Original Article

Prostanoid EP₁ receptor as the target of (–)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate in suppressing hepatocellular carcinoma cells *in vitro*

Juan JIN, Yan CHANG, Wei WEI*, Yi-fu HE, Shan-shan HU, Di WANG, Yu-jing WU

Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Anhui Medical University, Key Laboratory of Anti-inflammatory and Immunopharmacology of the Education Ministry of China, Hefei 230032, China

Aim: To investigate the effects of (–)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG), an active compound in green tea, on prostaglandin E_2 (PGE₂)induced proliferation and migration, and the expression of prostanoid EP₁ receptors in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cells. **Methods:** HCC cell line HepG2, human hepatoma cell lines MHCC-97L, MHCC-97H and human hepatocyte cell line LO2 were used. Cell viability was analyzed using MTT assay. PGE₂ production was determined with immunoassay. Wound healing assay and transwell filter assay were employed to assess the extent of HCC cell migration. The expression of EP₁ receptor and Gq protein were examined using Western blot assay.

Results: PGE_2 (4-40000 nmol/L) or the EP_1 receptor agonist ONO-DI-004 (400-4000 nmol/L) increased the viability and migration of HepG2 cells in concentration-dependent manners. EGCG (100 µg/mL) significantly inhibited the viability and migration of HepG2 cells induced by PGE_2 or ONO-DI-004. HepG2 cells secreted an abundant amount of PGE_2 into the medium, and EGCG (100 µg/mL) significantly inhibited the PGE_2 production and EP_1 receptor expression in HepG2 cells. EGCG (100 µg/mL) also inhibited the viability of MHCC-97L cells, but not that of MHCC-97H cells. Both EGCG (100 µg/mL) and EP_1 receptor antagonist ONO-8711 inhibited PGE_2 4 µmol/L and ONO-DI-004 400 nmol/L-induced growth and migration of HepG2 cells. Both EGCG (100 µg/mL) and ONO-8711 210 nmol/L inhibited PGE_2 and ONO-DI-004-induced EP_1 expression. EGCG and ONO-8711 had synergistic effects in inhibiting EP_1 receptor expression. PGE_2, ONO-DI-004, ONO-8711, and EGCG had no effects on Gq expression in HepG2 cells, respectively. **Conclusion:** These findings suggest that the anti-HCC effects of EGCG might be mediated, at least partially, through the suppressing EP_1 receptor expression and PGE_2 production.

Keywords: hepatocellular carcinoma; epigallocatechin-3-gallate; prostaglandin E₂; prostanoid EP₁ receptor

Acta Pharmacologica Sinica (2012) 33: 701-709; doi: 10.1038/aps.2012.13

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), one of the most common malignancies worldwide^[1], often arises in the background of chronic liver inflammation and cirrhosis. At this time, no effective chemotherapeutic or chemopreventive treatments are available. HCC is a growing health problem, and innovative treatment approaches are urgently needed.

(-)-Epi-gallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG, structure shown in Figure 1), one of the most abundant bioactive components in leaves of green tea, has received increasing attention for its various physiological activities, such as antioxidant activity^[2, 3] and anti-tumor properties^[4-6]. The effects of EGCG on tumor cell proliferation and apoptosis have been well documented^[7].

PGE₂ is the prostaglandin that is abundantly present in



Figure 1. Chemical structure of EGCG.

HCC. Studies have established the important role of the PGE₂ synthesis pathway as a potential target for the treatment and/ or prevention of $HCC^{[8, 9]}$. PGE₂ exerts its biological activities primarily via G-protein-coupled prostaglandin receptors (EP₁₋₄), which belong to the highly conserved superfamily of



^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail wwei@ahmu.edu.cn Received 2011-10-19 Accepted 2011-02-01

7-transmembrane-spanning proteins. Among these four EP receptors, studies have shown EP_1 to be the most important in tumor development. EP₁, through activation of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)/c-Met signaling, plays an important role in tumor cell invasion^[10]. A selective EP₁ agonist increased the phosphorylation of EGFR, which suggests that it might enhance the invasion of tumor cells^[10]. Moreover, an EP₁ antagonist reduced the viability of HCC cells and increased their apoptosis^[1]. The EP₁ receptor is of major importance in colon cancer development as well. For example, in one study, EP1 receptor deficiency inhibited colon cancer development^[11]. In addition, a selective EP₁ antagonist suppressed tongue carcinogenesis in rats, notably reduced the number of tumors in UV-induced mouse skin cancer^[12] and inhibited the COX-2 and PGE₂-induced migration and viability of human chondrosarcoma^[13]. These reports suggest that the EP₁ receptor might play a key role in the PGE₂-induced tumor process.

