Inactivation patterns of *p16/INK4A* in oral squamous cell carcinomas

Jeong-Keun Lee^{1,4}, Myung-Jin Kim² Sam-Pyo Hong³ and Seong-Doo Hong³

¹Department of Dentistry School of Medicine, Ajou University Suwon 442-749, Korea ²Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery ³Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology College of Dentistry, Seoul National University, Seoul 110-749, Korea ⁴Corresponding author: Tel, 82-31-219-5333; Fax, 82-31-219-5329; E-mail, arcady@ajou.ac.kr

Accepted 16 February 2004

Abbreviations: cdks, cyclin-dependent kinases; INK4, Inhibitor for cyclin-dependent kinase 4; SCC, Squamous cell carcinoma; pRb, retinoblastoma tumor suppressor protein

Abstract

The p16/INK4A is one of the major target genes in carcinogenesis and its inactivation has frequently been reported in other types of tumors. The purpose of this study is to evaluate inactivation patterns of p16/INK4A in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Six different oral cancer cell lines. SCC-4, SCC-9, SCC-15, SCC-25, KB, and SNUDH-379 were examined for inactivation of p16/INK4A genes. In the analysis of p16/INK4A gene inactivation, PCR amplification, direct sequencing, and methylation-specific PCR methods were adopted for evaluation of homozygous deletion, point mutation, and promoter hypermethylation, respectively. Homozygous deletion was detected in SCC-25 and SCC-9. SCC-15 showed hypermethylated promoter region within p16/INK4A gene. It is suggestive in the present study that inactivation patterns of p16/INK4A were mainly homozygous deletion, promoter methylation rather than point mutation in oral squamous cancer cell lines, so treatment modalities of oral squamous cell carcinoma should be focused on these types of inactivation.

Keywords: homozygous deletion; oral squamous cell carcinoma; *p16/INK4A*; promoter methylation

Introduction

Recent studies have revealed the molecular basis of cell cycle control and a critical role of collapsing this homeostatic mechanism in carcinogenesis. At the core of cell cycle control lies a late G1 checkpoint in which critical biochemical activity is achieved by many kinds of regulating enzymes. Positioned in late G₁ phase, the regulatory mechanism centered around the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor protein (pRb) plays an important role by participating in decisions on cell cycle progression depending on the cellular environment (Bartek et al., 1997). Many reports have focused on the critical role played by this regulatory mechanism known as the pRb pathway (Pardee, 1989; Stein et al., 1990; Weinberg, 1995; Hertwig and Strauss, 1997; Sellers and Kaelin, 1997; Kim et al., 2003).

The pRb-E2F connection is the heart of the pRb pathway in that phosphorylated pRb liberates E2F to commence DNA replication and helps cell cycle forward. But phosphorylation of pRb is tightly controlled by a variety of regulating enzymes including p16^{INK4A} as an inhibitor of phosphorylation. Cell cycle driving force exerted by cdk 4 or 6/cyclin D is normally balanced with this protein and collapsing this homeostatic mechanism is one of the causative factors of carcinogenesis (Liggett and Sidransky, 1998). This protein is the product of p16/INK4A gene that is one of the main target tumor suppressor genes. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the role of p16/INK4A inactivation in the development of oral squamous cell carcinoma by analyzing its inactivation pattern of homozygous deletion, point mutation, and promoter methylation via PCR amplification, direct sequencing, and methylation-specific PCR methods, respectively.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines

Six oral squamous cancer cell lines were used in this study including SCC-4, SCC-9, SCC-15, SCC-25, KB, and SNUDH-379. HF-3 (human fibroblast cell line), Saos-2, and U-2 OS (Osteosarcoma cell lines) were used for positive controls. Of these, SCC-4, SCC-9, SCC-15, SCC-25, KB, Saos-2, and U-2 OS cell lines were obtained from ATCC (American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD). SNUDH-379 and HF-3

were kindly provided by Professor Hong (Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea). Tissue sources for SCC-4, SCC-9, SCC-15, SCC-25 cell lines were from the human tongue (Rheinwald and Beckett, 1981). SCC-15 was previously proven to be hypermethylated in the p16/INK4A promoter region (Cody et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2000), but was included in this experiment to be examined for other possible abnormalities including homozygous deletion and point mutation. The KB cell line, which had been used extensively in many studies (Eagle, 1955; Eagle and Foley, 1958; Salzman, 1961; Boshart et al., 1984), was also included in this study. SNUDH-379 cell line was established from pathologically confirmed oral squamous cell carcinoma of a less well differentiated type involving maxilla of a 55-year-old female Korean. The author included this established cell line in this study to test possible alterations of *p16/INK4A* gene.

SCC-4, SCC-9, SCC-15, and SCC-25 cell lines were cultured in Ham's F12/DMEM (1:1) supplemented with 10% FBS, and 0.4 µg/ml hydrocortisone. KB and SNUDH-379 cell lines were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. Initial passages were performed when heavy tumor cell growth was observed and subsequent passages were performed every four or five day on all of these six cell lines. Twenty to twenty five passages were performed on SCC-4, SCC-9, SCC-15, SCC-25, and KB cell lines and between 15 and 20 on SNUDH-379. All cultured cells were in the late log phase growth at 75-80% confluence immediately before DNA isolation. Names, origins, sources, and culture media of the cell lines that were used are listed in Table 1.

DNA isolation

Cell monolayers were washed in PBS and lysed in 2 ml of DNA lysis buffer (50 mL of STE (0.1 M NaCl, 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0) buffer, 1 ml of 0.5 M EDTA, 5 ml of 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate) and 100 μ l of 20 mg/ml proteinase K was added to the samples.

Table 1. Cell lines used.

After the samples were incubated at 60° C for 2 h, DNA was isolated from the samples with phenol and chloroform, precipitated with ethanol, and re-dissolved in 50 µl of water.

Determination of deletion and point mutation

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification

Samples were examined for deletion of exon 1 and exon 2 of p16/INK4A gene by the PCR amplification method. Exon 1 was amplified as one fragment while exon 2 was split into two fragments. HF-3 cell line was used as an internal positive control for the p16/INK4A amplification. The primers used for PCR amplification were designed from the published p16/INK4A sequences (Zhang *et al.*, 1994) and are listed in Table 2.

Two hundred ng of purified DNA were amplified in a 20 μ l solution containing 1×PCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1 μ M of each primer, 0.125 mM of each deoxynucleotide triphosphate, 5% dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO), and 1 U of Taq polymerase. Thirty-five cycles of PCR were completed using GeneAmp PCR system 2400 (PE applied biosystem, Foster City, CA) under the following conditions: denaturation at 95°C, 60 s; annealing at 60°C, 60 s; and extension at 72°C, 60 s. Amplified PCR products were electrophoresed on 1.8% agarose gels. The absence of visible bands amplified by PCR indicated homozygous deletion.

Direct sequencing

PCR products were directly sequenced by a PCRbased sequencing method using the BigDye terminator cycle sequencing kit (PE applied biosystem, Foster City, CA) with Genetic Analyzer 310 (PE applied biosystem, Foster City, CA). Briefly, following the cleanup of PCR product using PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) the 200 ng of purified PCR products and 4 pM of primers were mixed with

Name	Origin	Source	Culture Media
SCC-4	Tongue squamous cell carcinoma	ATCC ^a	DMEM/F12(1:1), 10% FBS
SCC-9	Tongue squamous cell carcinoma	ATCC	DMEM/F12(1:1), 10% FBS
SCC-15	Tongue squamous cell carcinoma	ATCC	DMEM/F12(1:1), 10% FBS
SCC-25	Tongue squamous cell carcinoma	ATCC	DMEM/F12(1:1), 10% FBS
KB	Mouth squamous cell carcinoma	ATCC	DMEM, 10% FBS
SNUDH-379	Gingiva squamous cell carcinoma	SNUDH⁵	DMEM, 10% FBS

a, American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD, USA; b, Seoul National University Dental Hospital, Seoul, Korea

BigDye reaction mixture to make a total volume of 20 μ l. Twenty-five cycles of PCR reactions were done under the following conditions: denaturation at 96°C for 10 s; annealing at 50°C for 5 s; and extension at 60°C for 4 min. Reaction products were loaded into the Genetic Analyzer 310 (PE applied biosystem, Foster city, CA) for electrophoresis.

