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#### Abstract

Summary Whilst genotype frequencies under full selfing may be determined explicitly for a self-pollinated population in which genotypes differ in fitness, the non-linearity of the mixed selfing and random outcrossing model necessitates the development of an asymptotic approach.


## 1. Introduction

THE general theory of the change of genotype frequencies under selfing when genotypes differ in fitness, including the extension to selfing-withcrossing and random outbreeding, is described by Workman and Allard (1962). Applications to experimental populations were initiated by Allard and his colleagues, namely: Jain and Allard (1960); Allard and Jain (1962); Allard and Workman (1963). We shall first consider closed expressions for the $n$th generation frequencies under full selfing, and then develop a general asymptotic technique within the non-linear context of mixed selfing and random outcrossing.

## 2. Expressions with full selfing

Let $f_{1}^{(n)}, f_{2}^{(n)}$ and $f_{3}^{(n)}$ denote the relative frequencies in the $n$th generation of genotypes $A A, A a$ and $a a$ at a single locus. Suppose also that the fitness of the $A A, A a$ and $a a$ genotypes is $1, s_{2}$ and $s_{3}$, respectively. Then the recurrence equations relating the genotype frequencies in generations $n$ and $n+1$, assuming full selfing, are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}^{(n+1)}=\left(f_{1}^{(n)}+\frac{1}{4} s_{2} f_{2}^{(n)}\right) / W^{(n)}  \tag{1}\\
& f_{2}^{(n+1)}=\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2} f_{2}^{(n)}\right) / W^{(n)}  \tag{2}\\
& f_{3}^{(n+1)}=\left(s_{3} f_{3}^{(n)}+\frac{1}{4} s_{2} f_{2}^{(n)}\right) / W^{(n)} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n=1,2,3, \ldots$,

$$
W^{(n)}=f_{1}^{(n)}+s_{2} f_{2}^{(n)}+s_{3} f_{3}^{(n)}
$$

and

$$
f_{1}^{(n)}+f_{2}^{(n)}+f_{3}^{(n)}=1
$$

Similar equations have been discussed with varying degrees of completeness by Haldane (1956), Hayman and Mather (1956) and Reeve (1957), solutions being derived via either difference equation or matrix techniques. Karlin (1968) provides a comprehensive account of various mathematical approaches.

On disregarding the scaling factor $W^{(n)}$ relations (1)-(3) are linear in the $f_{i}^{(n)}(i=1,2,3)$, so let us first consider the three equations

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n+1} & =p_{n}+\frac{1}{4} s_{2} q_{n}  \tag{4}\\
q_{n+1} & =\frac{1}{2} s_{2} q_{n}  \tag{5}\\
r_{n+1} & =s_{3} r_{n}+\frac{1}{4} s_{2} q_{n} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Their solution follows directly either from a standard matrix approach (Nagylaki, 1977, pp. 96-99), or from noting that equation (5) immediately yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n}=q\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2}\right)^{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence substitution of (7) into (4) and (6) gives standard single-variable difference equations (see, for example, Stephenson (1961)) with the solutions

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n} & =p+\left[\frac{1}{2} q s_{2} /\left(s_{2}-2\right)\right]\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2}\right)^{n}-1\right]  \tag{8}\\
r_{n} & =r s_{3}^{n}+\left[\frac{1}{2} q s_{2} /\left(s_{2}-2 s_{3}\right)\right]\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2}\right)^{n}-s_{3}^{n}\right] \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

subject to the initial conditions $f_{1}^{(0)}=p, f_{2}^{(0)}=q$ and $f_{3}^{(0)}=r$.
We may now form $f_{1}^{(n)}=p_{n} / W^{(n)}, f_{2}^{(n)}=q_{n} / W^{(n)}$ and $f_{3}^{(n)}=r_{n} / W^{(n)}$ by dividing (8), (7) and (9) by

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{(n)}= & p_{n}+q_{n}+r_{n}=\left\{p-\left(\frac{1}{2} q s_{2}\right)\left(s_{2}-2\right)^{-1}\right\} \\
& +q\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2}\right)^{n}\left\{1+\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2}\right)\left[\left(s_{2}-2\right)^{-1}+\left(s_{2}-2 s_{3}\right)^{-1}\right]\right\} \\
& +s_{3}^{n}\left\{r-\left(\frac{1}{2} q s_{2}\right)\left(s_{2}-2 s_{3}\right)^{-1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

