

Hormone replacement therapy, body mass, and the risk of colorectal cancer among postmenopausal women from Germany

M Hoffmeister^{*1}, E Raum¹, J Winter², J Chang-Claude³ and H Brenner¹

¹Division of Clinical Epidemiology and Aging Research, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany; ²Department of General and Visceral Surgery, St Vincentius Hospital, Speyer, Germany; ³Division of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany

Previous studies have reported inconsistent results regarding the modifying effect of hormone replacement therapy (HRT) on the association of body mass index (BMI) and the risk of colorectal cancer (CRC) among postmenopausal women. We assessed the use of HRT and BMI in 208 postmenopausal women with histologically confirmed incident CRC and 246 controls in a population-based case–control study in Germany (DACHS study). Ever use of HRT was strongly associated with reduction of CRC risk (adjusted odds ratio 0.41, 95% confidence interval 0.25–0.67). Among nonusers of HRT, risk of CRC was strongly increased in women with BMI 27 to $<30\text{ kg m}^{-2}$ (2.76, 1.07–7.12) and obese women (3.30, 1.25–8.72), when compared with women with BMI $<23\text{ kg m}^{-2}$ (P for trend <0.01). BMI was not associated with risk of CRC among HRT users (P for interaction <0.01). In contrast to most other studies, a positive association of BMI and CRC risk was found among nonusers of HRT, but not among users of HRT. The reasons for the inconsistency of results regarding the potential risk modifying effect of postmenopausal hormones in the association of BMI with CRC remain inconclusive and require further study.

British Journal of Cancer (2007) 97, 1486–1492. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6604066 www.bjcancer.com

Published online 6 November 2007

© 2007 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: colorectal cancer; body mass; postmenopausal; hormone replacement therapy; risk

Hormone replacement therapy (HRT) has been associated with risk reduction of colorectal cancer (CRC) in the Women's Health Initiative (WHI) Estrogen plus Progestin Study and a number of observational studies (Grodstein *et al*, 1999; Nanda *et al*, 1999; Chlebowski *et al*, 2004), while body mass index (BMI) $\geq 25\text{ kg m}^{-2}$ was rather consistently associated with an increase of CRC risk in men and, less consistently and less pronounced, in women (Calle and Kaaks, 2004). Few studies have previously reported on the modifying effect of HRT on the association of BMI and CRC risk among postmenopausal women, and provided conflicting results (Table 1) (Slattery *et al*, 2003; Lin *et al*, 2004; Hou *et al*, 2006; Pischon *et al*, 2006; Adams *et al*, 2007; Wang *et al*, 2007). Thus, we investigated the association of postmenopausal hormone therapy and BMI with CRC risk in a large population-based study from Germany.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and study population

This investigation was conducted within the DACHS study, a population-based case–control study in the Rhine–Neckar–Odenwald region in Germany, which was primarily designed to

assess the potential of endoscopic screening for the prevention of CRC. Details of the study design have been reported elsewhere (Brenner *et al*, 2006, 2007a, b; Hoffmeister *et al*, 2007). Briefly, patients were included if invasive, and histologically confirmed CRC (ICD 10 pos. C18–C20) was diagnosed for the first time between January 2003 and June 2004. All 22 hospitals in the study region treating patients with CRC agreed to participate in the study. Control individuals were randomly selected from lists of population registries and frequency-matched by sex, 5-year age groups, and county of residence. Cases and controls were included if they were at least 30 years of age (no upper age limit), German speaking, and mentally and physically able to participate in a personal interview of about one hour. Control individuals with a history of CRC were excluded. If patients complied with the inclusion criteria, they were informed about the study by their treating physicians, mostly during hospital stay a few days after surgery. They were notified to the study centre upon receipt of informed consent. Controls were contacted by the study centre through mail and follow-up calls to ask for participation. All participants gave written informed consent. The study was approved by the Ethics Committees of the University of Heidelberg and the State Medical Boards of Baden–Wuerttemberg and Rhineland–Palatinate.