Determination of promoter methylation

Three types of methylation-specific PCRs were designed: methylation-specific PCRs with unmodified DNA samples and wild-type primer pairs, those with modified DNA samples and unmethylation-specific primer pairs, and those with modified DNA samples and methylation-specific primer pairs, respectively. Three primer pairs were used as wild-type, unmethylationspecific, and methylation-specific PCR primers, respectively. These primer pairs were designed after the published sequences (Herman et al., 1996) and are listed in Table 2. Saos-2 and U-2 OS cell lines were used as positive control for unmethylation-specific, methylation-specific PCR, respectively. Reported methylation status of these two controls (Otterson et al., 1995) was used to determine the internal positive controls. Sample DNAs were modified for unmethylation-specific and methylation specific PCRs. One µg of DNA in a volume of 50 µl was denatured by 0.2 M of NaOH for 10 min at 37°C. Thirty µl of 10 mM hydroquinone (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and 520 μ l of 3 M sodium bisulfite (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) of pH 5, both freshly prepared, were added and mixed, and the samples were incubated under mineral oil at 50°C for 16 h. Modified DNA was purified using the Qiagen DNA purification kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer and eluted into 50 μ l of water. Modification was completed *via* treatment by 0.3 M of NaOH for 5 min at room temperature, followed by ethanol precipitation. DNA was resuspended in water and used immediately or stored at -20°C for later use.

The PCR mixture contained 1×PCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1 μ M of each primer, 0.125 mM of each deoxynucleotide triphosphate, 5% dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO), 1 U of Taq polymerase, and 100 ng of unmodified or bisulfite-modified DNAs in a final volume of 50 µl. Reactions were hot-started at 95°C for 5 min before the addition of 1 U of Taq polymerase. Amplification was carried out for 35 cycles under the following conditions. Unmodified DNA was denatured for 30 s at 95°C, annealed for 30 s at 60° C, and extended for 30 s at 72°C with wild-type primer pairs. The condition for unmethylation-specific PCR was the same except that the annealing temperature was 56°C with unmethylation-specific primer pairs. Methvlation-specific PCR was done under the same conditions as the unmethylation-specific PCR except that the annealing temperature was 58°C with methylation-specific primer pairs. Final extension was done

		Primer set	Sequence	Size(bp)	
		Sense	5'TCTGCGGAGAGGGGGGAGAGCAGGCA3'		
	p16E1"	Antisense	5'GCGCTACCTGATTCCAATTC3'	279	
PCR –	p16E2a	Sense	5'ACAAGCTTCCTTTCCGTCATGCCG3'	244	
		Antisense	5'CCAGGCATCGCGCACGTCCA3'		
		Sense	5'TTCCTGGACACGCTGGTGGT3'		
	p16E2b	Antisense	5'TCTGAGCTTTGGAAGCTCTCAG3'	242	
MSP _		Sense	5'CAGAGGGTGGGGCGGACCGC3'		
	p16W~	Antisense	5'CGGGCCGCGGCCGTGG3'	140	
	p16U	Sense	5'TTATTAGAGGGTGGGGTGGATTGT3'		
		Antisense	5'CAACCCCAAACCACAACCATAA3'	151	
		Sense	5'TTATTAGAGGGTGGGGCGGATCGC3'		
	p16M	Antisense	5'GACCCCGAACCGCGACCGTAA3'	——— 150	

Table 2. Oligonucleotide primers used for polymerase chain reactions (PCR) and methylation-specific PCR (MSP).