to give

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}^{(n)}=\left\{p+\left[\frac{1}{2} q s_{2} /\left(s_{2}-2\right)\right]\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2}\right)^{n}-1\right]\right\} / W^{(n)} \\
& f_{2}^{(n)}=\left\{q\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2}\right)^{n}\right\} / W^{(n)}  \tag{10}\\
& f_{3}^{(n)}=\left\{r s_{3}^{n}+\left[\frac{1}{2} q s_{2} /\left(s_{2}-2 s_{3}\right)\right]\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2}\right)^{n}-s_{3}^{n}\right]\right\} / W^{(n)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Of particular interest is the behaviour of these relative frequencies as $n$ becomes large. If $0 \leqq \frac{1}{2} s_{2}, s_{3}<1$ then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{1}^{(n)}=1$ whilst both $f_{2}^{(n)}$ and $f_{3}^{(n)}$ decrease (almost geometrically) to zero but not necessarily at the same rate. For

$$
f_{3}^{(n)} / f_{2}^{(n)} \sim \begin{cases}\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2}\right) /\left(s_{2}-2 s_{3}\right) & s_{2}>2 s_{3}  \tag{11}\\ \left(r / q+\frac{1}{2} n\right) & s_{2}=2 s_{3} \\ \left(2 s_{3} / s_{2}\right)^{n}\left[(r / q)-\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2}\right) /\left(s_{2}-2 s_{3}\right)\right] & s_{2}<2 s_{3} .\end{cases}
$$

Different limiting relations apply with other values of $s_{2}$ and $s_{3}$. For example, if $s_{3}$ exceeds both 1 and $\frac{1}{2} s_{2}$ then both $f_{1}^{(n)}$ and $f_{2}^{(n)}$ approach zero and $f_{3}^{(n)}$ approaches 1 , whilst if $s_{2}>2$ and $s_{3}<\frac{1}{2} s_{2}$ then in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ $f_{1}^{(n)}: f_{2}^{(n)}: f_{3}^{(n)}=\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2}\right) /\left(s_{2}-2\right): 1:\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2}\right) /\left(s_{2}-2 s_{3}\right)$. The condition for $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{2}^{(n)}>0$ is slightly more general than this latter one, being $s_{2} \geqq 2$, $s_{3} \leqq \frac{1}{2} s_{2}$.

## 3. Asymptotic results for mixed selfing and RANDOM OUTCROSSING

The above approach relies on the linearity of equations (4)-(6), so in order to investigate non-linearity let us allow random outcrossing with probability $t$ and selfing with probability $z=1-t$. The recurrence relations (1)-(3) are now replaced by the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{1}^{(n)}=t\left(f_{1}^{(n)}+\frac{1}{2} s_{2} f_{2}^{(n)}\right)^{2}+z\left(f_{1}^{(n)}+\frac{1}{4} s_{2} f_{2}^{(n)}\right)  \tag{12}\\
& G_{2}^{(n)}=2 t\left(f_{1}^{(n)}+\frac{1}{2} s_{2} f_{2}^{(n)}\right)\left(s_{3} f_{3}^{(n)}+\frac{1}{2} s_{2} f_{2}^{(n)}\right)+z\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2} f_{2}^{(n)}\right)  \tag{13}\\
& G_{3}^{(n)}=t\left(s_{3} f_{3}^{(n)}+\frac{1}{2} s_{2} f_{2}^{(n)}\right)^{2}+z\left(s_{3} f_{3}^{(n)}+\frac{1}{4} s_{2} f_{2}^{(n)}\right) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{(n+1)}=G_{i}^{(n)} / W^{(n)} \quad \text { and } \quad W^{(n)}=G_{1}^{(n)}+G_{2}^{(n)}+G_{3}^{(n)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conditions (15) ensure that $f_{1}^{(n)}+f_{2}^{(n)}+f_{3}^{(n)}=1$.
If $s_{2}=s_{3}=1$ then, from the Hardy-Weinberg rule, the quadratic terms in (12)-(14) reduce to a matrix of constants and Workman and Allard (1962) exploited the resulting linearity of equations (12)-(14) to derive a matrix solution for the relative frequencies $f_{i}^{(n)}$, an equilibrium solution being given by Hayman (1953). However, if $s_{2}, s_{3} \neq 1$ then this simplification no longer applies and an alternative approach is necessary.

First we examine equilibrium solutions by letting $f_{i}^{(n)} \rightarrow f_{i}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As $f_{1}+f_{2}+f_{3}=1$ we have

$$
W^{(n)} \rightarrow t\left(f_{1}+s_{2} f_{2}+s_{3} f_{3}\right)^{2}+z\left(f_{1}+s_{2} f_{2}+s_{3} f_{3}\right)
$$