Exposure assessment

Information on sociodemographic factors and a detailed medical and lifestyle history with regard to known or suspected protective factors or risk factors of CRC were collected by trained

*Correspondence: Dr M Hoffmeister, Division of Clinical Epidemiology and Aging Research, German Cancer Research Center, Berghheimer Str. 20, Heidelberg D-69115, Germany; E-mail: m.hoffmeister@dkfz.de
Received 17 July 2007; revised 8 October 2007; accepted 9 October 2007; published online 6 November 2007

Table 1 Previous studies reporting on the role of HRT in the association of BMI and risk of CRC among postmenopausal women

First author, year	Study design and population	Assessment	BMI (kg m ⁻²)	Relative risk (95% CI) among postmenopausal women		Statistical interaction
				HRT use	No HRT use	
Slattery et al (2003)	Case-control study (USA), 734 postmenopausal colon cancer cases and 906 controls	HRT use within last 2 years, usual adult height and weight prior to diagnosis, colon cancer incidence	<23	1.00	1.00	P<0.01
			23-24	2.69 (1.34-5.40)	1.10 (0.73-1.66)	
			25-27	1.68 (0.83-3.38)	1.13 (0.79-1.62)	
			28-30	2.28 (1.07-4.87)	1.01 (0.68-1.51)	
			>30	3.36 (1.58-7.13)	0.98 (0.67-1.44)	
			<23	1.00	1.00	P=0.33
			23.0-24.9	0.81 (0.36-1.84)	2.00 (0.98-4.10)	
			25.0-26.9	1.08 (0.47-2.44)	1.32 (0.58-3.02)	
27.0-29.9	1.98 (0.98-3.99)	1.05 (0.42-2.65)				
			≥30	1.41 (0.65-3.06)	2.91 (1.40-6.06)	
			<21.7	1.00	1.00	Not reported
			21.7-23.5	0.69 (0.35-1.35)	0.96 (0.63-1.45)	
			23.6-25.7	0.80 (0.41-1.56)	1.21 (0.82-1.78)	
25.8-28.8	1.10 (0.57-2.10)	1.11 (0.75-1.64)				
			≥28.9	0.72 (0.31-1.70)	1.12 (0.75-1.67)	
			≤19.0	—	1.00	Not applicable
			19.1-20.5	—	1.1 (0.6-1.5)	
			20.6-21.9	—	0.8 (0.5-1.2)	
			22.0-23.6	—	0.8 (0.6-1.4)	
			>23.6	—	0.6 (0.3-0.9)	
			18.5 to <23	1.00	1.00	P=0.28
			23 to <25	1.07 (0.70-1.63)	1.41 (1.04-1.92)	
25 to <27.5	1.56 (1.06-2.29)	1.29 (0.96-1.75)				
27.5 to <30	1.52 (0.96-2.40)	1.26 (0.90-1.77)				
			30 to <35	1.69 (1.09-2.64)	1.05 (0.75-1.48)	
			≥35	1.55 (0.85-2.84)	1.38 (0.96-1.99)	
			18.5-24.9	1.00	1.00	P=0.5
			25.0-29.9	1.13 (0.85-1.52)	1.08 (0.85-1.37)	
≥30	0.92 (0.61-1.39)	1.36 (1.04-1.79)				
18.5-24.9	1.00	1.00				
			25.0-29.9	1.09 (0.80-1.47)	1.09 (0.80-1.47)	
			≥30	1.14 (0.74-1.75)	1.14 (0.74-1.75)	

BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; CRC = colorectal cancer; HRT = hormone replacement therapy.

interviewers using a questionnaire. Risk factor information was collected for the time prior and up to the index date, which was the date of diagnosis for cases and the date of the interview for controls. In women, use of hormones was ascertained with regard to treatment of menopausal symptoms or for the prevention of diseases. Assessment of hormone use included reason, age at beginning and end of use (or current use), and total duration of use, but we had no information about the hormone preparations used. Only HRT use prior to diagnosis (cases) or interview (controls) was considered. We asked for current weight and previous weight at each decade of life (i.e., a person of 84 years was asked about current weight and weight at ages 80, 70, [...], 20). To calculate BMI, we used the last available weight at least 5 years prior to the interview (range: 5-14 years, for a person of age 84 this would be weight at age 70). This measure of body weight was preferred over current BMI, because cancer-related symptoms before and after diagnosis, or therapy of CRC, might have caused weight changes.

Menopausal state at index date was defined by reported history of the women. Postmenopausal women were those whose menstrual bleedings had stopped naturally or after bilateral

oophorectomy, radiation therapy, or chemotherapy, and all women older than age 55. However, menopausal state can be masked if hysterectomy was the reason for the cessation of the menstrual cycle, or if HRT was started before natural menopause (Beral, 2003). Thus, women ≤55 years whose menstrual bleedings had stopped and, in addition, used HRT for the treatment of menopausal symptoms (a) in the past or (b) currently for more than 4 years (duration of perimenopause for most women (Dudley et al, 1998)) were also defined postmenopausal.

