

Sir,

We read the article by Watts and Adams with interest.<sup>1</sup> In essence, it reproduces the work of our earlier study in documenting the impact of an assisted conception programme on screening for and treatment of retinopathy of prematurity (ROP).<sup>2</sup> However, we would disagree with their conclusion that the major risk factor is assisted conception with *in vitro* fertilisation (IVF) specifically.

In their paper, Watts and Adams showed that infants born after IVF constituted a greater percentage of the stage 3 and treated ROP cases than might have been expected. As the number of infants conceived by either IVF or ovulation induction with gonadotrophins is very small, this observation should be interpreted with more care, particularly as there is no other evidence to support their conclusion. Furthermore, ovulation induction is commonly prescribed before patients are referred to hospital and so it is difficult to be certain that all the patients with ovulation induction had been identified, particularly in a retrospective review of obstetric notes.<sup>3</sup> It is therefore possible that more of the infants with ROP might have been the product of assisted conception other than IVF.

The major factor contributing to the workload of an ROP screening programme is the increased number of multiple births. These infants are often born prematurely, with a low birth weight, and this is associated with increased morbidity and mortality. All assisted conception techniques are associated with a high rate of multiple births. Both IVF and ovulation induction treatment increase the frequency of twin and higher multiple births from a natural rate of 1% to in excess of 20%.<sup>4,5</sup> As a result, ROP screening is indicated for less than 2% of infants conceived naturally and for more than 20% of those conceived by assisted conception.<sup>2</sup> Watts and Adams refer to a single study which failed to show a difference in ROP stage between single and multiple pregnancies, but fail to point out that this involved a selected group of infants, all of whom required screening for ROP, and the birth weight was the same in the two groups.<sup>6</sup> The authors address the issue of multiple births but refer to the observation that the perinatal outcome of both single and multiple pregnancies resulting from IVF may be different from those conceived naturally. The evidence for this has been conflicting, but it now seems likely that any difference in perinatal morbidity is a consequence of zygosity and maternal characteristics such as age and pregnancy-induced hypertension.<sup>7,8</sup>

Good obstetric care and a reduction in the frequency of twin and higher multiple births are most likely to reduce the impact of assisted conception on an ROP screening programme. The adverse outcome for infants conceived as a result of assisted conception is a consequence of their gestational age and birth weight, not the method of conception.

#### References

1. Watts P, Adams GGW. *In vitro* fertilisation and stage 3 retinopathy of prematurity. *Eye* 2000;14:330-3.
2. McKibbin M, Dabbs TR. Assisted conception and retinopathy of prematurity. *Eye* 1996;10:476-8.
3. Dunn A, Macfarlane A. Recent trends in the incidence of multiple births and associated mortality in England and Wales. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 1996;75:F10-9.
4. Guzick DS, Carson SA, Coutifaris C, Overstreet JW, Factor-Litvak P, Steinkamp MP, *et al*. Efficacy of superovulation and intrauterine insemination in the treatment of infertility. National Cooperative Reproductive Medicine Network. *N Engl J Med* 1999;340:177-83.
5. Bergh T, Ericson A, Hillensjo T, Nygren KG, Wennerholm UB. Deliveries and children born after *in vitro* fertilisation in Sweden 1982-95: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 1999;354:1579-85.
6. Blumenfeld LC, Siatkowski RM, Johnson RA, Feuer WJ, Flynn JT. Retinopathy of prematurity in multiple-gestation pregnancies. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1998;125:197-203.
7. Fitzsimmons BP, Bebbington MW, Fluker MR. Perinatal and neonatal outcomes in multiple gestations: assisted reproduction versus spontaneous conception. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1998;179:1162-7.
8. Dhont M, De Sutter P, Ruyssinck G, Martens G, Bekaert A. Perinatal outcome of pregnancies after assisted reproduction: a case-control study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1999;181:688-95.

M. McKibbin ✉

A.P. Booth

T.R. Dabbs

Eye Clinic

Leeds General Infirmary

Leeds LS2 9NS, UK

Sir,

We thank Messrs McKibbin, Booth and Dabbs for their interest in our paper. Our study was not intended to be a reproduction of their work<sup>1</sup> but aimed to define whether the risk of retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) varied with the method of assisted conception. Our data do have limitations in that they are retrospective and from a single centre.

