www.bjcancer.com

Outcomes after radical hysterectomy in patients with early-stage adenocarcinoma of uterine cervix

J-Y Park¹, D-Y Kim¹, J-H Kim¹, Y-M Kim¹, Y-T Kim¹ and J-H Nam^{*,1}

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Asan Medical Center, No. 388-1 Poongnap-2 dong, Songpa-gu, Seoul 138-736, Korea

BACKGROUND: To determine the prognostic factors and treatment outcomes of patients with early-stage adenocarcinoma (AdCa) of uterine cervix who underwent radical hysterectomy (RH).

METHODS: Patients with early-stage squamous cell carcinoma (SCCa) of the uterine cervix who underwent RH were compared with patients with AdCa by multivariate analysis.

RESULTS: A total of 1218 patients were eligible, of which 996 (81.8%) had SCCa and 222 (18.2%) had AdCa. In multivariate analysis, parametrial involvement and lymph node metastasis were significant factors for both recurrence-free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS) of patients with AdCa, whereas age, tumour size, parametrial involvement and lymph node metastasis were significant factors for both RFS and OS of patients with SCCa. After adjusting for significant prognostic factors, patients with AdCa had significantly poorer RFS (odds ratio (OR) = 2.07, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.37-3.12, P = 0.001) and OS (OR = 2.56, 95% CI = 1.65-3.96, P < 0.001) than patients with SCCa. Recurrence outside the pelvis was more frequent in AdCa than in those with SCCa (75 vs 57.8%, P = 0.084).

CONCLUSION(S): Although RH is still acceptable for treatment of patients with AdCa, a more effective systemic adjuvant therapy is required.

British Journal of Cancer (2010) **102,** 1692–1698. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6605705 www.bjcancer.com © 2010 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: early-stage cervical cancer; squamous cell carcinoma; adenocarcinoma; radical hysterectomy; prognosis

Cervical cancer is the second most common female cancer and the third most common cause of cancer deaths in women worldwide (Parkin et al, 2001; Waggoner, 2003). Approximately 75% of cervical cancers are squamous cell carcinomas (SCCas) and 15% are adenocarcinomas (AdCas), and the remainder consists of other rare histologic types (Kosary, 1994; Farley et al, 2003). Recently, however, the relative proportion and the absolute incidence of AdCa, compared with SCCa, have increased (Smith et al, 2000; Liu et al, 2001; Sasieni and Adams, 2001). Nevertheless, there is no uniformly accepted form of management for AdCa. As with SCCa, patients with International Federation of Obstetrics and Gynecology (FIGO) stage IA2-IIA cervical AdCa are treated by radical hysterectomy (RH). The prognosis of patients with AdCa after RH is unclear, primarily because studies have been performed on small numbers of patients. Some of these studies found that patients with AdCa have poorer prognosis than do those with SCCa (Hopkins and Morley, 1991; Eifel et al, 1995; Look et al, 1996; Samlal et al, 1997; Lai et al, 1999; Kim et al, 2000; Nakanishi et al, 2000), whereas other reports found no differences in prognosis (Anton-Culver et al, 1992; Miller et al, 1993; Shingleton et al, 1995; Grisaru et al, 2001; Ayhan et al, 2004; Lee et al, 2006; Fregnani et al, 2008; Kasamatsu et al, 2009). Therefore, the prognosis after RH and the optimal management of AdCa are still a subject of debate.

The aim of this study was to clarify the treatment outcomes and prognostic factors after RH in patients with FIGO stage IA2–IIA AdCa of uterine cervix, and to compare them with those of patients with SCCa to postulate the optimal management of patients with early-stage AdCa of uterine cervix.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

From 1989 to 2006, 2350 patients with invasive cervical cancer were treated and followed-up at the Asan Medical Center (AMC, Seoul, Korea). After approval by the Institutional Review Board of AMC, we searched the cancer registry and computerised database of AMC for patients with (1) FIGO stage IA2-IIA cervical cancer, (2) who underwent RH with pelvic and/or para-aortic lymphadenectomy and (3) who had the histologic types of SCCa and AdCa. Patients who received chemotherapy, radiation therapy (RT) or concurrent chemoradiation therapy (CCRT) before RH, and patients with occult cervical cancer detected after simple hysterectomy, were excluded. As the preferred treatment in our centre for patients with FIGO stage IA2-IIA cervical cancer is RH, almost all patients with FIGO stage IA2-IIA cervical cancer underwent RH and only a small number of patients who were not eligible for radical surgery because of severe medical co-morbidity received RT or CCRT. Radical hysterectomy was completed in patients with positive pelvic or para-aortic lymph nodes or parametrial involvement confirmed by frozen section, although it is still unclear whether the surgeon should complete the RH or

^{*}Correspondence: Dr J-H Nam; E-mail: jhnam@amc.seoul.kr Received 5 January 2010; revised 21 April 2010; accepted 26 April 2010

Table I	Characteristics	of the	study	patients	(n =	1218
---------	-----------------	--------	-------	----------	------	------

		AdCa	SCCa	
Characteristics	Total	(n = 222)	(n = 996)	P-value
Age, years Mean (range) < 30 30–49 > 50	48.2 (24–86) 21 (1.7%) 715 (58.7%) 482 (39.6%)	46.4 (25–73) 5 (2.3%) 141 (63.5%) 76 (34.2%)	48.7 (24–86) 16 (1.6%) 574 (57.6%) 406 (40.8%)	0.006 0.177
FIGO stage IA2 IBI – IB2 IIA	88 (7.2%) 1019 (83.7%) 111 (9.1%)	8 (3.6%) 195 (87.8%) 19 (8.6%)	80 (8.0%) 824 (82.7%) 92 (9.3%)	0.061
Histologic subtype Mucinous Endometrioid Serou Mixed	203 (16.7%) 14 (1.1%) 2 (0.2%) 3 (0.2%)	203 (16.7%) 14 (1.1%) 2 (0.2%) 3 (0.2%)	 	—
Grade of differentiation Well Moderately Poorly Undetermined	332 (27.3%) 573 (47.0%) 294 (24.1%) 19 (1.6%)	63 (28.4%) 94 (42.3%) 60 (27.0%) 5 (2.3%)	269 (27.0%) 479 (48.1%) 234 (23.5%) 14 (1.4%)	0.366
Tumour size, cm Mean (range) ≤2 2-4 4-6 >6	2.4 (0.3–9) 571 (46.9%) 510 (41.9%) 114 (9.4%) 23 (1.9%)	2.3 (0.3-6) 108 (48.6%) 94 (42.3%) 20 (9.0%) 0. (0.0%)	2.4 (0.4–9) 463 (46.5%) 416 (41.8%) 94 (9.4%) 23 (2.3%)	0.286 0.147
Depth of stromal invas $\leq 1/2$ > 1/2	ion 753 (61.8%) 465 (38.2%)	35 (60.8%) 87 (39.2%)	618 (62.0%) 378 (38.0%)	0.731
Parametrial involvemer No Yes	nt 06 (90.8%) 2 (9.2%)	200 (90.1%) 22 (9.9%)	906 (91.0%) 90 (9.0%)	0.684
Resection margin Negative Positive	1190 (97.7%) 28 (2.2%)	218 (98.2%) 4 (1.8%)	972 (97.6%) 24 (2.4%)	0.585
LVSI No Yes	969 (79.6%) 249 (20.4%)	189 (85.1%) 33 (14.9%)	780 (78.3%) 216 (21.7%)	0.023
Lymph node metastasi No Yes	s 1048 (86.0%) 170 (14.0%)	188 (84.7%) 34 (15.3%)	860 (86.3%) 136 (13.7%)	0.518
PALN metastasis ^a No Yes	1204 (98.9%) 14 (1.1%)	219 (98.6%) 3 (1.4%)	985 (98.9%) 11 (1.1%)	0.755
Adjuvant treatment None Chemotherapy Radiation therapy CCRT	907 (74.5%) 86 (7.1%) 113 (9.3%) 112 (9.2%)	159 (71.6%) 20 (9.0%) 26 (11.7%) 17 (7.7%)	748 (75.1%) 66 (6.6%) 87 (8.7%) 95 (9.5%)	0.242

