nature

Control methane to slow global warming – fast

Carbon dioxide is key, but the IPCC's latest report highlights the benefits of making cuts to other greenhouse gases, too.

here's no substitute for eliminating fossil fuels and halting the release of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere to avoid the painful and disruptive effects of global warming. The latest report from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) leaves no doubt about this. But CO₂ is not the only greenhouse gas. The climate panel also highlights the problem – and opportunity – posed by methane, which has contributed as much as 0.5°C of warming since preindustrial times, second only to CO₂.

Methane is the main component of natural gas, whose popularity as a relatively clean source of fossil energy has soared by more than 50% over the past two decades. In the United States, cheap and plentiful natural gas supplies – obtained from controversial hydraulic fracking – have helped to displace coal. But there has also been a cost to the climate: fossil fuels have helped to boost atmospheric methane concentrations, which have more than doubled since pre-industrial times, from around 700 parts per billion by volume to nearly 1,900 p.p.b. in 2020.

Methane is of concern because it has an outsized impact on the climate. The gas makes up a tiny fraction of our atmosphere – CO_2 levels are more than 200 times higher. But in the first 20 years after release, methane is around 80 times more powerful than CO_2 at trapping heat in Earth's atmosphere. It also breaks down much more quickly than CO_2 , with an average lifetime of around a decade, compared with centuries for CO_2 . This means that curbing methane emissions could provide short-term relief while governments and businesses negotiate the more difficult transition from fossil fuels to clean energy.

In an effort to reduce methane emissions, scientists have been investigating two linked questions. First, what are the major sources of methane? Second, where are the worst offenders? Livestock is the largest source, responsible for 31% of the global total, according to Ilissa Ocko at the nonprofit Environmental Defense Fund (EDF) in New York City and her colleagues¹. Oil and gas operations rank a close second, releasing 26%. Other sources include landfills, coal mines, rice paddies and water-treatment plants.

Reducing methane from livestock represents a particular challenge. People could eat less meat, but persuading people to change their diet is rarely straightforward. Moreover, meat consumption is rising in low- and middle-income countries – in line with rising incomes. It should be easier

Global methane emissions could be cut by 57% by 2030 using existing technologies." to curb emissions from other sectors. In many cases, doing so wouldn't cost anything – and it could even be profitable.

Global methane emissions could be cut by 57% by 2030 using existing technologies, Ocko and her colleagues report. And almost one-quarter of the global methane total could be eliminated at no net cost. The oil and gas industry could make the biggest difference here, having both the infrastructure and the incentive to minimize methane losses: more methane in their pipelines means more revenue. In other sectors, the operators of landfills, coal mines and wastewater-treatment plants could capture the gas and use it to generate electricity. And rice producers could minimize emissions with better irrigation and soil-management practices. If these measures were implemented worldwide, projected increases in global warming could be reduced by 0.25°C by 2050, and 0.5°C by 2100, the study finds. Those are significant numbers considering that the world has already warmed by 1.1°C, and global leaders have committed to limiting the total to 1.5-2°C.

The main problem is pinpointing precisely where methane emissions are coming from. More than a decade ago, researchers monitored methane from aircraft surveys using infrared sensors that can detect gases in sunlight reflected back from Earth². Today, satellites are part of this monitoring effort. Research shows that a relatively small number of 'super-emitters' are responsible for a significant share of methane emissions, particularly in the oil and gas industry³.

The ability to identify major methane sources is poised to advance in the next two years. In 2022, the EDF will launch a satellite designed to identify emissions across large swathes of land. Carbon Mapper, a non-profit partnership that includes NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory and the San Francisco-based company Planet, will follow up in 2023 with two prototype satellites designed to track methane and CO_2 at the scale of individual facilities.

In March, the United Nations Environment Programme and the European Commission launched the International Methane Emissions Observatory to help coordinate these efforts and help policymakers and companies take action. The observatory will also have access to estimated emissions inventories from governments and industry. Around 70 oil and gas producers, including giants such as Shell and BP, have committed to setting clear emissions-reductions targets and reporting emissions under an initiative led by the Climate & Clean Air Coalition, an international initiative involving governments, non-profit organizations, businesses and others. This work will also help to inform new methane-reduction commitments due to be made at the UN climate conference in Glasgow, UK, in November.

The world will continue to warm as long as CO_2 is being pumped into the atmosphere. But curbing emissions of methane and other powerful greenhouse gases might reduce the sting. That is why governments and businesses should seize the opportunity, buying humanity a bit more time to do what needs to be done.

- 1. Ocko, I. B. et al. Environ. Res. Lett. 16, 054042 (2021).
- 2. Pétron, G. et al. J. Geophys. Res. 117, D04304 (2012).
- 3. Cusworth, D. H. et al. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 8, 567-573 (2021).