BIC

OPEN

- Broderick JM, Hussey J, O'Donnell DM (2014) Comment on 'Interventions to improve exercise behaviour in sedentary people living with and beyond cancer: a systematic review'. *Br J Cancer* **111**(12): 2377–2378.
- Cadmus LA, Salovey P, Yu H, Chung G, Kasl S, Irwin ML (2009) Exercise and quality of life during and after treatment for breast cancer: results of two randomized controlled trials. *Psychooncology* 18(4): 343–352.
- Chodzko-Zajko WJ, Proctor DN, Fiatarone Singh MA, Minson CT, Nigg CR, Salem GJ, Skinner JS (2009) American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Exercise and physical activity for older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 41(7): 1510–1530.
- Daley AJ, Crank H, Saxton JM, Mutrie N, Coleman R, Roalfe A (2007) Randomized trial of exercise therapy in women treated for breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 25(13): 1713–1721.

*Correspondence: Dr L Bourke; E-mail: l.bourke@qmul.ac.uk Published online 27 May 2014 © 2014 Cancer Research UK. All rights reserved 0007 – 0920/14

- Needham DM. Machina Carnis: The Biochemistry of Muscular Contraction in its Historical Development. CUP: Cambridge (1971).
- Pinto BM, Frierson GM, Rabin C, Trunzo JJ, Marcus BH (2005) Home-based physical activity intervention for breast cancer patients. J Clin Oncol 23(15): 3577–3587.
- Rock CL, Doyle C, Demark-Wahnefried W, Meyerhardt J, Courneya KS, Schwartz AL, Bandera EV, Hamilton KK, Grant B, McCullough M, Byers T, Gansler T (2012) Nutrition and physical activity guidelines for cancer survivors. *CA Cancer J Clin* 62(4): 243–274.
- Winter EM, Fowler N (2009) Exercise defined and quantified according to the Systeme International d'Unites. J Sports Sci 27(5): 447-460.



http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

BJC

British Journal of Cancer (2014) 111, 2379–2380 | doi:10.1038/bjc.2014.114

Comment on 'KRAS-mutated plasma DNA as predictor of outcome from irinotecan monotherapy in metastatic colorectal cancer'

D Tougeron^{*,1}, P Laurent-Puig² and A Zaanan^{2,3}

¹Department of Gastroenterology, Poitiers University Hospital, Poitiers, France; ²UMR-S775, INSERM, Paris, France and ³Department of Gastroenterology and Digestive Oncology, European Georges Pompidou University Hospital, AP-HP, Paris, France

Sir,

We read with great interest the article 'KRAS-mutated plasma DNA as predictor of outcome from irinotecan monotherapy in metastatic colorectal cancer' published by (Spindler et al, 2013) in the December 2013 issue of the British Journal of Cancer. It is now well established that only patients with wild-type KRAS metastatic colorectal cancer benefit from treatment with an anti-epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) monoclonal antibody and that patients with KRAS mutant metastatic colorectal cancer do not (Karapetis et al, 2008; Douillard et al, 2010). Up until now, DNA from archival tumour tissue is used to determine KRAS mutations in clinical practice. Increased recent data indicate that circulating tumour DNA in plasma, could be a new way to analyse the somatic mutation in tumours and could be a potential biomarker to ensure optimal treatment (Murtaza et al, 2013). Spindler et al (2013) aimed to investigate the clinical implication of KRAS and BRAF mutations in both archival tumour tissue and plasma cell-free DNA in 211 metastatic colorectal cancer patients treated with second-line irinotecan monotherapy. Authors observed that plasma KRAS mutations, but not tumour KRAS mutations, were associated with worse disease control rate, progression-free survival and overall survival. However, contrary to what is mentioned in the title, the predictive impact of the plasma KRAS and BRAF mutations for the irinotecan response treatment cannot be evaluated in this study because there is no control arm (patients receiving other therapies or no therapy).

