www.bjcancer.com

Expression of DSG1 and DSC1 are prognostic markers in anal carcinoma patients

MP Myklebust^{*,1}, Ø Fluge², H Immervoll^{3,4}, A Skarstein^{5,6}, L Balteskard⁷, O Bruland^{2,8} and O Dahl^{1,2}

¹Section of Oncology, Institute of Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen 5021, Norway; ²Department of Oncology and Medical Physics, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen 5021, Norway; ³Section of Pathology, The Gade Institute, University of Bergen, Bergen 5021, Norway; ⁴Department of Pathology, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen 5021, Norway; ⁵Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Bergen, Bergen 5021, Norway; ⁶Department of Surgery, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen 5021, Norway; ⁷Department of Oncology, University Hospital of Northern Norway, Tromsø 9038, Norway; ⁸Center for Medical Genetics and Molecular Medicine, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen 5021, Norway

BACKGROUND: Our purpose was to investigate if dysregulation of cell adhesion molecules could be linked to prognosis in squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs) of the anal region.

METHODS: Protein expression of desmoglein-1 (DSG1), desmocollin-1 (DSC1) and E-cadherin was studied by immunohistochemistry in a cohort of 53 anal carcinoma patients treated by radiation alone or combined with 5-fluorouracil and mitomycin C.

RESULTS: Univariate analyses identified, among others, negative membranous DSGI staining (P = 0.009), negative cytoplasmic DSCI staining (P = 0.012) and negative DSGI (membranous) + negative DSCI (cytoplasmic) staining (P = 0.004) to be associated with improved cancer-specific survival (CSS). On multivariate analyses positive DSGI (membranous) + DSCI (cytoplasmic) staining (HR 6.95, P = 0.044), large tumour size and lymph node metastases (HR 6.44, P = 0.004) and radiation without chemotherapy (HR 6.73 P = 0.004) were associated with worse CSS. On univariate analysis, improved disease-free survival was associated with negative membranous staining of DSGI (P = 0.047), and negative DSGI (membranous) + negative DSCI (cytoplasmic) staining (P = 0.025), among others.

CONCLUSION: Membrane negativity for DSG1 and cytoplasmic negativity for DSC1 are favourable markers for CSS in SCCs of the anal region.

British Journal of Cancer (2012) **106,** 756–762. doi:10.1038/bjc.2011.548 www.bjcancer.com © 2012 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: DSGI; DSCI; E-cadherin; anal carcinoma and survival

Cell adhesion is mediated through transmembrane molecules binding either to extracellular matrix or to adjacent cells. The dynamic interactions and signal transduction of these proteins modulate cell mobility, differentiation and proliferation (Thomas and Speight, 2001). Among the major cell-cell adhesion proteins are cadherins, members of the plakin family and the armadillo protein family (Green et al, 2010). Two important types of cell-cell junctions are the desmosomes and adherens junctions. The desmosome is a type of intercellular junction important in epithelial differentiation (Kottke et al, 2006), and the major members among the desmosomal cadherins are the desmogleins and the desmocollins (Garrod et al, 2002). The malignant phenotype with invasion and metastasis is facilitated by the loss of intercellular adhesion (Shinohara et al, 1998; Christofori, 2006; Kundu et al, 2008). Most important, reduced expression of the adherens junction glycoprotein E-cadherin has been linked to poor patient outcome in diverse carcinomas (Schipper et al, 1991; Umbas et al, 1994). E-cadherin downregulation is also a hallmark of the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (Peinado et al, 2004), which is an important feature of tumour progression, possibly mediated through expression of its repressors Snail, Slug and Twist (Hajra *et al*, 2002; Yang *et al*, 2004; Peinado *et al*, 2007). In squamous cell carcinomas (SCC) of the head and neck, downregulation of both E-cadherin and desmosomal proteins were associated with decreased survival in univariate analysis, while only E-cadherin remained significant in multivariate analyses (Bosch *et al*, 2005).

Squamous cell carcinomas of the anal region comprise tumours arising from the squamous epithelium-lined distal anal canal or the skin within 5 cm from the anal margin. Sexually transmitted human papillomavirus (HPV) is the primary cause of cervical carcinomas, and HPV is also thought to be the most important aetiological factor for the development of anal cancer (Frisch et al, 1999; Daling et al, 2004). Different HPV subtypes affect the skin, the oropharyngeal or the anogenital mucosa, and some are oncogenic through expression of viral proteins such as E6 and E7 (zur Hausen, 2000). Anal cancer usually occurs in middle-aged individuals, with a female predominance (Clark et al, 2004). Anal cancer is mainly cured by chemoradiation, which includes radiation to a total dose of 46-60 Gy concomitant with combinations of 5-fluorouracil and either mitomycin C or cisplatin. This therapy regimen yields 5-year cancer-specific survival (CSS) of 70-80% (Ajani et al, 2008). Prognostic factors in anal carcinomas have been reviewed, and tumour stage and nodal status are the most important prognostic factors for patient outcome (Fenger, 2002; Lampejo et al, 2010). A consistent, prognostic biomarker has

^{*}Correspondence: Dr MP Myklebust; E-mail: Mette.Myklebust@med.uib.no Received 22 March 2011; revised 21 November 2011; accepted 22 November 2011

