## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

## 5- $HTTLPR \times$ stress hypothesis: is the debate over?

Molecular Psychiatry advance online publication, 19 September 2017; doi:10.1038/mp.2017.195

We read with great interest the impressive meta-analysis by Culverhouse *et al.*, <sup>1</sup> the largest and most comprehensive study to investigate whether *5-HTTLPR* genotype modifies the association between stress and depression. Their findings based on 31 studies totaling 38 802 individuals of European ancestry do not support the interaction hypothesis between *5-HTTLPR* genotype and stress (childhood maltreatment and broad life stress) on depression (lifetime or current). The authors also examined the possible effects of sex and age (as both a covariate and investigating specifically the 21–30 years age group), as well as genetic models (dominant, recessive), but again failed to find strong evidence of an interaction. The findings of this meta-analysis contrast with the original report that *S* allele carriers of *5-HTTLPR* compared with *LL* had an increased risk of depression following a stressful event.<sup>2</sup>

In a subsample of participants from the ESPRIT study (included in this meta-analysis), we recently published findings investigating the impact of both extrinsic (recent stressful events) and intrinsic (diurnal cortisol secretion) stress indicators on current depression.<sup>3</sup> Consistent with meta-analysis findings, stressful events were significantly associated with a more than twofold increased risk of depression in 334 elderly participants and the interaction term between stress and 5-HTTLPR genotype was not significant. However, examining 5-HTTLPR genotypes separately we found evidence for neuroendocrine heterogeneity of late life depression with differential stress-related susceptibility. LL participants (N=88) appeared highly vulnerable to stressful events (odds ratio (OR) = 6.45, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.82-22.9, P = 0.004), yet among SS individuals (N = 82), only morning cortisol appeared as a biological correlate for depression (OR = 4.09, 95% CI = 1.03-16.2, P = 0.04). The larger group of SL heterozygotes (N = 164) appeared more resilient to both extrinsic (OR=2.26, 95% CI=0.80-6.34, P = 0.12) and intrinsic stress-related factors (OR = 0.89, 95% CI = 0.41 - 1.92, P = 0.77 for morning cortisol). Past major depression was also a risk factor for current depression only in LL participants. Hence, SS participants appeared to have a cortisol-related neuroendocrine vulnerability to depression (that could be referred as 'endogenous depression'), LL homozygotes were more reactive to stressful events ('reactive depression') and more likely to have recurrent depression, whereas the SL heterozygotes appeared more resilient to both types of factors, despite double the number of participants compared with the homozygote subgroups.

Although our data require replication, they add to the findings by Culverhouse *et al.*<sup>1</sup> and the broader debate. They may help account for the lack of strong evidence supporting the interaction hypothesis.<sup>1</sup> Although none of our findings are compatible with a linear/additive allelic model, hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis dysregulation and psychological load (stressful events and past major depression) suggest opposite recessive models, and resilience reflects heterosis. Indeed, a heterosis effect has been found in up to 50% of all gene association studies<sup>4</sup> and has already been reported with *5-HTTLPR* in a variety of psychiatric phenotypic expressions.<sup>5</sup> It may explain the conflicting findings reported in association studies on depression depending on allele grouping and an arbitrary choice of a genetic model. Such heterozygote advantage could be based on an inverted U-shaped response curve in which either too little or too much gene

expression is deleterious, with optimal gene expression occurring in heterozygotes.

Culverhouse *et al.*<sup>1</sup> should be commended for their attempts to rule out other factors, which may explain the divergence in prior study findings, including those of previous meta-analyses. However, there are other explanations that were not explored and could also have a role, such as interactions with other genes or variants, causing a hidden stratification of the sample such that the depression phenotype would be associated with either one set of homozygotes or the alternate homozygote set.<sup>4</sup> Twin studies show a high heritability of morning cortisol (60%).<sup>6</sup> Gene×gene×environment interactions have been reported between *5-HTTLPR* and *BDNF*.<sup>5</sup> Exploring epistatic effects of genes involved in corticosteroid signaling could be a promising approach, but obviously very large studies would be needed.

