A possible scenario explaining the phylogeographic pattern of hg N1b is that the subclusters N1b-A and N1b-E might have diverged from a common ancestor anywhere in Siberia approximately 15 ky ago (with upper bound of divergence time,  $T_{\rm D}$ , between these subclusters estimated (according to Zhivotovsky<sup>9</sup>) as  $14.7 \pm 5.9$  ky). According to STR variation, expansion of Northwest Siberian/Uralic/Northeast European branch, N1b-E, may have occurred approximately 7 kya and the expansion of South Siberian branch N1b-A about 5 kva. Divergence time between South Siberian and Northwest Siberian/Uralic N1b-A haplotypes is 4 ky ( $T_D = 4.0 \pm 1.0$  ky), so this suggests that expansion of N1b-A lineages in Northwest Siberia and Urals (about 3 kya) might have occurred right after their migrations from South Siberia. Future studies of Y-chromosome variation using a combined STR-SNP approach may enable a better definition of geographic origin of N1b-P43 mutation.

## Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Program of Presidium of Russian Academy of Sciences 'Biodiversity and Gene Pools' and the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (07-04-00445).

Boris Malyarchuk<sup>1</sup> and Miroslava Derenko<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Genetics Laboratory, Institute of Biological Problems of the North, Russian Academy of Sciences, Magadan, Russia E-mail: malyarchuk@ibpn.ru

## References

- 1 Mirabal S, Regueiro M, Cadenas AM *et al*: Y-Chromosome distribution within the geo-linguistic landscape of northwestern Russia. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2009; **17**: 1260–1273.
- 2 Rootsi S, Zhivotovsky LA, Baldovic M *et al*: A counterclockwise northern route of the Y-chromosome haplogroup N from Southeast Asia towards Europe. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2007; **15**: 211–405.
- 3 Derenko M, Malyarchuk B, Denisova GA *et al*: Y-chromosome haplogroup N dispersals from south Siberia to Europe. *J Hum Genet* 2007; **52**: 763–770.
- 4 Pimenoff VN, Comas D, Palo JU, Vershubsky G, Kozlov A, Sajantila A: Northwest Siberian Khanty and Mansi in the junction of West and East Eurasian gene pools as revealed by uniparental markers. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2008; 16: 1254–1264.
- 5 Balanovsky O, Rootsi S, Pshenichnov A *et al*: Two sources of the Russian patrilineal heritage in their Eurasian context. *Am J Hum Genet* 2008; **82**: 236–250.
- 6 Bandelt H-J, Forster P, Roehl A: Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol* 1999; 16: 37–48.
- 7 Zhivotovsky LA, Underhill PA, Cinnioglu C *et al*: The effective mutation rate at Y chromosome short tandem repeats, with application to human population divergence time. *Am J Hum Genet* 2004; **74**: 50–61.
- 8 Sengupta S, Zhivotovsky LA, King R *et al*: Polarity and temporality of high-resolution Y-chromosome distributions in India identify both indigenous and exogenous expansions and reveals minor genetic influence of Central Asian pastoralists. *Am J Hum Genet* 2006; **78**: 202–221.

9 Zhivotovsky LA: Estimating divergence time with the use of microsatellite genetic distances: impacts of population growth and gene flow. *Mol Biol Evol* 2001; **18**: 700–709.

# Reply to B Malyarchuk and M Derenko: a need for further investigation of Uralic and Siberian populations in the search for haplogroup N1b's origins

*European Journal of Human Genetics* (2009) **17**, 1541–1543; doi:10.1038/ejhg.2009.101; published online 17 June 2009

Malyarchuk and Derenko (see accompanying letter) have expanded on our previous work<sup>1</sup> by including northwest<sup>2</sup> and south<sup>3</sup> Siberian samples in Time to Most Recent Common Ancestor (TMRCA) calculations under haplogroup N1b (P43) (The Russian Pomor populations<sup>4</sup> the authors claim to have newly included in their analyses were already included in our TMRCA calculations<sup>1</sup>). We have taken the liberty of reanalyzing the data published in the aforementioned articles along with the results from the publications already investigated in our previously published work<sup>1</sup> using a population based as opposed to a regionally based approach to compliment Malyarchuk and Derenko's findings.

