## www.bjcancer.com

#### **Editorial**

# Androgen resistance in prostate cancer

## J Waxman\*,I and S NganI

Department of Cancer Medicine, Division of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, Room 1014, Garry Weston Centre, Hammersmith Campus Du Cane Road, London W12 ONN, UK

British Journal of Cancer (2008) **98,** 1. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6604076 www.bjcancer.com Published online 8 January 2008 © 2008 Cancer Research UK

The growth of prostate cancer is regulated by the androgen receptor (AR), which is a transcription factor that binds with steroid hormones, co-activators and co-receptors of AR, and translocates to the cell nucleus where the AR-complex initiates a number of androgen-related gene transcription events. In patients with prostate cancer treated with hormonal therapy, it is thought that with time and exposure to anti-androgens, AR mutates. This mutation causes a change in the regulation of prostate cancer cell growth, which may become independent of treatments aimed to decrease the availability of testosterone and dehydrotestosterone to the receptor.

There have been hundreds of AR mutations described, but one of the most commonly observed is at codon 877 (Sack *et al*, 2001). Mutation at codon 877 allows the tumour to become dependent for its growth upon the drugs used to treat it (Monge *et al*, 2006). In this situation, withdrawal of anti-androgens leads to a transient response in 20–40% of patients (Kelly and Scher, 1993).

As prostate cancer progresses, it is thought that there is further clonal evolution so that selection processes occur, leading to new 'resistance' to hormonal therapy, but this may not be an absolute resistance. It is likely that this selection process causes changes such that an individual's tumour may respond to further hormonal treatment; should that hormonal treatment be selective for the mutation that has developed. Thus it is likely that patients will respond to third- and fourth-line therapy with prednisone or oestrogens or dexamethasone or hydrocortisone or other steroidal agents. It is superficially and relatively straightforward to understand why these responses should occur. This is because the cellular receptors for these steroids are members of a supergene

family sharing considerable structural homology (Boonyaratanakornkit and Edwards, 2007).

In this context, it is of interest to review the publication by Shamash et al reported in this current edition of the British Journal of Cancer. In their report, Shamash et al describe 56 patients with prostate cancer who were treated with sequential hormonal therapy and then, on progression, with a novel chemotherapy regimen. During the period of treatment with chemotherapy, hormonal therapy was discontinued. At the end of the treatment, 43 patients were re-challenged with hormonal therapy. Twenty-two of these patients were non-castrate at the end of treatment and 21 castrate. Sixteen of this group of 43 patients (37%) then went on to have a further PSA in response to either an LHRH agonist given with an anti-androgen or to a combination of diethylstilboestrol and dexamethasone. The responses were transient, but what is remarkable is that 12 out of 28 patients, who were treated with hormonal therapy following chemotherapy, went on to have another transient PSA response after progression on maximal androgen blockade (Shamash et al, 2007).

It is not clear whether these responses seen were due to induction of hormonal sensitivity through an unknown mechanism or due to regrowth of hormone-sensitive clones of cells which were previously suppressed by the original anti-androgen therapies. Regardless of mechanism, this paper has given oncologists and their patients reasons to be grateful for a further option in the treatment armamentarium, and this has been confirmed by Cox and Sundar in two patients in correspondence published in this current edition of the *British Journal of Cancer* (Cox and Sundar, 2007).

### REFERENCES

Boonyaratanakornkit V, Edwards DP (2007) Receptor mechanisms mediating non-genomic actions of sex steroids. Semin Reprod Med 25: 139-153

Cox RA, Sundar S (2007) Re-induction of hormone sensitivity to diethylstilboestrol in androgen refractory prostate cancer patients following chemotherapy. *Br J Cancer* **98:** 238 – 239

Kelly WK, Scher HI (1993) Prostate-specific antigen decline after antiandrogen withdrawal: the flutamide withdrawal syndrome. J Urol 149: 607 – 609 Monge A, Jagia M, Lapouge G, Sasorith S, Cruchant M, Wurtz JM, Jacqmin D, Bergerat JP, Céraline J (2006) Unfaithfulness and promiscuity of a mutant androgen receptor in a hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 63: 487-497
Sack JS, Kish KE, Wang C, Attar RM, Kiefer SE, An V, Wu GV, Scheffler JE.

Sack JS, Kish KF, Wang C, Attar RM, Kiefer SE, An Y, Wu GY, Scheffler JE, Salvati ME, Krystek Jr SR, Weinmann R, Einspahr HM (2001) Crystallographic structures of the ligand-binding domains of the androgen receptor and its T877A mutant complexed with the natural agonist dihydrotestosterone. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98: 4904–4909

Shamash J, Davies A, Ansell W, Wilson P, Oliver T, Powles T (2007) A phase II study investigating the re-induction of endocrine sensitivity following chemotherapy in androgen independent prostate cancer. *Br J Cancer* 98: 22-24

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Dr J Waxman; E-mail: j.waxman@imperial.ac.uk Published online 8 January 2008