation can reduce sea-ice loss and increase polar bear persistence. Nature 468, 955–958 (2010).
- 35. Guindon, S. & Gascuel, O. A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies. *Syst. Biol.* **52**, 696–704 (2003).
- Hasegawa, M., Kishino, H. & Yano, T. Dating of the human-ape splitting by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. *J. Mol. Evol.* 22, 160–174 (1985).
- 37. Keane, T. M., Creevey, C. J., Pentony, M. M., Naughton, T. J. & McInerney, J. O. Assessment of methods for amino acid matrix selection and their use on empirical data shows that ad hoc assumptions for choice of matrix are not justified. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 6, 29 (2006).
- Gascuel, O. BIONJ: an improved version of the NJ algorithm based on a simple model of sequence data. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 14, 685–695 (1997).
- Anismova, M. & Gascuel, O. Approximate likelihood-ratio test for branches: a fast, accurate, and powerful alternative. *Syst. Biol.* 55, 539–552 (2006).
- 40. Prost, S. & Anderson, C. N. K. TempNet: a method to display statistical parsimony networks for heterochronous DNA sequence data. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **2**, 663–667 (2011).
- 41. Drummond, A. J. & Rambaut, A. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **7**, 214 (2007).
- Ho, S. Y. W. *et al.* Bayesian estimation of substitution rates from ancient DNA sequences with low information content. *Syst. Biol.* **60**, 366–375 (2011).
- Rambaut, A., Ho, S. Y. W., Drummond, A. J. & Shapiro, B. Accommodating the effect of ancient DNA damage on inferences of demographic histories. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 26, 245–248 (2009).
- 44. Aaris-Sørensen, K., Rasmussen, K. L., Kinze, C. & Petersen, K. S. Late Pleistocene and Holocene whale remains (Cetacea) from Denmark and adjacent countries: species, distribution, chronology, and trace element concentrations. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 26, 253–281 (2010).
- Ramsey, C. B., Higham, T., Bowles, A. & Hedges, R. Improvements to the pretreatment of bone at Oxford. *Radiocarbon* 46, 155–163 (2004).
- Reimer, P. J. et al. Intcal09 and Marine09 radiocarbon age calibration curves, 0–50,000 years cal BP. Radiocarbon 51, 1111–1150 (2009).
- Dyke, A. S., McNeely, R. N. & Hooper, J. Marine reservoir corrections for bowhead whale radiocarbon age determinations. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* 33, 1628–1637 (1996).
- 48. Ready, J. *et al.* Predicting the distributions of marine organisms at the global scale. *Ecol. Model.* **221**, 467–478 (2010).
- Phillips, S. J., Dudík, M. & Schapire, V. Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. *Ecol. Model.* 190, 231–259 (2006).

- Kaschner, K. Modelling and Mapping of Resource Overlap Between Marine Mammals and Fisheries on a Global Scale (Ph.D., University of British Columbia 184 pp, 2004).
- 51. Folland, C. K. et al. In Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (eds Houghton, J. T. et al.) (Cambridge University Press, 2001).
- 52. Schäfer-Neth, C. & Paul, A. The Atlantic Ocean at the last glacial maximum: 1. Objective mapping of the GLAMAP sea-surface conditions. In: *The South Atlantic in the Late Quaternary: Reconstruction of Material Budgets and Current Systems.* (eds Wefer, G. et al.) (Springer-Verlag 531–548, 2003).
- 53. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Special Report on Emissions Scenarios: A special report of Working Group III of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (eds Nakićenović, N. & Swart, R.) (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
- Kaschner, K., Tittensor, D. P., Ready, J., Gerrodette, T. & Worm, B. Current and future patterns of global marine mammal biodiversity. *PLoS One* 6, e19653 (2011).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Katherine Ann Marske of the Centre for Macroecology, Evolution and Climate, University of Copenhagen, Kurt Kjær and Kim Aaris-Sørensen of the Natural History Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen; Grethe Leth-Espersen of the Natural History Museum of Aarhus; Per Lysdahl of the Vendsyssel Historical Museum; Randall Reeves of Okapi Wildlife Associates, Canada; Christian Schäfer-Neth of the Alfred-Wegner-Institute, Bremerhaven, for their help with this study. This work was funded by a Marie Curie Actions ITN Fellowship 'KWAF10' grant awarded to A.D.F. and the Danish Basic Research Foundation 'Geogenetics' grant.

Author contributions

A.D.F. and K.K. conceived the study. A.D.F., K.G., F.J., H.E. and K.P. sampled museum collections. A.D.F., S.E.S. and C.S. conducted the genetics lab work. A.D.F. and S.Y.W.H. analysed the DNA data analysis. T.F.G.H. calibrated the radiocarbon dating. K.K., C.G. conducted the habitat modelling. The first draft was written by A.D.F. and K.K. All authors contributed to the final version of the paper.

Additional information

Accession codes: The bowhead whale mitochondrial genome sequences were deposited in GenBank under the accession codes: AJ554051.1 and KC573762—KC573781

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/ naturecommunications

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

How to cite this article: Foote, A.D. *et al.* Ancient DNA reveals that bowhead whale lineages survived Late Pleistocene climate change and habitat shifts. *Nat. Commun.* 4:1677 doi: 10.1038/ncomms2714 (2013).