To test the prognostic impact of the desmosomal components desmoglein-1 (DSG1) and desmocollin-1 (DSC1), and the adherens junction protein E-cadherin, we analysed 53 SCC specimens from the anal region, performing immunohistochemical staining on tissue microarray (TMA) slides. Our working hypothesis was that reduced expression of DSG1 and DSC1 in the membrane would be associated with poorer prognosis. E-cadherin was included as others have shown that reduced E-cadherin expression is significantly associated with reduced survival and increased risk of metastasis in other SCCs (Koseki *et al*, 1999; Dursun *et al*, 2007; Muller *et al*, 2008).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Clinical data and tissue specimens

For construction of the TMA block, 53 samples of formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumour tissue from anal carcinoma patients were used. The histological diagnosis was SCC for all 53, including 4 of cloacogenic type, 1 of basaloid type and 1 of unclassified epithelial type. All were treated with curative intent by radiation alone (n=8, combined with surgery in three), or radiation combined with concomitant FuMi chemotherapy (5-fluorouracil, 1000 mg m^{-2} days 1–4, and mitomycin C, 10 mg m^{-2} day 1, n = 45, 15 of these had also surgery). Of 45 patients treated with concomitant chemotherapy, 28 patients received one course and 17 received two courses of chemotherapy. The median radiation dose of these 53 patients was 50 Gy. Radiation fields encompassed primary tumour (distal margin 3 cm), the groins (1.5 cm from pelvic brim or with 1 cm margin from manifest lymph nodes) and iliacal nodes with upper border at the promontorium. The fields were customised after CT-based treatment plans. Mean follow-up time was 6.9 years. Mean age at diagnosis was 64.1 years. Among the 53 patients, 18 died of anal cancer and 2 died from treatment complications. Progressive disease and relapse was encountered in 23 of 53 patients. Of these, 5 patients had progression of their primary tumour, 8 had distant relapses while 10 patients had a local relapse.

TMA and immunohistochemistry

To analyse protein expression of DSG1, DSC1 and E-cadherin, a TMA including 53 patients with SCC of the anal region were constructed from archival FFPE tumour samples. All biopsies were sampled before start of therapy. The corresponding haematoxylin and eosin stains were assessed to confirm the diagnoses of these patients, and the areas of interest were marked on the slides. A 'Manual Tissue Arrayer 1' with a 1.0 mm diameter punch-set (Beecher Instruments, Silver Spring, MD, USA) was employed to take out one or two cores from each sample.

From the TMA-block, 5 μ m sections were cut with a microtome and mounted on slides. The sections were deparaffinised in xylene and rehydrated through graded ethanol to distilled water. For DSG1 and DSC1, antigen retrieval was performed in a kitchen pressure cooker, at 120 °C for 15 min in 0.05% citraconic anhydride solution, pH 7.4. After heat-induced retrieval, the sections were allowed to cool to room temperature before washing in distilled water and TBST (0.05 M Tris-HCl, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.05% Tween 20, pH 7.5). Sections were blocked for nonspecific binding with 'Protein Block Serum-Free' (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) for 10 min preceding incubation with primary antibody for one hour at room temperature. E-cadherin was immunostained using a Dako Autostainer. Heat-induced epitope retrieval for E-cadherin was performed in TE-buffer pH 9.0 in a microwave oven, 9.5 min at 850 W, followed by 15 min at 400 W and incubation with primary



Molecular Diagnostics

antibody for 30 min at room temperature. The following antibodies were used: anti-DSC1 (DSC1-U100, PROGEN Biotechnik GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) (Kurzen et al, 2003), 1:20; anti-DSG1solution (DSG1-P124, PROGEN Biotechnik GmbH) (Kurzen et al, 2003), 1:2; anti-E-cadherin (NCH-38, Dako), 1:100; anti-MCM7 (ab-2360, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) 1:200. Primary antibodies were diluted in Antibody Diluent (S0809, Dako) and endogenous peroxidases were blocked with Dual Endogenous Enzyme Block (Dako). The EnVision + Dual Link System-HRP (K4065, Dako) with DAB was used for detection according to the manufacturer's recommendations for all antibodies. Counterstaining was performed with haematoxylin (REAL Hematoxylin, S2020, Dako). Primary antibody was omitted in the negative controls. A panel of tissues with known reactivity was used as positive control, that is, normal anal mucosa, normal, carcinoma in situ and SCC of the cervix and SCC from the skin. The protocol for immunostaining and scoring of MCM7 is described elsewhere (Bruland et al, 2008). Digital images for scoring were obtained using a semi-automatical Leica DM6000 B microscope with a Leica DFC320 camera and the Leica Application Suite TMA 6000 version 2.5 software (Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany).

The results from the immunohistochemically stained slides were scored independently by two of the investigators. The localisation (membranous, cytoplasmic or nuclear) and intensity of the staining was recorded and given a score; negative or positive for DSG1 and DSC1. E-cadherin was recorded as membranous weak (0-1) or strong (2-3). The scoring of MCM7 is described in our previous publication (Bruland *et al*, 2008).

Owing to technical reasons, the number of patients available for survival analysis for each of the three markers varied. For DSC1 and E-cadherin one of the tissue cylinders detached and was lost for scoring during tissue processing, but all 53 were present and representative for DSG1.