^aE1: Exon 1, ^bW: wild-type primers, E2a: 5' fragment of Exon 2, U: unmethylation-specific primers, E2b: 3' fragment of Exon 2, M: methylation-specific primers.

for 5 min at 72°C in all three types of methylation-specific PCRs. Controls without DNA were performed for each set of PCRs. Each PCR product was directly loaded on 1.8% agarose gels, and directly visualized under UV illumination.

Results

Homozygous deletion rather than point mutation on the *p16/INK4A* loci

Six tumor DNA samples were subjected to PCR for the assessment of homozygous deletion. Exon 1 and exon 2 of *p16/INK4A* were independently amplified using HF-3 primers in the same reactions as an



Figure 1. Analysis of *p16/INK4A* exon 1 (A), exon 2a (B), and exon 2b (C) by PCR. Each exon was amplified by PCR and 20 μ I of PCR products were separated on a 1.8% agarose gel. The bands corresponding to the predicted molecular weights of *p16/INK4A* amplicons are indicated by arrows: A, 279 bp for exon 1; B, 244 bp for exon 2a; and C, 242 bp for exon 2b. Results showed the bands were lost at exon 1 in SCC-9 and SCC-25 (lane 5 and 7, respectively in A) and lost at exon 2 in SCC-25 (lane 7 in B and C). 1) DNA size marker; pUC 18 *Msp* I digest, 2) Absence of DNA (negative control), 3) HF-3 (positive control), 4) SCC-4, 5) SCC-9, 6) SCC-15, 7) SCC-25, 8) KB, 9) SNUDH-379.

internal positive control. Because of the large size of exon 2 (307 bp), it was amplified as two separate fragments. Homozygous deletions of the p16/INK4A gene were detected in two of six oral cancer cell lines. Exon 1 and exon 2 of the p16/INK4A gene were entirely deleted homozygously in SCC-25, and only exon 1 was deleted in SCC-9 (Figure 1). On the other hand, no alterations were detected in SCC-4, SCC-15, KB, and SNUDH-379. We have directly se-



Figure 2. Analysis of methylation status of p16/INK4A promoter regions by methylation-specific PCR. (A) Methylation-specific PCR product of unmodified DNA with wild-type primer pairs. All of the experimental cell lines showed visible bands at predicted molecular weights (140 bp) except the SCC-9 and SCC-25 (lane 6 and 8, respectively) which in previous experiment were proven to have deletions of p16/INK4A genes (Figure 1A). (B) Methylation-specific PCR product of modified DNA with unmethylation-specific primer pairs. The bands were seen at predicted molecular weights (151 bp) in SCC-4, KB, SNUDH-379 (lane 5, 9, 10, respectively). (C) Methylationspecific PCR product of modified DNA with methylation-specific primer pairs. The band was seen at predicted molecular weights (150 bp) only in SCC-15 (lane 7). 1) DNA size marker; pUC 18 Msp I digest, 2) Absence of DNA (negative control), 3) Saos-2 (positive control for unmethylation-specific PCR), 4) U-2 OS (positive control for methylation-specific PCR), 5) SCC-4, 6) SCC-9, 7) SCC-15, 8) SCC-25, 9) KB, 10) SNUDH-379

Primer set	SCC-4	SCC-9	SCC-15	SCC-25	KB	SNUDH-379
p16-W ^a	+	-	+	-	+	+
p16-U ^b	+	-	-	-	+	+
p16-M ^c	-	-	+	-	-	-

Table 3. Results of Methylation-specific PCR.

^a, W: unmodified or wild-type primers; ^b, U: unmethylation-specific primers; ^c, M: methylation-specific primers.

quenced all six tumor DNA samples for detection of point mutations but none of them showed any point mutations.