Statistical analysis

We first compared the distribution of potential risk factors and protective factors among female postmenopausal cases and controls. Unconditional multiple logistic regression was employed to estimate odds ratios (ORs) for the association of HRT use and BMI with CRC risk. We included known or suspected risk factors or protective factors known from the literature and covariates with a significantly different distribution between cases and controls into the model. Then, a backward variable selection procedure was employed to eliminate all covariates that changed the OR of the

exposure under investigation by 3% or less. In the final model, ORs were adjusted for the matching factors age (per year), county of residence, and other known or potential confounders: former colorectal endoscopy (yes/no), BMI (kg m^{-2}), diagnosis of rheumatic disease (yes/no), diagnosis of hyperlipidaemia (yes/no, unknown), former participation in a general health screening examination (ever/never), ever regular use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) including all aspirin use (at least two times per week for at least 1 year, yes/no), current regular use

Table 2 Characteristics of the study population

	Cases N = 208	Controls N = 246	χ^2 -test
Age at index year (years)			
40–49	2 (1%)	1 (<1%)	$P = 0.16$
50–59	24 (12%)	34 (14%)	
60–69	73 (35%)	101 (41%)	
70–79	67 (32%)	80 (33%)	
80+	42 (20%)	30 (12%)	
BMI (kg m^{-2})^a			
<23.0	51 (25%)	73 (30%)	$P = 0.04$
23 to <25	39 (19%)	48 (20%)	
25 to <27	25 (12%)	49 (20%)	
27 to <29	46 (23%)	36 (15%)	
30+	40 (20%)	38 (16%)	
Educational level			
Low	153 (74%)	181 (74%)	$P = 0.96$
Intermediate	36 (17%)	41 (17%)	
High	19 (9%)	21 (10%)	
Pregnancies > 6 months			
0	34 (16%)	32 (13%)	$P = 0.27$
1	58 (28%)	55 (22%)	
2	69 (33%)	99 (40%)	
3+	47 (23%)	60 (24%)	
Oral contraceptive use^b			
< 3 years	146 (72%)	157 (64%)	$P = 0.10$
≥ 3 years	58 (28%)	87 (36%)	
Bilateral oophorectomy	26 (12%)	27 (11%)	$P = 0.61$
Hysterectomy ^c	69 (33%)	89 (36%)	$P = 0.53$
Induced menopause	14 (7%)	16 (6%)	$P = 0.92$
First-degree family history of CRC ^d	31 (15%)	34 (14%)	$P = 0.71$
Former colorectal endoscopy ^e	42 (20%)	116 (47%)	$P < 0.01$
Regular use of NSAIDs ^f	57 (27%)	101 (41%)	$P < 0.01$
Regular use of statins ^g	16 (8%)	32 (13%)	$P = 0.06$
Former health check-up ^h	148 (72%)	212 (87%)	$P < 0.01$
Active smoking (lifetime pack-years)ⁱ			
Never active	140 (67%)	168 (69%)	$P = 0.10$
< 10	26 (12%)	37 (15%)	
10–19	15 (7%)	19 (8%)	
20–29	9 (4%)	14 (6%)	
30+	18 (9%)	7 (3%)	
Alcohol, nonuser, and tertiles among users (average lifetime ethanol, g day^{-1})^j			
0	74 (36%)	71 (29%)	$P = 0.44$
0.1–4.1	44 (21%)	59 (24%)	
4.1–9.3	43 (21%)	60 (24%)	
> 9.3	46 (22%)	56 (23%)	

Table 2 (Continued)

	Cases N = 208	Controls N = 246	χ^2 -test
Physical activity, quartiles (average lifetime METs, h week^{-1})^k			
≤ 150.3	57 (28%)	56 (23%)	$P = 0.64$
150.4–220.4	49 (24%)	63 (26%)	
220.4–297.9	48 (24%)	65 (26%)	
> 297.9	50 (25%)	62 (25%)	

BMI = body mass index; CRC = colorectal cancer; NSAID = nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug. ^aBMI 5–14 years before interview, data missing for seven cases and two controls. ^bData missing for four cases and two controls. ^cData missing for one case. ^dData missing for two cases. ^eData missing for one case. ^fEver regular use of NSAIDs including aspirin (2+ times per week for > 1 year). ^gCurrent use; data missing for four controls. ^hData missing for three cases and one control. ⁱData missing for one control. ^jData missing for one case. ^kData missing for four cases.

