

Original Article

Association between *VDR* and *ESR1* gene polymorphisms with bone and obesity phenotypes in Chinese male nuclear families

Jie-mei GU, Wen-jin XIAO, Jin-wei HE, Hao ZHANG, Wei-wei HU, Yun-qiu HU, Miao LI, Yu-juan LIU, Wen-zhen FU, Jin-bo YU, Gao GAO, Hua YUE, Yao-hua KE, Zhen-lin ZHANG*

Department of Osteoporosis, Metabolic Bone Disease and Genetics Research Unit, The Shanghai Sixth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai 200233, China

Aim: The goal of this study was to determine whether polymorphisms in the vitamin D receptor (*VDR*) and estrogen receptor alpha (*ESR1*) genes are associated with variations of peak bone mineral density (BMD) and obesity phenotypes in young Chinese men.

Methods: A total of 1215 subjects from 400 Chinese nuclear families were genotyped by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) and allele-specific multiple PCR (ASM-PCR) analysis at the *Apal*, *FokI*, and *CDX2* sites in the *VDR* gene and the *PvuII* and *XbaI* sites in the *ESR1* gene. BMD at the lumbar spine and hip, total fat mass, and total lean mass were measured using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry. The associations between *VDR* and *ESR1* gene polymorphisms with peak BMD, body mass index (BMI), total fat mass, total lean mass, and percentage fat mass (PFM) were determined using quantitative transmission disequilibrium tests (QTDTs).

Results: Using QTDTs, no significant within-family associations were obtained between genotypes or haplotypes of the *VDR* and *ESR1* genes and peak BMD. For the obesity phenotypes, the within-family associations were significant between *CDX2* genotypes and BMI ($P=0.046$), fat mass ($P=0.004$), and PFM ($P=0.020$). Further, *PvuII* was significantly associated with the variation of fat mass and PFM ($P=0.002$ and $P=0.039$, respectively). A subsequent 1000 permutations were in agreement with these within-family association results.

Conclusion: Our findings showed that *VDR* and *ESR1* polymorphisms were associated with total fat mass in young Chinese men, but we failed to find a significant association between *VDR* and *ESR1* genotypes and peak BMD. These findings suggested that the *VDR* and *ESR1* genes are quantitative trait loci (QTL) underlying fat mass variation in young Chinese men.

Keywords: *VDR*; *ESR1*; polymorphism; bone mineral density; fat mass; lean mass; transmission disequilibrium test

Acta Pharmacologica Sinica (2009) 30: 1634–1642; doi: 10.1038/aps.2009.169

Introduction

Osteoporosis and obesity are two common complex diseases and major health problems. It is also known that obesity and osteoporosis are closely related diseases^[1]. Human body composition generally changes with aging, mainly including a reduction in lean body mass, an accumulation of fat body mass, and a loss of bone mass^[2]. These changes may correspondingly lead to osteoporosis and obesity. Both bone mass and obesity phenotypes are known to be under strong genetic regulation^[3, 4]. In the past decade, a number of candidate genes have been identified that may contribute to bone mineral density (BMD), but far less is known about genes affect-

ing obesity phenotypes such as lean mass and fat mass^[5, 6]. Recently, Tang *et al*^[7] conducted a bivariate whole-genome linkage scan and identified several genomic regions shared by obesity and osteoporosis.

The vitamin D receptor (*VDR*) is one of the most extensively studied genes in relation to BMD, due to the important role of vitamin D in bone metabolism^[8]. A functional genetic polymorphism in *VDR* could be involved in other tissues that respond to vitamin D, such as muscle cells and adipocytes. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that vitamin D stimulates the differentiation of preadipocytes to adipocytes in the OB 17 cell line^[9]. Some studies have also suggested that *VDR* genotype may function as a determinant of body composition^[3].

Estrogen receptor alpha (*ESR1*) is also an important potential candidate gene for osteoporosis, and extensive studies have been performed on the relationship between polymorphisms

* To whom correspondence should be addressed.
E-mail ZL2002@medmail.com.cn
Received 2009-09-24 Accepted 2009-10-22

of this gene and BMD variation. The *ESR1* gene is known to be involved in metabolic pathways influencing body growth, which may correlate with body mass index (BMI)^[10, 11]. One study demonstrated the association of *ESR1* polymorphisms with body fat distribution in Japanese women^[12]. Another study in Caucasians found *PvuII* polymorphisms within the *ESR1* gene to be associated with BMI, with the *PvuII* genotype giving rise to the highest BMI values in postmenopausal women^[13].

All of the above data indicate that the *VDR* and *ESR1* genes could be pleiotropic genetic factors influencing both osteoporosis and obesity phenotypes. However, until now, the clear relationship between polymorphisms in the *VDR* and *ESR1* genes with peak BMD and obesity phenotypes have not been elucidated. In this study, we investigated three *VDR* loci and two *ESR1* loci with peak BMD, BMI, total fat mass and total lean mass in Chinese male nuclear families. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is a precise, accurate, non-invasive, safe, and convenient technique, found on a three compartment model separating the body into total body mineral mass, fat mass, and lean mass^[14, 15]. Most association studies use traditional association approaches in random population, and such study designs are prone to population stratification/admixture, which produces false positive/negative results. In addition, the linkage approach often lacks statistical power with the currently used sample. However, the transmission disequilibrium test (TDT), a family-based association approach, is immune to population stratification, much more powerful compared with the traditional linkage approach, and can be used in nuclear families with or without parental phenotypes. Thus, in this study, we used quantitative transmission disequilibrium tests (QTDTs) to determine whether *VDR* and *ESR1* polymorphisms were associated with peak BMD and obesity phenotypes in a relatively large sample of Chinese male nuclear families.