Abbreviations: AdCa = adenocarcinoma; CCRT = concurrent chemoradiation therapy; FIGO = International Federation of Obstetrics and Gynecology; LVSI = lymphovascular space invasion; PALN = para-aortic lymph node; SCCa = squamous cell carcinoma. ^aA total of 731 patients underwent para-aortic lymphadenectomy.

stop the procedure and administer CCRT if a frozen biopsy reveals parametrial involvement or lymph node metastasis. Patients with >2 intermediate risk factors (lymphovascular space invasion

J-Y Park et al

Table 2 The anatomic location of tumour at first recurrence by histologic type of tumour (N = 122)

	Histo	Histologic type			
tumour	SCCa	AdCa	Total		
Pelvis	38 (42.2%)	8 (25.0%)	46 (37.7%)		
<i>Outside pelvis</i> Abdomen ^a Distant metastasis ^b Pelvis and abdomen	62 (67.8%) (1.1%) 42 (46.7%) 3 (3.3%)	24 (75.0%) 7 (21.9%) 11 (34.4%) 4 (12.5%)	76 (62.3%) 8 (6.6%) 53 (43.4%) 7 (5.7%)		
Pelvis and distant metastasis	3 (3.3%)	2 (6.3%)	5 (4.1%)		
Abdomen and distant metastasis	2 (2.2%)	0 (0%)	2 (1.6%)		
Pelvis, abdomen and distant metastasis	(. %)	0 (0%)	I (0.8%)		
Total	90 (100.0%)	32 (100.0%)	122 (100.0%)		

Abbreviations: AdCa = adenocarcinoma; SCCa = squamous cell carcinoma. ^aAbdominal peritoneal metastasis. ^bHaematogenous metastasis to extraperitoneal organs.

(LVSI), tumour >4 cm or deep cervical stromal invasion >2 out of 3) were recommended for adjuvant RT, whereas patients with one or more high-risk factors (resection margin involvement, parametrial involvement or lymph node involvement) were recommended for adjuvant CCRT. This policy for adjuvant therapy has been consistent during study periods. Medical records were retrospectively reviewed, and the following parameters were collected: age, FIGO stage, histology, grade of differentiation, tumour size, depth of cervical stromal invasion, parametrial involvement, resection margin status, lymphovascular space invasion, lymph node metastasis, adjuvant therapy, date of recurrence, location of recurrent disease, treatment at recurrence, and date of death or last follow-up. Pathologic slides were reviewed by two experienced pathologists at our institution. Squamous cell carcinomas were graded histologically as well-differentiated (grade 1; mature squamous cells with abundant keratin, pearl formation and sometimes intercellular bridges), moderately differentiated (grade 2; less abundant cytoplasm, cell borders less distinct, nuclei with greater pleomorphism and high mitotic activity) and poorly differentiated (grade 3; masses and nests of small, primitiveappearing oval cells with scant cytoplasm and hyperchromatic and spindle-shaped nuclei with high mitotic activity).

All patients were followed-up at least every 3 months for the first 2 years, at least every 6 months for the next 3 years and then every year until recurrence or death. Recurrence-free survival (RFS) was defined as the time, in months, from the date of RH to the date of relapse or censoring, and overall survival (OS) was defined as the time, in months, from the date of RH to the date of death, last follow-up or censoring.

Statistical analysis

Frequency distributions were compared using the χ^2 and Fisher's exact tests, and mean values were compared between groups using Student's *t*-test. The RFS and OS were estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method and groups were compared by the log-rank test for categorical factors and Cox's proportional hazards model for continuous factors, by univariate analysis. All prognostic variables found to be significant in univariate analysis were included in multivariate analysis using Cox's proportional hazards model. Stepwise backward elimination methods were used to select factors



1694

Table	3	Univariate	analyses	of	clinicopathologic	parameters	on
recurrer	ice-f	ree and ove	erall surviva	al in	patients with aden	iocarcinoma	

