

councils' administrative budgets.

Further uncertainty comes in the form of the characters behind the review. The highly respected Willetts is gone, and the current science minister, Jo Johnson, was notably unimpressed by the notion that Britain should be spending 3% of its GDP on research, describing it as “a nice round number, more than anything else” when he appeared before Blackwood's committee.

Chancellor of the Exchequer George

Osborne, who holds the purse strings and is seen as the architect of the government's economic austerity programme, has consistently claimed to support science. Osborne got kudos for sparing science in the last review — and for increasing research-infrastructure spending since then. “It would be very odd to spend your first five years as chancellor saying, ‘I'm the chancellor for science,’” says Hillman, “and then to not see that through in the second term.”

Any science spending boost might come

with strings attached. Innovation and regional growth are likely to be priorities of future science spending, says Paul Nightingale, deputy director of the Science Policy Research Unit at the University of Sussex in Brighton. So Osborne might continue a trend, established under the last government, of allocating funding directly from the treasury to projects outside London, such as the UK National Graphene Institute at the University of Manchester, in an effort to boost regional economies. ■

PHYSICS

Mega science prize split between more than 1,000 physicists

Multimillion-dollar Breakthrough awards announce winners in glitzy ceremony.

BY ZEEYA MERALI

It is a celebration of the collective over the individual. Whereas only two physicists picked up this year's physics Nobel prize for the discovery that neutrinos have mass and can change identity while travelling, 1,377 collaborators who were involved in the ‘neutrino oscillation’ experiments behind the finding will share the US\$3-million Breakthrough Prize in Fundamental Physics.

The awards, announced on 8 November at a star-studded ceremony at NASA's Ames Research Center in Moffett Field, California, also honour five biologists in the life sciences and a mathematician.

“There is a message here that science is a much more collective effort than it was 100 years ago,” says Russian Internet entrepreneur Yuri Milner, who is one of the prizes' founders. “It is international, it is diverse, it involves lots of people.”

The Breakthrough physics prize marks the first time that a significant science prize has been awarded to such a large group of people — which Milner describes as “a logistical nightmare”. It recognizes the members of five international experiments that established that neutrinos have mass, which contradicts the standard model of particle physics. In October, the Nobel prize was shared between just two people for the same discovery: Arthur McDonald at Queen's University in Kingston, Canada, and Takaaki Kajita at the University of Tokyo. (Both are among the Breakthrough prizewinners.)

“This is recognition for excellent science that could only be achieved by cooperation between many scientists,” says McDonald, who led an experiment at the

Sudbury Neutrino Observatory in Canada.

Each of the five teams will receive \$600,000; team leaders are allotted two-thirds of the money, with the remaining one-third split between other team members.

Because it follows hot on the heels of the Nobels, the Breakthrough prize could be seen as a direct criticism of its older rival, which notoriously refuses to honour more than three individuals in science categories. But Edward Witten, a physicist at the Institute for Advanced Study in Princeton, New Jersey, who chaired the Breakthrough selection committee, notes that the winners were chosen over the summer, before the Nobels were revealed, so the overlap is coincidental. Nonetheless, Witten says, the decision makes a deliberate statement: “We ... consider it important to include at least at a symbolic level the many scientists who contributed.”

Göran Hansson, former secretary of the Nobel Committee for Physiology or Medicine, stands by the Nobel-prize policy, however. “Precisely because there is so much emphasis on huge organizations, we feel it is important to identify the individuals who pioneered the discoveries,” he says. The Breakthrough prizes are no threat to the prestige of the Nobel, Hansson adds: “We have more than a century of legacy, and people will continue to look to the Nobels to identify excellence in science.”

Five biologists were also awarded Breakthrough prizes. Neuroscientists Karl Deisseroth at Stanford University in California

and Ed Boyden at the MIT Media Lab in Cambridge, Massachusetts, received separate awards for developing optogenetics — the programming of neurons so that their electrical activity can be controlled by light. Helen Hobbs at the University of Southern Texas Medical Center in Dallas was recognized for her discovery of human genetic variants that alter cholesterol levels. John Hardy at University College London was honoured for finding the mutations in the gene encoding amyloid precursor protein that cause early-onset Alzheimer's disease, and Svante Pääbo at the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology in Leipzig, Germany, was recognized for sequencing ancient genomes.

Mathematician Ian Agol at the University of California, Berkeley, received an award for proving three conjectures relating to how 3D ‘manifolds’ (higher-dimensional equivalents of two-dimensional surfaces) can be flattened and transformed. The work could one day have applications for understanding how space-time curves, says Agol, who has previously received the Clay prize and other established maths prizes.

Compared to those awards, says Agol, the Breakthrough prize is too new to have established a clear status, and does not yet have the same level of fame among mathematicians. “In any case, it is a great honour to be receiving this,” he adds — although he admits that he didn't relish appearing on television.

The award ceremony, hosted by *Family Guy* creator Seth MacFarlane, was broadcast live for the first time on the National Geographic Channel, and an edited version will be aired on FOX. It also honoured eight early-career scientists, who received \$100,000 each, and the winner of a prize for schoolchildren. ■