Statistics and ethics

The results from the immunohistochemical analyses of DSG1, DSC1 and E-cadherin were assessed in relation to anal CSS and disease-free survival (DFS) using the Kaplan-Meier method. Cancer-specific survival was calculated from the date of diagnosis to the date of death or date of last follow-up. Disease-free survival was calculated from the date of diagnosis to the date of relapse, progression of cancer disease or date of last follow-up. The logrank method was used to compare survival between groups, with a P-value of <0.05 considered statistically significant in two-tailed tests. Pearson's χ^2 test and Fisher's exact test were used for analysis of associations between DSG1 and DSC1 and gender, age, treatment, T- and N-stage and MCM7-index. Cox' regression analysis was performed to assess independent prognostic factors for CSS, including the variables treatment (chemoradiotherapy vs radiotherapy alone), stage (T1-2N0 vs T3-4N+), DSG1 (membranous) + DSC1 (cytoplasmic) staining (both negative vs one or both positive) and MCM7 staining (index <140 vs index ≥ 140). The SPSS 18.0 statistical package was used for the statistical testing (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Patients gave written, informed consent to sampling of a separate biopsy for research purpose. The study was approved by the regional ethics committee and thus complies with regulations for clinical research in Norway.

RESULTS

Immunohistochemistry

In a cohort of 53 anal cancer patients, DSG1, DSC1 and additionally E-cadherin were analysed at the protein level by IHC. Desmoglein-1 showed specific staining of the cell membrane



Figure I Immunohistochemical staining of normal anal mucosa and anal carcinomas (SCC) showing representative staining for DSGI and DSCI. (A) DSGI and (B) DSCI staining in normal anal mucosa. (C) Positive membranous DSGI in anal SCC. (D) Negative membranous DSGI with weak perinuclear staining in anal SCC. (E) Positive cytoplasmic DSCI in anal SCC.

of the anal SCCs with varying intensity (Figure 1C). In normal anal mucosa, strong membranous staining and some diffuse cytoplasmic staining were observed. Staining was seen in all layers of the anal mucosa, with apparently more cytoplasmic staining of the basal cells (Figure 1A). For DSG1 membrane staining (Figure 1C), 31% of the tumours were scored positive. Perinuclear and cytoplasmic staining were also observed (Figure 1D), but no relation to clinical outcome could be found for this staining pattern. In normal anal mucosa, DSC1 showed strong membranous staining of the more differentiated layers. Cytoplasmic and nuclear staining was also observed. Towards the basal layer, the staining was mostly confined to the nucleus with some diffuse membranous staining (Figure 1B). Weak membrane and cytoplasmic staining was seen for DSC1 in the SCCs, but only cytoplasmic staining was statistically significant in relation to CSS (Figure 1E). The percentage of cytoplasmic DSC1-positive tumours was 30%. No statistically significant covariation between DSG1 membranous and DSC1 cytoplasmic staining was detected. E-cadherin showed strong, specific membrane staining and 67% of the tumours were scored as strongly positive. Representative examples of the immunohistochemistry staining patterns for DSG1 and DSC1 are shown in Figure 1.

Survival analyses

No significant associations between DSG1 (membranous) and DSC1 (cytoplasmic) staining and age, gender, treatment, T- or N-stage were detected. A statistically significant association between DSG1 alone and MCM7 was observed (P = 0.020). The association between DSG1 (membranous) + DSC1 (cytoplasmic) expression and high MCM7 expression was also statistical significant (P = 0.030). The patient characteristics and histopathological variables are shown in Table 1.

Large tumour size and the presence of lymph node metastases were associated with a reduced 5-year CSS (P=0.002). Patients treated with chemotherapy in combination with radiation had a significantly better 5-year CSS than patients receiving only radiation with or without surgery (P=0.016). High expression of the MCM7 protein in tumour cells (index ≥ 140) was associated with better CSS (P=0.005). For DSG1, negative membrane immunostaining was found to be favourable in this patient cohort. The 5-year CSS was 74% in patients with no membrane staining for DSG1, and 42% for those with positive membrane staining

(log-rank test, P = 0.012). Cytoplasmic negativity for DSC1 was also associated with better CSS (log-rank test, P = 0.0012). The 5-year CSS was 72% among the patients with no DSC1 staining in the cytoplasm and 51% in those that were positive. Univariate analyses of the association of CSS and the different variables are shown in Supplementary Table 1. The Kaplan-Meier curves are shown in Figure 2. No statistic significant difference in CSS for membrane staining of E-cadherin was found (log-rank test, P = 0.82, KM curve in Supplementary Figure 1). Regarding DFS, negative membranous DSG1 staining was found to be favourable (P=0.047). In the group with negative membranous staining, the 5-year DFS was 64%, compared with 44% for those with positive membranous staining. Improved 5-year DFS was also associated with small tumour size and no lymph node metastases (P = 0.004) and high MCM7-index (P = 0.035). DSC1 (cytoplasmic) staining was not found to have any statistically significant association with DFS. The results from the univariate analyses for DFS are shown in Supplementary Table 2 and Supplementary Figure 1.

To test whether expression of E-cadherin had any influence on the levels or signalling capabilities of DSG1 and DSC1, survival analyses were performed where the patients were stratified according to weak or strong E-cadherin expression. The results showed that for DSG1 membrane staining, the difference in survival between membrane negative and membrane positive was lower in subgroup with strong E-cadherin expression. For the patients with weak E-cadherin expression, the 5-year CSS was 78% for DSG1-negative vs 30% for DSG1-positive (Figure 3). In the subgroup of patients with strong E-cadherin expression, the 5-year survival was 70% for DSG1-negative vs 67% for DSG1-positive. The overall P-value is 0.020, meaning that the finding of better survival in patients with tumours negative for DSG1 membrane staining is still valid when we adjust for E-cadherin expression. No such difference between high and low levels of E-cadherin could be demonstrated for DFS for DSG1 membrane staining or DFS or CSS for DSC1 cytoplasmic staining.