Studies have demonstrated the anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activity of EGCG, which is mediated via the inhibition of COX-2^[14] and microsomal prostaglandin E_2 synthase-1 (mPGES-1)^[15]. Although previous studies have suggested that EGCG downregulates COX-2 and mPGES-1 expression, whether the antitumoral effects of EGCG on HCC are mediated via regulation of EP₁ and PGE₂ has not been established. We hypothesized that EGCG might exert an anti-HCC effect by virtue of its suppressive action on both PGE₂ production and EP₁ expression.

Materials and methods Drugs

The EP₁-receptor selective antagonist ONO-8711 and EP₁receptor selective agonist ONO-DI-004 were kindly provided by ONO Pharmaceutical Co., Osaka, Japan. EGCG and PGE₂ were purchased from Sigma (St Louis, MO, USA). EP₁-receptor-antibody and Gq-receptor-antibody were obtained from Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.

Cell culture

The human hepatoma cell line MHCC-97L and MHCC-97H was obtained from the Liver Cancer Institute of Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China. The HepG2 cell line was obtained from the Shanghai Cell Bank of Chinese Academy of Sciences. Human hepatocyte cell line L02 was obtained from the Shanghai Institute of Cell Research. All of cell lines were cultured at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ environment in DMEM from Gibco BRL Life Technologies Inc (Grand Island, New York, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Sigma).

Cell proliferation assay

Proliferation was measured using a 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazoliumbromide (MTT) assay (EZ4U; Biomedica, Vienna, Austria). To assess the effects of PGE₂ (4×10⁻⁶ mol/L), ONO-8711 (210 nmol/L and 10 µmol/L), ONO-DI-004 (400 nmol/L) and EGCG (12.5, 25, 50, and 100 µg/mL) on cell proliferation, HepG2, MHCC-97L and MHCC-97H cells in an exponential growth phase were cultured at a density of 8×10³ cells/well in a 96-well plate and incubated overnight in DMEM containing 10% FBS. The cells were then serum starved for 24 h before being treated with the compounds. After treatment with various concentrations of reagents, MTT solution (5.0 mg/mL in PBS) was added (20.0 µL/well), and the plates were incubated for another 4 h at 37 °C. The purple formazan crystals were dissolved in 150.0 µL of Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO) per well. After 10 min, the plates were read on a microplate reader (American Bio-Tek) at 490 nm. The cells without drugs were used as a control. The assays were performed in three independent experiments. The inhibition of cell proliferation was determined using the following formula: inhibition of cell proliferation (%)=[1-(OD of the experimental samples/OD of the control)]×100% (n=3, mean±SD).

Transwell filter cell migration assay

Boyden chambers containing polycarbonate filters with 8 µm pore size (Costar, Bodenheim, Germany) were employed. Cells were seeded at a density of 5×10⁵ cells per milliliter. To initiate the chemotaxis assay, cells (5×10^4) in 100 µL of DMEM without FCS were added to the inner chamber, and the lower chamber was filled with 600 µL of DMEM with 10% FCS, as well as PGE₂ (4×10⁻⁶ mol/L) or ONO-DI-004 (400 nmol/L) as an inducer of cell migration. The cells were allowed to migrate for 12 h at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 95% air/5% CO₂. Cells on the filter were first stained with hematoxylineosin staining, and cells that remained on the upper surface of the filter were removed using a cotton swab. The cells that migrated onto the lower surface of the filter were examined by microscope after mounting them onto a slide. A total of six random high-power microscopic fields (HPF) (100×) per filter were photographed, and the number of cells was directly counted. Experiments were carried out in triplicate and were repeated three times with consistent results.