It should be noted that the results presented by Malyarchuk and Derenko should be tempered given that all claims made are based solely on TMRCA estimates. Although TMRCA estimates provide a signal of demographic expansion dating to the last recognizable bottleneck, determination of high frequency and/or diversity areas are particularly pertinent. In addition, these estimates (TMRCA) are subject to large standard errors, can be affected by founder population size and may also reflect gene flow within the same lineage. Insights from archaeology, linguistics and other lines of evidence besides molecular clocks may be worth considering. It should also be noted that STR haplotypes generally coalescence to more recent times than those ascertained by TMRCA as a result of lineage extinctions that are not always possible to detect.<sup>5</sup>

Overall, age of accumulated Y-chromosome short tandem repeat (Y-STR) variation of  $12.1 \pm 4.4$  kilo years ago (kya) as per Malyarchuk and Derenko's analyses is in close agreement

with our estimate yielding  $11.5 \pm 3.1$  kya, when all individuals from the regions analyzed (European, Uralic and Siberian) are pooled (Table 1). Malyarchuk and Derenko explain that data attained in their analyses suggests that the subset of Y-chromosomes belonging to haplogroup N1b-E (European branch) may have arisen earlier than N1b-A (Asian branch), as the former attains older age estimates  $(6.8 \pm 2.6 \text{ kya})$  than the latter ( $4.8 \pm 1.7$  kya). Our analyses yield similar ages to the ones reported by Malyarchuk and Derenko for Europeans and Siberians/Mongolians (Table 1), and also indicate that Y-STR variance and accumulated age estimates in a population-bypopulation basis are higher in European collections, ranging from 0.083 in the Mezen  $(3.0 \pm 2.1 \text{ kya})$  to 0.598 in the Other Slavs (exhibiting a bipartite structure including both Asian  $(6.0 \pm 3.7 \text{ kya})$  and European  $(7.0 \pm 3.6 \text{ kya})$  core haplotypes) than in Asian groups, which fluctuate from 0.046 in the South Siberian Tuvinians  $(1.7 \pm 0.7 \text{ kya})$  to 0.292 in the northwest Siberian Mansi  $(9.7 \pm 4.4 \text{ kya})$  (Table 2). Therefore, our results are in support of Malyarchuck and Derenko's findings, but in disagreement with the traditional view that branch N1b-A is older than N1b-E.<sup>6</sup>

The highest overall accumulated Y-STR variance and age estimates are achieved in the Komi from Priluzski (0.611 and  $12.9 \pm 4.1$  kya, respectively), as previously indicated.<sup>1</sup> Meanwhile, with the exemption of the Mansi from northwest Siberia, all Asian populations exhibit accumulated

ages of 6.3 Kya or less (Table 2). These results, along with the overall homogeneity (low Y-STR variance values as compared with the European or Uralic groups included in this study) throughout northwest and south Siberia and Mongolia are not suggestive of these regions as the cradle for haplogroup N1b. Generally, populations within Siberia are highly stratified, exhibiting pronounced levels of differentiation between them<sup>8,9</sup> and had haplogroup N1b arisen in Siberia, it is likely that high variance estimates would be apparent; however, the Siberian collections included in this work do not possess this said variation (Table 2) despite the large number of Asian Y-chromosomes sampled (N = 140).

Malyarchuk and Derenko also suggest that N1b-E may have arisen in the Khants, as the proclaimed ancestral modal haplotype for this branch is preceded by a profile present in this group in the figure they have presented. The accumulated variance in the Khants (taking both data from our previous work<sup>1</sup> and from Pimenoff *et al*<sup>2</sup>) yields an age estimate of  $4.3 \pm 2.3$  kya for the haplogroup's expansion in the population, a value that is in accordance with the rest of the collections in the region. The conclusion that northwest Siberia may be the birthplace for this branch of haplogroup N1b is undermined by these relatively young TMRCA results. In addition, the Khants and the Mansi are known to have arrived in northwest Siberia only recently during the Soviet era, and represent the

 Table 1
 Time to most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) for haplogroup N1b (P43)

Population (region)	N	Network shape	TMRCAª (kya)	
Europeans	29	Bipartite star	$\begin{array}{c} 11.9 \pm 4.6 \ (6.0 \pm 3.7 \ \text{and} \ 7.7 \pm 3.8)^{\text{b}} \\ 12.8 \pm 5.0 \ (5.0 \pm 3.6 \ \text{and} \ 6.6 \pm 4.4)^{\text{b}} \\ 4.9 \pm 1.7 \ (6.9 \pm 3.3 \ \text{and} \ 3.5 \pm 1.6)^{\text{b}} \\ 11.5 \pm 3.1 \end{array}$	
Uralic	16	Bipartite star		
Siberians/Mongolians	140	Bipartite star		
All	185	Star-like		

Abbreviation: kya, kilo years ago.

<sup>a</sup>A mutation rate of 0.00069 mutations per locus per generation was used to estimate time.

<sup>b</sup>Subclustering of haplotypes led to separate time estimates for each branch.