When DSG1 (membrane) and DSC1 (cytoplasmic) were categorised as one variable, the outcome for the patients with tumours staining positive for both DSG1 membranous and DSC1 cytoplasmic protein was significantly worse than for the patients with no staining for DSG1 and DSC1. None of the patients positive for both DSG1 and DSC1 was alive after 5 years, while the 5-year CSS was 83% among the patients negative for both DSG1 and DSC1, and 55% for the patients positive for only DSG1 or



 Table I
 Proportion of cases (%) for different clinical variables, according to DSG1 expression (panel A, 53 patients), DSC1 protein expression (panel B, 52 patients) and DSG1+DSC1 as one variable (panel C, 52 patients)

	DSGI membra		
Panel A	Membr. neg $n = 37$	Membr. pos $n = 16$	P-value
Gender ($n = 53$, female, %)	73.0	50.0	NS ^a
Age $(n = 53, < 64.1 \text{ years})$	51.4	31.3	NS ^a
Radiation with FuMi chemotherapy $(n = 53, \%)^{b}$	86.8	81.3	NSc
TN-stage ($n = 52$, T3-4 N0 and T _{any} N+, %)	43.2	62.5	NS ^a
DSCI cytopl. $(n = 52, \text{ pos}, \%)$	30.6	25.0	NS ^c
MCM7 $(n = 52, \text{ index } \ge 140, \%)$	75.0	31.3	0.003 ^a

	DSCI cytoplasmic expression		
Panel B	Cytopl. neg n = 37	Cytopl. pos $n = 15$	P-value
Gender ($n = 52$, female, %)	64.9	66.7	NS ^a
Age $(n = 52, < 64.1 \text{ years})$	40.5	60.0	NS ^a
Radiation with FuMi chemotherapy $(n = 52, \%)^{b}$	86.5	86.7	NS℃
TN-stage ($n = 52$, T3-4 N0 and T _{any} N+, %)	48.6	53.3	NS ^a
DSGI membr. $(n = 52, \text{ pos}, \%)$	32.4	26.7	NS℃
MCM7 ($n = 51$, index ≥ 140 , %)	64.9	57.1	NS ^a

	DSGI (membr.)+DSCI (cytopl.) expression				
Panel C	Both neg n = 25	DSGI pos DSCI neg n = 12	DSGI neg DSCI pos n = 11	Both pos <i>n</i> = 4	P-value
Gender ($n = 52$, female, %)	76.0	41.7	63.6	75.0	NS ^c
Age $(n = 52, < 64.1 \text{ years})$	48.0	25.0	63.6.0	50.0	NS ^a
Radiation with FuMi chemotherapy $(n = 52)^{b}$	88.0	83.3	90.9	75.0	NS℃
TN-stage ($n = 52$, T3-4 N0 and T _{any} N+)	44.0	58.3	45.5	75.0	NS ^c
MCM7 ($n = 51$, index ≥ 140 , %)	84.0	25.0	60.0	50.0	0.003 ^c

Abbreviations: cytopl. = cytoplasmic; DSCI = desmocollin-1; DSGI = desmoglein-1; membr. = membranous; neg = negative; NS = not significant (P > 0.05); pos = positive; SCC = squamous cell carcinoma. ^aP-values from χ^2 statistics. ^bAmong 45 patients treated with radiation combined with FuMi chemotherapy, 15 patients had additional surgery, 8 patients had radiation with or without surgery, but no chemotherapy. ^cFisher's exact test. Analyses include patients with SCC of the anal region treated with radiation or radiation with FuMi chemotherapy.



Figure 2 Univariate survival analyses according to the Kaplan-Meier method. Kaplan-Meier plots showing anal cancer specific survival (CSS) with *P*-values from log-rank test. (**A**) DSG1 membranous negative vs membranous postive. (**B**) DSC1 cytoplasmic negative vs cytoplasmic positive, and (**C**) DSG1 membranous + DSC1 cytoplasmic staining assessed as one variable.



Figure 3 Cancer specific survival among the anal cancer patients when DSG1 membrane expression was stratified for E-cadherin expression. (**A**) DSG1 and cancer specific survival in patients with strong E-cadherin protein expression. (**B**) DSG1 and cancer specific survival in patients with weak E-cadherin expression. *P*-value = 0.020 (pooled over strata).

DSC1, respectively (P = 0.004) (Figure 2C). The 5-year DFS for DSG1 + DSC1 was 68% for patients negative for both, 0% positive for both, and 58% and 55% for the patients positive for either DSG1 or DSC1, respectively (Supplementary Figure 1F).