The hospital where our ROP screening was performed did not have an assisted conception unit and the patients were representative of the catchment area.<sup>2</sup> Data were retrieved from obstetric and neonatal charts, which had fields that required information on methods of conception stipulating specifically whether gonadotrophins or other methods of assisted conception were used. Therefore, although it is possible our study may not have included all the babies conceived by gonadotrophin stimulation, we are equally as likely to have failed to record some of those conceived by *in vitro* fertilisation (IVF).

McKibbin and Dabbs' paper had screening data on 29 infants born by assisted conception of whom only 2 required treatment for threshold disease. Their data suggest that 18% of stage 3 cases and 25% of threshold cases were born after assisted conception. These figures are therefore not dissimilar to our study and show an over-representation of assisted conceptions in those reaching threshold disease. However, they do not state the method of assisted conception in those babies reaching threshold disease. Our data showed 28.6% of those reaching threshold disease were born by assisted conception, of which 83.3% were conceived through IVF, which supports our final statement. We would be most interested to know the details of assisted conception in their study.

We agree that while it is true that the majority of babies conceived by IVF are the product of multiple births, with a lower birth weight and gestational age,<sup>3,4</sup> the singleton assisted-conception babies are also more likely than normally conceived babies to be born premature with a low birth weight.<sup>5</sup> We noted in our paper that the babies conceived by IVF weighed more and were older than the rest of the infants reaching threshold disease, though this did not reach statistical significance. We therefore suggest that there may be factors other than low gestational age and low birth weight involved to account for the observation of a greater number of IVF babies reaching threshold disease.

Whatever factors may be responsible for the greater proportion of IVF babies in our cohort reaching threshold disease we do not believe that it is possible to state categorically, as McKibbin and Dabbs have suggested, that the adverse outcome for these infants is a consequence of their gestational age and birth weight and not the method of conception.

With regard to the reference to ROP in multiple gestation pregnancies,<sup>6</sup> only 17% of the neonates of multiple gestation pregnancies in this study required screening. The stage of ROP

was related to the birth weight and gestational age in the multiple gestation group. Interestingly the percentage of neonates reaching threshold was smaller in the multiple gestation group than the single gestation group. Using risk-adjusted outcomes for very low birth weight (VLBW = between 401 and 1500 g) neonates it has been shown that twins and singletons have similar morbidity and mortality outcomes.<sup>7</sup> In addition it has been reported that the more severe ROP may be observed in the higher birth weight twin.<sup>8</sup> We therefore do not accept that the only reason for these children reaching threshold disease is age and birth weight.

Excellent obstetric and neonatal care is indeed having an impact on ROP screening, with the evidence suggesting a reduction in severe retinopathy except in the extremely premature neonates of less than 25 weeks gestation.<sup>10-12</sup> The increasing survival of VLBW infants is possibly a direct result of good obstetric and neonatal care,<sup>9</sup> with the mothers of twins more likely to receive prenatal care, have caesarian delivery and receive antenatal glucocorticoids than those with singleton pregnancies.<sup>7</sup> Hence assisted conceptions are likely to receive 'good' obstetric care and the development of ROP would appear not to be a direct result of their plural gestation or solely a function of their gestational age and birth weight. Our study suggests that the method of conception is an important risk factor for the development of severe ROP.

We continue to exercise and advise extreme caution in all assisted conceptions reaching stage 3 disease, as the experience in our cohort demonstrates that they are likely to progress to threshold disease.