Age may also be an important factor to consider and was adjusted for in the models by Culverhouse et al. However, most studies involved adolescents or young adults with only two studies specifically including older adults: the Esprit study and the Heart and Soul Study of outpatients with coronary heart disease.<sup>1</sup> As a consequence, they could only perform sub-group analysis in a specific age group (early adulthood, 21–30 years). However, age has frequently been evoked as a potential explanation for the inconsistent findings regarding the associations between 5-HTTLPR and depression<sup>5</sup> or stress reactivity.<sup>7</sup> In older adults, a switch from the S allele to the LL genotype has been reported for the risk of mental and physical distress with an increasing number of chronic diseases.8 Hence, severe stressors may indicate underlying traumatization, psychobiologically distinct from the 'regular' stress and may be associated with the subsequent development of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Consistent with this hypothesis, we have also recently shown in this subsample a specific association between LL homozygote and susceptibility to re-experiencing, the main clinical symptom of PTSD.9 The influences of serotonergic neurotransmission on HPAaxis regulation and the link between serotonergic signaling and 5-HTTLPR genotype, and with HPA axis functioning, have been largely documented from both animal studies and healthy human exposed to laboratory stress. Rarely have such associations been examined in the older adult population. Hence, further exploring of the interplay between neuroendocrine, genetic, and environmental factors could provide nosologic insights with clinical implications. Whether the differential stress-related susceptibility reported in our work concerns only late-life depression and elderly people, who are more likely to accumulate stressful experiences and HPA axis dysregulation, <sup>10</sup> remains to be addressed.

Although clearly complex, this area warrants further investigation given the potential for better treatment targets and most importantly patient outcomes. Individuals with a sensitive genotype, who are vulnerable to develop depression following adverse experiences may be more likely to benefit from psychological therapy, whereas depressed carriers of an environmentally insensitive genotype may be more likely to require a pharmacological modification of the pathological process. Up until now however, clinical trials based on HPA activation by stress and response to corticosteroids in complement of serotonergic antidepressant have produced contrasting findings. Antiglucocorticoid augmentation therapy appeared inefficient in adult patients with chronic treatment-resistant depression but efficacious in patients not selected for treatment resistance.<sup>11</sup> Individual

neuroendocrine heterogeneity in depression may help provide an explanation for clinical heterogeneity in response to treatments, *LL* homozygotes being more likely to have previous major depressive episodes and thus to experience resistant depression, but clearly more work is required.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

M-L Ancelin<sup>1,2</sup> and J Ryan<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>INSERM, U1061, Neuropsychiatry, Montpellier, France;

<sup>2</sup>University Montpellier, Montpellier, France and

<sup>3</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, Monash

University, Melbournce, VIC, Australia

E-mail: marie-laure.ancelin@inserm.fr

## **REFERENCES**

- 1 Culverhouse RC, Saccone NL, Horton AC, Ma Y, Anstey KJ, Banaschewski T et al. Collaborative meta-analysis finds no evidence of a strong interaction between stress and 5-HTTLPR genotype contributing to the development of depression. Mol Psychiatry 2017 (this issue).
- 2 Caspi A, Sugden K, Moffitt TE, Taylor A, Craig IW, Harrington H et al. Influence of life stress on depression: moderation by a polymorphism in the 5-HTT gene. Science 2003; 301: 386–389.
- 3 Ancelin ML, Scali J, Norton J, Ritchie K, Dupuy AM, Chaudieu I et al. Heterogeneity in HPA axis dysregulation and serotonergic vulnerability to depression. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2017; 77: 90–94.
- 4 Comings DE, MacMurray JP. Molecular heterosis: a review. *Mol Genet Metab* 2000; **71**: 19–31.

- 5 Uher R, McGuffin P. The moderation by the serotonin transporter gene of environmental adversity in the aetiology of mental illness: review and methodological analysis. *Mol Psychiatry* 2008; 13: 131–146.
- 6 Franz CE, York TP, Eaves LJ, Mendoza SP, Hauger RL, Hellhammer DH et al. Genetic and environmental influences on cortisol regulation across days and contexts in middle-aged men. Behav Genet 2010; 40: 467–479.
- 7 Mueller A, Armbruster D, Moser DA, Canli T, Lesch KP, Brocke B et al. Interaction of serotonin transporter gene-linked polymorphic region and stressful life events predicts cortisol stress response. Neuropsychopharmacology 2011; 36: 1332–1339.
- 8 Grabe HJ, Schwahn C, Appel K, Mahler J, Schulz A, Spitzer C *et al.* Update on the 2005 paper: moderation of mental and physical distress by polymorphisms in the 5-HT transporter gene by interacting with social stressors and chronic disease burden. *Mol Psychiatry* 2011; **16**: 354–356.
- 9 Ancelin ML, Scali J, Norton J, Ritchie K, Dupuy AM, Chaudieu I et al. The effect of an adverse psychological environment on salivary cortisol levels in the elderly differs by 5-HTTLPR genotype. Neurobiol Stress 2017; 7: 38–46.
- 10 Belvederi Murri M, Pariante C, Mondelli V, Masotti M, Atti AR, Mellacqua Z et al. HPA axis and aging in depression: systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2014; 41: 46–62.
- 11 Jahn H. Steroid-synthesis inhibition in depression: a good idea? *Lancet Psychiatry* 2016; **3**: 92–93.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License. The images or

other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2018