Variables N (%) $\frac{S-year}{rate (%)}$ $P-value$ $\frac{S-year}{rate (%)}$ $P-value$ Age, years As continuous 222 (100) 0.153 0.073 As continuous 222 (100) 0.153 0.073 < 30 5 (2.3) 80 0.250 80 0.096 $30-49$ 141 (63.5) 89 93 95 93 95 FIGO stage IA2 8 (3.6%) 100 <0.001 100 <0.001 IB1-IB2 195 (87.8%) 89 92 65 100 100 <0.001 IB1-IB2 195 (87.8%) 87 0.373 90 0.425 Endometrioid 14 (1.1%) 77 84 84 Serou 2 (0.2%) 50 50 100 100 Mixed 3 (0.2%) 100 100 100 100 Tumour size, cm ≤ 2 108 (48.6) 91 0.041 95 0.033 2-4 94 (42.3) 82 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>Ade</th> <th>nocarcino (n = 222)</th> <th>ma</th> <th colspan="4"></th>			Ade	nocarcino (n = 222)	ma				
Variables N (%) \overline{rate} (%) P-value \overline{syear} rate (%) \overline{sue} (%) P-value Age, years As continuous 222 (100) 0.153 0.073 <30 5 (2.3) 80 0.250 80 0.096 $30-49$ 141 (63.5) 89 93 86 0.096 $IA2$ 8 (3.6%) 100 <0.001 100 <0.001 IB1-IB2 195 (87.8%) 89 92 114 19 (8.6%) 52 65 Histologic subtype Mucinous 203 (16.7%) 87 0.373 90 0.425 Endometrioid 14 (1.1%) 77 84 0.502 65 0.502 Mixed 3 (0.2%) 100 100 100 0.532 Moderately 94 (43.3) 83 91 91 0.532 Poorly 60 (27.6) 87 89 0.033 91 0.532 Parametrial involvement No 200 (90.1) 89 0.002 94			Recurrei surv	nce-free ival	Ove surv	rall ival			
Age, years As continuous 222 (100) 0.153 0.073 Variable <30 5 (2.3) 80 0.250 80 0.096 30-49 141 (63.5) 89 93 93 93 93 > 50 76 (34.2) 81 86 91 92 92 91 IA2 8 (3.6%) 100 <0.001 100 <0.001 92 <0.001 IB1-IB2 195 (87.8%) 89 92 65 Histologic subtype Mucinous 203 (16.7%) 87 0.373 90 0.425 Endometrioid 14 (1.1%) 77 84 <th>Variables</th> <th>N (%)</th> <th>5-year rate (%)</th> <th>P-value</th> <th>5-year rate (%)</th> <th>P-value</th>	Variables	N (%)	5-year rate (%)	P-value	5-year rate (%)	P-value			
As continuous 222 (100) 0.153 0.073 variable <30 5 (2.3) 80 0.250 80 0.096 $30-49$ 141 (63.5) 89 93 86 0.096 $IA2$ 8 (3.6%) 100 <0.001	Age, years								
Value Solution Solutit Solutit Sol	As continuous	222 (100)		0.153		0.073			
30-49 141 (63.5) 89 93 1111 $30-49$ 141 (63.5) 89 93 1011 101 550 76 (34.2) 81 86 <i>FIGO stage</i> 1A2 8 (3.6%) 100 <0.001	< 30	5 (2.3)	80	0.250	80	0.096			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	30-49	141 (63.5)	89		93				
<i>IA2</i> 8 (3.6%) 100 <0.001 100 <0.001 IB1-IB2 195 (87.8%) 89 92 65 Histologic subtype Mucinous 203 (16.7%) 87 0.373 90 0.425 Serou 2 (0.2%) 50 50 50 100 100 Grade of differentiation ^a Well 63 (29.0) 88 0.688 90 0.532 Moderately 94 (43.3) 83 91 91 0.041 95 0.033 Poorly 60 (27.6) 87 89 0.033 91 0.041 95 0.033 2-4 94 (42.3) 82 85 94 0.008 92 0.002 94 0.008 Parametrial involvement No 200 (90.1) 89 <0.001	>50	76 (34.2)	81		86				
IA2 8 (3.6%) 100 <0.001	FIGO stage								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	IA2	8 (3.6%)	100	< 0.001	100	< 0.001			
IIA 19 (8.6%) 52 65 Histologic subtype Mucinous 203 (16.7%) 87 0.373 90 0.425 Endometrioid 14 (1.1%) 77 84 50 50 50 Mixed 3 (0.2%) 100 100 100 100 Grade of differentiation ^a Vell 63 (29.0) 88 0.688 90 0.532 Moderately 94 (43.3) 83 91 0.041 95 0.033 Z-4 94 (42.3) 82 85 4-6 20 (9.0) 77 90 > 6 0 (0.0) — — — — — — Depth of stromal invasion $\leq 1/2$ 135 (60.8) 92 0.002 94 0.008 $< 1/2$ 87 (39.2) 78 84 0 — — — Parametrial involvement No 200 (90.1) 89 <0.001	IBI-IB2	195 (87.8%)	89		92				
Histologic subtype 0.373 90 0.425 Mucinous 203 (16.7%) 87 0.373 90 0.425 Endometrioid 14 (1.1%) 77 84 50 50 Mixed 3 (0.2%) 100 100 100 100 Grade of differentiation ^a Well 63 (29.0) 88 0.688 90 0.532 Moderately 94 (43.3) 83 91 90 0.041 95 0.033 200 80 (48.6) 91 0.041 95 0.033 2-4 94 (42.3) 82 85 4-6 0 (0.0) 2-4 94 (42.3) 82 85 0.033 84 0.008 2-4 94 (42.3) 82 85 92 0.002 94 0.008 21/2 135 (60.8) 92 0.002 94 0.008 Parametrial involvement No 200 (90.1) 89 <0.001	IIA	19 (8.6%)	52		65				
Muchous 203 (16.7%) 87 0.373 90 0.425 Endometrioid 14 (1.1%) 77 84 Serou 2 (0.2%) 50 50 Mixed 3 (0.2%) 100 100 Grade of differentiation ^a Vell 63 (29.0) 88 0.688 90 0.532 Moderately 94 (43.3) 83 91 0.041 95 0.033 Poorly 60 (27.6) 87 89 0.033 2-4 94 (42.3) 82 85 2-4 94 (42.3) 82 85 4-6 20 (9.0) 77 90 0.008 > 1/2 135 (60.8) 92 0.002 94 0.008 Parametrial involvement No 200 (90.1) 89 <0.001	Histologic subtype								
Endometrioid14 (1.1%)7784Serou2 (0.2%)5050Mixed3 (0.2%)100100Grade of differentiation ^a Well63 (29.0)880.688900.532Moderately94 (43.3)8391919004950.033Poorly60 (27.6)87890.041950.0332-494 (42.3)82854-620 (9.0)7790> 60 (0.0)0.002940.008Peth of stromal invasion $\leq 1/2$ 135 (60.8)920.002940.008> 1/287 (39.2)78840.00192<0.001	Mucinous	203 (16.7%)	87	0.373	90	0.425			
Serou 2 (0.2%) 50 50 50 Mixed 3 (0.2%) 100 100 Grade of differentiation ^a Well 63 (29.0) 88 0.688 90 0.532 Moderately 94 (43.3) 83 91 91 Poorly 60 (27.6) 87 89 0.033 2-4 94 (42.3) 82 85 4-6 20 (9.0) 77 90 0.033 > 6 0 (0.0) — — — Depth of stromal invasion 2 (9.9) 78 84 0.008 Parametrial involvement No 200 (90.1) 89 <0.001	Endometrioid	14 (1.1%)	77		84				
Mixed 3 (0.2%) 100 100 Grade of differentiation ^a Well 63 (29.0) 88 0.688 90 0.532 Moderately 94 (43.3) 83 91 0.532 Poonly 60 (27.6) 87 89 Tumour size, cm ≤ 2 108 (48.6) 91 0.041 95 0.033 $2 - 4$ 94 (42.3) 82 85 4-6 20 (9.0) 77 90 > 6 0 (0.0) $$ $$ Depth of stromal invasion $\leq 1/2$ 135 (60.8) 92 0.002 94 0.008 $> 1/2$ 87 (39.2) 78 84 0.008 Parametrial involvement No 200 (90.1) 89 < 0.001 92 < 0.001 Yes 22 (9.9) 55 66 < 0.001 91 0.005 Resection margin Negative 218 (98.2) 87 0.013 91 0.005 No 189 (85.1) 88 0.033 92 0.026 92 92 0.001 94 < 0.0	Serou	2 (0.2%)	50		50				
Grade of differentiation ^a Well 63 (29.0) 88 0.688 90 0.532 Moderately 94 (43.3) 83 91 91 Poorly 60 (27.6) 87 89 0.033 Image: cm ≤ 2 108 (48.6) 91 0.041 95 0.033 2-4 94 (42.3) 82 85 4-6 20 (9.0) 77 90 >6 0 (0.0) — — — — — — Depth of stromal invasion $\leq 1/2$ 135 (60.8) 92 0.002 94 0.008 Parametrial involvement No 200 (90.1) 89 <0.001	Mixed	3 (0.2%)	100		100				
Well63 (29.0)88 (43.3)0.688 (43.3)90 (91 (91)0.532 (91)Moderately94 (43.3)83 (43.3)91 (91)91 (91)91 (91)91 (91)91 (91)0.041 (95)95 (91)0.033 (91)Tumour size, cm ≤ 2 108 (48.6)91 (91)0.041 (95)95 (91)0.033 (91)0.033 (91)2-494 (42.3)82 (46.6)85 (91)0.041 (90)95 	Grade of differentiation	on ^a							