Materials and methods

Subjects

All subjects involved in the study were collected by the Department of Osteoporosis of Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Sixth People's Hospital from the local population of Shanghai City (located on the mid-east coast of China) and signed informed consent documents before entering the project. Between 2004 and 2007, we recruited 1296 individuals from 427 male nuclear families whose offspring were sons. Of these, samples from 15 individuals could not be amplified and discriminated genotypes due to the poor quality of the DNA, and 12 sons deviated from Mendelian inheritance. Thus, there were a total of 400 male nuclear families composed of both parents and at least one healthy male child (1215 individuals) whose ages were largely between 20 to 40 years old. The average family size was 3.03; 385 families had one child and 15 families had 2. For each study subject, we also collected information on age, sex, medical history, family history, marital status, physical activity, alcohol use, diet habits and smoking history. The recruited sons were healthy. The exclusion crite-

ria for the study subjects were a history of: (1) serious residual effects of cerebral vascular disease; (2) diabetes mellitus, except for easily controlled, non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (defined as adult asymptomatic hyperglycemia controlled by diet or oral agents); (3) chronic renal disease manifested by a serum creatinine level of 11.9 mg/dl; (4) chronic liver disease or alcoholism; (5) chronic lung disease; (6) 12 weeks of corticosteroid therapy at pharmacologic levels; (7) 16 months of treatment with anticonvulsant therapy; (8) evidence of other metabolic or inherited bone diseases (eg, hyper- or hypoparathyroidism, Paget's disease of bone, osteomalacia, or osteogenesis imperfecta); (9) rheumatoid arthritis or collagen disease; (10) major gastrointestinal disease (eg, peptic ulcer, malabsorption, chronic ulcerative colitis, regional enteritis, or any significant chronic diarrhea state); (11) significant disease of any endocrine organ that would affect bone mass (eg, diabetes, hyperthyroidism, etc); (12) any neurologic or musculoskeletal condition that would be a nongenetic cause of low bone mass; and (13) any disease, treatment, or condition that would be a nongenetic cause of low bone mass^[16].

Phenotype measurements

The BMD (g/cm²) of the lumbar spine and left proximal femur including lumbar spine 1-4 (L1-4), femoral neck, total hip, total fat mass(kg), and total lean mass (kg) were measured by a Lunar Prodigy DXA densitometer (Lunar Corp, Madison, WI), and data were analyzed by Prodigy encore software (ver.6.70, standard-array, mode). The percentage of fat mass (PFM) was calculated as the ratio of fat mass to body weight^[17]. The DXA scanner was on fan-beam mode. The machine was calibrated daily, and the coefficient of variability (CV) values of the DXA measurements (which were obtained from 15 individuals repeatedly measured three times) were: for lumbar spine, 1.39%; for femoral neck, 2.22%; for total hip, 0.70%; and for trochanter, 1.41%^[18]. For body composition, the CVs were 1.18% and 3.72% for total lean mass and fat mass, respectively. The long-term reproducibility of our DXA data during the trial, based on weekly repeated phantom measurements, was 0.45%^[4]. Body mass index (BMI) was defined as the weight/height² in units of kg/m².

Genotyping

Genomic DNA was isolated using the phenol-chloroform extraction method. Genotypes were detected using polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) and allele-specific multiple PCR (ASM-PCR). The primers are shown in Table 1. A 265 bp fragment containing the *FokI* (rs10735810) polymorphism in the start codon of the *VDR* gene was amplified by PCR^[19]. The *FokI* genotypes were identified by electrophoresis of the DNA samples in 1.5% agarose gels. The *FokI* genotypes were named as follows: FF (absence of the restriction site); ff (presence of the restriction site); Ff (heterozygous for the restriction site). A 740 bp fragment containing the *ApaI* (rs7975232) polymorphism near the 3'-end of the *VDR* gene was amplified by PCR^[20]. The *ApaI* genotypes were named as follows: AA (absence of

Table 1. Information of the analyzed SNPs in this study.

Snps name	Loci		
<i>FokI</i>	rs10735810	upstream primer 5'-AGCTGGCCCTGGCACTGACTCTGCTCT-3'	downstream primer 5'-ATGGAACACCTTGCTTCTTCTCCCTC-3'
<i>Apal</i>	rs7975232	upstream primer 5'-CAGAGCATGGACAGGGAGCAA-3'	downstream primer 5'-GCAACTCCTCATGGCTGAGGTCTC-3'
<i>CDX2</i>	rs11568820	G-upstream 5'-AGGATAGAGAAAATAATAGAAAACATT-3' G-downstream 5'-AACCCATAATAAGAAAATAAGTTTTTAC-3'	A-upstream 5'-TCCTGAGTAACTAGGTCACAA-3' A-downstream 5'-ACGTTAAGTTTCAGAAAGATTAATTC-3'
<i>PvuII</i>	rs2234693	upstream primer 5'-CTGCCACCCTATCTGTATCTTTTCTATTACC-3'	downstream primer 5'-TCTTCTCTGCCACCCTGGCGTCGATTATCTGA-3'
<i>XbaI</i>	rs9340799	upstream primer 5'-CTGCCACCCTATCTGTATCTTTTCTATTACC-3'	downstream primer 5'-TCTTCTCTGCCACCCTGGCGTCGATTATCTGA-3'

the restriction site); aa (presence of the restriction site); Aa (heterozygous for the restriction site). The *CDX2* (rs11568820) polymorphism was determined using allele-specific multiple PCR (ASM-PCR) according to the method established by Fang *et al*^[21]. The position of the *CDX2* polymorphism is located in the promoter region of the *VDR* gene. Two sets of primers were designed for the ASM-PCR tests: These four primers generate three PCR fragments: primer set G-upstream and G-downstream specifically amplifies the G allele with a size of 110 bp; A-upstream and A-downstream specifically amplify the A-allele with a size of 235 bp; and the out-primer pair (G-upstream and A-downstream) amplifies the internal control PCR fragment with a size of 297 bp. Therefore, the GG genotype produced 297 bp and 110 bp fragments, the AA genotype produced 297 bp and 235 bp fragments, and the AG genotype produced 297 bp, 235 bp and 110 bp fragments^[22]. PCR products were size-separated on a 2.5% agarose gel. A 1.3 kb fragment containing the *PvuII* (rs2234693) and *XbaI* (rs9340799) polymorphisms in intron 1 of the *ESR1* gene was amplified by PCR^[23]. The PCR products were digested with *PvuII* and *XbaI*, respectively, and separated by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis with ethidium bromide staining. The genotypes are represented as PP, Pp, and pp for *PvuII* and XX, Xx, and xx for *XbaI*^[24].

Statistical analyses

To test the population homogeneity of the study subjects, the genotype frequencies for each of the five polymorphic sites were tested against the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium by the χ^2 test. To ensure unrelated individual samples, only genotype data from parents of each nuclear family were used in the statistical analysis^[25]. The heritability estimates were done using the linear regression of parents' mean value and offspring's value for every phenotype (described at www.heritability.com). Statistical power was estimated using Piface Software (version 1.65) (<http://www.math.uiowa.edu/~rlenth/Power/>) in our current sample size, according to the MAF(minor allele frequency) of every genotype

and the variation of BMD and obesity genotypes. The QTDT program, using the orthogonal model, was used to test for population stratification, total association, linkage and within-family association between SNPs and haplotypes and BMD phenotypes, BMI, fat mass and lean mass. The QTDT software package is available at: <http://www.sph.umich.edu/csg/abecasis/QTDT/>. This method, as implemented in the QTDT software^[4,26,27], extends the trio-based TDT to quantitative trait data and uses genotypes data from available sibling and parents. Because in our nuclear families, all of the children were sons and the effects of parents' phenotypes were excluded in the QTDTs, sex was not used as a covariate to adjust the sons' bone phenotype variation^[4,25]. Of course, raw BMD values were adjusted by age, height and weight as covariates. The BMI, fat mass and lean mass were adjusted by age as a covariate. Because false-positive results can be generated in multiple tests (as in the present study) permutations (1000 simulations) were performed to generate the empirical p values to assess the reliability of the results^[28-31]. The QTDT program generates P values for various tests via asymptotic χ^2 distribution. $P < 0.05$ was considered significant for all analyses.