Wound healing assay

Cell migration was examined using the wound-healing assay. HepG2 cells were cultured to a confluent monolayer in a 6-well plate at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 95% air/5% CO₂. Thereafter, a scratch (wound) was introduced in the confluent cell layer using a yellow pipette tip. The cells were washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to remove detached cells. The cells were then incubated with different compounds for 24 h, and pictures of a defined wound spot were made with a computer-aided phase contrast microscope at 0 and 24 h. The area of the wound in the microscopic pictures was measured using Image J software (National Institutes of Health, MD) at different time points. The relative distance of wound closure (%)=(distance at 0 h-distance at 24 h)/ relative distance of control×100%.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

The HCC cell line HepG2 was plated into six-well plates and grown to 70% confluence. After washing with PBS, the cells

were treated with increasing concentrations of EGCG (0, 12.5, 25, 50, and 100 μ g/mL) in serum-free DMEM for 24 h. The cell supernatants were then collected, and the PGE₂ levels were measured using a commercial PGE₂ immunoassay kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. A PGE₂ standard was run in parallel to the supernatant samples. Each assay was performed using triplicate samples.

Western-blot analysis

The cells were treated with PGE₂ and ONO-DI-004 for 2 h with or without a 1 h pretreatment with EGCG at $100 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$. After treatment for 2 h, protein was extracted from cells in RIPA lysis buffer (50 mmol/L TRIS (tris (hydroxymethyl) aminomethane)-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mmol/L NaCl, 10 mmol/L phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 1 mmol/L ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA), 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate). The protein concentration was determined with the Lowry Protein Assay. A protein sample was mixed with the 5×sample buffer (4:1) (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and heated in boiling water for 10 min. The proteins were resolved by sodium dodecylsulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA), and incubated with blocking buffer [Tris-buffered saline/Tween 20 (TBST)/5% nonfat dry milk] overnight at 4°C. Immunoblotting was performed with the mouse antibody raised against human EP_1 receptor (1:1000) or Gq protein (1:1000) followed by the appropriate horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody (1:15000). Immunodetection was performed with an enhanced chemiluminescence system (ECL, Pierce, Rockford, IL) using hydrogen peroxide and luminol as a substrate.

Statistical analysis

Biostatistical analyses were conducted using the SPSS 11.5 software package. All experiments were repeated at least three times. The results of multiple experiments are given as the mean±SEM. The non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used to detect differences among the different experimental groups. A level of P<0.05 was accepted to indicate statistical significance.

Results

$\mathsf{EP}_{\mathtt{1}}$ expression in HCC cells

Previous studies showed that PGE_2 promoted tumor progression via binding to the EP_1 receptor^[10, 11]. We showed that the EP_1 receptor protein was expressed in the L02, MHCC-97H, MHCC-97L, and HepG2 cell lines (Figure 2). The expression of EP_1 receptors was higher in HCC cell lines compared with human normal hepatocyte L02 cells.

PGE₂ induced HCC growth

 PGE_2 is known to have a critical role in carcinogenesis. Therefore, we first detected the effect of PGE_2 on the viability of HepG2 cells. PGE_2 (0, 4, 40, 400, and 4000 nmol/L promoted



Figure 2. Expression of EP₁ receptor in HCC cells and human hepatocytes LO2. (A) A representative Western blot showing the expression of EP₁ in HCC cell lines MHCC-97H, MHCC-97L, and HepG2. Human hepatocyte LO2 cell line was used as control. (B) Bar graphs show quantitative evaluation of EP₁ expression by densitometry from triplicate independent experiments. Mean±SEM. ^bP<0.05, ^cP<0.01 compared with normal hepatocyte cell line LO2.

HepG2 growth in a dose-dependent way (P<0.05, Figure 3A). After treatment with 4 µmol/L PGE₂ for 24, 48, and 72 h, the viability of HepG2 cells was increased (Figure 3B).



Figure 3. Effect of PGE₂ on HepG2 growth. (A) Effect of PGE₂ (0, 4, 40, 400, 4000, and 40000 nmol/L on cell viability after 24 h treatment. (B) Effect of 4 µmol/L PGE₂ on cell viability after 24, 48, and 72 h. Data were expressed as mean±SEM of two separate experiments which was performed in duplicate. ^bP<0.05, ^cP<0.01 compared with control group.