Moderately94 (43.3)8391Poorly60 (27.6)8789Turnour size, cm ≤ 2 108 (48.6)910.041950.033 $2-4$ 94 (42.3)8285 $4-6$ 20 (9.0)7790> 60 (0.0)Depth of stromal invasion $\leq 1/2$ 135 (60.8)920.002940.008 $\leq 1/2$ 135 (60.8)920.002940.008> 1/287 (39.2)78840Parametrial involvementNo200 (90.1)89<0.001	Well	63 (29.0)	88	0.688	90	0.532			
Poorly60 (27.6)8789Turnour size, cm ≤ 2 108 (48.6)910.041950.033 $2-4$ 94 (42.3)8285 $4-6$ 20 (9.0)7790>60 (0.0)Depth of stromal invasion $\leq 1/2$ 135 (60.8)920.002 $\leq 1/2$ 135 (60.8)920.002940.008> 1/287 (39.2)78840Parametrial involvementNo200 (90.1)89<0.001	Moderately	94 (43.3)	83		91				
Tumour size, cm ≤ 2 108 (48.6) 91 0.041 95 0.033 2-4 94 (42.3) 82 85 90 0 90 0 >6 0 (0.0) 90 0.008 91 0.041 95 0.033 Depth of stromal invasion 90 77 90 Depth of stromal invasion 91 0.002 94 0.008 0.008 91 0.002 94 0.008 Parametrial involvement No 200 (90.1) 89 <0.001	Poorly	60 (27.6)	87		89				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Tumour size, cm								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	≤2	108 (48.6)	91	0.041	95	0.033			
$4-6$ $20 (9.0)$ 77 90 >6 $0 (0.0)$ - - Depth of stromal invasion $\leq 1/2$ $135 (60.8)$ 92 0.002 94 0.008 > $1/2$ $87 (39.2)$ 78 84 0.008 Parametrial involvement No $200 (90.1)$ 89 <0.001 92 <0.001 Yes $22 (9.9)$ 55 66 <0.001 92 <0.001 Resection margin Negative $218 (98.2)$ 87 0.013 91 0.005 Positive $4 (1.8)$ 38 38 38 20.026 LVSI No $189 (85.1)$ 88 0.033 92 0.026 Yres $33 (14.9)$ 75 80 20.001 94 <0.001 Lymph node metastasis No $188 (84.7)$ 90 <0.001 94 <0.001 Yes $34 (15.3)$ 66 69 <0.001 94 <0.001 Chemotherapy $20 (9.0)$ 88	2-4	94 (42.3)	82		85				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4-6	20 (9.0)	77		90				
Depth of stromal invasion $\leq 1/2$ 135 (60.8) 92 0.002 94 0.008 Parametrial involvement No 200 (90.1) 89 <0.001	>6	0 (0.0)	_		_				
$ \leq 1/2 & 135 (60.8) & 92 & 0.002 & 94 & 0.008 \\ > 1/2 & 87 (39.2) & 78 & 84 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ No & 200 (90.1) & 89 & <0.001 & 92 & <0.001 \\ Yes & 22 (9.9) & 55 & 66 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ Negative & 218 (98.2) & 87 & 0.013 & 91 & 0.005 \\ Positive & 4 (1.8) & 38 & 38 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ No & 189 (85.1) & 88 & 0.033 & 92 & 0.026 \\ Yes & 33 (14.9) & 75 & 80 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ No & 188 (84.7) & 90 & <0.001 & 94 & <0.001 \\ Yes & 34 (15.3) & 66 & 69 & <0.001 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 89 & 0.003 & 94 & <0.001 \\ Chemotherapy & 20 (9.0) & 88 & 95 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 89 & 0.003 & 94 & <0.001 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 89 & 0.003 & 94 & <0.001 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 89 & 0.003 & 94 & <0.001 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 89 & 0.003 & 94 & <0.001 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 89 & 0.003 & 94 & <0.001 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 89 & 0.003 & 94 & <0.001 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 89 & 0.003 & 94 & <0.001 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 89 & 0.003 & 94 & <0.001 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 89 & 0.003 & 94 & <0.001 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 80 & 95 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 80 & 95 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 80 & 95 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 80 & 95 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 80 & 95 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 80 & 95 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 80 & 95 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 80 & 95 \\ \label{eq:parametrial involvement} \\ None & 159 (71.6) & 80 & 95 \\ eq:parametrial involvem$	Depth of stromal invo	ision							
> 1/2 87 (39.2) 78 84 Parametrial involvement No 200 (90.1) 89 <0.001	≤1/2	135 (60.8)	92	0.002	94	0.008			
Parametrial involvement 89 <0.001 92 <0.001 Yes 22 (9.9) 55 66 <0.001	> 1/2	87 (39.2)	78		84				
No 200 (90.1) 89 <0.01 92 <0.01 Yes 22 (9.9) 55 66 Resection margin Negative 218 (98.2) 87 0.013 91 0.005 Positive 4 (1.8) 38 38 38 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005	Parametrial involveme	ent							
Yes 22 (9.9) 55 66 Resection margin Negative 218 (98.2) 87 0.013 91 0.005 Positive 4 (1.8) 38 38 38 38 1005 LVSI No 189 (85.1) 88 0.033 92 0.026 Yes 33 (14.9) 75 80 1001 1001 1001 Lymph node metastasis No 188 (84.7) 90 <0.001	No	200 (90.1)	89	< 0.00 l	92	< 0.00 I			
Resection margin Negative 218 (98.2) 87 0.013 91 0.005 Positive 4 (1.8) 38 38 38 0.015 LVSI No 189 (85.1) 88 0.033 92 0.026 Lymph node metastasis No 188 (84.7) 90 <0.001	Yes	22 (9.9)	55		66				
Negative 218 (98.2) 87 0.013 91 0.005 Positive 4 (1.8) 38 38 38 38 LVSI No 189 (85.1) 88 0.033 92 0.026 Yes 33 (14.9) 75 80 0.001 94 <0.001	Resection margin								
Positive 4 (1.8) 38 38 LVSI No 189 (85.1) 88 0.033 92 0.026 Yes 33 (14.9) 75 80 20 20 20 Lymph node metastasis No 188 (84.7) 90 <0.001	Negative	218 (98.2)	87	0.013	91	0.005			
LVSI No 189 (85.1) 88 0.033 92 0.026 Yes 33 (14.9) 75 80 100 100 Lymph node metastasis No 188 (84.7) 90 <0.001	Positive	4 (1.8)	38		38				
No 189 (85.1) 88 0.033 92 0.026 Yes 33 (14.9) 75 80 0 0 Lymph node metastasis No 188 (84.7) 90 <0.001	LVSI								
Yes 33 (14.9) 75 80 Lymph node metastasis No 188 (84.7) 90 <0.001	No	189 (85.1)	88	0.033	92	0.026			
Lymph node metastasis 90 <0.001 94 <0.001 Yes 34 (15.3) 66 69 <0.001	Yes	33 (14.9)	75		80				
No 188 (84.7) 90 <0.001 94 <0.001 Yes 34 (15.3) 66 69 69 69 60 69 60	Lymph node metasta	sis							
Yes 34 (15.3) 66 69 Adjuvant treatment	No	188 (84.7)	90	< 0.001	94	< 0.00			
Adjuvant treatment None 159 (71.6) 89 0.003 94 <0.001	Yes	34 (15.3)	66		69				
None 159 (71.6) 89 0.003 94 <0.001 Chemotherapy 20 (9.0) 88 95 Delivities 20 (9.17) 77	Adjuvant treatment		00	0.000	0.4	~0.001			
Chemotherapy = 20 (7.0) = 00 = 7.0	Chemothomov	107 (/1.6) 20 (9.0)	07 22	0.003	74 Q5	< 0.001			
Radiation therapy $26(117)$ /6 //	Radiation therapy	26 (117)	76		75				
CCRT II (7.7) 71 70	CCRT	11 (7.7)	71		70				