In unrelated sons, differences in BMD among the genotype and haplotype groups were tested using one-way ANOVA and general linear model-ANOVA (GLM-ANOVA), adjusting for confounding variables such as age, height and weight. Differences in BMI, fat mass, lean mass and PFM among the genotypes and haplotypes groups were determined using GLM-ANOVA, adjusting for age. Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS package, version 11.5 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

There were 400 nuclear families with 1215 individuals in this study, including 800 parents and 415 sons. The general characteristics of male nuclear families are shown in Table 2, and the basic characteristics of the sons are shown in Table 3. All of the subjects were genotyped at the *Apal*, *FokI*, *CDX2*, *PvuII*, and *XbaI* polymorphic sites. Pearson correlation analysis

Table 2. Basic characteristics of the subjects (mean±SD).

Variables	Father (n=400)	Mother (n=400)	Son (n=415)
Age (years)	61.1±7.1	58.4±6.3	30.4±6.1
Height (cm)	167.8±6.0	155.7±5.5	172.9±5.9
Weight (kg)	69.7±9.5	58.2±8.2	70.7±10.8
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.2±2.7	24.0±3.1	24.2±3.2
Spine BMD (g/cm ²)	1.139±0.171	0.992±0.168	1.138±0.137
Femoral neck BMD (g/cm ²)	0.892±0.132	0.796±0.144	0.995±0.141
Total hip BMD (g/cm ²)	0.958±0.138	0.852±0.162	1.008±0.142
FM (kg)	-	-	16.31±7.56
LM (kg)	-	-	51.43±5.76
PFM (%)	-	-	21.9±7.3

confirmed that both fat mass and lean mass were positively correlated with BMD. According to the Pearson's coefficient (r), lean mass was more strongly related to BMD (with correlations range from 0.389 to 0.508), and fat mass was related to BMD (with correlations range from 0.198 to 0.243). To determine the relative contributions of lean mass and fat mass to BMD at various sites, we performed logistic regression analysis. In agreement with the Pearson analysis, both lean mass and fat mass showed positive regression coefficients, but lean mass had a significantly greater effect on BMD than fat mass. The r^2 between lean mass and BMD ranged from 0.149 to 0.249 and was from 0.056 to 0.068 between fat mass and BMD. The distribution of all of the genotypes was in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. The linkage disequilibrium (LD) between *PvuII* and *XbaI* was not very strong ($D' = 0.684$, $r^2 = 0.256$). For the *VDR* gene, the LDs between *ApaI*, *FokI*, *CDX2* were all weak ($D' < 0.1$, $r^2 < 0.01$). Peak BMD is thought to be under strong

genetic control. In our sample, the heritability estimates for peak BMD in the spine, femoral neck, and total hip were 0.565, 0.702, and 0.693, respectively.

We investigated the association between every genotype and BMD, BMI, fat mass and lean mass in 400 unrelated sons (randomly selected from 415 sons) using ANOVA. There was a significant association between *CDX2* genotypes and BMI ($P = 0.006$), and adjusted BMI values were 5.4% higher in the AA genotype compared to the AG genotype ($P = 0.002$). A significant association between *CDX2* genotypes and fat mass ($P = 0.004$) was found, and adjusted fat mass values were 18.8% higher in the AA genotype compared to the AG genotype ($P = 0.002$). There was a significant association between *CDX2* genotypes and PFM ($P = 0.002$), and adjusted PFM values were 14.8% higher in the AA genotype compared to the AG genotype ($P = 0.001$). However, no significant association was found between *CDX2* genotypes and peak BMD. In addition, marginally significant association was found between the *PvuII* genotypes and BMD at the total hip ($P = 0.049$). Moreover, a significant association between *PvuII* genotypes and fat mass was observed ($P = 0.022$); adjusted fat mass values were 14.3% higher in the pp genotype compared to the Pp genotype ($P = 0.006$). A significant association between the *PvuII* genotypes and PFM was also observed ($P = 0.020$), and adjusted PFM values were 10.0% higher in the pp genotype compared to the Pp genotype ($P = 0.006$). (Tables 4 and 5) Analysis of these SNPs showed that genetic variations in *CDX2* explained 2.40%, 3.08%, and 3.40% of the variation in BMI, fat mass, and PFM, respectively; while *PvuII* explained 1.86%, 2.18%, and 2.12% of population variance in BMI, fat mass, and PFM, respectively.

Association between *VDR* and *ESR1* genotypes and peak BMD were performed using the QTDT program for nuclear families. The MAF of five SNPs was >30%, and they had high

Table 3. The basic characteristics of the sons (n=415).

