References

- 1. Newman DK, Anwar S, Jordan K. Glaucoma screening by optometrists: positive predictive value of visual field testing. Eye 1998;12:921–4.
- Theodossiades J, Murdoch I. Positive predictive value of optometristinitiated referrals for glaucoma. Ophthalmol Physiol Opt 1999;19:62-7.
- 3. Vernon SA, Henry DJ, Cater L, Jones SJ. Screening for glaucoma in the community by non-ophthalmologically trained staff using semi-automated equipment. Eye 1990;4:89–97.

Nicholas J. Rumney, MScOptom, FCOptom, FAAO ☑ Marbury House 38 St Owen Street Hereford HR1 2PR, UK

David B. Henson, PhD Department of Ophthalmology University of Manchester Manchester, UK

Sir,

We thank Rumney & Henson for their comments on our paper. They have nicely illustrated the difficulties of screening for a condition with low prevalence, such as glaucoma. The purpose of our paper, however, was to investigate the positive predictive value (PPV) of visual field testing by optometrists rather than the overall PPV of glaucoma screening. Perimetry is increasingly being used by optometrists as a screening test for glaucoma.

Glaucoma suspects referred with isolated field loss were identified as an increasing source of false positive referrals. The proportion of such referrals was considerably greater in our study (12%) compared with previous surveys (around 1%).^{2,3} While the overall PPV for glaucoma (43%) was similar to previous reports,^{2,3} patients referred with isolated field loss had a much lower PPV for glaucoma than any other referral group. Most of these false positive referrals resulted from inappropriate visual field testing by optometrists.

Any screening test should be performed in accordance with validated screening methodology. For perimetry, this means selective screening of a population at increased risk of glaucoma and repeating abnormal perimetry (in the absence of other features of glaucoma) to confirm genuine field loss before referral. Our study suggests that current visual field testing by optometrists is leading to unnecessary false positive referrals because such principles are not always observed. False positive referrals have obvious

resource implications for the hospital eye service, but may also have adverse effects for the individual.⁵

Finally, we would certainly endorse the recommendation that optometrists and ophthalmologists need to work closely together in order to achieve acceptable positive (and negative) predictive values for glaucoma screening. Regular quality control should be an integral component of any screening programme. Optometrists will only be able to monitor their glaucoma screening practice if they receive routine feedback on referrals. This is perhaps one area where inter-professional communications could be improved.

References

- 1. Newman DK, Anwar S, Jordan K. Glaucoma screening by optometrists: positive predictive value of visual field testing. Eye 1998;12:921–4.
- Tuck MW, Crick RP. Efficiency of referral for suspected glaucoma. BMJ 1991;302:998–1000.
- 3. Sheldrick JH, Ng C, Austin DJ, Rosenthal AR. An analysis of referral routes and diagnostic accuracy in cases of suspected glaucoma. Ophthalmic Epidemiol 1994;1:31–9.
- Katz J, Tielsch JM, Quigley HA, Javitt J, Witt K, Sommer A. Automated suprathreshold screening for glaucoma: the Baltimore Eye Survey. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1993;34:3271–7.
- 5. Stewart-Brown S, Farmer A. Screening could seriously damage your health. BMJ 1997;314:533–4.

D.K. Newman ☑ S. Anwar K. Jordan Department of Ophthalmology West Suffolk Hospital Hardwick Lane Bury St Edmunds Suffolk IP33 2QZ, UK

Sir.

In their case report on post-operative myopic shift due to intracapsular Healon, Reck *et al.*¹ describe the condition as 'rare'. My own experience, presented below, may indicate otherwise.

During a 17 month period I saw 19 cases of capsular distension syndrome (CDS). All followed uncomplicated phacoemulsification surgery via clear corneal incisions, with neat capsulorhexis edges well apposed to acrylic intraocular lenses (IOLs). All 13 cases that occurred on the first postoperative day were associated with 1.50 D to 3.00 D of myopia, and all of these except one resolved within 2 weeks, with resolution of the myopia. Six cases

were noted later after surgery, between 4 and 22 months post-operatively. Four of these required YAG laser capsulotomy for gross cellular proliferation, of which 2 lost 1.00 D to 1.25 D of myopia following the procedure.

In all cases an Acrygel (acrylic) IOL was used. In the first 5 cases Healon GV was used; in the remainder, Viscoat. In all but the first three cases, viscoelastic was almost entirely removed by performing irrigation/aspiration between the IOL and the posterior capsule. The subsequent gross distension of the capsular bag would suggest that fluid had been drawn into the closed space of the bag, formed by the tight apposition of the anterior capsular ring with the IOL. This would support Holtz's2 theory of an osmotic gradient due to small quantities of retained viscoelastic.

During the period of surgery of these cases, other surgeons at the same hospital using the same materials and similar techniques did not experience CDS, and the incidence in my hands was 2.5%. However, since that period I have changed both my IOL and viscoelastic of choice, and I have not seen any further cases.

CDS may be more prevalent than is currently recognised. Six cases were reported in 1990,³ 7 in 1992,² and single cases in 1996⁴ and 1998.⁵ It is likely that the condition is under-diagnosed, especially if most cases resolve spontaneously a few days after surgery. CDS should be suspected in any case of unexplained post-operative myopia.

References

- 1. Reck AC, Pathmanathan T, Butler RE. Post-operative myopic shift due to trapped intracapsular Healon. Eye 1998;12:900–1.
- Holtz SJ. Postoperative capsular bag distension. J Cataract Refract Surg 1992;18:310–7.
- Davison JA. Capsular bag distension after endophacoemulsification and posterior chamber intraocular lens implantation. J Cataract Refract Surg 1990;16:99–108.
- Maxwell WA. Capsular bag distension with posterior chamber intraocular lenses. Phaco & Foldables (Alcon Surgical) 1996;9(2).
- Nishi O, Nishi K, Takahashi E. Capsular bag distension syndrome noted 5 years after intraocular lens implantation. Am J Ophthalmol 1998;125:545–7.

Robert Murray, FRCS, FRCOphth Sorders General Hospital Melrose
Roxburghshire TD6 9BS, UK
Tel: +44 (0)1896 754333, ext 1033
Fax: +44 (0)1896 823476