Table 2	Haplotype variance and time to most recent common ancestor	(TMRCA) for haplogroup N1b (P43)

Population (region)	Ν	Haplotype variance	Network shape	TMRCA <sup>a</sup> (kya)	References
Komi Izhemski (Uralic)	9	0.181	Star-like	6.7±4.2	1
Komi Priluzski (Uralic)	7	0.611	Star-like	$12.9 \pm 4.1$	1
Mezen (Slavic)	4	0.083	Star-like	$3.0 \pm 2.1$	4
Pinega (Slavic)	15	0.163	Star-like	5.6 ± 2.9	4
Other Slavs <sup>b</sup>	10	0.598	<b>Bipartite Star</b>	$16.3 \pm 5.8 (6.0 \pm 3.7 \text{ and } 7.0 \pm 3.6)^{c}$	3,4
Hezhen (Siberian)	8	0.077	Star-like	3.0±1.8	7
Khakassian (Siberian)	21	0.056	Star-like	$6.3 \pm 5.2$	3
Tuvinian (Siberian)	25	0.046	Star-like	1.7±0.7	3
Mansi (Siberian)	15	0.292	Star-like	9.7 ± 4.4	2
Khanty (Siberian)	28	0.129	Star-like	4.3 ± 2.3	1,2
Other Siberians/Mongolians <sup>d</sup>	43	0.059	Star-like	$2.2 \pm 1.2$	3,7

Abbreviation: kya, kilo years ago.

<sup>a</sup>A mutation rate of 0.00069 mutations per locus per generation was used to estimate time.

<sup>b</sup>Krasnoborsk, Vologda, Belgorod, Cossacs, Livni, Porhov.

<sup>c</sup>Subclustering of haplotypes led to separate time estimates for each branch.

<sup>d</sup>Uygur, Oroqen, Outer Mongolian, Shors, Kalmyks, Tofalars, Altaian, Buryats, Evenks.

npg 543

expansion from the Uralic range<sup>10</sup> (they both speak Finno-Ugric languages<sup>11,12</sup>).

The higher variance and age estimates (Tables 1 and 2) for both European and Uralic populations as compared to the Siberian groups lend support to our previous statement suggesting that N1b may have arisen in the Uralic range or in an area/region proximal to it; however, a much more exhaustive investigative effort must be conducted to trace the origins of N1b and its branches N1b-A and N1b-E.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Drs Boris A Malyarchuk and Miroslava Derenko for their interest in our work and for taking the time to closely examine our data.

Sheyla Mirabal<sup>1,2</sup>, Peter A Underhill<sup>3</sup> and Rene J Herrera<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, College of Medicine, Florida International University, Miami, FL, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Florida International University, Miami, FL, USA; ; <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford

> University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA E-mail: herrerar@fiu.edu

## References

1 Mirabal S, Regueiro MM, Cadenas AM *et al*: Y-chromosome distribution within the geo-linguistic landscape of northwestern Russia. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2009. Published On-line.

- 2 Pimenoff VN, Comas D, Palo JU *et al*: Northwest Siberian Khanty and Mansi in the junction of West and Eurasian gene pools as revealed by uniparental markers. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2008; **82**: 236–250.
- 3 Derenko M, Malyarchuk B, Denisova GA *et al*: Y-chromosome haplogroup N dispersals from south Siberia to Europe. *J Hum Genet* 2007; **52**: 763–770.
- 4 Balanovsky O, Rootsi S, Pshenichnov A *et al*: Two sources of the Russian patrilineal heritage in their Eurasian context. *Am J Hum Genet* 2008; **82**: 236–250.
- 5 Bosch E, Calafell F, Santos FR *et al*: Variation in short tandem repeats is deeply structured by genetic background on the human Y chromosome. *Am J Hum Genet* 1999; **65**: 1623–1638.
- 6 Rootsi S, Zhivotovsky LA, Baldovic M *et al*: A counter-clockwise northern route of the Y chromosome haplogroup N from Southeast Asia towards Europe. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2007; **15**: 204–211.
- 7 Xue Y, Zerjal T, Ban W *et al*: Male demography in East Asia: a north-south contrast in human population expansion times. *Genetics* 2006; **172**: 2431–2439.
- 8 Karafet TM, Xu L, Du R *et al*: Paternal population history of East Asia: sources, patterns, and microevolutionary processes. *Am J Hum Genet* 2001; **69**: 615–628.
- 9 Karafet TM, Osipova LP, Gubina MA *et al*: High levels of Y-chromosome differentiation among native Siberian populations and the genetic signature of a boreal hunter-gatherer way of life. *Hum Biol* 2002; **74**: 761–789.
- 10 Osherenko G: Indigenous Political and Property rights and Economic/Environmental Reform in Northwest Siberia. *Post-Sov Geogr* 1995; **36**: 225–237.
- 11 Abondolo DM: Khnty; In: Abondolo DM (ed): *The Uralic Languages*. Routledge: London and New York, 1998, pp 358–386.
- 12 Kersztes L: Mansi; In: Abondolo DM (ed): *The Uralic Languages*. Routledge: London and New York, 1998, pp 387–427.