Effect of selective $\mathsf{EP}_1\text{-}\mathsf{receptor}$ agonist and antagonist on $\mathsf{HepG2}$ growth

We next measured the response of HepG2 cells to selective EP₁-receptor agonist ONO-DI-004. Cells were grown in the absence or presence of ONO-DI-004 (0, 4, 40, 400, and 4000 nmol/L), and proliferation was evaluated after 24 h. As shown in Figure 4A, both 400 nmol/L and 4 µmol/L of ONO-DI-004 significantly promoted HepG2 cell growth (P<0.05). We chose the 400 nmol/L of ONO-DI-004 to investigate its effect on cell viability at different time points (Figure 4B). The growth of cells was significantly increased after 48 h of stimulation by ONO-DI-004 (P<0.01). Subsequent experiments aimed to evaluate the potential of the selective EP1-receptorantagonist ONO-8711 as a chemotherapeutic compound. As shown in Figure 4C, the treatment of HepG2 cells with ONO-8711 (210 nmol/L, 1, 5, and 10 µmol/L) significantly reduced cell viability as compared with controls (cells treated with serum-free DMEM) (P<0.01).

EGCG inhibits PGE₂ production

704

After showing that PGE₂ stimulated HepG2 cell growth and knowing that mPGES expression is inhibited by EGCG^[15], we aimed to determine the inhibitory effect of EGCG (12.5–100 μ g/mL) on the production of PGE₂ in HCC cell lines. EGCG inhibited PGE₂ production as compared with controls (cells treated with serum-free DMEM). HepG2 cells secreted an abundant amount of PGE₂ into the growth medium, which was significantly reduced after treatment with 100 μ g/mL of EGCG (Figure 5).

EGCG inhibits HCC cell growth

First, we aimed to investigate the mechanisms by which EGCG exerts its anti-tumor activity. HCC cell lines HepG2, MHCC-97L and MHCC-97H were treated with EGCG (12.5–100 μ g/mL) for 24 or 48 h. As shown in Figure 6, at a concentration of 50 μ g/mL, the reduction of cell viability was significant in MHCC-97L and HepG2 cells (*P*<0.01), but MHCC-97H cell growth had no change. The extent of viability-reduction differed between HCC cell lines. In addition, EGCG might inhibit MHCC-97H cell migration or invasion or induce cell



Figure 5. Effect of EGCG 12.5–100 μ g/mL on production of PGE₂ by HepG2 cells after 48 h. Data were expressed as mean±SEM of two separate experiments, each of which was performed in duplicate. ^cP<0.01 compared with control group.

apoptosis but not cell growth. The exact mechanism would need to be further determined.

EGCG inhibits selective $\text{EP}_{\texttt{1}}$ receptor agonist-induced growth of HepG2 cells

Our results suggested that EGCG inhibited PGE₂ expression and HepG2 cell growth. We next tested the effect of EGCG (100 μ g/mL) on PGE₂- and ONO-DI-004-induced cell growth. As shown in Figure 7, EGCG 100 μ g/mL significantly inhibited PGE₂- and ONO-DI-004-induced growth of HepG2 cells (*P*<0.01).

EGCG inhibits selective EP_{1} receptor agonist-induced migration of HepG2 cells

We next investigated how PGE_2 , ONO-DI-004 and ONO-8711 influenced the migratory behavior of HepG2 cells by performing wound healing assays. Consistent with their role as positive regulators of cell growth, cells treated with PGE_2 or ONO-DI-004 closed the wound faster than the control, and EGCG and ONO-8711 inhibited cell migration. PGE_2 and ONO-DI-004 had an additive effect on cell migration (Figure 8A, 8B).

We further investigated the inhibitory effects of EGCG on PGE_{2} - and ONO-DI-004-induced migration using transwell filter assays. EGCG (100 μ g/mL) significantly inhibited HepG2



Figure 4. Selective EP_1 receptor agonist ONO-DI-004 and antagonist ONO-8711 on viability of HepG2 cells. (A) Effect of ONO-DI-004 (0, 4, 40, 400, and 4000 nmol/L on cell viability after 24 h. (B) Effect of 400 nmol/L of ONO-DI-004 on cell viability after 24, 48, and 72 h. (C) Effect of 210 nmol/L, 1, 5, and 10 µmol/L of ONO-8711 on viability of HepG2 cells after 24 h. Data were expressed as the relative inhibitory ratio in untreated cells and the mean±SEM of two separate experiments, each of which was performed in duplicate. ^bP<0.05, ^cP<0.01 compared with control group.



