References

- 1 Boralevi F, Léauté-Labrèze C, Lepreux S, Barbarot S, Mazereeuw-Hautier J, Eschard C et al. Idiopathic facial aseptic granuloma: a multicentre prospective study of 30 cases. Br J Dermatol 2007; 156(4): 705-708.
- Roul S, Léauté-Labrèze C, Boralevi F, Bioulac-Sage P, Maleville J, Taieb A. Idiopathic aseptic facial granuloma (pyodermite froide du visage): a pediatric entity? Arch Dermatol 2001; 137: 1253-1255.
- Hughes J, Lam A, Rogers M. Use of ultrasonography in the diagnosis of childhood pilomatrixoma. Pediatr Dermatol 1999;
- James T. Meibomian gland dysfunction. Optician 2008; 235: 28–32.
- Al Dhaybi R, McCuaig C, Kokta V. A periocular nodule in a child. Clin Exp Dermatol 2010; 36: 105-106.
- Neri I, Raone B, Dondi A, Misciali C, Patrizi A. Should idiopathic facial aseptic granuloma be considered granulomatous rosacea? Report of three pediatric cases. Pediatr Dermatol 2013; 30(1): 109-111.

PA Ozer¹ and A Gurkan²

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Ministry of Health, Dr. Sami Ulus Maternity and Children's Health and Diseases Training and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey ²Department of Dermatology, Ministry of Health, Dr. Sami Ulus Maternity and Children's Health and Diseases Training and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey E-mail: drpinar@yahoo.com

Eye (2014) 28, 1146-1147; doi:10.1038/eye.2014.106; published online 23 May 2014

On the safety profile of Ocublue Plus (BBG 0.05%)

Ooi et al¹ raised concerns regarding the safety profile of Ocublue Plus brand of Brilliant blue G dye (BBG, Aurolab, Madurai, India) as compared to Brilliant Peel (Geuder, Heidelberg, Germany) on the basis of their experimental study in a rodent model. The authors gave the impression that BBG was approved for use in the European Union (EU) only as Brilliant Peel, at 0.025% concentration. We herewith inform that Ocublue Plus at 0.05% concentration is also approved for use in EU, and is exported to 25 countries globally, including UK (V Kannan, Division Manager—Pharmacy, Aurolab, Madurai, India, personal communication). The authors stated that there was no preclinical/clinical study using Ocublue Plus. We and others have published several surgical studies using Ocublue Plus in peer-reviewed journals;²⁻⁶ all have reported excellent anatomical and visual outcomes. The authors next stated that studies using Brilliant Peel have shown it to be non-toxic. However, three of their five references to support this claim did not use Brilliant Peel; their first reference is our own study with Ocublue Plus!

The authors state in Discussion 'the reduction in mean total neurosensory retinal thickness induced by

Ocublue Plus was significantly greater than that of Brilliant Peel when compared with their controls.' There are no data in their Results section to support this statement. They go on to conclude later that 'Ocublue Plus caused thinning to the total neurosensory retina and reduction in the RGC density....' Under Results, however, the total retinal thinning is reported to be similar to Ocublue Plus and Brilliant Peel (8 and $-7 \mu m$, respectively); the reduction in RGC density with the former was 'equivocal'.

Some limitations such as the excessively long dye contact time (7 days) were discussed by the authors themselves. Their first figure highlights the limitations of statistical analysis using small numbers: the mean of retinal thickness difference is skewed by a single point data in each of the two BBG groups.¹ While experimental studies on dye safety are essential and frequent, a study comparing two commercial brands of the same dye needs to be detailed and meticulous in its methodology, and cautious in its conclusions.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1 Ooi YL, Khang TF, Naidu M, Fong KCS. The structural effect of intravitreal brilliant blue G and indocyanine green in rats eyes. Eye 2013; 27: 425-431.
- Shukla D, Kalliath J, Srinivasan K, Neelakantan N, Rajendran A, Naresh KB et al. Management of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment with coexisting macular hole: a comparison of vitrectomy with and without internal limiting membrane peeling. Retina 2013; 33: 571-578.
- Shukla D, Kalliath J, Patwardhan A, Kannan NB, Thayyil SB. A preliminary study of Heavy Brilliant Blue G for internal limiting membrane staining in macular hole surgery. Indian I Ophthalmol 2012; 60: 531-534.
- Shukla D, Kalliath J, Neelakantan N, Naresh KB, Ramasamy K. A comparison of brilliant blue G, trypan blue, and indocyanine green dyes to assist internal limiting membrane peeling during macular hole surgery. Retina 2011; 31: 2021-2025.
- Shukla D, Kalliath J, Tandon M, Vijayakumar B. Vitrectomy for optic disk pit with macular schisis and outer retinal dehiscence. Retina 2012; 32: 1337-1342.
- Kumar A, Gogia V, Shah VM, Nag TC. Comparative evaluation of anatomical and functional outcomes using brilliant blue G versus triamcinolone assisted ILM peeling in macular hole surgery in Indian population. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2011; 249: 987-995.

D Shukla^{1,2} and J Singh¹

¹Aravind Eye Hospital & Postgraduate Institute, Madurai, India ²Centre for Sight, Ludhiana, India E-mail: daksh66@gmail.com

Eye (2014) 28, 1147; doi:10.1038/eye.2014.122; published online 30 May 2014