

- screening for fetal chromosomal abnormalities. *Obstet Gynecol* 2007;109:217–227.
3. Grimes DA, Snively GR. Patients' understanding of medical risks: implications for genetic counseling. *Obstet Gynecol* 1999;93:910–914.
 4. Zikmund-Fisher BJ, Smith DM, Ubel PA, Fagerlin A. Validation of the Subjective Numeracy Scale: effects of low numeracy on comprehension of risk communications and utility elicitation. *Med Decis Making* 2007;27:663–671.
 5. Health literacy: report of the Council on Scientific Affairs. Ad Hoc Committee on Health Literacy for the Council on Scientific Affairs, American Medical Association. *JAMA* 1999;281:552–557.
 6. Reyna VF, Nelson WL, Han PK, Dieckmann NF. How numeracy influences risk comprehension and medical decision making. *Psychol Bull* 2009;135:943–973.
 7. Gigerenzer G, Gaissmaier W, Kurz-Milcke E, Schwartz LM, Woloshin S. Helping doctors and patients make sense of health statistics. *Psychol Sci Public Interest* 2007;8:53–96.
 8. Rao G, Kanter SL. Physician numeracy as the basis for an evidence-based medicine curriculum. *Acad Med* 2010;85:1794–1799.
 9. Bramwell R, West H, Salmon P. Health professionals' and service users' interpretation of screening test results: experimental study. *BMJ* 2006;333:284.
 10. Schwartz LM, Woloshin S, Black WC, Welch HG. The role of numeracy in understanding the benefit of screening mammography. *Ann Intern Med* 1997;127:966–972.
 11. Estrada C, Barnes V, Collins C, Byrd JC. Health literacy and numeracy. *JAMA* 1999;282:527.
 12. Fagerlin A, Zikmund-Fisher BJ, Ubel PA, Jankovic A, Derry HA, Smith DM. Measuring numeracy without a math test: development of the Subjective Numeracy Scale. *Med Decis Making* 2007;27:672–680.
 13. Peters E, Diefenbach MA, Hess TM, Vastfjall D. Age differences in dual information-processing modes: implications for cancer decision making. *Cancer* 2008;113(suppl 12):3556–3567.
 14. Hyde JS, Fennema E, Lamon SJ. Gender differences in mathematics performance: a meta-analysis. *Psychol Bull* 1990;107:139–155.

ERRATUM

Genetic counseling and testing for Alzheimer disease: Joint practice guidelines of the American College of Medical Genetics and the National Society of Genetic Counselors: Erratum

In the article that appeared on page 597 of volume 13, issue 6, the department of the first affiliation was incorrect. The first affiliation should appear as follows: Department of Neurology, Columbia University, New York, New York.

REFERENCE

Goldman JS, Hahn SE, Catania, JW, LaRusse-Eckert S, Butson MB, Rumbaugh M, et al. Genetic counseling and testing for Alzheimer disease: Joint practice guidelines of the American College of Medical Genetics and the National Society of Genetic Counselors. *Genet Med* 13:597–605.