Methylation status in the promoter region of the p16/INK4A gene

Primer sequences were chosen for regions containing frequent cytosines to distinguish wild-type from modified DNA. Besides, CpG pairs near the 3' end of the primers were selected in determining the primer sequences to provide maximal discrimination in the PCR between unmethylated and methylated DNA. All the samples were subjected to methylation-specific PCR for the detection of methylation in the promoter region of the p16/INK4A gene. In PCR using wild-type primer pairs with unmodified samples, PCR products were detected in SCC-4, SCC-15, KB, and SNUDH-379 but not in SCC-9 and SCC-25 (Figure 2A). In PCR using unmethylation-specific primer pairs with bisulfite-modified samples, PCR products were detected in SCC-4, KB, and SNUDH-379 but not in SCC-9, SCC-15 and SCC-25 (Figure 2B). In PCR using methylationspecific primer pairs with bisulfite-modified samples, only SCC-15 yielded PCR product (Figure 2C). The results of methylation-specific PCRs are summarized in Table 3.

Discussion

In the amplification study for exon 1, no bands were found in SCC-9 and SCC-25 as shown at lane 5 and 7, respectively in Figure 1A. In the case with exon 2, the band was lost in SCC-25 only at lane 7 in Figure 1B and C. From the fact that p16/INK4A was deleted only at exon 1 in SCC-9 and deleted at both exon 1 and 2 in SCC-25, we have concluded that each of the exons is independently deleted and any deletion of these exons can lead to inactivation of p16/INK4A gene. In this study, the author adopted PCR amplification method, not RT-PCR method, for assessment of the deletion because the reaction product would be complementary DNA and not genomic DNA in RT-PCR. *p16/INK4A* gene is made up of three exons, which were spliced by two introns: a 5' region of 126 bp (exon 1), a middle region of 307 bp (exon 2), and 3' region of 11 bp (exon 3). In the deletion analysis, we only included exon 1 and exon 2 because the 3' region (exon 3) contains only 11 bp of genes and this was considered negligible.

In many types of malignant tumors, homozvgous deletion of p16/INK4A gene and hypermethylation of the promoter CpG island are main causes of tumorigenesis (Mead et al., 1994; Brenner et al., 1996; Marchini et al., 1997; Drexler, 1998; Tsutsumi et al., 1998; Wei et al., 1999). In primary tumors, the rate of p16/INK4A point mutation approximates 10% or so (Sartor et al., 1999; Wu et al., 1999). In an experimental study using eight oral squamous cancer cell lines, point mutation was found in five of six cell lines as the causative factor for p16/INK4A gene inactivation (Sartor et al., 1999). In the present study, authors could not find any point mutations in direct sequencing procedures of all six cell lines. This difference was partially due to the difference in cell lines. There was not a single matched cell line in these two studies. In addition to the complete mismatch of the cell lines, the cause of this difference may partly have been the sample size. The sample numbers of both studies were inadequate for statistical evaluation. It is discreetly considered that for a comparative study using data, the sample size should be increased.