		n	Age (years)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	BMI	Lumber spine BMD (g/cm ²)	Femoral neck BMD (g/cm ²)	Total hip BMD (g/cm ²)	Fat mass (kg)	Lean mass (kg)	PFM (%)
<i>ApaI</i>	AA	36	29.5±6.0	172.0±6.3	70.9±11.1	23.9±3.3	1.147±0.148	1.009±0.145	1.018±0.155	16.9±7.0	50.8±6.4	23.1±6.8
	Aa	165	30.3±6.0	173.0±6.3	70.7±11.1	23.6±3.4	1.134±0.132	0.995±0.132	1.012±0.134	16.1±6.8	51.2±5.6	22.2±7.1
	aa	214	30.5±6.2	173.0±5.6	70.8±10.5	23.7±3.4	1.141±0.140	0.998±0.151	1.017±0.138	15.6±7.0	51.4±5.6	21.4±7.3
<i>FokI</i>	FF	111	30.2±6.4	172.3±5.5	71.1±10.4	23.9±3.2	1.129±0.131	0.992±0.130	1.003±0.129	16.5±6.6	50.8±5.4	22.6±6.6
	Ff	211	30.3±6.1	172.8±6.0	70.8±11.3	23.7±3.5	1.146±0.132	1.008±0.141	1.025±0.135	16.1±6.8	51.2±5.8	22.2±7.0
	ff	93	30.7±5.8	173.8±6.1	70.1±10.3	23.2±3.2	1.132±0.156	0.981±0.160	1.005±0.153	14.9±7.4	52.0±5.6	20.4±8.0
<i>CDX2</i>	AA	108	30.1±6.5	172.2±5.7	72.8±10.4	24.5±3.2	1.142±0.126	1.001±0.142	1.013±0.131	17.9±6.6	51.5±5.3	24.0±6.6
	AG	184	30.2±5.7	173.1±6.2	69.8±11.5	23.3±3.6	1.128±0.143	0.998±0.150	1.014±0.145	15.1±7.3	51.1±6.1	20.9±7.5
	GG	123	30.9±6.2	173.3±5.7	70.4±9.8	23.5±3.0	1.151±0.138	0.995±0.134	1.017±0.132	15.4±6.2	51.3±5.2	21.4±6.8
<i>PvuII</i>	PP	47	31.0±6.4	171.9±5.9	69.4±10.2	23.4±3.1	1.171±0.131	1.017±0.149	1.035±0.152	15.2±6.8	51.0±5.2	21.4±6.6
	Pp	197	30.4±6.2	173.4±5.9	69.9±10.7	23.2±3.4	1.140±0.138	0.996±0.140	1.013±0.133	15.1±7.0	51.0±5.5	21.0±7.6
	pp	171	30.2±5.9	172.6±5.9	72.1±10.9	24.2±3.3	1.128±0.138	0.995±0.145	1.010±0.139	17.2±6.7	51.7±5.9	23.1±7.0
<i>XbaI</i>	XX	15	28.3±5.6	174.0±6.6	70.7±11.6	23.3±3.4	1.200±0.153	1.046±0.203	1.022±0.163	15.5±8.5	51.7±4.7	21.6±8.5
	Xx	142	31.1±6.0	173.1±5.2	71.0±10.4	23.7±3.2	1.141±0.138	0.987±0.141	1.016±0.139	16.0±6.7	51.2±5.0	21.9±7.2
	xx	258	30.1±6.1	172.7±6.3	70.6±11.0	23.6±3.4	1.134±0.136	1.001±0.140	1.014±0.136	15.9±6.9	51.3±6.0	21.9±7.1
Total		415	30.4±6.1	172.9±5.9	70.7±10.8	23.7±3.4	1.139±0.137	0.998±0.143	1.015±0.137	15.9±6.9	51.3±5.6	21.9±7.2

Table 4. Association of the three SNPs of VDR gene with phenotypic values in sons from one offspring (mean±SD, n=400). Values for BMD are raw values (means±SD); P values are results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) test for the least square mean of BMD among various genotypes after adjusting for significant covariates of age, weight, and height. P values are results of ANOVA test for the least square mean of BMI, fat mass, lean mass among various genotypes after adjusting for significant covariates of age. Bold indicates significant P values (P<0.05). ^cP<0.01 vs AG genotype. ^fP<0.01 vs GG genotype..

Geno- type	n	Age (years)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	BMI	Lumber spine BMD (g/cm ²)	Femoral neck BMD (g/cm ²)	Total hip BMD (g/cm ²)	Fat mass (kg)	Lean mass (kg)	PFM (%)
<i>Apal</i>											
AA	36	29.5±6.0	172.0±6.3	70.9±11.1	23.9±3.3	1.147±0.148	1.009±0.145	1.018±0.155	16.9±7.0	50.8±6.4	23.1±1.3
Aa	155	30.1±6.0	173.0±6.4	70.8±11.1	23.6±3.4	1.137±0.131	0.997±0.127	1.017±0.132	16.1±6.8	51.2±5.4	22.1±0.6
aa	209	30.5±6.2	173.1±5.6	70.7±10.6	23.6±3.4	1.141±0.141	0.995±0.152	1.015±0.140	15.6±7.1	51.4±5.6	21.4±0.5
P		0.678	0.64	0.992	0.83	0.893	0.938	0.964	0.574	0.823	0.383
<i>FokI</i>											
FF	107	30.2±6.4	172.4±5.5	71.0±10.5	23.9±3.2	1.127±0.132	0.989±0.131	1.002±0.129	16.4±6.6	50.7±5.4	22.5±6.6
Ff	204	30.1±6.1	172.9±6.0	70.8±11.2	23.7±3.4	1.148±0.132	1.006±0.140	1.025±0.135	16.2±6.8	51.2±5.7	22.2±7.0
ff	89	30.6±5.8	173.7±6.3	70.2±10.5	23.3±3.3	1.137±0.156	0.986±0.160	1.013±0.153	14.8±7.5	52.1±5.6	20.1±8.2
P		0.855	0.311	0.855	0.419	0.425	0.415	0.174	0.243	0.224	0.054
<i>CDX2</i>											
AA	103	29.8±6.5	172.4±5.7	72.9±10.4	24.5±3.2 ^c	1.143±0.125	1.004±0.143	1.017±0.130	18.0±6.6 ^{cf}	51.7±5.2	24.0±6.6 ^{cf}
AG	180	30.2±5.8	173.1±6.2	69.7±11.7	23.3±3.6	1.129±0.144	0.995±0.147	1.014±0.144	15.1±7.4	51.1±6.1	20.9±7.6
GG	117	30.8±6.2	173.2±5.7	70.3±9.6	23.4±3.0	1.154±0.137	0.994±0.134	1.019±0.135	15.4±6.1	51.2±5.1	21.3±6.8
P		0.496	0.579	0.053	0.006	0.287	0.879	0.445	0.004	0.712	0.002

Table 5. Association of the two SNPs of ESR1 gene with phenotypic values in sons from one offspring (mean±SD, n=400). Values for BMD are raw values (means±SD); P values are results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) test for the least square mean of BMD among various genotypes after adjusting for significant covariates of age, weight, and height. P values are results of ANOVA test for the least square mean of BMI, fat mass, lean mass among various genotypes after adjusting for significant covariates of age. Bold indicates significant P values (P<0.05). ^bP<0.05 vs pp genotype, ^cP<0.01 vs pp genotype. ^eP<0.05, ^fP<0.01 vs 2.