#### References

1. McKibbin M, Dabbs TR. Assisted conception and retinopathy of prematurity. *Eye* 1996;10:476-8.
2. Watts P, Adams GGW. *In vitro* fertilisation and stage 3 retinopathy. *Eye* 2000;14:330-3.
3. Luke B. The changing pattern of multiple births in the United States: maternal and infant characteristics 1973 and 1990. *Obstet Gynecol* 1994;84:101-6.
4. MRC working party on children conceived by *in vitro* fertilisation. Births in Great Britain resulting from assisted conception, 1978-87. *BMJ* 1990;300:1229-33.
5. McFaul PB, Patel N, Mills J. An audit of obstetric outcome of 148 consecutive pregnancies from assisted conception: implication for neonatal services. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1993;100:820-5

6. Blumenfeld LC, Siatkowski M, Johnson RA, Feuer WJ, Flynn JT. Retinopathy of prematurity in multiple gestation pregnancies. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1998;125:197-203.
7. Donovan EF, Ehrenkranz RA, Shankaran S, *et al.*, for the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network. Outcomes of very low birth weight twins cared for in the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network's intensive care units. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1998;179:742-9.
8. Fellows RR, McGregor ML, Bremen DL, *et al.* Retinopathy of prematurity in discordant twins. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus* 1995;32:86-8.
9. Stevenson DK, Wright LL, Lemons JA, *et al.*, for the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network. Very low birth weight outcomes of the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network. January 1993 through December 1994. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1998;179:1632-9.
10. Higgins RD, Mendelsohn AL, DeFeo MJ, *et al.* Antenatal dexamethasone and decreased severity of retinopathy. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1998;116:601-5.
11. Termote J, Schalijs-Delfos NE, Brouwers HAA, Donders ART, Cats BP. New developments in neonatology: less severe retinopathy of prematurity? *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus* 2000;37:142-8.
12. Coats DK, Paysse EA, Steinkuller PG. Threshold retinopathy of prematurity in neonates less than 25 weeks estimated gestational age. *J AAPOS* 2000;4:183-5.

P. Watts ✉  
G.G.W. Adams  
Moorfields Eye Hospital  
City Road  
London EC1V 2PD, UK

Sir,

I read with interest the report concerning first day discharge to the optometrist with subsequent communication to the hospital in 77% of cases.<sup>1</sup>

Before we are all swept into a whirlwind of fast track cataract surgery (others, notably in Gloucestershire, have recommended but one post-operative visit<sup>2</sup>), may I make a plea for documentation of visual outcomes.

The national benchmark is for 6/9 with correction after phacoemulsification in 76%.<sup>3</sup> However, this leaves much to be desired: the aim should be for at least 80% seeing 6/12 unaided and with 99%

achieving 6/9 with correction when comorbidity is excluded. How are we therefore to improve standards without careful follow-up and self-audit? It seems that the rush into higher turnover with optometrist communication at best in only 77%, will inevitably lower the standard of patient care.

#### References

1. Muthucumarana DJ, Rimmer TJ. Cataract surgery and the optometrist. *Eye* 2000;14:777-8.
2. Moss S. Referring patients to hospital: the Gloucestershire experience. *Action on Cataracts*, Leeds, 12 July 2000.
3. Desai P, Minassian DC, Reidy A. National Cataract Surgery Survey 1997-8. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1999;83:1336-40.

Mr Piers Percival ✉  
Department of Ophthalmology  
Scarborough Hospital  
Woodlands Drive  
Scarborough  
North Yorkshire YO12 6QL, UK

Tel: +44 (0)172 3 368111  
Fax: +44 (0)172 3 377223

Sir,

Percival is concerned that post-operative arrangements outlined in our paper are inadequate for audit. Concerns of such a leading figure in modern British cataract surgery must be taken very seriously indeed. We all want the highest standard of surgery delivered to as many patients as possible within the confines of available resources.

Despite the absence of feedback in 23%, only 6 eyes were unaccounted for out of 318 cataract operations because of ongoing follow-up for other conditions. Those few patients were probably satisfied because it is inconceivable that a British general practitioner would refer a dissatisfied patient to another eye surgeon without informing the operating surgeon. Thus no patients suffered as a result of the shared care.

High standards of surgery could be ensured without exhaustive post-operative details of every single patient. A successful cataract operation depends on a highly skilled surgeon and an accurate biometrist. A surgeon could be preoperatively assessed as part of the College inspection, in part analogous to the monitoring of airline pilots. This would reveal more of the surgeon's respect for the endothelium than could be detected in clinics, not to mention bedside manner, which is important for an overall success of local anaesthetic cataract surgery as perceived by the patient. In due course artificial, calibrated eyes may be available against which biometrists could be measured.