and so in the limit equations (12)-(15) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{1}\left[t\left(f_{1}+s_{2} f_{2}+s_{3} f_{3}\right)^{2}+z\left(f_{1}+s_{2} f_{2}+s_{3} f_{3}\right)\right] \\
=t\left(f_{1}+\frac{1}{2} s_{2} f_{2}\right)^{2}+z\left(f_{1}+\frac{1}{4} s_{2} f_{2}\right)  \tag{16}\\
f_{2}\left[t\left(f_{1}+s_{2} f_{2}+s_{3} f_{3}\right)^{2}+z\left(f_{1}+s_{2} f_{2}+s_{3} f_{3}\right)\right] \\
\quad=2 t\left(f_{1}+\frac{1}{2} s_{2} f_{2}\right)\left(s_{3} f_{3}+\frac{1}{2} s_{2} f_{2}\right)+z\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2} f_{2}\right)  \tag{17}\\
f_{3}\left[t\left(f_{1}+s_{2} f_{2}+s_{3} f_{3}\right)^{2}+z\left(f_{1}+s_{2} f_{2}+s_{3} f_{3}\right)\right] \\
\quad=t\left(s_{3} f_{3}+\frac{1}{2} s_{2} f_{2}\right)^{2}+z\left(s_{3} f_{3}+\frac{1}{4} s_{2} f_{2}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

On replacing $f_{1}$ by $1-f_{2}-f_{3}$ in expressions (17) and (18) we see that $f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ are defined by the intersection of two cubic surfaces, and so in general may take several values. For admissible solutions we require $f_{2}$, $f_{3}>0 ; f_{2}+f_{3} \leqq 1$ and direct inspection reveals $f_{1}=1, f_{2}=f_{3}=0$ and $f_{3}=1$, $f_{1}=f_{2}=0$ as being two of them. For the remainder of this paper we shall restrict our attention to the former solution with $0<s_{2}, s_{3}<1$.

Let us therefore write $f_{1}^{(n)}=1+o(1)$ and $f_{2}^{(n)}, f_{3}^{(n)}=o(1)$, so that $W^{(n)}=$ $1+o(1)$ where $o(1)$ denotes terms which approach zero as $n$ tends to infinity. Denote

$$
\alpha_{n}=f_{2}^{(n)} / f_{3}^{(n)}
$$

Then as

$$
\frac{f_{2}^{(n+1)}}{f_{3}^{(n+1)}}=\frac{G_{2}^{(n)} / W^{(n)}}{G_{3}^{(n)} / W^{(n)}} \sim \frac{2 t\left(s_{3} f_{3}^{(n)}+\frac{1}{2} s_{2} f_{2}^{(n)}\right)+z\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2} f_{2}^{(n)}\right)}{z\left(s_{3} f_{3}^{(n)}+\frac{1}{4} s_{2} f_{2}^{(n)}\right)}
$$

we have

$$
\alpha_{n+1} \sim\left[2 t\left(s_{3}+\frac{1}{2} s_{2} \alpha_{n}\right)+z\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2} \alpha_{n}\right)\right] /\left[z\left(s_{3}+\frac{1}{4} s_{2} \alpha_{n}\right)\right] .
$$

Thus if $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \alpha$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$
\left(\frac{1}{4} z s_{2}\right) \alpha^{2}+\left(z s_{3}-t s_{2}-\frac{1}{2} z s_{2}\right) \alpha-2 t s_{3}=0
$$

which has the one admissible root
$\alpha=\left(\frac{1}{2} z s_{2}\right)^{-1}\left[-\left(z s_{3}-t s_{2}-\frac{1}{2} z s_{2}\right)+\sqrt{\left\{\left(z s_{3}-t s_{2}-\frac{1}{2} z s_{2}\right)^{2}+2 t z s_{2} s_{3}\right\}}\right]$.
Note that if $t=0$ and $z=1$ then the situation reduces to that of section 2, with (19) simplifying to $\alpha=\max \left\{0,\left(s_{2}-2 s_{3}\right) /\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2}\right)\right\}$ in agreement with the limiting form of (11) as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Next we investigate the behaviour of $f_{2}^{(n)}$ and $f_{3}^{(n)}$ separately by writing

$$
\beta_{n}=f_{3}^{(n+1)} / f_{3}^{(n)}
$$

From (14) and (15)

$$
\frac{f_{3}^{(n+1)}}{f_{3}^{(n)}}=\frac{G_{3}^{(n)}}{f_{3}^{(n)}[1+o(1)]} \sim \frac{z\left(s_{3} f_{3}^{(n)}+\frac{1}{4} s_{2} f_{2}^{(n)}\right)}{f_{3}^{(n)}}
$$

and so

$$
\beta_{n} \sim z\left(s_{3}+\frac{1}{4} s_{2} \alpha_{n}\right)
$$

Thus if $\beta_{n} \rightarrow \beta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=z\left(s_{3}+\frac{1}{4} s_{2} \alpha\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