Geno- type	n	Age (years)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	BMI	Lumber spine BMD (g/cm ²)	Femoral neck BMD (g/cm ²)	Total hip BMD (g/cm ²)	Fat mass (kg)	Lean mass (kg)	PFM (%)
<i>PvuII</i>											
PP	46	30.9±6.5	171.8±5.9	69.5±10.3	23.5±3.1	1.175±0.129 ^e	1.019±0.150	1.041±0.151 ^b	15.5±6.8	50.9±5.2	21.4±6.6
Pp	189	30.2±6.2	173.4±6.0	69.9±10.6	23.3±3.4	1.143±0.136	0.997±0.139	1.016±0.133	15.0±7.0 ^c	51.0±5.4	21.0±7.6 ^c
pp	165	30.1±5.9	172.7±5.9	71.9±11.2	24.1±3.4	1.126±0.140	0.991±0.143	1.009±0.139	17.1±6.8	51.6±6.0	23.1±7.0
P		0.752	0.209	0.158	0.057	0.010	0.073	0.049	0.022	0.620	0.020
<i>XbaI</i>											
XX	15	28.3±5.6	174.0±6.6	70.7±11.6	23.3±3.4	1.200±0.153	1.043±0.210	1.024±0.170	16.1±8.5	51.3±4.7	21.6±8.5
Xx	134	30.9±6.0	173.0±5.2	71.1±10.3	23.7±3.2	1.145±0.137	0.990±0.142	1.021±0.140	15.9±6.7	51.3±5.0	21.9±7.1
xx	251	30.0±6.2	172.8±6.3	70.5±11.1	23.6±3.4	1.134±0.136	0.998±0.138	1.013±0.135	15.9±7.0	51.2±6.0	21.9±7.1
P		0.166	0.729	0.891	0.936	0.201	0.633	0.667	0.983	0.969	0.997
Haplotype containing px											
none	43	30.8±6.3	172.0±6	69.9±10.5	23.6±3.1	1.176±0.130 ^f	1.012±0.146	1.036±0.148 ^e	15.6±6.9	51.4±4.9	21.4±6.8
1	221	30.6±6.7	173.3±6.1	70.8±11.0	23.6±3.4	1.145±0.135	0.997±0.144	1.021±0.140	15.7±7.3	51.4±5.7	21.4±7.5
2	146	29.9±5.9	172.8±5.9	71.8±11.2	24.0±3.5	1.123±0.141	0.990±0.142	1.006±0.136	17±6.9	51.6±6.1	22.9±6.9
P		0.514	0.4	0.558	0.427	0.011	0.159	0.048	0.229	0.962	0.176

heterozygosis in our population, so we obtained 290, 309, 296, 283, and 246 informative nuclear families for the QTDI analysis for the *Apal*, *FokI*, *CDX2*, *PvuII*, and *XbaI* genotypes, respec-

tively. In this study, using 400 nuclear families has more than 80% of the power to test a candidate gene as a QTL, which can explain about 10% of the BMD or obesity phenotypes' varia-

tion. The results of the QTDT analysis are presented in Table 6. There was no population stratification for the genotypes at any sites of BMD. For the total association, *PvuII* was associated with the variation of the lumbar spine, femoral neck and total hip BMD ($P=0.008$, $P=0.006$, and $P=0.016$, respectively). *XbaI* genotypes were associated with the variation of the lumbar spine BMD ($P=0.040$). For the within-family association, we did not obtain significant association evidence at any bone sites between *VDR* or *ESR1* genotypes and peak BMD at any site.

Table 6. *P* value of tests for population stratification and within-family association between *VDR*, *ESR1* genotypes and peak BMD. BMD values were adjusted by significant covariate effects of age, height and weight. Bold indicates significant *P* values ($P<0.05$).

	<i>Apal</i>	<i>FokI</i>	<i>CDX2</i>	<i>PvuII</i>	<i>XbaI</i>
Tests of population stratification					
Lumbar spine BMD	0.478	0.728	0.725	0.873	0.450
Femoral neck BMD	0.935	0.504	0.187	0.177	0.621
Total hip BMD	0.554	0.428	0.288	0.457	1.000
Test of total association					
Lumbar spine BMD	0.175	0.973	0.241	0.008	0.040
Femoral neck BMD	0.932	0.279	0.497	0.006	0.105
Total hip BMD	0.544	0.937	0.634	0.016	0.107
Test of within-family association					
Lumbar spine BMD	0.969	0.776	0.817	0.255	0.098
Femoral neck BMD	0.911	0.947	0.381	0.919	0.752
Total hip BMD	0.822	0.517	0.472	0.608	0.446
<i>P</i> 1000 permutation of within-family association					
Lumbar spine BMD	0.964	0.774	0.766	0.195	0.079
Femoral neck BMD	0.902	0.943	0.354	0.907	0.782
Total hip BMD	0.801	0.531	0.469	0.634	0.485

The results of the associations between the five genotypes and obesity phenotypes in the QTDT analyses are presented in Table 7. There was population stratification between *XbaI* and fat mass ($P=0.008$). For the total associations, *CDX2* and *PvuII* were associated with fat mass ($P=0.001$ and $P=0.009$, respectively) and PFM ($P=0.003$ and $P=0.047$, respectively). For the within-family associations, *CDX2* genotypes were associated with BMI ($P=0.046$), fat mass ($P=0.004$), and PFM ($P=0.020$), and *PvuII* genotypes were associated with the variations of fat mass and PFM ($P=0.002$ and $P=0.039$, respectively). Considering multiple parameters were tested, 1000 permutation tests were performed, and subsequent permutations were in agreement with these significant within-family association results.

There were eight different haplotypes of the *VDR* gene and four haplotypes of the *ESR1* gene. The frequencies of the haplotypes for all parents are shown in Table 8. We further observed the associations between *VDR* and *ESR1* haplotypes and peak BMD using QTDTs. There was no significant

Table 7. *P* value of tests for population stratification and within-family association between *VDR*, *ESR1* genotypes and obesity phenotypes; BMI, total fat mass, total lean mass and PFM values were adjusted by age. Bold indicates significant *P* values ($P<0.05$).

	<i>Apal</i>	<i>FokI</i>	<i>CDX2</i>	<i>PvuII</i>	<i>XbaI</i>
Tests of population stratification					
BMI	0.442	0.761	0.114	0.435	0.634
Fat mass	0.195	0.919	0.329	0.080	0.008
Lean mass	0.960	0.375	0.379	0.397	0.456
PFM	0.306	0.903	0.595	0.346	0.099
Test of total association					
BMI	0.851	0.900	0.194	0.079	0.544
Fat mass	0.165	0.069	0.001	0.009	0.900
Lean mass	0.566	0.120	0.700	0.144	0.541
PFM	0.082	0.030	0.003	0.047	0.807
Test of within-family association					
BMI	0.553	0.742	0.046	0.129	0.889
Fat mass	0.059	0.193	0.004	0.002	0.049
Lean mass	0.738	0.089	0.668	0.104	0.335
PFM	0.067	0.120	0.020	0.039	0.158
<i>P</i> 1000 permutation of within-family association					
BMI	0.536	0.741	0.038	0.129	0.888
Fat mass	0.110	0.290	0.012	0.013	0.127
Lean mass	0.748	0.063	0.626	0.108	0.354
PFM	0.049	0.087	0.009	0.023	0.153