Figure 6. Inhibitory effect of EGCG (0, 12.5, 25, 50, and 100 μ g/mL) on the growth of HepG2 cells, MHCC-97L and MHCC-97H cells after 24 or 48 h. Data were expressed as mean±SEM of two separate experiments which was performed in duplicate. ^cP<0.01 compared with untreated group.

cell migration induced by PGE_2 (4 µmol/L) or ONO-DI-004 (400 nmol/L) (*P*<0.01, Figure 8C).

EGCG inhibits EP1 expression in HepG2 cells

We further examined whether EP_1 receptor expression was altered after treatment with EGCG in HepG2 cells by Western blot assay. As shown in Figure 9A, when the cells were treated with PGE₂ or ONO-DI-004, an increase was observed in the expression of EP_1 receptors, which were inhibited by EGCG. In general, EP_1 receptors are coupled to Gq proteins, which are important for EP_1 signaling. Therefore, we also tested the expression of Gq proteins. There was no significant suppression of Gq expression after treatment with EGCG or ONO-8711 (Figure 9A). The results suggested that EGCG inhibited proliferation and migration of HepG2 induced by



Figure 7. Inhibitory effects of EGCG 100 µg/mL on PGE₂ (A) and ONO-DI-004 (B)-induced growth of HepG2 cells. Data were expressed as the mean±SEM of two separate experiments, each of which was performed in duplicate. ^bP<0.05, ^cP<0.01 vs untreated group. ^fP<0.01 vs single PGE₂- or ONO-DI-004-treated groups, respectively.

PGE₂, partially by suppressing the expression of the EP₁ receptor but not the Gq protein. We tested the effect of ONO-8711 or EGCG on PGE₂- and ONO-DI-004-induced EP₁ expression (Figure 9B). We found that both ONO-8711 and EGCG inhibited the PGE₂- and ONO-DI-004-induced EP₁ expression. PGE₂ and ONO-DI-004 had an synergistic effect on EP₁ expression.

Discussion

The increased expression of COX-2 and concomitant increased production of PGE₂ are considered to be a major cause of the pathological changes seen in cancers. Studies have indicated that COX-2-mediated production of PGE2 increases cancer cell growth, which can be suppressed by several COX-2 inhibitors^[16, 17]. Because of the unfavorable cardiovascular safety profile of selective COX-2 inhibitors, there is an interest in using PG receptor-specific compounds as novel agents in the prevention and treatment of certain cancers^[18]. PGE₂ exerts its biological activities primarily via EP₁₋₄ receptors. Indeed, the EP₁ receptor has previously been shown to play a key role in the progression of various carcinomas, including colon cancer and skin cancer^[10, 12, 18, 19]. Suppression of synthesis of PGE₂ and expression of its receptors is a compelling rationale in the treatment of HCC. Since the effects of EGCG on the migration and proliferation of HCC as induced by PGE₂ and EP₁ agonists was unknown, we decided to investigate this topic.

EGCG exerts an inhibitory effect in many cancers, such as prostate cancer^[20, 21] and liver cancer^[22]. Previous studies have shown that EGCG decreased the expression of COX-2^[23] and mPGES^[15]. However, the exact mechanism of the inhibi-





Figure 8. Inhibitory effects of EGCG and ONO-8711 on PGE_{2^-} and ONO-DI-004-induced migration of HepG2 cells. (A) Effect of EGCG (100 µg/mL) and ONO 8711 210 nmol/L on PGE_{2^-} and ONO-DI-004-stimulated scratch closure. (B) Representative photographs of wound-healing assay. (a) control; (b) PGE_2 (4 µmol/L); (c) ONO-DI-004 (400 nmol/L); (d) ONO-8711 (210 nmol/L); (e) ONO-8711+PGE_2; (f) EGCG (100 µg/mL); (g) EGCG+ONO-DI-004; (h) EGCG+PGE_2. The black line was used to mark the range of the scratches. (C) Effect of EGCG (100 µg/mL) and ONO 8711 (210 nmol/L) on PGE_{2^-} and ONO-DI-004-stimulated cell migration. Data shown are expressed as the mean±SEM of two separate experiments, each of which was performed in duplicate. $^{\circ}P$ <0.01 compared with untreated group. $^{\prime}P$ <0.01 vs single PGE_{2^-} or ONO-DI-004-treated group, respectively.

