


estimates of tropospheric warming into closer agreement with observations of surface warming. Here we apply the method of Fu *et al.*<sup>2</sup> to output from a state-of-the-art coupled climate model and show that simulated tropospheric temperature trends are consistent with those observed and that their method is robust.

Tropospheric temperatures ( $T_2$ ) monitored by channel 2 of the satellite-based Microwave Sounding Unit (MSU) include a contribution from temperatures in the cooling stratosphere<sup>1,2</sup> ( $T_4$ ). Using radiosonde data, Fu *et al.*<sup>2</sup> apply a regression method to quantify the relative influences of  $T_4$  and  $T_2$  on the mean temperature of the 850–300-hPa layer ( $T_{850-300}$ ). We apply the Fu *et al.* regression method to simulated 1958–97 monthly-mean global-mean  $T_4$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_{850-300}$  from a four-member ensemble of a climate-change experiment that was performed with the National Center for Atmospheric Research Parallel Climate Model (PCM)<sup>3,4</sup> with combined anthropogenic and natural forcing.

The model-derived regression coefficients of  $T_{850-300}$  against  $T_2$  and  $T_4$  are  $a_2 = 1.106 \pm 0.026$  and  $a_4 = -0.157 \pm 0.019$ , with 5–95% uncertainty ranges derived from intra-ensemble variability. Results are in close agreement with the coefficients estimated by Fu *et al.*<sup>2</sup> from radiosonde data ( $a_2 = 1.156$ ,  $a_4 = -0.153$ ). To assess the contribution to these coefficients of the overlap between the  $T_2$  and  $T_4$  weighting functions, we derive a weighting function for  $T_{850-300}$  and regress this directly against the mass-based weighting functions<sup>5</sup> of  $T_2$  and  $T_4$ . This yields  $a_2 = 1.089$  and  $a_4 = -0.129$ , implying that the regression relation derived by Fu *et al.* arises largely from the overlap of the weighting functions, rather than from physical coupling between tropospheric and stratospheric temperatures.

Because we know the actual  $T_{850-300}$  trends over 1979–99 in PCM, we can evaluate the reliability of the statistical method of Fu *et al.*<sup>2</sup> for reconstructing these trends. For each ensemble member, trend reconstructions were produced with the Fu *et al.* and PCM-derived regression coefficients. Reconstructed trends agree with the actual PCM  $T_{850-300}$  trends to within 0.016 K per decade on average (Fig. 1). We find a similar level of agreement between PCM's reconstructed and actual  $T_{850-300}$  trends for the Northern and Southern Hemispheres and the tropics. Note that although simulated trends in  $T_{2IT}$  (where  $T_{2IT}$  is a synthetic channel for lower-middle troposphere) and  $T_{850-300}$  are in close correspondence, PCM's  $T_{2IT}$  trends are not subject to problems that affect the observed  $T_{2IT}$  product, such as changes in surface emissivity, intersatellite calibration biases, and noise amplification<sup>2</sup>.

Our model-based  $T_{850-300}$  trends shown in Fig. 1 are consistent with the free tropo-



**Figure 1** Simulated trends in global-mean free-tropospheric temperature. Black crosses, trends in  $T_{850-300}$  over the period 1979–99, as simulated by the National Center for Atmospheric Research Parallel Climate Model (PCM) in each of four realizations of an experiment with anthropogenic and natural forcing<sup>3,4</sup>. Asterisks indicate free-tropospheric temperature trends reconstructed from synthetic  $T_2$  and  $T_4$  trends using the method of Fu *et al.*<sup>2</sup>. These are calculated using three different sets of regression coefficients, which are derived from radiosonde observations by Fu *et al.*<sup>2</sup> (pink asterisks), estimated from the PCM experiments (dark blue asterisks), and obtained directly from the  $T_2$  and  $T_4$  weighting functions (light blue asterisks). Red crosses, simulated trends in  $T_2$ ; green crosses, simulated trends in  $T_{2IT}$ . The simulated trend in  $T_4$  is  $-0.36 \pm 0.03$  K per decade. The model's surface warming over 1890–1999 ( $0.62$  °C) is consistent with that observed.

spheric temperature trends that Fu *et al.* reconstructed from MSU observations for the period 1979–2001 (refs 2, 6; 0.09 and 0.18 K per decade for the UAH (University of Alabama at Huntsville) and RSS (Remote Sensing Systems) reconstructions, respectively). Overall, we find that the analysis method of Fu *et al.*<sup>2</sup> is robust, and that their radiosonde-based regression relationships between  $T_4$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_{850-300}$  are in good agreement with those independently derived from climate-model output.

**Nathan P. Gillett\***, **Benjamin D. Santer†**, **Andrew J. Weaver\***

\*School of Earth and Ocean Sciences, University of Victoria, PO Box 3055, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3P6, Canada  
e-mail: gillett@uvic.ca

†Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94550, USA  
doi:10.1038/nature03209

1. National Research Council *Reconciling Observations of Global Temperature Change* (National Academy, Washington DC, 2000).
2. Fu, Q., Johanson, C. M., Warren, S. G. & Seidel, D. J. *Nature* **429**, 55–58 (2004).
3. Santer, B. D. *et al. Science* **301**, 479–483 (2003).
4. Washington, W. M. *et al. Clim. Dyn.* **16**, 755–774 (2000).
5. Spencer, R. W. & Christy, J. R. *J. Clim.* **5**, 847–857 (1992).
6. Santer, B. D. *et al. J. Geophys. Res.* **109**, doi:10.1029/2004JD005075 (2004).

Reply: Fu *et al.* reply to this communication (doi:10.1038/nature03210).