In this study, *KRAS* mutations have been detected less frequently in plasma (31%) as compared in tumour (45%) (16 patients with a wild-type *KRAS* plasma had a mutation in the tumour). Tumour *KRAS* mutations were analysed in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue obtained at diagnosis, whereas plasma *KRAS* mutations were analysed in pretreatment blood samples before the beginning of second-line irinotecan monotherapy. The description of patients receiving an anti-EGFR in first-line therapy would be an interesting information, as acquired *KRAS* mutations can be induced by these therapies (Misale *et al*, 2012). The presence of a minority subclone harbouring *KRAS* mutations within tumours might explain the secondary resistance to anti-EGFR therapy (Tougeron *et al*, 2013) and the emergence of plasma *KRAS* mutations (Diaz *et al*, 2012).

Furthermore, the discordance for the KRAS mutation detection rate between tumour and plasma could be explained by a lack of sensitivity for the plasma KRAS mutations detection or by the absence of circulating tumour DNA for some patients. The amplification refractory mutation system-quantitative PCR (ARMS-qPCR) methodology, used in this study, has a sensitivity around 0.1% (Fox et al, 1998; Nordgård et al, 2012). Some studies have suggested that ARMS has an insufficient sensitivity to detect low levels of KRAS mutation (Nordgård et al, 2012). Indeed, the level of circulating tumour DNA in plasma can be very low and may represent only a small fraction of the total circulating DNA (<0.01%) (Diehl et al, 2008; Taly et al, 2013). Techniques with very high sensitivity for circulating tumour DNA detection have been recently developed (Taly et al, 2012), such as microdroplet technology, which can detect one mutant KRAS gene among 200 000 wild-type KRAS genes in the plasma (Pekin et al, 2011). Thus, we think that the results of the study by Spindler et al (2013) should be interpreted with caution because the poor prognosis of patients with plasma KRAS mutation could only reflect the poor prognosis of patients with a high level of circulating tumour DNA, as suggested by some others studies (Lefebure et al, 2010; Spindler et al, 2012). In contrast, the better prognosis could only reflect the

low level of circulating tumour DNA that is not detectable by the ARMS assay for the *KRAS* mutation testing.