Abbreviations: CCRT = concurrent chemoradiation therapy; FIGO = International Federation of Obstetrics and Gynecology; LVSI = lymphovascular space invasion. ^aGrade of differentiation was undetermined in 21 patients.

for inclusion in the multivariate Cox proportional hazards model (inclusion criteria, P < 0.05; exclusion criteria, P > 0.1). P-values < 0.05 in two-sided tests were regarded as significant. Data

Table 4Univariate analyses of clinicopathologic parameters onrecurrence-free and overall survival in patients with squamous cellcarcinoma

	Squamous cell carcinoma (n=996)					
		Recurre surv	nce-free ival	Ove surv	rall ival	
Variables	N	5-year rate (%)	P-value	5-year rate (%)	P-value	
Age, years As continuous	996 (100)		< 0.00		< 0.001	
<30 30-49 >50	16 (1.6) 574 (57.6) 406 (40.8)	94 94 88	< 0.001	94 97 91	< 0.001	
FIGO stage IA2 IBI – IB2 IIA	80 (8.0) 824 (82.7) 92 (9.3)	100 92 83	0.002	100 94 91	0.061	
Grade of differentiatio Well Moderately Poorly	n ^a 269 (27.4) 479 (48.8) 234 (23.8)	92 92 90	0.705	93 94 93	0.896	
Tumour size, cm ≤2 2-4 4-6 >6	463 (46.5) 416 (41.8) 94 (9.4) 23 (2.3)	97 89 80 75	<0.001	98 92 87 77	< 0.001	
Depth of stromal inva ≤ 1/2 > 1/2	sion 618 (62.0) 378 (38.0)	94 87	< 0.001	95 91	0.001	
Parametrial involvemen No Yes	nt 906 (91.0) 90 (9.0)	93 78	< 0.001	95 82	< 0.001	
Resection margin Negative Positive	972 (97.6) 24 (2.4)	92 96	0.399	94 100	0.170	
LVSI No Yes	780 (78.3) 216 (21.7)	93 87	< 0.001	95 90	0.003	
Lymph node metastas No Yes	iis 860 (86.3) 136 (13.7)	93 82	< 0.001	95 85	< 0.001	
Adjuvant treatment None Chemotherapy Radiation therapy CCRT	748 (75.1) 66 (6.6) 87 (8.7) 95 (9.5)	94 89 84 82	< 0.001	96 91 87 85	< 0.00	

Abbreviations: CCRT = concurrent chemoradiation therapy; FIGO = International Federation of Obstetrics and Gynecology; LVSI, lymphovascular space invasion. ^aGrade of differentiation was undetermined in 21 patients.

analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows (version 11.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Power calculations were performed using NCSS software (version 2004; NCSS Inc., Kaysville, UT, USA). A two-sided log-rank test with an overall sample size of 1218 subjects (222 in group 1 and 996 in group 2) was calculated to achieve 92% power at a 0.05 significance level to detect a difference of 0.08 between 0.82 and 0.90, the proportions surviving in groups
 Table 5
 Multivariate
 analyses
 of
 clinicopathologic
 parameters
 on

 recurrence-free
 and overall survival in patients with adenocarcinoma

		Adenocar	rcinoma (n = 222)	
		Recurrence-free	survival ^a	Overall surv	rival ^a
Variables	N	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Parametrial	involvemen	t			
No	200 (90.1)	Reference		Reference	
Yes	22 (9.9)	3.46 (1.46-8.19)	0.005	6.17 (2.55-14.95)	0.002
Lymph nod	e metastasis	5			
No	188 (84.7)	Reference		Reference	
Yes	34 (15.3)	2.45 (1.14-5.30)	0.022	2.79 (1.25-6.25)	0.012

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; LVSI = lymphovascular space invasion; OR = odds ratio. ^aThe analysis included FIGO stage, tumour size, depth of stromal invasion, parametrial involvement, resection margin, LVSI, lymph node metastasis, and adjuvant treatment.

1 and 2, respectively. This corresponded to a hazard ratio of 0.53. The proportion of patients lost during follow-up was 0.05. These results were based on the assumption that the hazard rates were proportional.

RESULTS

During the study period, 1218 patients met all inclusion criteria. Of these, 996 patients (81.8%) had SCCa and 222 (18.2%) had AdCa. Of the 222 patients with AdCa, 203 had mucinous-type tumours, 14 had endometrioid-type tumours, 2 had serous-type tumours and 3 had mixed-type tumours. The characteristics of these patients are shown in Table 1. Patients with AdCa were significantly younger than those with SCCa. Lymphovascular space invasion was more frequent is patients with AdCa compared with those with SCCa. However, FIGO stage, grade of differentiation, tumour size, depth of cervical stromal invasion, parametrial involvement, resection margin status and lymph node metastasis did not differ between the two histologic groups.

Patients with FIGO stage IA2 disease (n = 88) underwent type II hysterectomy with pelvic and/or para-aortic lymphadenectomy and patients with FIGO stage IB1–IIA disease (n = 1130) underwent type III hysterectomy with pelvic and/or para-aortic lymphadenectomy. Thus, all patients underwent pelvic lymphadenectomy, and 731 underwent para-aortic lymphadenectomy. Of the 1218 patients, 311 (25.6%) received postoperative adjuvant therapy. There were no differences in the proportion of patients who received postoperative adjuvant therapy and in the type of adjuvant therapy between the two histologic groups (Table 1).