tory effect of EGCG on HCC cells is not well understood. We examined HCC cell proliferation after treatment with EGCG. After incubation with 50 or 100 μ g/mL of EGCG, a significant reduction in cell proliferation in HepG2 and MHCC-97L cells, but not MHCC-97H cells was observed (Figure 6). To evaluate the effect of EGCG on PGE₂ production in HCC cells, we

detected PGE_2 expression in HepG2 cells. As shown in Figure 5, EGCG decreased PGE_2 expression in a dose-dependent way. We found that EGCG produced a strong inhibitory effect on the growth of HCC cells and significantly downregulated PGE_2 production.

Previous studies demonstrated that PGE₂ binding to the



www.chinaphar.com

Figure 9. EGCG inhibited EP₁ receptor expression in human HepG2 cells. Effect of PGE₂ 4 μ mol/L, ONO-DI-OO4 400 nmol/L, ONO-8711 210 nmol/L, and EGCG 100 μ g/mL alone on EP₁ receptor expression (A) and Gq expression (B). (C) EGCG and ONO-8711 on PGE₂ and ONO-DI-OO4-induced EP₁ receptor expression. The data were expressed as mean±SEM of three independent experiments. ^bP<0.05, ^cP<0.01 compared with control groups. ^fP<0.01 vs single PGE₂- or ONO-DI-004- treated group, respectively. ⁱP<0.01 vs single EGCG- or ONO-8711-treated group, respectively.

 EP_1 receptor up-regulated survivin, which accelerated HCC cell growth and metastasis^[19], but the important role of EP_1 receptors in tumor progression remained to be elucidated. To specifically determine whether the EP_1 receptor is functionally active in HCC cells, we used a selective EP_1 receptor agonist and antagonist to analyze their effects on cell viability and migration.

Previous studies showed that the EP₁ receptor antagonist AH6809 inhibited anchorage-independent cell growth and reduced the viability of HCC cells^[24]; however, ONO-8711 significantly inhibited breast cancer and HCC development, presumably via induction of apoptosis^[1, 25]. Our data showed that the selective EP₁ receptor agonist ONO-DI-004 dramatically increased cell viability and migration in HCC cells in a dose-dependent manner and that the EP1 receptor antagonist ONO-8711 exerted an inhibitory effect. In addition, ONO-8711 significantly inhibited PGE2-induced HepG2 cell migration. Furthermore, we detected the effect of PGE₂ and the selective EP₁ receptor agonist on EP₁ expression by Western blot assay. The results showed that both of them up-regulated EP₁ receptor expression. Taken together, these data provide direct evidence that EP₁ expression as well as proliferation and migration of HCC cells are increased by both PGE₂ and the selective EP_1 receptor agonist. By contrast, the selective EP₁ receptor antagonist inhibited PGE₂-induced cell migration. These findings demonstrate that the EP₁ receptor appears to be the predominant receptor that regulates PGE₂-induced HCC cell growth and metastasis.

Our study focuses on the inhibitory effect of EGCG on

PGE₂ and EP₁ receptor agonist-induced HCC development. First, we observed that both PGE₂ and the EP₁ receptor agonist stimulated HCC progression. To elucidate the effects of EGCG on PGE₂/EP₁ agonist-induced tumor development, we investigated cell proliferation, migration and EP₁ receptor expression. Cell proliferation and migration were significantly reduced by EGCG at 100 µg/mL compared with the control (P<0.01). In PhIP-induced breast cancers, COX-2 and PGE₂ are closely related to estrogen biosynthesis through the aromatase gene (CYP), and these members may be involved in mammary gland carcinogenesis through the EP_1 receptor^[26]. COX-2 acts as an oncogene under certain circumstances, leading to the production of PGE₂ which could then act in a paracrine or autocrine way to induce signaling via EP receptors, in particular the EP_1 receptor^[27]. In our study, we found that expression of the EP₁ receptor was significantly decreased in the HCC cell line HepG2 after treatment with EGCG (Figure 9). EP₁ receptors are coupled to Gq proteins, but no significant difference in Gq protein expression was observed after treatment with EGCG or ONO-8711. The level of Gq expression was unchanged in our cell system, suggesting that although no significant change occurred in Gq production, the activity of Gq might be already changed or that the level of Gq expression may depend on specific cell types. The exact mechanism should be further studied. We are attempting to determine whether the activity of the Gq protein changed upon treatment with specific chemicals or whether the level of Gq expression was changed in other cell lines.