population stratification, total association, or within-family association between the *VDR* or *ESR1* haplotypes and BMD. We also observed associations between *VDR* haplotypes and BMI, fat mass, lean mass, and PFM using QTDTs. For haplotype 1 (aFG) and fat mass, there was population stratification ($P=0.008$), significant total association ($P=0.022$), and within-family association ($P=0.001$ and $P=0.010$ of 1000 permutation tests). For haplotype 1 (aFG) and PFM, there was significant total association ($P=0.012$) and within-family association ($P=0.010$ and $P=0.008$ of 1000 permutation tests). For haplotype 6 (AFA) and fat mass, there was significant total association ($P=0.018$) and within-family association ($P=0.012$ and $P=0.041$ of 1000 permutation tests). Also, there was significant total association ($P=0.017$) and within-family association ($P=0.040$ and $P=0.041$ of 1000 permutation tests) for haplotype 6 (AFA) and PFM. Moreover, we investigated the association between *ESR1* haplotypes and BMI, fat mass, lean mass, and PFM using QTDTs. For haplotype 1 (px) and fat mass, there was population association ($P=0.011$) and significant within-family association ($P=0.002$ and $P=0.009$ of 1000 permutation tests) (data not shown).

In addition, we also investigated associations between the most common haplotypes of the two genes (aFG for *VDR* and px for *ESR1*) and BMD, BMI, fat mass, lean mass and PFM in 400 unrelated sons using GLM-ANOVA. There was no

significant association between the aFG haplotype and BMD, BMI, fat mass, lean mass or PFM (data not shown). There was, however, significant association between the px haplotype and BMD at the lumbar spine ($P=0.011$) and total hip ($P=0.048$). Subjects carrying two copies of the px haplotype had higher BMD at the lumbar spine and total hip compared with those carrying no copy of the px haplotype ($P=0.004$ and $P=0.032$, respectively) (Table 5). No relationship between such combinations of genotypes and peak BMD or obesity phenotypes in 400 unrelated sons was found using two-way analysis of variance.

Discussion

Extensive population-based association studies have been performed in different ethnic groups to test the relationships between *VDR* and *ESR1* genotypes and BMD variation^[32, 33]. However, the results have been inconsistent or even contradictory. Our previous study did not find any relationship between *ApaI* genotypes and BMD in Chinese nuclear families^[34], and this did not agree with Dunder's findings which indicated that the *VDR ApaI* polymorphism may be responsible for an important part of the heritable component of lumbar spine BMD in postmenopausal women^[35]. Lau *et al*^[36] reported that the *VDR FokI* polymorphism may have a weak effect on the BMD of elderly Chinese women, but Remes *et al*^[37] failed to find any association between *VDR FokI* and *ApaI* polymorphisms on BMD in middle-aged men. We found that *ESR1 PvuII* and *XbaI* polymorphisms may have minor effects on peak BMD in Chinese women^[24, 25], and another study^[38] also found that the *ESR1 PvuII* and *XbaI* genotypes may modulate the relationship between BMD in men. However, Finnish researchers failed to support the opinion that the *ESR1 XbaI* and *PvuII* polymorphisms have a substantial impact on the development of peak bone mass in young men^[39]. This may be due to the ethnic difference of the participants, to some specific effects of the gene mutation, and/or different genetic architecture and allele frequencies. In this study, we collected 400 Chinese male nuclear families, and used TDTs to simultaneously test linkage and/or association of the *VDR* and *ESR1* gene polymorphisms with peak BMD. We found that *VDR* and *ESR1* genotypes were not associated with lumbar spine and hip BMD in young Chinese males. Moreover, no significant within-family association was found between the *VDR* and *ESR1* haplotypes and peak BMD. Long *et al*'s study^[40] suggested that the *ESR1* haplotypes, not single markers, may be associated with BMD variation at some skeletal sites in Chinese male samples. This result is in partial agreement with our findings. Therefore, further studies in other ethnic male populations are needed to better define the relationship between *VDR* and *ESR1* genotypes and BMD.

Although the importance of the *VDR* and *ESR1* genes to bone biology is widely acknowledged, their importance to obesity is seldom reported. Obesity is an excess proportion of total body fat. Several studies have shown that body weight is the most common index of obesity, but it cannot be used to distinguish body fat from lean mass. More defined phenotypes have been proposed for studying obesity, such as fat

Table 8. Frequencies of *VDR* and *ESR1* haplotypes for all parents in the study.

VDR gene				ESR1 gene			
Index	Haplotype	<i>n</i>	Frequency	Index	Haplotype	<i>n</i>	Frequency
1	aFG	325	0.203	1	px	966	0.604
2	aFA	278	0.174	2	pX	77	0.048
3	afG	272	0.170	3	Px	269	0.168
4	afA	238	0.149	4	PX	288	0.180
5	AFG	131	0.082				
6	AFA	121	0.076				
7	AfG	128	0.080				
8	AfA	107	0.067				

mass, lean mass, and percentage fat mass. Genetic variation in *VDR* is associated with muscle strength, fat mass, and body weight in Swedish women^[3]. Recently, however, Moreno *et al*^[41] failed to find an association between *VDR* genotypes and haplotypes with fat-free mass in postmenopausal Brazilian women. Roh's research^[42] showed that *VDR* genotype is associated with fat-free mass in elderly Caucasian men. In the present study, we measured whole body fat mass, lean mass, and FPM as indices of the degree of obesity, using DXA. Using ANOVA and QTDT, we all found that *CDX2* was significantly associated with BMI, fat mass, and PFM, and *PvuII* was significantly associated with fat mass and PFM. Haplotype analysis also supported the above findings. These results are inconsistent with findings in Caucasian populations; evidence of a possible link between genetic variation in *ESR1* and obesity is provided by the observation that the *PvuII* polymorphism is associated with fat mass in women but not men^[43]. However, Grundberg *et al*^[44] found that a TA-repeat polymorphism in the *ESR1* gene did not correlate with muscle strength or body composition in young adult Swedish women. This may be due to the difference of the participants, especially sex and menopause-associated changes.

The present study differs from most other studies in the following three characteristics. First, most of the studies mentioned above were based on the traditional population association approach, which is susceptible to population structure and with which it is easy to generate spurious results. In this study, we applied a more robust method, QTDT, to estimate the relationship between polymorphisms in the *VDR* and *ESR1* genes with spine and hip BMD. Peak BMD is thought to be under strong genetic control. In our sample, the heritability estimates for peak BMD in the spine, femoral neck, and hip are 0.565, 0.702, and 0.693, respectively. Second, we have now learned that lean mass and fat mass may be important determinants of the BMD^[45, 46]. Many previous studies used BMD as a surrogate marker of bone strength, which did not take into account determinants of bone strength (*eg*, fat mass, lean mass and PFM) other than bone mass. Additionally, few studies have focused on the association of genetic polymorphisms with fat mass and lean mass. To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the possible influence of *VDR* and

ESR1 genotypes and haplotypes to BMD, BMI, fat mass, lean mass, and FPM variation in Chinese males. Third, we used a relatively large sample of male offspring nuclear families.