In conclusion, this promising work published by Spindler *et al* (2013) highlights the impact of circulating tumour DNA on the treatment response of metastatic colorectal cancer. Moreover, it strengthens the need for harmonising detection methods for *KRAS* mutations and to develop highly sensitive techniques for plasma testing. Thus, correlation of *KRAS* mutation in primary tumours, metastases and plasma during metastatic colorectal therapies still needs to be studied.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Diaz Jr LA, Williams RT, Wu J, Kinde I, Hecht JR, Berlin J, Allen B, Bozic I, Reiter JG, Nowak MA, Kinzler KW, Oliner KS, Vogelstein B (2012) The molecular evolution of acquired resistance to targeted EGFR blockade in colorectal cancers. *Nature* 486: 537–540.
- Diehl F, Schmidt K, Choti MA, Romans K, Goodman S, Li M, Thornton K, Agrawal N, Sokoll L, Szabo SA, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Diaz LA Jr (2008) Circulating mutant DNA to assess tumor dynamics. *Nat Med* 14: 985–990.
- Douillard Y, Siena S, Cassidy J, Tabernero J, Burkes R, Barugel M, Humblet Y, Bodoky G, Cunningham D, Jassem J, Rivera F, Kocákova I, Ruff P, Błasińska-Morawiec M, Śmakal M, Canon JL, Rother M, Oliner KS, Wolf M, Gansert J (2010) Randomized, phase III trial of panitumumab with infusional fluorouracil, leucovorin, and oxaliplatin (FOLFOX4) versus FOLFOX4 alone as first-line treatment in patients with previously untreated metastatic colorectal cancer: the PRIME study. J Clin Oncol 28: 4697–4705.
- cancer: the PRIME study. J Clin Oncol 28: 4697–4705.
 Fox JC, England J, White P, Ellison G, Callaghan K, Charlesworth NR, Hehir J, McCarthy TL, Smith-Ravin J, Talbot IC, Snary D, Northover JM, Newton CR, Little S (1998) The detection of K-ras mutations in colorectal cancer using the amplification-refractory mutation system. Br J Cancer 77: 1267–1274.
 Karapetis CS, Khambata-Ford S, Jonker DJ, O'Callaghan CJ, Tu D, Tebbutt NC,
- Karapetis CS, Khambata-Ford S, Jonker DJ, O'Callaghan CJ, Tu D, Tebbutt NC, Simes RJ, Chalchal H, Shapiro JD, Robitaille S, Price TJ, Shepherd L, Au HJ, Langer C, Moore MJ, Zalcberg JR (2008) K-ras mutations and benefit from cetuximab in advanced colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 359: 1757–1765.
- cetuximab in advanced colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med **359**: 1757–1765. Lefebure B, Charbonnier F, Di Fiore F, Tuech JJ, Le Pessot F, Michot F, Michel P, Frebourg T (2010) Prognostic value of circulating mutant DNA in unresectable metastatic colorectal cancer. Ann Surg **251**: 275–280.
- Misale S, Yaeger R, Hobor S, Scala E, Janakiraman M, Liska D, Valtorta E, Schiavo R, Buscarino M, Siravegna G, Bencardino K, Cercek A, Chen CT, Veronese S, Zanon C, Sartore-Bianchi A, Gambacorta M, Gallicchio M, Vakiani E, Boscaro V, Medico E, Weiser M, Siena S, Di Nicolantonio F, Solit D, Bardelli A (2012) Emergence of KRAS mutations and acquired resistance to anti-EGFR therapy in colorectal cancer. *Nature* 486: 532–536.
- Murtaza M, Dawson SJ, Tsui DW, Gale D, Forshew T, Piskorz AM, Parkinson C, Chin SF, Kingsbury Z, Wong AS, Marass F, Humphray S, Hadfield J, Bentley D, Chin TM, Brenton JD, Caldas C, Rosenfeld N (2013) Non-invasive analysis of acquired resistance to cancer therapy by sequencing of plasma DNA. *Nature* 497: 108–112.
- Nordgård O, Oltedal S, Janssen EA, Gilje B, Kørner H, Tjensvoll K, Smaaland R (2012) Comparison of a PNA clamp PCR and an ARMS/Scorpion PCR server for the data time of Kerner protections. Discuss Med Pathel 21, 0, 12
- PCR assay for the detection of K-ras mutations. *Diagn Mol Pathol* **21**: 9–13. Pekin D, Skhiri Y, Baret JC, Le Corre D, Mazutis L, Salem CB, Millot F, El Harral A Hutchison IB Larcon W Link DR Laurent Puig P. Griffith AD.
- El Harrak A, Hutchison JB, Larson JW, Link DR, Laurent-Puig P, Griffiths AD, Taly V (2011) Quantitative and sensitive detection of rare mutations using droplet-based microfluidics. *Lab Chip* **11**: 2156–2166.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

- Spindler KG, Appelt AL, Pallisgaard N, Andersen RF, Jakobsen A (2013) KRASmutated plasma DNA as predictor of outcome from irinotecan monotherapy in metastatic colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer 109: 3067–3072.
- Spindler KL, Pallisgaard N, Vogelius I, Jakobsen A (2012) Quantitative cell-free DNA, KRAS, and BRAF mutations in plasma from patients with metastatic colorectal cancer during treatment with cetuximab and irinotecan. *Clin Cancer Res* 18: 1177–1185.
- Taly V, Pekin D, Abed AE, Laurent-Puig P (2012) Detecting biomarkers with microdroplet technology. *Trends Mol Med* 18: 405-416.

*Correspondence: Dr D Tougeron; E-mail: davidtougeron@hotmail.fr Published online 11 March 2014 © 2014 Cancer Research UK. All rights reserved 0007 – 0920/14

- Taly V, Pekin D, Benhaim L, Kotsopoulos SK, Le Corre D, Li X, Atochin I, Link DR, Griffiths AD, Pallier K, Blons H, Bouché O, Landi B, Hutchison JB, Laurent-Puig P (2013) Multiplex Picodroplet Digital PCR to Detect KRAS Mutations in Circulating DNA from the Plasma of Colorectal Cancer Patients. *Clin Chem* 59: 1722–1731.
- Tougeron D, Lecomte T, Pages JC, Villalva C, Collin C, Ferru A, Tourani JM, Silvain C, Levillain P, Karayan-Tapon L (2013) Effect of low-frequency KRAS mutations on response to anti-EGFR therapy in metastatic colorectal cancer. *Ann Oncol* 24: 1267–1273.