There have been some differences in the past between the alteration rate of p16/INK4A gene and the expression rate of its gene product. Expression loss of $p16^{INK4A}$ protein has approached to 70% in non-small cell lung carcinomas (Otterson *et al.*, 1994). But the rate of p16/INK4A gene alteration in the same diagnosis was much lower in other experiments (Bonetta, 1994; Mead *et al.*, 1994). Some mechanism other than point mutation and deletion of genes was speculated and it is now known that hypermethylation of the promoter region causes transcriptional silencing and thus blocks translational procedures. In normal conditions, cytosines in CpG-poor region are methylated in contrast to the cytosines in the CpG islands, which are hardly methylated. This normal type of reading frame is recognized by the unmodified primers, which are wild-type primers. All forms of cytosines, dispersed in CpG-poor region or clustered as in CpG island, will be replaced by uracil when it is treated by bisulfite if they are unmethylated. But if methylated, cytosines remain the same in spite of its treatment by bisulfite. In this experiment, three primer pairs were used as unmodified, unmethylation-specific, and methylation-specific primers. Unmethylationspecific and methylation-specific primer pairs detected these uracils and 5-methylcytosines in reading frames, respectively. Methylation-specific PCR is sensitive and specific for methylation of virtually any block of CpG sites in CpG islands requiring only a small sample and being able to rule out pseudopositive reaction caused by partial digestion of methylation-sensitive enzymes used in other methylation studies (Herman et al., 1996). In methylation-specific PCR with wildtype primer pairs, the bands were intact except in SCC-9 and SCC-25 (lane 6 and lane 8, respectively in Figure 2A) meaning that the promoter regions of the cell lines were intact except in SCC-9 and SCC-25 regardless of the methylation status. In methylation-specific PCR with unmethylation-specific primer pairs, the bands were lost in SCC-9, SCC-15, and SCC-25 (lane 6, 7, and 8 in Figure 2B). Considering the deletion of p16/INK4A gene was previously identified in SCC-9 and SCC-25, the only abnormality found in this result was SCC-15 and this was confirmed by further experiments with methylation-specific primer pairs. As was expected, the result was positive only in SCC-15 in methylation-specific PCR with methylation-specific primer pairs (lane 7 in Figure 2C). The result shows that CpG islands in promoter region of p16/INK4A gene are hypermethylated only in SCC-15 of six oral squamous cancer cell lines selected. Promoter hypermethylation plays a role in the inactivation of p16/INK4A gene in oral squamous cell carcinomas.

Authors analyzed inactivation patterns of p16/INK4A genes to evaluate the role of p16/INK4A inactivation in the development of oral squamous cell carcinoma. Three kinds of inactivation patterns were examined; homozygous deletion by PCR amplification, point mutation by direct sequencing, and promoter methylation by methylation-specific PCR methods, respectively. p16/INK4A gene was deleted at exon 1 and exon 2 in SCC-25, and partially deleted at exon 1 in SCC-9. Promoter region of p16/INK4A gene was hypermethylated in SCC-15. But we could not find any evidence of point mutations in all the six cell lines. In this study, inactivation patterns of p16/INK4A were mainly homozygous deletion, promoter methylation rather than point mutation in oral squamous cancer cell lines, and it is suggested that treatment modalities of oral squamous cell carcinoma should be focused on these types of inactivation.

References

Bartek J, Bartkova J, Lukas J. The retinoblastoma protein pathway in cell cycle control and cancer. Exp Cell Res 1997;237:1-6

Bonetta L. Tumor-suppressor genes. Open questions on p16. Nature 1994;370:180

Boshart M, Gissmann L, Ikenberg H, Kleinheinz A, Scheurlen W, zur Hausen H. A new type of papillomavirus DNA, its presence in genital cancer biopsies and in cell lines derived from cervical cancer. EMBO J 1984;3:1151-7

Brenner AJ, Paladugu A, Wang H, Olopade OI, Dreyling MH, Aldaz CM. Preferential loss of expression of p16(INK4a) rather than p19(ARF) in breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res 1996;2:1993-8

Cody DT 2nd, Huang Y, Darby CJ, Johnson GK, Domann FE. Differential DNA methylation of the p16 INK4A/CDKN2A promoter in human oral cancer cells and normal human oral keratinocytes. Oral Oncol 1999;35:516-22

Drexler HG. Review of alterations of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor INK4 family genes p15, p16, p18, and p19 in human leukemia-lymphoma cells. Leukemia 1998;12:845-9

Eagle H. Propagation in a fluid medium of a human epidermoid carcinoma, strain KB (21811). Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 1955;89:362-4

Eagle H, FoleyGE. Cytotoxicity in human cell cultures as a primary screen for the detection of anti-tumor agents. Cancer Res 1958;18:1017-25.