In conclusion, EP₁ receptors were expressed at a higher

level in HCC cells compared with normal human hepatocytes. EGCG significantly inhibited PGE_2/EP_1 agonist-induced HCC development through suppression of cell viability and migration. We also found that EGCG demonstrated prominent inhibition of PGE₂ synthesis and EP₁ receptor expression. These findings suggest that EGCG may be effective in managing HCC and may help in the development of new therapeutic strategies for both the prevention and treatment of HCC.

Acknowledgements

700

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (N $_{0}$ 30973543 and N $_{0}$ 30572356), and by the Scientific Research Foundation of Anhui Medical University (N $_{0}$ 2008kj13).

The authors acknowledge ONO Pharmaceutical Co. in Japan for providing the selective EP₁ receptor agonist and antagonist. The authors especially thank Yuri SHEIKINE for rearranging and correcting the manuscript.

Author contribution

Wei WEI designed research. Juan JIN and Yan CHANG performed research and wrote the manuscript; Yi-fu HE contributed new reagents or analytic tools. Shan-shan HU, Di WANG, and Yu-jing WU performed research.

References

- Breinig M, Rieker R, Eiteneuer E, Wertenbruch T, Haugg AM, Helmke BM, et al. Differential expression of E-prostanoid receptors in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Int J Cancer 2008; 122: 547–57.
- 2 Frei B, Higdon JV. Antioxidant activity of tea polyphenols *in vivo*: evidence from animal studies. J Nutr 2003; 133: 3275S–84S.
- 3 Khan N, Afaq F, Saleem M, Ahmad N, Mukhtar H. Targeting multiple signaling pathways by green tea polyphenol (-)-epigallocatechin-3gallate. Cancer Res 2006; 66: 2500–5.
- 4 Fassina G, Vene R, Morini M, Minghelli S, Benelli R, Noonan DM, et al. Mechanisms of inhibition of tumor angiogenesis and vascular tumor growth by epigallocatechin-3-gallate. Clin Cancer Res 2004; 10: 4865–73.
- 5 Thangapazham RL, Singh AK, Sharma A, Warren J, Gaddipati JP, Maheshwari RK. Green tea polyphenols and its constituent epigallocatechin gallate inhibits proliferation of human breast cancer cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Cancer Lett 2007; 245: 232–41.
- 6 Ju J, Hong J, Zhou JN, Pan Z, Bose M, Liao J, *et al.* Inhibition of intestinal tumorigenesis in Apcmin/+ mice by (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate, the major catechin in green tea. Cancer Res 2005; 65: 10623–31.
- 7 Yang CSL, Lambert JD, Hou Z, Ju J, Lu G, Hao X. Molecular targets for the cancer preventive activity of tea polyphenols. Mol Carcinog 2006; 45: 431–5.
- 8 Liu W, Nakamura H, Tsujimura T, Cheng J, Yamamoto T, Iwamoto Y, et al. Chemoprevention of spontaneous development of hepatocellular carcinomas in fatty liver Shionogi mice by a cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor. Cancer Sci 2006; 97: 768–73.
- 9 Kern MA, Haugg AM, Koch AF, Schilling T, Breuhahn K, Walczak H, et al. Cyclooxygenase-2 inhibition induces apoptosis signaling via death receptors and mitochondria in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Res 2006; 66: 7059–66.