On combining these results we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{3}^{(n)} \sim k \beta^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{2}^{(n)} \sim k \alpha \beta^{n} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $k>0$ which depends on $f_{2}^{(0)}$ and $f_{3}^{(0)}$. Note that (21) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}^{(n)}=1-k(1+\alpha) \beta^{n} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which may be shown to satisfy (12).
To illustrate the way in which $\alpha$ and $\beta$ change with $z$ and $t(0 \leqq z=1-$ $t \leqq 1$ ), their behaviour is shown in fig. 1 for $s_{2}=0.9$ and $s_{3}=0.3$. Whilst $\beta$ is well-behaved over the entire region $0 \leqq z \leqq 1, \alpha$ is well-behaved only for values of $z$ away from zero. In this example, $\log \alpha$ is almost linear in the range $0.30 \leqq z \leqq 0.85$ but approaches infinity as $z$ nears zero.

As expressions (21) and (22) denote probabilities we clearly require both $\alpha \geqq 0$ and $0 \leqq \beta<1$. The first follows from (19), whence (20) gives $\beta=z s_{3}+\frac{1}{4} z s_{2} \alpha \geqq 0$. Thus we need only consider $\beta<1$, i.e.,

$$
z s_{3}+\frac{1}{4} z s_{2} \alpha<1
$$

which from (19) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\left\{\left(z s_{3}-t s_{2}-\frac{1}{2} z s_{2}\right)^{2}+2 t z s_{2} s_{3}\right\}}<2-z s_{3}-t s_{2}-\frac{1}{2} z s_{2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

On squaring both sides this reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(s_{2}-2 s_{3}+s_{2} s_{3}\right)>2\left(s_{2}-1\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Not only is this inequality satisfied if $s_{2}, s_{3} \leqq 1$, but also the right-hand side of (23) is non-negative, as required. For such non-negativity is implicitly


Fig. 1.-Change in $\alpha$ (logarithmic scale) and $\beta$ with $z$, evaluated from expressions (19) and (20), respectively, for $s_{2}=0.9, s_{3}=0.3$.
assumed in the act of squaring, and so for general $s_{2}, s_{3}$ the requirement $\beta<1$ necessitates the condition

$$
2-z s_{3}-t s_{2}-\frac{1}{2} z s_{2} \geqq 0
$$

in addition to (24). After a little manipulation we may write these conditions in the form, "for solutions (21) and (22) to hold, we require $z\left(s_{2}-2 s_{3}\right)$ to exceed both $2\left(s_{2}-2\right)$ and $2\left(s_{2}-1\right)-z s_{2} s_{3}$ ".

Expressions (19) and (20) for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ may be further manipulated to yield more detailed information in several special cases. For example, in the case of equal fitness ( $s_{2}=s_{3}=s$ ) then $\alpha=4 t / z$ and $\beta=s$, the former being independent of $s$ and the latter being independent of $z$. Whilst if $s_{2}$ and $s_{3}$ are only just less than 1 , so that $s_{i}=1-\varepsilon_{i}(i=2,3)$ for $0<\varepsilon_{i} \ll 1$, then $\beta \sim 1-\left(2 t \varepsilon_{2}+z \varepsilon_{3}\right) /(1+t)$. The infinite behaviour of $\alpha$ as $z \rightarrow 0$, illustrated in fig. 1, also follows with:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\alpha \sim(4 / z)-\left(2 / s_{2}\right)\left(s_{2}+s_{3}\right) & \text { and } \beta \sim s_{2}-\frac{1}{2} z\left(s_{2}-s_{3}\right) & \text { as } \quad z \rightarrow 0 ; \\
\alpha \rightarrow \max \left\{0,\left(s_{2}-2 s_{3}\right) /\left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2}\right)\right\} & \text { and } \beta \rightarrow \max \left\{\frac{1}{2} s_{2}, s_{3}\right\} & \text { as } \quad z \rightarrow 1 .
\end{array}
$$

## 4. Conclusions

Whilst the approach of section 2 relies on the ability to express the recurrence equations for the relative frequencies in linear form, in section 3 we develop a general asymptotic technique to enable the analysis of nonlinear equations. Although the exact determination of relative frequencies for specific known parameter values still necessitates numerical computation via the recursion formulae (12)-(15), the asymptotic arguments described throw considerable light on how the fitness parameters $s_{2}, s_{3}$ and mixing coefficients $z, t$ affect the development of the $\left\{f_{i}^{(n)}\right\}$. Of particular value is the condition on $s_{2}, s_{3}$ and $z$ necessary for convergence to ( $1,0,0$ )-
conditions for convergence to other admissible equilibrium solutions may be developed in a similar manner. However, the importance of this asymptotic approach lies not so much in its success with this particular model, but that the techniques employed are of perfectly general application and should yield results in many other interesting non-linear situations.
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