Of course, our study has several limitations. We tested only five common loci in the two genes, so we cannot rule out the possibility that association may exist between other polymorphisms in the genes with bone and obesity phenotypes. Therefore, further studies using denser markers are needed to test the effects of the *VDR* and *ESR1* genes (and other candidate genes) in the Chinese or other populations. In addition, because all nuclear families were represented by only two generations in this study, no sibling pairs were informative for the linkage analyses. As a result, no linkage for the *VDR* or *ESR1* genotypes and haplotypes with BMD, BMI, fat mass, lean mass, and PFM were detected.

In conclusion, our results showed that the *VDR* and *ESR1* polymorphisms were associated with fat mass in young Chinese men, but we failed to find a significant association between these polymorphisms and peak BMD. These findings suggested that the *VDR* and *ESR1* genes are the QTL underlying fat mass variation in young Chinese men. Confirmation of our results is needed in other populations and with more functional markers of the two genes.

Acknowledgements

The study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (No 30570891, 30771019, and 30800387) and Program of Shanghai Chief Scientist (Project No 08XD1403000). We wish to thank three anonymous reviewers for comments that helped to improve the manuscript and our future research.

Author contribution

Zhen-lin ZHANG designed research; Jie-mei GU, Wen-jin XIAO, Jin-wei HE, and Wen-zhen FU performed research; Hao ZHANG, Wei-wei HU, Yun-qiu HU, Miao LI, Yu-juan LIU, Jin-bo YU, Gao GAO, Hua YUE, and Yao-hua KE recruited subjects; jie-mei GU and Zhen-lin ZHANG wrote the paper.

References

- 1 Liu YZ, Pei YF, Liu JF, Yang F, Guo Y, Zhang L, et al. Powerful bivariate genome-wide association analyses suggest the *SOX6* gene influencing both obesity and osteoporosis phenotypes in males. *PLoS One* 2009; 4: e6827.
- 2 Song MY, Ruts E, Kim J, Janumala I, Heymsfield S, Gallagher D, et al. Sarcopenia and increased adipose tissue infiltration of muscle in elderly African American women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004; 79: 874–80.
- 3 Grundberg E, Brandstrom H, Ribom EL, Ljunggren O, Mallmin H, Kindmark A. Genetic variation in the human vitamin D receptor is associated with muscle strength, fat mass and body weight in Swedish women. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2004; 150: 323–8.
- 4 Zhang ZL, He JW, Qin YJ, Hu YQ, Li M, Zhang H, et al. Association between myostatin gene polymorphisms and peak BMD variation in Chinese nuclear families. *Osteoporosis Int* 2008; 19: 39–47.
- 5 Liu YJ, Xu FH, Shen H, Liu YZ, Deng HY, Zhao LJ, et al. A follow-up linkage study for quantitative trait loci contributing to obesity-related phenotypes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2004; 89: 875–82.
- 6 Rankinen T, Zuberi A, Chagnon YC, Weisnagel SJ, Argyropoulos G, Walts B, et al. The human obesity gene map: the 2005 update. *Obesity* 2006; 14: 529–644.
- 7 Tang ZH, Xiao P, Lei SF, Deng FY, Zhao LJ, et al. A bivariate whole-genome linkage scan suggests several shared genomic regions for obesity and osteoporosis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2007; 92: 2751–7.
- 8 Uitterlinden AG, Fang Y, Bergink AP, van Meurs JB, van Leeuwen HP, Pols HA. The role of vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms in bone biology. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2002; 197: 15–21.
- 9 Dace A, Martin-el Yazidi C, Bonne J, Planells R, Torresani J. Calcitriol is a positive effector of adipose differentiation in the OB 17 cell line: relationship with the adipogenic action of triiodothyronine. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1997; 232: 771–6.
- 10 Giuffrida D, Lupo L, La Porta GA, La Rosa GL, Padova G, Foti E, et al. Relation between steroid receptor status and body weight in breast cancer patients. *Eur J Cancer* 1992; 28: 112–5.
- 11 Joyner JM, Hutley LJ, Cameron DP. Estrogen receptors in human preadipocytes. *Endocrine* 2001; 15: 225–30.
- 12 Okura T, Koda M, Ando F, Niino N, Ohta S, Shimokata H. Association of polymorphisms in the estrogen receptor alpha gene with body fat distribution. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2003; 27: 1020–7.
- 13 Deng HW, Li J, Li JL, Dowd R, Davies KM, Johnson M, et al. Association of estrogen receptor alpha genotypes with body mass index in normal healthy postmenopausal Caucasian women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2000; 85: 2748–51.
- 14 Mazess RB, Barden HS, Bisek JP, Hanson J. Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry for total-body and regional bone-mineral and soft-tissue composition. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1990; 51: 1106–12.
- 15 Svendsen OL, Haarbo J, Hassager C, Christiansen C. Accuracy of measurements of body composition by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry *in vivo*. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1993; 57: 605–8.
- 16 Deng HW, Deng HY, Liu YJ, Liu YZ, Xu FH, Shen H, et al. A genomewide linkage scan for quantitative-trait loci for obesity phenotypes. *Am J Hum Genet* 2002; 70: 1138–51.
- 17 Jiang H, Xiong DH, Guo YF, Shen H, Xiao P, Yang F, et al. Association analysis of vitamin D-binding protein gene polymorphisms with variations of obesity-related traits in Caucasian nuclear families. *Int J Obes* 2007; 31: 1319–24.
- 18 Gao G, Zhang ZL, Zhang H, Hu WW, Huang QR, Lu JH, et al. Hip axis length changes in 10554 males and females and the association with femoral neck fracture. *J Clin Densitom* 2008; 11: 360–6.
- 19 Harris SS, Eccleshall TR, Gross C, Dawson-Hughes B, Feldman D. The vitamin D receptor start codon polymorphism (Fok I) and bone mineral density in premenopausal American Black and White women. *J Bone Miner Res* 1997; 12: 1043–8.
- 20 Zmuda JM, Cauley JA, Danielson ME, Wolf RL, Ferrell RE. Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms, bone turnover, and rates of bone loss in older African-American women. *J Bone Miner Res* 1997; 12: 1446–52.
- 21 Fang Y, van Meurs JB, Bergink AP, Hofman A, van Duijn CM, van Leeuwen JP, et al. *Cdx-2* polymorphism in the promoter region of the human vitamin D receptor gene determines susceptibility to fracture in the elderly. *J Bone Mineral Res* 2003; 18: 1632–41.
- 22 Zhang ZL, He JW, Huang QR, Qin YJ, Hu YQ, Li M, et al. Relationship between the polymorphism of start codon and *CDX2* site in vitamin D receptor gene and the effect of calcium supplementation on bone mineral density of postmenopausal women. *Zhonghua Yi Xue Yi Chuan Xue Za Zhi* 2006; 23: 397–401.
- 23 Kobayashi S, Inoue S, Hosoi T, Ouchi Y, Shiraki M, Orimo H. Association of bone mineral density with polymorphism of the estrogen receptor gene. *J Bone Miner Res* 1996; 11: 306–11.