- 10 Han C, Michalopoulos GK, Wu T. Prostaglandin E₂ receptor EP₁ transactivates EGFR/MET receptor tyrosine kinases and enhances invasiveness in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells. J Cell Physiol 2006; 207: 261–70.
- 11 Kawamori T, Kitamura T, Watanabe K, Uchiya N, Maruyama T, Narumiya S, *et al.* Prostaglandin E receptor subtype EP(1) deficiency inhibits colon cancer development. Carcinogenesis 2005; 26: 353–7.
- 12 Tober KL, Wilgus TA, Kusewitt DF, Thomas-Ahner JM, Maruyama T, Oberyszyn TM. Importance of the EP(1) receptor in cutaneous UVBinduced inflammation and tumor development. J Invest Dermatol 2006; 126: 205–11.
- 13 Liu JF, Fong YC, Chang CS, Huang CY, Chen HT, Yang WH, et al. Cyclooxygenase-2 enhances alpha2beta1 integrin expression and cell migration via EP1 dependent signaling pathway in human chondrosarcoma cells. Mol Cancer 2010; 9: 43.
- 14 Sanchez-Huerta V, Gutierrez-Sanchez L, Flores-Estrada J. (-)-Epigallocatechin 3-gallate (EGCG) at the ocular surface inhibits corneal neovascularization. Med Hypotheses 2011; 76: 311–3.
- 15 Andreas K, Haupl T, Lubke C, Ringe J, Morawietz L, Wachtel A, et al. Antirheumatic drug response signatures in human chondrocytes: potential molecular targets to stimulate cartilage regeneration. Arthritis Res Ther 2009; 11: R15.
- 16 Leng J, Han C, Demetris AJ, Michalopoulos GK, Wu T. Cyclooxygenase-2 promotes hepatocellular carcinoma cell growth through Akt activation: evidence for Akt inhibition in celecoxib-induced apoptosis. Hepatology 2003; 38: 756–68.
- 17 Wendum D, Masliah J, Trugnan G, Flejou JF. Cyclooxygenase-2 and its role in colorectal cancer development. Virchows Arch 2004; 445: 327–33.
- 18 Hull MA, Ko SC, Hawcroft G. Prostaglandin EP receptors: targets for treatment and prevention of colorectal cancer? Mol Cancer Ther 2004; 3: 1031–9.
- 19 Bai XM, Jiang H, Ding JX, Peng T, Ma J, Wang YH, et al. Prostaglandin E2 upregulates survivin expression via the EP1 receptor in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Life Sci 2010; 86: 214–23.
- 20 Chung LY, Cheung TC, Kong SK, Fung KP, Choy YM, Chan ZY, et al. Induction of apoptosis by green tea catechins in human prostate cancer DU145 cells. Life Sci 2001; 68: 1207–14.
- 21 Lu YP, Lou YR, Xie JG, Peng QY, Liao J, Yang CS, et al. Topical applications of caffeine or (–)-epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) inhibit carcinogenesis and selectively increase apoptosis in UVB-induced skin tumors in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002; 99: 12455–60.
- 22 Shirakami Y, Shimizu M, Adachi S, Sakai H, Nakagawa T, Yasuda Y, et al. (-)-Epigallocatechin gallate suppresses the growth of human hepatocellular carcinoma cells by inhibiting activation of the vascular endothelial growth factor-vascular endothelial growth factor receptor axis. Cancer Sci 2009; 100: 1957–62.
- 23 Ahmed S, Rahman A, Hasnain A, Lalonde M, Goldberg VM, Haqqi TM. Green tea polyphenol epigallocatechin-3-gallate inhibits the IL-1 betainduced activity and expression of cyclooxygenase-2 and nitric oxide synthase-2 in human chondrocytes. Free Radic Biol Med 2002; 33: 1097–105.
- 24 Cusimano A, Fodera D, Lampiasi N, Azzolina A, Notarbartolo M, Giannitrapani L, et al. Prostaglandin E2 receptors and COX enzymes in human hepatocellular carcinoma: role in the regulation of cell growth. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2009; 1155: 300–8.
- 25 Kawamori T, Uchiya N, Nakatsugi S, Watanabe K, Ohuchida S, Yamamoto H, et al. Chemopreventive effects of ONO-8711, a selective



prostaglandin E receptor EP(1) antagonist, on breast cancer development. Carcinogenesis 2001; 22: 2001–4.

26 Nakatsugi S, Ohta T, Kawamori T, Mutoh M, Tanigawa T, Watanabe K, et al. Chemoprevention by nimesulide, a selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor, of 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine (PhIP)- induced mammary gland carcinogenesis in rats. Jpn J Cancer Res 2000; 91: 886–92.

27 Liu CH, Chang SH, Narko K, Trifan OC, Wu MT, Smith E, et al. Overexpression of cyclooxygenase-2 is sufficient to induce tumorigenesis in transgenic mice. J Biol Chem 2001; 276: 18563–9.