Survival and patterns of recurrence in patients with AdCa and SCCa

The overall median and mean follow-up times were 91 and 83 months (range, 10-236 months) for all patients, respectively, 73 and 81 months (range, 11-231 months), respectively, in patients with AdCa and 85 and 92 months (range, 10-236 months), respectively, in patients with SCCa (AdCa *vs* SCCa, P=0.012). Of the 1218 patients, 419 had follow-up durations of <60 months, with 137 lost to follow-up for over 1 year at the time of this analysis. Of these, 29 had AdCa and 108 had SCCa, making the rates of loss during follow-up 13.1% (29 out of 222) for the AdCa group, and 10.8% (108 out of 996) for the SCCa group (P=0.344). The mean and median follow-up times of patients lost to follow-up were 41 and 43 months (range, 14-59 months),



respectively, for the AdCa group, and 43 and 41 months (range, 10-59 months), respectively, for the SCCa group (P = 0.440). The 5-year and 10-year RFS rates in patients with AdCa were 86 and 82%, respectively, and the 5-year and 10-year RFS rates in patients with SCCa were 92 and 90%, respectively (P = 0.009). The 5-year and 10-year OS rates in patients with AdCa were 90 and 83%, respectively, and the 5-year and 10-year OS rates in patients with SCCa were 94 and 91%, respectively (P < 0.001). At the time of this analysis, 32 patients (14.4%) in AdCa group and 90 patients (9.0%) in SCCa group had cancer recurrence (P = 0.016), and 30 patients (13.5%) in AdCa group and 71 patients (7.1%) in SCCa died of disease (P = 0.002). The mean times to recurrence in the AdCa and SCCa groups were 36 months (range, 6-152 months) and 34 months (range, 2–156 months), respectively (P = 0.805). The anatomic location of tumour at first recurrence was outside the pelvis in 57.8 and 75.0% of patients with SCCa and AdCa, respectively (P = 0.084) (Table 2). Recurrent tumours in these patients were observed in the abdomen, skin, muscle, bone, liver, lung, meninx, brain and/or lymph nodes.

The treatment modality or strategy was not altered over time. Therefore, we divided the study period arbitrarily into three 6-year intervals (1989–1994, n=156; 1995–2000, n=371; and 2001–2006, n=691). However, we found that 5-year diseasefree survival rates (95, 92 and 90%, respectively, P=0.1425) and 5-year OS rates (97, 94 and 92%, respectively, P=0.1889) did not differ by time.

Prognostic factors associated with RFS and OS in patients with AdCa and SCCa

In 222 patients with AdCa, FIGO stage, tumour size, depth of cervical stromal invasion, parametrial involvement, resection margin status, LVSI, lymph node metastasis and type of adjuvant treatment were significantly associated with both RFS and OS in univariate analysis, whereas age, grade of differentiation and histologic subtype were unrelated (Table 3). After adjusting for factors significant in univariate analysis, multivariate analysis showed that parametrial involvement and lymph node metastasis were significant factors for both RFS and OS (Table 4).

In 996 patients with SCCa, age, tumour size, depth of cervical stromal invasion, parametrial involvement, LVSI, lymph node metastasis and type of adjuvant treatment were significantly associated with both RFS and OS, but FIGO stage was significantly associated with only RFS in univariate analysis, whereas resection margin status and grade of differentiation were unrelated (Table 5). After adjusting for factors significant in univariate analysis, multivariate analysis showed that age, tumour size, parametrial involvement and lymph node metastasis were significant factors for both RFS and OS (Table 6).

When analysing 1218 patients with AdCa and SCCa, age, FIGO stage, histologic type, tumour size, depth of cervical stromal invasion, parametrial involvement, LVSI, lymph node metastasis and type of adjuvant treatment were significantly associated with both RFS and OS, whereas resection margin status and grade of differentiation were unrelated (Table 7). After adjusting for factors significant in univariate analysis, multivariate analysis showed that age, histologhic type, tumour size, parametrial involvement and lymph node metastasis were significant factors for both RFS and OS (Table 8). Relative to patients with SCCa, the probability of cancer recurrence was significantly higher in patients with AdCa (odds ratio (OR) = 2.07, 95%confidence interval (CI) = 1.37 - 3.12, P = 0.001) after adjusting factors significant in univariate analysis. Moreover, the probability of cancer death was significantly higher in patients with AdCa (OR = 2.56, 95% CI = 1.65 - 3.96, P < 0.001) compared with patients with SCCa after adjusting factors significant in univariate analysis.

Table 6 Multivariate analyses of clinicopathologic parameters on recurrence-free and overall survival in patients with squamous cell carcinoma

		Squa carcino	amous ce oma (n=1	:ll 996)	
		Recurrent surviv	ce-free al ^a	Overa surviva	all al ^b
Variables	N	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Age, years As continuous variable	996 (100)	1.05 (1.03–1.07)	< 0.001	1.05 (1.03–1.07)	< 0.00
Tumour size, cm ≤ 2 2-4 4-6 >6	463 (46.5) 416 (41.8) 94 (9.4) 23 (2.3)	Reference 2.25 (1.30–3.89) 4.51 (2.32–8.78) 6.36 (2.43–16.68)	0.004 <0.001 <0.001	Reference 2.12 (1.16–3.88) 3.51 (1.64–7.50) 5.11 (1.73–15.14)	0.015 0.001 0.003
Parametrial invol No Yes	vement 906 (91.0) 90 (9.0)	Reference 1.78 (1.01 – 3.12)	0.046	Reference 2.17 (1.13-4.13)	0.019
Lymph node me No Yes	tastasis 860 (86.3) 136 (13.7)	Reference 1.86 (1.12-3.09)	0.017	Reference 2.11 (1.18-3.75)	0.012

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; LVSI = lymphovascular space invasion; OR = odds ratio. ^aThe analysis included age, FIGO stage, tumour size, depth of stromal invasion, parametrial involvement, LVSI, lymph node metastasis and adjuvant treatment. ^bThe analysis included age, tumour size, depth of stromal invasion, parametrial involvement, LVSI, lymph node metastasis and adjuvant treatment.

DISCUSSION

In our series, the survival outcomes after RH followed by tailored adjuvant therapy according to postoperative risk factors in patients with IA2-IIA AdCa of uterine cervix were excellent because 5-year RFS and OS were 86 and 90%, respectively. Parametrial involvement and lymph node metastasis were independent prognostic factors in patients with AdCa as were they in patients with SCCa. Therefore, this treatment strategy for IA2-IIA AdCa of uterine cervix seems acceptable as with SCCa. However, in spite of the distribution of postoperative risk factors, the proportion of patients who received adjuvant therapy, and type of adjuvant therapy were not different between AdCa and SCCa groups, the RFS and OS were significantly poorer in AdCa group compared with SCCa group in multivariate analysis although the survival differences were not much. The time interval to recurrence was not different between AdCa and SCCa groups, but recurrence outside pelvis was more frequent in AdCa groups. This may suggest that AdCa has somewhat aggressive behaviour with propensity of distant metastasis and systemic adjuvant therapy might be beneficial for AdCa.

