www.bjcancer.com

Letter to the Editor

Regarding: 'Costs of managing adverse events in the treatment of first-line metastatic renal cell carcinoma: bevacizumab in combination with interferon- α 2a compared with sunitinib'

C Porta^{*,1}

¹Medical Oncology, IRCCS San Matteo University Hospital Foundation, Pavia, Italy

British Journal of Cancer (2010) **102**, 1196–1197. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6605585 www.bjcancer.com Published online 23 February 2010 © 2010 Cancer Research UK

Sir,

Mickisch *et al* (2010) report a comparison of the costs of managing side effects due to treatment with either sunitinib or bevacizumab plus interferon, the two presently available standards of care for the first-line treatment of advanced kidney cancer, in four European countries, i.e., Germany, UK, France, and Italy.

This analysis is of value for clinical practice given the recent availability of several molecularly targeted therapies for the treatment of advanced kidney cancer, including bevacizumab and sunitinib, which have radically changed the natural history of the disease. These therapies have made - at least in the vast majority of cases - this once orphan tumour more likely a chronic disease. Such relative long-term disease stabilisation is inevitably accompanied by increasing costs and, even though these new therapies are usually quite well tolerated, side effects may nevertheless occur, which leads to the need for further resources such as extra medical treatment or hospitalisation. As we do not presently have predictive features that could help us to a priori select responders to treatments such as bevacizumab and sunitinib, it is important that one should take into account both the primary costs of each of these treatments - in some countries artificially made uniform by regulatory authorities - and also secondary costs, such as those related to the management of these therapy-induced side effects.

Mickisch *et al* used pivotal trial data (Escudier *et al*, 2007; Motzer *et al*, 2007) and financial figures obtained from the healthcare systems of each of the four countries; a linear decision analytic model was then used to calculate the cost comparison. The average cost per patient for the management of grade 3 and 4 side effects was markedly higher with sunitinib, as compared with bevacizumab plus interferon. Despite a relevant cost variability among the four countries, sunitinib treatment led to an average

REFERENCES

Chen MH (2009) Cardiac dysfunction induced by novel targeted anticancer therapy: an emerging issue. *Curr Cardiol Rep* 11: 167-174

*Correspondence: Dr C Porta; E-mail: c.porta@smatteo.pv.it Published online 23 February 2010 increase in the costs of treatment, owing to the management of therapy-related grade 3 and 4 side effects, of 637.5 \in (ranging from 418 \in for Germany to 972 \in for France). Notably, such a difference could also be considered as an underestimation; indeed, we already know that lowering the dose of interferon can lead to a reduction in side effects experienced by patients receiving the bevacizumab-interferon combination, whereas efficacy seems to be maintained (Melichar *et al*, 2008), therefore potentially leading to further savings. These savings are both in terms of a reduction in the amount of interferon used, as well as in the resources necessary for the management of interferon-related side effects.

Moreover, toxicities such as hypothyroidism and cardiotoxicity may have been grossly underestimated in the sunitinib registrative trial (Motzer *et al*, 2007) compared with the levels indicated from other recent studies of sunitinib (Rini *et al*, 2007; Chen, 2009; Torino *et al*, 2009; Di Lorenzo *et al*, 2009), whereas the safety profile of bevacizumab plus interferon does not seem to have changed so much over time. When considering these additional facts, the indirect economic advantage of bevacizumab plus interferon seems to be even more evident and convincing.

In sum, the report by Mickisch *et al* (2010) highlights that when deciding the ideal treatment for each given patient affected by advanced kidney cancer entering our hospitals, we should take into account economic factors (secondary costs) in addition to the efficacy and safety profiles of available treatments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Galliard Healthcare Communications provided support for checking the English.

Di Lorenzo G, Autorino R, Bruni G, Cartenì G, Ricevuto E, Tudini M, Ficorella C, Romano C, Aieta M, Giordano A, Giuliano M, Gonnella A, De Nunzio C, Rizzo M, Montesarchio V, Ewer M, De Placido S (2009) Cardiovascular toxicity following sunitinib therapy in metastatic renal cell carcinoma: a multicenter analysis. Ann Oncol 20: 1535-1542



- Escudier B, Pluzanska A, Koralewski P, Ravaud A, Bracarda S, Szczylik C, Chevreau C, Filipek M, Melichar B, Bajetta E, Gorbunova V, Bay JO, Bodrogi I, Jagiello-Gruszfeld A, Moore N (2007) AVOREN Trial investigators. Bevacizumab plus interferon alfa-2a for treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma: a randomised, double-blind phase III trial. Lancet 370: 2103-2111
- Melichar B, Koralewski P, Ravaud A, Pluzanska A, Bracarda S, Szczylik C, Chevreau C, Filipek M, Delva R, Sevin E, Negrier S, McKendrick J, Santoro A, Pisa P, Escudier B (2008) First-line bevacizumab combined with reduced dose interferon-alpha2a is active in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Ann Oncol* **19:** 1470-1476
- Mickisch G, Gore M, Escudier B, Procopio G, Walzer S, Nuijten M (2010) Costs of managing adverse events in the treatment of first-line metastatic

renal cell carcinoma: bevacizumab in combination with interferon- $\alpha 2a$ compared with sunitinib. Br J Cancer 102: 80-86

- Motzer RJ, Hutson TE, Tomczak P, Michaelson MD, Bukowski RM, Rixe O, Oudard S, Negrier S, Szczylik C, Kim ST, Chen I, Bycott PW, Baum CM, Figlin RA (2007) Sunitinib versus interferon alfa in metastatic renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med **356**: 115–124
- Rini BI, Tamaskar I, Shaheen P, Salas R, Garcia J, Wood L, Reddy S, Dreicer R, Bukowski RM (2007) Hypothyroidism in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma treated with sunitinib. J Natl Cancer Inst 99: 81-83
- Torino F, Corsello SM, Longo R, Barnabei A, Gasparini G (2009) Hypothyroidism related to tyrosine kinase inhibitors: an emerging toxic effect of targeted therapy. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* **6:** 219-228