The DSG1 (membranous) + DSC1(cytoplasmic) variable was merged to three categories instead of four in the Cox' analysis as

 Table 2
 Independent predictors for cancer-specific survival in 53

 patients according to Cox' regression model using death from anal cancer as endpoint

Variable	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P-value
<i>Treatment</i> Radiation and FuMi ± surgery Radiation ± surgery, no chemotherapy	ا 6.73 (۱.86–24.35)	0.004
T- and N-stage TI –2 N0 T3–4 N0 and T _{any} N+	ا 6.44 (۱.82–22.78)	0.004
DSGI (membr.) + DSCI (cytopl.) staining DSGI neg+DSCI neg DSGI+DSCI different DSGI pos+DSCI pos	 4.77 (1.19–19.15) 6.95 (1.38–34.94)	0.044
MCM7 staining-index < 140 ≥ 140	ا 0.39 (0.12–1.23)	NS

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; DSCI = desmocollin-1; DSGI = desmoglein-1; membr. = membranous; cytopl. = cytoplasmic; neg = negative; NS = not significant (<math>P > 0.05); pos = positive. Cox analysis was based on 53 patients stained for both DSGI and DSCI. One patient out of 53 had missing values for DSCI and one for MCM7, these were not included in the analyses.

the DSG1-positive + DSC1-negative and DSG1-negative + DSC1positive showed very similar curves in the survival analysis. The results from Cox' multivariate analyses of CSS are shown in Table 2. Statistically significant predictors of reduced CSS survival were radiation without chemotherapy, large tumour size and lymph node metastases and positive staining for both DSG1 and DSC1. MCM7 did not reach statistical significance in the multivariate analysis.

DISCUSSION

We have studied the expression of the desmosomal proteins DSG1 and DSC1 in anal carcinoma and their relation to survival. To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the expression of these two desmosomal proteins and their associations to survival in SCC of the anal region. Membranous negativity for DSG1 was favourable for both 5-year CSS and DFS. Cytoplasmic negativity for DSC1 was favourable for 5-year CSS. Of the clinicopathological variables tested in this study, only MCM7 expression showed an association with the expression of DSG1. No such association between MCM7 and DSC1 expression was demonstrated. Multivariate survival analyses identified DSG1 and DSC1-status to be an independent prognostic variable for CSS in this cohort of anal carcinoma patients when expression of these two proteins was categorised as one variable. Treatment with radiation with or without chemotherapy and T- and N-stage of the tumours were also found to be independent prognostic variables. Treatment with chemoradiation is known to be favourable over radiation alone in anal cancer (Flam et al, 1996; Bartelink et al, 1997). Large tumour size and lymph node metastases are also well-known prognostic factors in anal carcinoma (Ajani et al, 2010; Ben-Josef et al, 2010). The MCM7 protein is associated with cell replication and this protein is essential for initiation of genome replication in eukaryotes. In a previous study, we demonstrated high expression of this protein to be favourable for the outcome in this cohort of anal carcinoma patients in a univariate analysis. Several other studies have identified this protein as a prognostic marker for different carcinomas (Li et al, 2005; Laitinen et al, 2008; Nishihara et al, 2008; Tamura et al, 2010; Ota et al, 2011). MCM7 protein expression was not identified as an independent prognostic variable in the present Cox' analysis, although high expression of this marker was statistically significant associated with survival in univariate survival analysis. Thus, DSG1 (membranous) + DSC1 (cytoplasmic) seems to be a stronger predictor of survival than MCM7 in this cohort.

Our finding of highest survival rates among patients with negative protein expression for the two desmosomal cadherins was partly contradictory to other studies, which have implicated decreased expression of these cell adhesion molecules to facilitate metastatic and aggressive tumour behaviour and poorer prognosis in other squamous carcinomas and cell lines (Harada et al, 1996; Shinohara et al, 1998; Tada et al, 2000; Wong et al, 2008). Other studies have suggested that the organisation of the desmosomes may be changed during carcinogenesis. Tumours and metastases from head and neck cancers have been shown to harbour a heterogeneous pattern of desmosomes (Bosch et al, 2005). Bosch et al demonstrated that desmosomes of the tumours and metastases were generally fewer and reduced in size compared with normal tissue. Some endocytosed desmosomes were also recorded. E-cadherin and desmoglein levels were reduced in tumours vs normal tissue, but some staining persisted even in late stage tumours and metastases. From the results of this study, we cannot conclude whether the desmosomes positively stained for DSG1 and/or DSC1 are correctly assembled or not. It could be that some of the desmosomes with positive immunostaining actually represents non-functional desmosomes or desmosomes with incorrect assembly of its constituents.

However, there are several studies that present results that challenge the hypothesis that reduced expression of the desmosomal cadherins leads to a more aggressive and metastatic tumour phenotype. In skin carcinomas, no correlation between DSG1 and DSC1-3 expression and aggressive tumour behaviour could be demonstrated (Kurzen *et al*, 2003). Desmoglein-2, one of the other isoforms of desmoglein, has been shown to be upregulated and correlate with increased risk of metastasis in SCC of the skin (Kurzen *et al*, 2003; Brennan and Mahoney, 2009). Thus, it seems that several studies find the number of desmosomal cadherins to be reduced in premalignant and tumour tissue compared with normal tissue. Currently, the expression of desmosomal cadherins in SCC tumours is not fully clarified.