It has long been unclear whether prognosis of patients with early-stage cervical cancer undergoing RH was dependent on histologic type. Although some studies included a significant number of subjects, most previous reports had small cohorts of patients, with numbers insufficient to determine small differences in RFS and OS. Hence, because the survival of surgically treated patients with early-stage cervical cancer is excellent, the magnitude of differences in RFS and OS among different histologic types is small. Previous studies have reported that the magnitude of differences in 5-year RFS and OS rates ranged from 2.0 to 9.0%, findings similar to ours (Look et al, 1996; Lai et al, 1999; Nakanishi et al, 2000; Ayhan et al, 2004; Fregnani et al, 2008). As far as we know, our series is one of the largest studies which compared the survival outcomes of early-stage AdCa and SCCa. The survival

Table 7 Univariate analyses of clinicopathologic parameters on recurrence-free and overall survival in all patients (n = 1218)

		Recurre surv	nce-free ival	Overall survival		
Variables	No. of patients	5-year rate (%)	P-value	5-year rate (%)	P-value	
Age, years						
As continuous	1218 (100%)		< 0.001		< 0.00	
<30 30-49 >50	21 (1.7%) 715 (58.7%) 482 (39.6%)	90 93 87	< 0.001	90 96 90	< 0.001	
FIGO stage IA2	88 (7.2%)	100	< 0.001	100	< 0.001	
IBI – IB2 IIA	1019 (83.7%) 111 (9.1%)	91 80	(0.000)	93 86		
Histology						
SCCa AdCa	996 (81.8%) 222 (18.2%)	92 86	0.008	94 90	< 0.00	
Grade of differentiatio	on ^a					
Well	332 (27.3%)	91	0.902	93	0.967	
Moderately Poorly	573 (47.0%) 294 (24.1%)	91 89		94 92		
Tumour size, cm						
≤2	571 (46.9%)	96	< 0.00	97	< 0.00	
2-4	510 (41.9%)	88		91		
4-6 > 6	23 (1.9%)	80 75		87 77		
Depth of stromal invo	ision					
≤ I out of 2	753 (61.8%)	94	< 0.001	95	< 0.00	
> I out of 2	465 (38.2%)	85		90		
Parametrial involveme	ent					
No Yes	1106 (90.8%) 112 (9.2%)	92 74	<0.001	95 79	< 0.001	
Resection margin						
Negative Positive	1190 (97.7%) 28 (2.2%)	91 89	0.911	93 93	0.803	
LVSI						
No Yes	1048 (86.0%) 170 (14.0%)	92 85	< 0.001	94 89	< 0.001	
Lymph node metasta	sis					
No	1111 (85.5%)	93 70	< 0.001	95	< 0.00	
1 5	100 (14.3%)	/0		02		
Adjuvant treatment	907 (74 5%)	93	< 0.001	95	< 0.001	
Chemotherapy	86 (7.1%)	89	~ 0.001	92	~ 0.001	
Radiation therapy	113 (9.3%)	82		85		
CCRT	112 (9.2%)	80		83		

Abbreviations: AdCa = adenocarcinoma; CCRT = concurrent chemoradiation therapy; FIGO = International Federation of Obstetrics and Gynecology; LVSI = lymphovascular space invasion; SCCa = squamous cell carcinoma. ^aGrade of differentiation was undetermined in 21 patients.

difference between AdCa and SCCa groups was small but significant. In our series, a two-sided log-rank test with an overall sample size of 1218 subjects (of which 222 are in AdCa group and 996 are in SCCa group) achieved 80% power at a 0.05 significance level to detect a difference of 6% in 10-year DFS between 86 and 92%, the proportions surviving in AdCa group and SCCa group,

Table 8 Multivariate analyses of clinicopathologic parameters on recurrence-free and overall survival in all patients (n = 1218)

		Recurrenc surviva	e-free al ^a	Overall survival ^a	ı
Variables	No. of patients	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI) P-	-value
Age, years As continuous variable	1218 (100%)	1.04 (1.03-1.06)	< 0.001	1.05 (1.03-1.06) <	< 0.00
Histology SCCa AdCa	996 (81.8%) 222 (18.2%)	Reference 2.07 (1.37-3.12)	0.001	Reference 2.56 (1.65-3.96) <	< 0.00
Tumour size, cm ≤2 2-4 4-6 >6	571 (46.9%) 510 (41.9%) 114 (9.4%) 23 (1.9%)	Reference 2.11 (1.34-3.31) 3.55 (2.00-6.31) 5.48 (2.17-13.81)	0.001 <0.001 <0.001	Reference 2.06 (1.27 – 3.37) 3.02 (1.59 – 5.72) 4.60 (1.64 – 12.93)	0.004 0.001 0.004
Parametrial invol No Yes	vement 06 (90.8%) 2 (9.2%)	Reference 2.04 (1.27–3.29)	0.003	Reference 2.15 (1.25–3.70)	0.005
Lymph node mei No Yes	tastasis (85.5%) 88 (4.5%)	Reference 2.07 (1.35–3.18)	0.001	Reference 2.27 (1.41 – 3.64)	0.001

Abbreviations: AdCa, adenocarcinoma; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; LVSI = lymphovascular space invasion; SCCa, squamous cell carcinoma; ^aThe analysis included age, FIGO stage, histology, tumour size, depth of stromal invasion, parametrial involvement, resection margin, LVSI, lymph node metastasis and adjuvant treatment.

respectively. A two-sided log-rank test with an overall sample size of 1218 subjects (of which 222 are in AdCa group and 996 are in SCCa group) achieved 92% power at a 0.05 significance level to detect a difference of 8% in 10-year OS between 82 and 90%, the proportions surviving in AdCa group and SCCa group, respectively.

Although we found that patients with AdCa had significantly poorer prognosis than those with SCCa, after adjusting for other significant prognostic factors, the magnitude of differences in RFS and OS were small and the RFS and OS of patients with AdCa and AdSCCa were still good. Therefore, the current management strategy for patients with early-stage AdCa, consisting of RH followed tailored adjuvant therapy according to postoperative risk factors, should be acceptable.

Of the 20 patients with AdCas 4-6 cm in diameter, 4 had recurrent disease and 3 of these died of disease within 5 years, making the 5-year DFS and OS rates 77 and 90%, respectively.

REFERENCES

- Anton-Culver H, Bloss JD, Bringman D, Lee-Feldstein A, DiSaia P, Manetta A (1992) Comparison of adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix: a population-based epidemiologic study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* **166**: 1507–1514
- Ayhan A, Al RA, Baykal C, Demirtas E, Yuce K, Ayhan A (2004) A comparison of prognoses of FIGO stage IB adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 14: 279–285
- Drescher CW, Hopkins MP, Roberts JA (1989) Comparison of the pattern of metastatic spread of squamous cell cancer and adenocarcinoma of the uterine cervix. *Gynecol Oncol* 33: 340-343
- Eifel PJ, Burke TW, Morris M, Smith TL (1995) Adenocarcinoma as an independent risk factor for disease recurrence in patients with stage IB cervical carcinoma. *Gynecol Oncol* **59:** 38-44
- Farley JH, Hickey KW, Carlson JW, Rose GS, Kost ER, Harrison TA (2003) Adenosquamous histology predicts a poor outcome for patients with

J-Y Park et al

Adenocarcinoma of uterine cervix

The fourth patient with recurrence died of disease at 78 months, making the survival rate at 78 months 80%, similar to the 40-70% OS rates previously reported.