British Journal of Cancer (2014) 111, 2380 | doi:10.1038/bjc.2014.115

Response to comment on 'KRAS-mutated plasma DNA as predictor of outcome from irinotecan monotherapy in metastatic colorectal cancer'

K-L G Spindler^{*,1,2,4}, N Pallisgaard^{2,3}, R F Andersen^{2,3} and A Jakobsen^{1,2}

¹Department of Oncology, Vejle Hospital, Vejle, Denmark; ²Danish Colorectal Cancer Group South, Vejle Hospital, Kabbeltoft 25, 7100 Vejle, Denmark and ³Department of Biochemistry, Vejle Hospital, Vejle, Denmark

Sir,

We were pleased to read the comments (Tougeron *et al*, 2014)on our recently published data on KRAS mutation detection in plasma, which underline the strong interest these aspects attract.

Cell-free DNA, and tumour mutation detection and quantification in plasma can be discussed from three different but interacting aspects—that is, methodological, biological and clinical. In the comments from our peers, there is a strong biological and methodological focus, whereas in our report of the data, we have chosen to focus on the clinical observations. With the current threshold for mutation detection in our cohort, we found a strong association to outcome in terms of both responses, PFS and OS. However, contrary to the criticism above, we have not definitively concluded that our observations are predictive (hence, the title predictive of 'outcome'), as (as also stated in our concluding remarks) randomized trials are clearly needed to clarify this.

In general, we agree with most of the comments above, all of that are highly relevant from a biological and methodological view.

Of note, the method used in our lab has been developed and refined to a detection level far beyond the reported 0.1% referred to by the authors. The detection sensitivity varied between 0.03 and 0.0005% depending on the type of mutation detected, 12asp (1/200000, 0.0005%), 12Cys (1/200000, 0.0005%), 12ser (1/7000, 0.014%), 13asp (1/3000, 0.033%), 12ala (1/100000, 0.0010%), 12val (1/200000, 0.0005%), 12arg (1/200000, 0.0005%), respectively. However, as also stated above that regardless of the assay, the sensitivity may be determined by the concentration of DNA because of the generally low amount in plasma. Whereas a reliable method with a high sensitivity is needed for mutation detection, it can also be argued that there is a clinical as well as a subclinical detection level. In other words, the importance of the mutations depends on a certain threshold, and that detection of subclinical levels may be less relevant from a clinical point of view.

It is criticised that the detectable pKRAS is merely a surrogate for a high ctDNA level. However, KRAS was detected even in patients with low cfDNA levels (data not presented). It is correct that we and others have reported that a high level of total cfDNA implies a poor prognosis in itself (Spindler et al, 2012, 2013a, 2013b, 2013c, 2013d; Hansen et al, 2014). Clearly, we have also observed a strong correlation between total cell-free DNA levels and quantitative mutated alleles. In the present report, we did not present the quantitative data of total cfDNA levels (data are submitted for publication elsewhere), but in brief, previously combined analysis suggests that the combination of both parameters has a strong clinical impact, indicating that the presence of KRAS does not merely reflect a high level of cfDNA. Furthermore, the potential utility of plasma KRAS detection with the present method should not be disregarded on the basis of biological assumptions, but rather validated in larger cohorts. For clinical purposes, a simple detection of mutations in a sample is feasible compared with the broad quantitative range that cfDNA measurement provides and makes a clinical application difficult.

The authors comment on the role of mutations for 'acquired resistance' to EGFR inhibition. We and others have indeed presented data that suggest that mutations appear at the time of progression (Diaz *et al*, 2012; Misale *et al*, 2012; Spindler *et al*, 2012; Tougeron *et al*, 2013). Clearly, optimal methods need to be applied with the perspective of gaining further knowledge of

tumour biology, heterogeneity and to clarify whether mutations are early events at low concentrations or *de novo* mutations actually do appear along with the development of resistance to a certain therapy.