Desmoglein-1 and DSC1 are transmembrane proteins and serve as anchors between adjacent cells, but during the last decade it has become apparent that they may as well be involved in signalling governing epithelial morphogenesis (Getsios et al, 2009) and apoptosis (Dusek et al, 2006). The cytoplasmic tail of DSG1 and DSC1 is a binding site for the plakophilins 1-3 and plakoglobin of the armadillo family, reviewed in (Schmidt and Jager, 2005). Plakophilins 1-3 are implicated to have a dual role in cancerogenesis (Wolf and Hatzfeld, 2010). In the desmosome, they bind to DSG1 and DSC1 and may thereby act as tumour suppressors by stabilising intercellular adhesion. However, the cytoplasmic pool could have an oncogenic role by stimulating translation and proliferation by binding to the eIF4A initiation factor (Wolf et al, 2010). Plakoglobin may also act as an oncogene as it is a strong activator of the MYC promoter and an inducer of the anti-apototic BCL2 (Hakimelahi et al, 2000; Kolligs et al, 2000), and it has also been implicated to work through the Wnt/β -catenin pathway (Chidgey and Dawson, 2007). The presence of plakoglobin may stabilise cytoplasmic β -catenin and allow enhanced translocation of β -catenin to the nucleus. Binding of plakoglobin could also displace β -catenin from the adherens junctions, again leading to increased levels of β -catenin in the nucleus. However, a tumour-suppressor role of plakoglobin has also been suggested because it can bind the transcriptional activator TCF-4 (Miravet et al, 2002) and thereby inhibit transcription of Wnt/ β -catenin-responsive genes.

The above mentioned findings suggest that the function of DSG1 and DSC1 is more diverse than only being a rigid anchor and exert cell-cell contact. A deregulation of these proteins may therefore have several and unexpected effects in SCC. The localisation in different cell compartments may be of importance for their function. Our finding that the effect of membranous DSG1 staining on CSS varies with E-cadherin levels in the tumours is difficult to explain from the limited knowledge on the role of desmosomes in cancers (reviewed in Chidgey and Dawson, 2007; Dusek and Attardi, 2011). Loss of E-cadherin expression and dissolution of desmosomes are characteristics of EMT, which features tumour progression. We could not demonstrate any significant association between E-cadherin and survival in our cohort. However, it seems that the effect of DSG1 had less influence on survival when E-cadherin is highly expressed. High levels E-cadherin may mask the effects of membranous DSG1 loss. The loss of E-cadherin and membranous DSG1 expression could be explained EMT, but the finding of highest CSS in this subgroup of patients is difficult to explain from an EMT-perspective. The role of E-cadherin in correlation to DSG1 needs to be addressed in a larger material as there are few events (deaths) in each group in our material when we stratify for E-cadherin expression. Another important point when discussing cell migration and cell adhesion is that cell migration may happen in two different ways; single cell migration and collective (group) cell migration (Friedl and Gilmour, 2009). The decreased survival of the patients with apparently higher intercellular adhesion, for example, positive expression of DSG1 may be explained by increased collective migration of cells in these patients. Increased cell-cell contacts may enable groups of cancer cells to detach and migrate as a group of cells with intact cell-cell contacts between the migrating cells, thus providing a growth advantage (Collins et al, 1990).

Anal carcinoma is a rare type of cancer, and it is thus difficult to collect large series of tumours from this disease. In cervix, a tissue type that resembles the anal mucosa, DSG1 protein expression has

REFERENCES

- Ajani JA, Winter KA, Gunderson LL, Pedersen J, Benson III AB, Thomas Jr CR, Mayer RJ, Haddock MG, Rich TA, Willett C (2008) Fluorouracil, mitomycin, and radiotherapy vs fluorouracil, cisplatin, and radiotherapy for carcinoma of the anal canal: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 299: 1914-1921
- Ajani JA, Winter KA, Gunderson LL, Pedersen J, Benson III AB, Thomas Jr CR, Mayer RJ, Haddock MG, Rich TA, Willett CG (2010) Prognostic factors derived from a prospective database dictate clinical biology of anal cancer: the intergroup trial (RTOG 98-11). Cancer 116: 4007-4013
- Alazawi WO, Morris LS, Stanley MA, Garrod DR, Coleman N (2003) Altered expression of desmosomal components in high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions of the cervix. Virchows Arch 443: 51-56
- Bartelink H, Roelofsen F, Eschwege F, Rougier P, Bosset JF, Gonzalez DG, Peiffert D, van Glabbeke M, Pierart M (1997) Concomitant radiotherapy and chemotherapy is superior to radiotherapy alone in the treatment of locally advanced anal cancer: results of a phase III randomized trial of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Radiotherapy and Gastrointestinal Cooperative Groups. J Clin Oncol 15: 2040 - 2049
- Ben-Josef E, Moughan J, Ajani JA, Flam M, Gunderson L, Pollock J, Myerson R, Anne R, Rosenthal SA, Willett C (2010) Impact of overall treatment time on survival and local control in patients with anal cancer: a pooled data analysis of Radiation Therapy Oncology Group trials 87-04 and 98-11. J Clin Oncol 28: 5061-5066
- Bosch FX, Andl C, Abel U, Kartenbeck J (2005) E-cadherin is a selective and strongly dominant prognostic factor in squamous cell carcinoma: a comparison of E-cadherin with desmosomal components. Int J Cancer 114: 779 - 790
- Brennan D, Mahoney MG (2009) Increased expression of Dsg2 in malignant skin carcinomas: a tissue-microarray based study. Cell Adh Migr 3: 148-154
- Bruland O, Fluge O, Immervoll H, Balteskard L, Myklebust M, Skarstein A, Dahl O (2008) Gene expression reveals two distinct groups of anal carcinomas with clinical implications. Br J Cancer 98: 1264-1273
- Chidgey M, Dawson C (2007) Desmosomes: a role in cancer? Br J Cancer 96: 1783 - 1787



been shown to be reduced during progression of squamous intraepithelial lesions (SILs) (de Boer et al, 1999; Alazawi et al, 2003). To our knowledge, no studies on DSG1 and DSC1 expression in cervical tumours in relation to survival have been published so far. Like SCC of the anal canal, SCC of the cervix is HPV-associated, and the two types of cancer have pre-malignant stages (ASIL and SIL). The common features of these two SCCs, calls for further analyses on desmosomal cadherins also in cervical carcinomas.