It is still not clear whether histologic type has an effect on time to recurrence after RH, or on the patterns of spread and recurrence. Although several studies reported that the time to recurrence was shorter in patients with AdCa and AdSCCa than in those with SCCa, more recent work has found no difference associated with histologic type (Look et al, 1996; Lai et al, 1999). When classified into recurrence inside and outside the pelvis, the pattern of spread and recurrence did not differ among histologic groups in some studies (Look et al, 1996; Lai et al, 1999; Grisaru et al, 2001), whereas other reports showed an association between histologic type and more frequent disseminated peritoneal spread or distant metastasis (Drescher et al, 1989; Eifel et al, 1995; Kasamatsu et al, 2009). In our series, recurrence outside the pelvis was more frequent in patients with AdCa. As a result of the absence of curative systemic therapy, patients with recurrence outside the pelvis tend to perform very poorly; however, patients with isolated pelvic recurrence are frequently salvaged with pelvic RT with or without concurrent chemotherapy. This may be one reason for the differences in OS observed among histologic types. Therefore, to improve the survival of patients with AdCa after RH, more effective systemic adjuvant therapies are required.

Consistently reported independent adverse prognostic factors for patients with early-stage cervical cancer after RH include higher FIGO stage, lymph node metastasis, parametrial involvement, depth of cervical stromal invasion, tumour size and LVSI (Look et al, 1996; Lai et al, 2007; Kasamatsu et al, 2009). We also found that these factors were significant in univariate analysis, although only lymph node metastasis, parametrial involvement and tumour size were significant in multivariate analysis. Some investigators reported that AdCa was more frequently associated with these prognostic factors than SCCa (Kasamatsu et al, 2009). However, other studies found no significant association between these factors and histologic type (Shingleton et al, 1995; Look et al, 1996; Nakanishi et al, 2000; Fregnani et al, 2008). In our series, there were no differences in FIGO stage, tumour size, depth of cervical stromal invasion, parametrial involvement, LVSI or lymph node metastasis between patients with the two histologic types.

In conclusion, we found that the clinicopathologic characteristics and time interval to recurrence did not differ between histologic types. However, recurrence outside the pelvis was more frequent in patients with AdCa than in those with SCCa. Moreover, patients with AdCa had significantly poorer RFS and OS than did those with SCCa. Nevertheless, the current treatment strategy of RH on patients with AdCa is acceptable because the RFS and OS were still excellent. However, more effective systemic adjuvant therapies after RH are needed for patients with AdCa.

advanced-stage, but not early-stage, cervical carcinoma. Cancer 97: 2196-2202

- Fregnani JH, Soares FA, Novik PR, Lopes A, Latorre MR (2008) Comparison of biological behavior between early-stage adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* **136**: 215–223
- Grisaru D, Covens A, Chapman B, Shaw P, Colgan T, Murphy J, DePetrillo D, Lickrish G, Laframboise S, Rosen B (2001) Does histology influence prognosis in patients with early-stage cervical carcinoma? *Cancer* 92: 2999-3004
- Hopkins MP, Morley GW (1991) A comparison of adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix. Obstet Gynecol 77: 912-917
- Kasamatsu T, Onda T, Sawada M, Kato T, Ikeda S, Sasajima Y, Tsuda H (2009) Radical hysterectomy for FIGO stage I-IIB adenocarcinoma of the uterine cervix. Br J Cancer 100: 1400-1405

- Adenocarcinoma of uterine cervix I-Y Park et al
- Kim SM, Choi HS, Byun JS (2000) Overall 5-year survival rate and prognostic factors in patients with stage IB and IIA cervical cancer treated by radical hysterectomy and pelvic lymph node dissection. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 10: 305-312
- Kosary CL (1994) FIGO stage, histology, histologic grade, age and race as prognostic factors in determining survival for cancers of the female gynecological system: an analysis of 1973-87 SEER cases of cancers of the endometrium, cervix, ovary, vulva, and vagina. *Semin Surg Oncol* **10**: 31-46
- Lai CH, Chang CJ, Huang HJ, Hsueh S, Chao A, Yang JE, Lin CT, Huang SL, Hong JH, Chou HH, Wu TI, Huang KG, Wang CC, Chang TC (2007) Role of human papillomavirus genotype in prognosis of early-stage cervical cancer undergoing primary surgery. J Clin Oncol 25: 3628–3634
- Lai CH, Hsueh S, Hong JH, Chang TC, Tseng CJ, Chou HH, Huang KG, Lin JD (1999) Are adenocarcinomas and adenosquamous carcinomas different from squamous carcinomas in stage IB and II cervical cancer patients undergoing primary radical surgery? Int J Gynecol Cancer 9: 28-36
- Lee KB, Lee JM, Park CY, Lee KB, Cho HY, Ha SY (2006) What is the difference between squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma of the cervix? A matched case-control study. Int J Gynecol Cancer 16: 1569–1573
- Liu S, Semenciw R, Mao Y (2001) Cervical cancer: the increasing incidence of adenocarcinoma and adenosquamous carcinoma in younger women. *CMAJ* 164: 1151-1152
- Look KY, Brunetto VL, Clarke-Pearson DL, Averette HE, Major FJ, Alvarez RD, Homesley HD, Zaino RJ (1996) An analysis of cell type in

patients with surgically staged stage IB carcinoma of the cervix: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. *Gynecol Oncol* 63: 304-311

- Miller BE, Flax SD, Arheart K, Photopulos G (1993) The presentation of adenocarcinoma of the uterine cervix. *Cancer* 72: 1281-1285
- Nakanishi T, Ishikawa H, Suzuki Y, Inoue T, Nakamura S, Kuzuya K (2000) A comparison of prognoses of pathologic stage Ib adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix. *Gynecol Oncol* **79**: 289–293
- Parkin DM, Bray FI, Devesa SS (2001) Cancer burden in the year 2000. The global picture. *Eur J Cancer* **37**(Suppl 8): S4-S66
- Samlal RA, van der Velden J, Ten Kate FJ, Schilthuis MS, Hart AA, Lammes FB (1997) Surgical pathologic factors that predict recurrence in stage IB and IIA cervical carcinoma patients with negative pelvic lymph nodes. *Cancer* 80: 1234-1240
- Sasieni P, Adams J (2001) Changing rates of adenocarcinoma and adenosquamous carcinoma of the cervix in England. *Lancet* 357: 1490-1493
- Shingleton HM, Bell MC, Fremgen A, Chmiel JS, Russell AH, Jones WB, Winchester DP, Clive RE (1995) Is there really a difference in survival of women with squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, and adenosquamous cell carcinoma of the cervix? *Cancer* **76**: 1948-1955
- Smith HO, Tiffany MF, Qualls CR, Key CR (2000) The rising incidence of adenocarcinoma relative to squamous cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix in the United States-a 24-year population-based study. *Gynecol* Oncol 78: 97-105
- Waggoner SE (2003) Cervical cancer. Lancet 361: 2217-2225