Herman JG, Graff JR, Myöhänen S, Nelkin BD, Baylin SB. Methylation-specific PCR: a novel PCR assay for methylation status of CpG islands. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996;93: 9821-6

Hertwig S, Strauss M. The retinoblastoma protein- a master regulator of cell cycle, differentiation and apoptosis. Eur J Biochem 1997;246:581-601

Kim DM, Yang K, Yang BS. Biochemical characterizations reveal different properties between CDK4/cyclin D1 and CDK2/cyclin A. Exp Mol Med 2003;35:421-30

Kim HS, Chung WB, Hong SH, Kim JA, Na SY, Jang HJ, Sohn YK, Kim JW. Inactivation of p16^{INK4a} in primary tumors and cell lines of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Mol Cells 2000;10:557-65

Liggett WH Jr, Sidransky D. Role of the *p16* tumor suppressor gene. J Clin Oncol 1998;16:1197-206.

Marchini S, Codegoni AM, Bonazzi C, Chiari S, Broggini M. Absence of deletions but frequent loss of expression of $p16^{INK4}$ in human ovarian tumours. Br J Cancer 1997; 76:146-9

Mead LJ, Gillespie MT, Irving LB, Campbell LJ. Homozygous and hemizygous deletions of 9p centromeric to the interferon genes in lung cancer. Cancer Res 1994;54:2307-9

Otterson GA, Kratzke RA, Coxon A, Kim YW, Kaye FJ. Absence of $p16^{INK4}$ protein is restricted to the subset of lung cancer lines that retains wildtype RB. Oncogene 1994; 9:3375-8

Otterson GA, Khleif SN, Chen W, Coxon AB, Kaye FJ. CDKN2 gene silencing in lung cancer by DNA hypermethylation and kinetics of p16^{INK4A} protein induction by 5-aza 2' deoxycitidine. Oncogene 1995;11:1211-6

Pardee AB. G1 events and regulation of cell proliferation. Science 1989;246:603-8

Rheinwald JG, Beckett MA. Tumorigenic keratinocyte lines requiring anchorage and fibroblast support cultures from human squamous cell carcinomas. Cancer Res 1981;41: 1657-63

Salzman NP. Animal cell cultures. Science 1961;133:1559-65

Sartor M, Steingrimsdottir H, Elamin F, Gaken J, Warnakulasuriya S, Partridge M, Thakker N, Johnson NW, Tavassoli M. Role of p16/MTS1, cyclin D1 and RB in primary oral cancer and oral cancer cell lines. Br J Cancer 1999; 80:79-86

Sellers WR, Kaelin WG Jr. Role of the retinoblastoma protein in the pathogenesis of human cancer. J Clin Oncol 1997; 15:3301-12 Stein GH, Beeson M, Gordon L. Failure to phosphorylate the retinoblastoma gene product in senescent human fibroblasts. Science 1990;249:666-9

Tsutsumi M, Tsai YC, Gonzalgo ML, Nichols PW, Jones PA. Early acquisition of homozygous deletions of p16/p19 during squamous cell carcinogenesis and genetic mosaicism in bladder cancer. Oncogene 1998;17:3021-7

Wei G, Lonardo F, Ueda T, Kim T, Huvos AG, Healey JH, Ladanyi M. CDK4 gene amplification in osteosarcoma: reciprocal relationship with INK4A gene alterations and mapping of 12q13 amplicons. Int J Cancer 1999;80:199-204

Weinberg RA. The retinoblastoma protein and cell cycle control. Cell 1995;81:323-30

Wu CL, Roz L, McKown S, Sloan P, Read AP, Holland S, Porter S, Scully C, Paterson I, Tavassoli M, Thakker N. DNA studies underestimate the major role of CDKN2A inactivation in oral and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinomas. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 1999;25:16-25

Zhang SY, Klein-Szanto AJ, Sauter ER, Shafarenko M, Mitsunaga S, Nobori T, Carson DA, Ridge JA, Goodrow TL. Higher frequency of alterations in the p16/CDKN2 gene in squamous cell carcinoma cell lines than in primary tumors of the head and neck. Cancer Res 1994;54:5050-3