In conclusion, loss of DSG1 and DSC1 protein expression were significantly associated with better CSS in our cohort of anal SCCs, both when we studied the expression of the two separately, and more pronounced when assessed together.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Norwegian Cancer Society. We thank Pankaj Keshari for skilful technical assistance. Laboratory work was performed at Centre for Medical Genetics and Molecular Medicine, Haukeland University Hospital, and at Mohn Cancer Research Laboratory, University of Bergen.

Conflict of interest

444 - 450

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on British Journal of Cancer website (http://www.nature.com/bjc)

- Christofori G (2006) New signals from the invasive front. Nature 441: Clark MA, Hartley A, Geh JI (2004) Cancer of the anal canal. Lancet Oncol
- 5: 149 157 Collins JE, Taylor I, Garrod DR (1990) A study of desmosomes in colorectal carcinoma. Br J Cancer 62: 796-805
- Daling JR, Madeleine MM, Johnson LG, Schwartz SM, Shera KA, Wurscher MA, Carter JJ, Porter PL, Galloway DA, McDougall JK (2004) Human papillomavirus, smoking, and sexual practices in the etiology of anal cancer. Cancer 101: 270-280
- de Boer CJ, van Dorst E, van Krieken H, Jansen-van Rhijn CM, Warnaar SO, Fleuren GJ, Litvinov SV (1999) Changing roles of cadherins and catenins during progression of squamous intraepithelial lesions in the uterine cervix. Am J Pathol 155: 505-515
- Dursun P, Yuce K, Usubutun A, Ayhan A (2007) Loss of epithelium cadherin expression is associated with reduced overall survival and disease-free survival in early-stage squamous cell cervical carcinoma. Int J Gynecol Cancer 17: 843-850
- Dusek RL, Attardi LD (2011) Desmosomes: new perpetrators in tumour suppression. Nat Rev Cancer 11: 317-323
- Dusek RL, Getsios S, Chen F, Park JK, Amargo EV, Cryns VL, Green KJ (2006) The differentiation-dependent desmosomal cadherin desmoglein 1 is a novel caspase-3 target that regulates apoptosis in keratinocytes. J Biol Chem 281: 3614-3624
- Fenger C (2002) Prognostic factors in anal carcinoma. Pathology 34: 573 - 578
- Flam M, John M, Pajak TF, Petrelli N, Myerson R, Doggett S, Quivey J, Rotman M, Kerman H, Coia L, Murray K (1996) Role of mitomycin in combination with fluorouracil and radiotherapy, and of salvage chemoradiation in the definitive nonsurgical treatment of epidermoid carcinoma of the anal canal: results of a phase III randomized intergroup study. J Clin Oncol 14: 2527-2539
- Friedl P, Gilmour D (2009) Collective cell migration in morphogenesis, regeneration and cancer. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 10: 445-457
- Frisch M, Fenger C, van den Brule AJ, Sorensen P, Meijer CJ, Walboomers JM, Adami HO, Melbye M, Glimelius B (1999) Variants of squamous cell

carcinoma of the anal canal and perianal skin and their relation to human papillomaviruses. *Cancer Res* 59: 753-757

- Garrod DR, Merritt AJ, Nie Z (2002) Desmosomal cadherins. Curr Opin Cell Biol 14: 537-545
- Getsios S, Simpson CL, Kojima S, Harmon R, Sheu LJ, Dusek RL, Cornwell M, Green KJ (2009) Desmoglein 1-dependent suppression of EGFR signaling promotes epidermal differentiation and morphogenesis. *J Cell Biol* 185: 1243-1258
- Green KJ, Getsios S, Troyanovsky S, Godsel LM (2010) Intercellular junction assembly, dynamics, and homeostasis. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **2**: a000125
- Hajra KM, Chen DY, Fearon ER (2002) The SLUG zinc-finger protein represses E-cadherin in breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 62: 1613–1618
- Hakimelahi S, Parker HR, Gilchrist AJ, Barry M, Li Z, Bleackley RC, Pasdar M (2000) Plakoglobin regulates the expression of the anti-apoptotic protein BCL-2. J Biol Chem 275: 10905-10911
- Harada H, Iwatsuki K, Ohtsuka M, Han GW, Kaneko F (1996) Abnormal desmoglein expression by squamous cell carcinoma cells. *Acta Derm Venereol* **76**: 417-420
- Kolligs FT, Kolligs B, Hajra KM, Hu G, Tani M, Cho KR, Fearon ER (2000) gamma-catenin is regulated by the APC tumor suppressor and its oncogenic activity is distinct from that of beta-catenin. *Genes Dev* 14: 1319-1331
- Koseki S, Aoki T, Ansai S, Hozumi Y, Mitsuhashi Y, Kondo S (1999) An immunohistochemical study of E-cadherin expression in human squamous cell carcinoma of the skin: relationship between decreased expression of E-cadherin in the primary lesion and regional lymph node metastasis. J Dermatol 26: 416-422
- Kottke MD, Delva E, Kowalczyk AP (2006) The desmosome: cell science lessons from human diseases. J Cell Sci 119: 797-806
- Kundu ST, Gosavi P, Khapare N, Patel R, Hosing AS, Maru GB, Ingle A, Decaprio JA, Dalal SN (2008) Plakophilin3 downregulation leads to a decrease in cell adhesion and promotes metastasis. Int J Cancer 123: 2303-2314
- Kurzen H, Munzing I, Hartschuh W (2003) Expression of desmosomal proteins in squamous cell carcinomas of the skin. J Cutan Pathol 30: 621-630
- Laitinen S, Martikainen PM, Tolonen T, Isola J, Tammela TL, Visakorpi T (2008) EZH2, Ki-67 and MCM7 are prognostic markers in prostatectomy treated patients. *Int J Cancer* 122: 595-602
- Lampejo T, Kavanagh D, Clark J, Goldin R, Osborn M, Ziprin P, Cleator S (2010) Prognostic biomarkers in squamous cell carcinoma of the anus: a systematic review. Br J Cancer 103: 1858-1869
- Li SS, Xue WC, Khoo US, Ngan HY, Chan KY, Tam IY, Chiu PM, Ip PP, Tam KF, Cheung AN (2005) Replicative MCM7 protein as a proliferation marker in endometrial carcinoma: a tissue microarray and clinicopathological analysis. *Histopathology* **46**: 307-313
- Miravet S, Piedra J, Miro F, Itarte E, Garcia de Herreros A, Dunach M (2002) The transcriptional factor Tcf-4 contains different binding sites for beta-catenin and plakoglobin. J Biol Chem 277: 1884-1891
- Muller S, Su L, Tighiouart M, Saba N, Zhang H, Shin DM, Chen ZG (2008) Distinctive E-cadherin and epidermal growth factor receptor expression in metastatic and nonmetastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: predictive and prognostic correlation. *Cancer* **113**: 97–107