**Fu et al. reply** — The success of our method<sup>1</sup> for reconstructing tropospheric temperature trends is reinforced by Gillett *et al.*<sup>2</sup>, who show that our method is robust for reconstructing the tropospheric temperature

trends, and that the statistical relationships between our  $T_4$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_{850-300}$  estimates are in agreement with those independently derived from climate-model output. But Tett and Thorne<sup>3</sup> use different data sets in the tropical region and suggest that our approach produces tropospheric temperature trends that are biased to warm and that it overfits the data. We argue that the differences in tropical tropospheric temperature trends between our estimate of  $T$  ( $T_{fjws}$ ) and the  $T_{850-300}$  of Tett and Thorne do not invalidate our method. We also question their interpretation of the comparison between global climate model results and satellite observations.

We first clarify that the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) issue that they raise is irrelevant to the trend analyses because the QBO signal is periodic. It is also incorrect that our weightings were negative above 100 hPa in the tropics. In reality,  $T_2$  still has a significant weight above 100 hPa (ref. 4) and so should experience QBO effects. By contrast, our weighting function changes sign from positive to negative at about 75 hPa and has much smaller absolute values than the  $T_2$  weighting function throughout the tropical stratosphere (see Fig. 3 of ref. 5).

Tett and Thorne show discrepancies between  $T_{fjws}$  and  $T_{850-300}$  trends ranging from  $-0.02$  to  $0.06$  K per decade for different data sets applied to the tropics, and so claim that our approach is biased to warm. We have shown that our weighting function is largely free of the stratospheric influence (Fig. 3 of ref. 5). However, these large trend differences arise because, in the tropical region, our weighting function is attributed to the whole troposphere from the surface to the tropopause (about 100 hPa), including a significant contribution from the layer between 300 hPa and 100 hPa, where the temperature trends are poorly characterized but might be very different from those below<sup>6</sup>.

For the tropical region, where the tropospheric temperature trends have significant height dependence, we argue that the  $T_{fjws}$  trend is more representative of the entire troposphere than of the  $T_{850-300}$  layer. For example, for the ERA40 data for 1979–2001 in the tropics, there is a moderate positive temperature trend below 775 hPa, a moderate negative trend between 700 and 400 hPa, and a very strong positive trend between 300 hPa and tropopause. The  $T_{850-300}$  trend excludes the large positive contribution from the upper troposphere, which leads to a much smaller trend for  $T_{850-300}$  than for  $T_{fjws}$ . The large discrepancy with ERA40 data therefore arises owing to its very complex vertical structure of trends, which has not been shown to be realistic. If it is real, it indicates that the  $T_{850-300}$  trend does not represent the layer-mean trend of the entire tropical troposphere but that the  $T_{fjws}$  trend does. The trend comparison, which shows a mean

difference of 0.01 K per decade between  $T_{fjws}$  and  $T_{1,000-100}$  (mass-weighted temperatures from 1,000 to 100 hPa) in Table 1, supports our argument.

Tett and Thorne assert<sup>3</sup> that the average tropospheric temperature trends from an ensemble of coupled atmospheric–ocean model simulations are similar to those in the atmosphere-only case. However, we notice that the average ratio of  $T_{fjws}$  to surface-temperature trends is about 1.4 from the coupled model, which is different from the atmospheric-only result (about 1.8); the former is consistent with the trend ratio derived from the RSS (Remote Sensing Systems) data (about 1.4) (Table 1). Understanding the differences between the HadAM and HadCM results are outside the scope of our study<sup>1</sup>, but we notice that the global climate simulation with prescribed sea surface temperature does not conserve energy.

We performed tests, similar to those done by Tett and Thorne<sup>3</sup>, to check the sensitivity of the regression coefficients to different data sets<sup>6</sup> and to the choice of training periods. The scatter in derived coefficients was within 10%, in agreement with their findings. Using the observed Microwave Sounding Unit  $T_2$  and  $T_4$ , this leads to an uncertainty of, at most, 0.01 K per decade in the derived tropospheric temperature trends. Our more recent analysis<sup>5</sup>, in which we directly apply our effective weighting function<sup>1</sup> to observed profiles of stratospheric temperature trend, reveals that our approach does remove the stratospheric influence effectively, leaving a residual influence of less than 0.01 K per decade<sup>5</sup>. Furthermore, by comparing  $T_2$  and  $T_{fjws}$  in Table 1, we notice a robust stratospheric contamination in tropical  $T_2$  trend, insofar as it depicts the tropospheric trend: it is about  $-0.06$  K per decade

from both satellite Microwave Sounding Unit data sets, radiosonde, and both global climate model outputs (only ERA40 reanalysis shows a smaller stratospheric influence in  $T_2$ ).

This reply was prepared with input from Kevin E. Trenberth.

**Qiang Fu\***, **Dian J. Seidel**†, **Celeste M. Johanson\***, **Stephen G. Warren\***

\*Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA  
e-mail: qfu@atmos.washington.edu

†NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910, USA

doi:10.1038/nature03210

1. Fu, Q., Johanson, C. M., Warren, S. G. & Seidel, D. J. *Nature* **429**, 55–58 (2004).
2. Gillett, N. P., Santer, B. D. & Weaver, A. J. *Nature* doi:10.1038/nature03209 (2004).
3. Tett, S. & Thorne, P. *Nature* doi:10.1038/nature03208 (2004).
4. Christy, J. R., Spencer, R.W. & Lobl, E. S. *J. Clim.* **11**, 2016–2041 (1998).
5. Fu, Q. & Johanson, C. M. *J. Clim.* **17**, 4636–4640 (2004).
6. Seidel, D. J. *et al. J. Clim.* **17**, 2225–2240 (2004).