- 24 Qin YJ, Zhang ZL, Huang QR, He JM, Hu YQ, Zhao Q, *et al*. Association of vitamin D receptor and estrogen receptor- α gene polymorphism with peak bone mass and bone size in Chinese women. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* 2004; 25: 462–8.
- 25 Qin YJ, Shen H, Huang QR, Zhao LJ, Zhou Q, Li MX, *et al*. Estrogen receptor α gene polymorphisms and peak bone density in Chinese nuclear families. *J Bone Miner Res* 2003; 18: 1028–35.
- 26 Abecasis GR, Cardon LR, Cookson WO. A general test of association for quantitative traits in nuclear families. *Am J Hum Genet* 2000; 66: 279–92.
- 27 Koller DL, Ichikawa S, Johnson ML, Lai D, Xuei X, Edenberg HJ, *et al*. Contribution of the LRP5 gene to normal variation in peak BMD in women. *J Bone Mineral Res* 2005; 20: 75–80.
- 28 Zhang ZL, He JW, Qin YJ, Hu YQ, Li M, Liu YJ, *et al*. Association between the SNPs and haplotypes in the PPARGC1 and adiponectin genes and bone mineral density in Chinese women and men. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* 2007; 28: 287–95.
- 29 Deng FY, Liu MY, Li MX, Lei SF, Qin YJ, Zhou Q, *et al*. Test of linkage and association of the COL1A2 gene with bone phenotypes' variation in Chinese nuclear families. *Bone* 2003; 33: 614–9.
- 30 Lau HH, Ng MY, Cheung WM, Paterson AD, Sham PC, Luk KD, *et al*. Assessment of linkage and association of 13 genetic loci with bone mineral density. *J Bone Miner Metab* 2006; 24: 226–34.
- 31 McIntyre LM, Martin ER, Simonsen KL, Kaplan NL. Circumventing multiple testing: a multilocus Monte Carlo approach to testing for association. *Genet Epidemiol* 2000; 19: 18–29.
- 32 Zhang ZL, Zhao JX, Meng XW, Zhou XY, Xing XP, Xia WB. Association of polymorphisms of vitamin D receptor gene start codon and 3'-end region with bone mineral density in postmenopausal women. *Zhonghua Yi Xue Yi Chuan Xue Za Zhi*, 2003; 20: 5–8.
- 33 Choi YM, Jun JK, Choe J, Hwang D, Park SH, Ku SY, *et al*. Association of the vitamin D receptor start codon polymorphism (Fok I) with bone mineral density in postmenopausal Korean women. *J Hum Genet* 2000; 45: 280–3.
- 34 Qin YJ, Zhang ZL, Huang QR, He JW, Hu YQ, Zhou Q, *et al*. Association of vitamin D receptor gene Apa I polymorphism with peak bone density in Chinese nuclear families. *Chin J Osteoporosis* 2006; 12: 4–7. Chinese.
- 35 Dundar U, Solak M, Kavuncu V, Ozdemir M, Cakir T, Yildiz H. Evidence of association of Vitamin D receptor Apa I gene polymorphism with bone mineral density in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. *Clin Rheumatol*. 2009; 28: 1187–91.
- 36 Lau EM, Lam V, Li M, Ho K, Woo J. Vitamin D receptor start codon polymorphism (Fok I) and bone mineral density in Chinese men and women. *Osteoporos Int* 2002; 13: 218–21.
- 37 Remes T, Väisänen SB, Mahonen A, Huuskonen J, Kröger H, Jurvelin JS, *et al*. Bone mineral density, body height, and vitamin D receptor gene polymorphism in middle-aged men. *Ann Med* 2005; 37: 383–92.
- 38 Khosla S, Riggs BL, Atkinson EJ, Oberg AL, Mavilia C, Del Monte F, *et al*. Relationship of estrogen receptor genotypes to bone mineral density and to rates of bone loss in men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2004; 89: 1808–16.
- 39 Välimäki VV, Piippo K, Välimäki S, Löyttyneemi E, Kontula K, Välimäki MJ. The relation of the Xba I and Pvu II polymorphisms of the estrogen receptor gene and the CAG repeat polymorphism of the androgen receptor gene to peak bone mass and bone turnover rate among young healthy men. *Osteoporos Int* 2005; 16: 1633–40.
- 40 Long JR, Zhang YY, Liu PY, Liu YJ, Shen H, Dvornyk V, *et al*. Association of estrogen receptor alpha and vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms with bone mineral density in Chinese males. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2004; 74: 270–6.
- 41 Moreno Lima R, Silva de Abreu B, Gentil P, Cesar de Lima Lins T, Grattapaglia D, Pereira RW. Lack of association between vitamin D receptor genotypes and haplotypes with fat-free mass in postmenopausal Brazilian women. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2007; 62: 966–72.
- 42 Roth SM, Zmuda JM, Cauley JA, Shea PR, Ferrell RE. Vitamin D receptor genotype is associated with fat-free mass and sarcopenia in elderly men. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2004; 59: 10–5.
- 43 Okura T, Koda M, Ando F, Niino N, Ohta S, Shimokata H. Association of polymorphisms in the estrogen receptor gene with body fat distribution. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2003; 27: 1020–7.
- 44 Grundberg E, Ribom EL, Brändström H, Ljunggren O, Mallmin H, Kindmark A. A TA-repeat polymorphism in the gene for the estrogen receptor alpha does not correlate with muscle strength or body composition in young adult Swedish women. *Maturitas* 2005; 50: 153–60.
- 45 Cui LH, Shin MH, Kweon SS, Park KS, Lee YH, Chung EK, *et al*. Relative contribution of body composition to bone mineral density at different sites in men and women of South Korea. *J Bone Miner Metab* 2007; 25: 165–71.
- 46 Gillette-Guyonnet S, Nourhashemi F, Lauque S, Grandjean H, Vellas B. Body composition and osteoporosis in elderly women. *Gerontology* 2000; 46: 189–93.