- Nishihara K, Shomori K, Fujioka S, Tokuyasu N, Inaba A, Osaki M, Ogawa T, Ito H (2008) Minichromosome maintenance protein 7 in colorectal cancer: implication of prognostic significance. *Int J Oncol* 33: 245–251
- Ota T, Clayton AC, Minot DM, Shridhar V, Hartmann LC, Gilks CB, Chien JR (2011) Minichromosome maintenance protein 7 as a potential prognostic factor for progression-free survival in high-grade serous carcinomas of the ovary. *Mod Pathol* 24(2): 277-287
- Peinado H, Olmeda D, Cano A (2007) Snail, Zeb and bHLH factors in tumour progression: an alliance against the epithelial phenotype? *Nat Rev Cancer* 7: 415-428
- Peinado H, Portillo F, Cano A (2004) Transcriptional regulation of cadherins during development and carcinogenesis. *Int J Dev Biol* 48: 365-375
- Schipper JH, Frixen UH, Behrens J, Unger A, Jahnke K, Birchmeier W (1991) E-cadherin expression in squamous cell carcinomas of head and neck: inverse correlation with tumor dedifferentiation and lymph node metastasis. *Cancer Res* **51**: 6328–6337
- Schmidt A, Jager S (2005) Plakophilins-hard work in the desmosome, recreation in the nucleus? Eur J Cell Biol 84: 189-204
- Shinohara M, Hiraki A, Ikebe T, Nakamura S, Kurahara S, Shirasuna K, Garrod DR (1998) Immunohistochemical study of desmosomes in oral squamous cell carcinoma: correlation with cytokeratin and E-cadherin staining, and with tumour behaviour. J Pathol 184: 369-381
- Tada H, Hatoko M, Tanaka A, Kuwahara M, Muramatsu T (2000) Expression of desmoglein I and plakoglobin in skin carcinomas. *J Cutan Pathol* **27:** 24–29
- Tamura T, Shomori K, Haruki T, Nosaka K, Hamamoto Y, Shiomi T, Ryoke K, Ito H (2010) Minichromosome maintenance-7 and geminin are reliable prognostic markers in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma: immunohistochemical study. J Oral Pathol Med **39**: 328-334
- Thomas GJ, Speight PM (2001) Cell adhesion molecules and oral cancer. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 12: 479-498
- Umbas R, Isaacs WB, Bringuier PP, Schaafsma HE, Karthaus HF, Oosterhof GO, Debruyne FM, Schalken JA (1994) Decreased E-cadherin expression is associated with poor prognosis in patients with prostate cancer. *Cancer Res* **54**: 3929-3933
- Wolf A, Hatzfeld M (2010) A role of plakophilins in the regulation of translation. *Cell Cycle* 9: 2973-2978
- Wolf A, Krause-Gruszczynska M, Birkenmeier O, Ostareck-Lederer A, Huttelmaier S, Hatzfeld M (2010) Plakophilin 1 stimulates translation by promoting eIF4A1 activity. J Cell Biol 188: 463-471
- Wong MP, Cheang M, Yorida E, Coldman A, Gilks CB, Huntsman D, Berean K (2008) Loss of desmoglein 1 expression associated with worse prognosis in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma patients. *Pathology* **40:** 611-616
- Yang J, Mani SA, Donaher JL, Ramaswamy S, Itzykson RA, Come C, Savagner P, Gitelman I, Richardson A, Weinberg RA (2004) Twist, a master regulator of morphogenesis, plays an essential role in tumor metastasis. *Cell* 117: 927-939
- zur Hausen H (2000) Papillomaviruses causing cancer: evasion from host-cell control in early events in carcinogenesis. J Natl Cancer Inst 92: 690-698

This work is published under the standard license to publish agreement. After 12 months the work will become freely available and the license terms will switch to a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License.