In conclusion, we are pleased to be able to contribute to the discussion and call for international cooperation to gain further knowledge of methodological, biological and clinical aspects within this interesting field.

REFERENCES

- Diaz Jr LA, Williams RT, Wu J, Kinde I, Hecht JR, Berlin J, Allen B, Bozic I, Reiter JG, Nowak MA, Kinzler KW, Oliner KS, Vogelstein B (2012) The molecular evolution of acquired resistance to targeted EGFR blockade in colorectal cancers. *Nature* 486: 537–540.
- Hansen TF, Andersen RF, Pallisgaard N, Spindler K-LG, Pløen J, Keldsen N, Lindebjerg J, Sørensen FB, Jakobsen A (2014) A three weekly schedule of irinotecan and panitumumab as third-line treatment for patients with wild type KRAS metastatic colorectal cancer: Results from a phase II trial. *Colorectal Cancer* (in press).
- Misale S, Yaeger R, Hobor S, Scala E, Janakiraman M, Liska D, Valtorta E, Schiavo R, Buscarino M, Siravegna G, Bencardino K, Cercek A, Chen CT, Veronese S, Zanon C, Sartore-Bianchi A, Gambacorta M, Gallicchio M, Vakiani E, Boscaro V, Medico E, Weiser M, Siena S, Di Nicolantonio F, Solit D, Bardelli A (2012) Emergence of KRAS mutations and acquired resistance to anti-EGFR therapy in colorectal cancer. *Nature* 486: 532–536.
- Spindler K, Pallisgaard N, Andersen R, Ploen J, Jakobsen A (2013c) Pemetrexed and gemcitabine for chemotherapy refractory colorectal cancer—results of a phase II and translational research study. J Cancer Ther 4(6B): 44–50.
- Spindler KG, Appelt A, Pallisgaard N, Andersen R, Jakobsen A (2013a) KRAS mutated Plasma DNA as predictor of outcome from irinotecan monotherapy in metastatic colorectal cancer. *Br J Cancer* 109: 3067–3072.
- Spindler KL, Pallisgaard N, Andersen RF, Ploen J, Jakobsen A (2013b) Gemcitabine and Capecitabine for heavily pre-treated metastatic colorectal cancer- A phase II and translational research study. *Anticancer Res* 34(2): 845–850.
- Spindler KL, Pallisgaard N, Vogelius I, Jakobsen A (2012) Quantitative Cell Free DNA, KRAS and BRAF mutations in Plasma from Patients with Metastatic Colorectal Cancer during Treatment with Cetuximab and Irinotecan. *Clin Cancer Res* 18(4): 1177–1185.
- Spindler KL, Sorensen M, Pallisgaard N, Andersen R, Havelund BM, Ploen J, Lassen U, Jakobsen A (2013d) Phase II trial of temsirolimus alone and in combination with irinotecan for KRAS mutant metastatic colorectal cancer: outcome and results of KRAS mutational analysis in plasma. *Acta Oncol* 52(5): 963–970.
- Spindler KL, Pallisgaard N, Andersen RF, Jakobsen A (2012) Changes of mutational status during third line treatment for metastatic colorectal cancer. EMCC The 6th European Multidisciplinary Conference on Colorectal cancer. Pragh April 15–17 2012. Oral presentation.
- Tougeron D, Lecomte T, Pages JC, Villalva C, Collin C, Ferru A, Tourani JM, Silvain C, Levillain P, Karayan-Tapon L (2013) Effect of low-frequency KRAS mutations and response to anti-EGFR therapy in metastatic colorectal cancer. Ann Oncol 24: 1267–1273.
- Tougeron D, Laurent-Puig P, Zaanan A (2014) Comment on 'KRAS-mutated plasma DNA as predictor of outcome from irinotecan monotherapy in metastatic colorectal cancer'. *Br J Cancer* **111**(12): 2379–2380.

*Correspondence: K-LG Spindler; E-mail: k.g.spindler@rm.dk

⁴Current address: Department of Oncology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark Published online 11 March 2014

© 2014 Cancer Research UK. All rights reserved 0007–0920/14





http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/