of statins (at least two times per week for at least 1 year, yes/no), average lifetime alcohol consumption (no use and tertiles among users in grams ethanol per day), oral contraceptive use (< 3 years/ ≥ 3 years), and lifetime pack-years of active smoking. Other potential confounders like first-degree family history of CRC, educational level, number of pregnancies longer than 6 months, induced menopause (bilateral oophorectomy, radiation therapy, or chemotherapy), lifetime physical activity, or frequent intake of red meat, fruits, and vegetables did not materially influence the estimates, and were not included in the model. Differences between cases and controls were evaluated using χ^2 -test, and multivariate analyses of linear trend were performed for duration of HRT use and along BMI categories. We tested for effect modification by introducing a multiplicative interaction term into the models. All analyses were carried out with the statistical software package SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

RESULTS

Overall, 540 patients and 614 control persons participated in the study (43% women). The patients recruited constitute about 50% of all eligible cases in the study region for the period of recruitment. Half of the interviews with cases were completed within 2 weeks after diagnosis, 75% within 1 month, and 88% within 6 months. Of all potentially eligible controls, 44% agreed to participate in the interview (another 25% provided less extensive information in a short questionnaire). Of the 492 women in the study, 34 pre- or perimenopausal women, and 4 women who provided no information about HRT use were excluded. The analysis was restricted to the remaining 208 patients and 246 controls.

The mean age of cases and controls was 69 and 70 years, respectively. Women with CRC had colon cancer in 68% and rectum cancer in 32% of cases (of which 5% were in the rectosigmoid), and tumour stages from I to IV were diagnosed in 15, 37, 28, and 20% of patients, respectively. The studied CRC patients and control subjects without CRC were not different regarding age, educational level, number of pregnancies for more than 6 months, removal of ovaries and uterus, unnatural induction of menopause by bilateral oophorectomy, chemotherapy, or radiation therapy, first-degree family history of CRC, alcohol consumption, or physical activity. Controls were more likely to have used oral contraceptives for at least 3 years, to use statins regularly, and to have smoked less than 30 pack-years of cigarettes. A statistically significant difference between cases and controls was found for BMI, former colorectal endoscopy, regular use of NSAIDs, and for a previous health check-up only (except for BMI, all more often controls, see Table 2).

Overall, HRT use was associated with strong reduction of CRC risk in postmenopausal women (OR 0.41, 0.25–0.67), mainly

Table 3 Association of HRT use with the risk of CRC

	Cases	Controls	OR (95% CI) ^a	
			Basic model ^b	Adjusted model ^{b,c}
No HRT use	144 (69%)	104 (42%)	1.00 Ref	1.00 Ref
HRT use ever	64 (31%)	142 (58%)	0.30 (0.19–0.46)	0.41 (0.25–0.67)
Current use	29 (14%)	61 (26%)	0.29 (0.17–0.52)	0.35 (0.19–0.67)
Past use ^d	35 (17%)	81 (32%)	0.31 (0.19–0.51)	0.45 (0.25–0.79)
Last use 1–4 years previously	11 (5%)	32 (14%)	0.21 (0.10–0.45)	0.26 (0.11–0.63)
Last use 5–9 years previously	8 (4%)	12 (5%)	0.47 (0.18–1.23)	0.68 (0.24–1.97)
Last use ≥10 years previously	12 (6%)	26 (11%)	0.34 (0.16–0.71)	0.64 (0.24–1.50)
Duration of HRT use				
<5 years	19 (9%)	54 (22%)	0.24 (0.13–0.45)	0.38 (0.19–0.74)
5–9 years	16 (8%)	21 (9%)	0.51 (0.24–1.07)	0.70 (0.31–1.60)
10–19 years	22 (11%)	48 (20%)	0.29 (0.16–0.54)	0.36 (0.18–0.71)
20+ years	7 (3%)	19 (8%)	0.25 (0.10–0.62)	0.34 (0.13–0.92)
P for trend ^e			P<0.01	P<0.01

BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; CRC = colorectal cancer; HRT = hormone replacement therapy; NSAID = nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; OR = odds ratio. ^aEffects of HRT are implicitly weighted across categories of BMI 5–14 years ago. ^bORs adjusted for matching factors only (age and county of residence). ^cORs additionally adjusted for BMI, history of rheumatic disease, hyperlipidaemia, former health check-up, former colorectal endoscopy, pack-years of smoking, alcohol, regular use of NSAIDs, regular use of statins, and oral contraceptive use. ^dYear of last use of HRT missing for 11 cases and 4 controls. ^eTest statistic was calculated for the following categories: no use, <5 years, 5–9 years, 10–19 years, and 20+ years.

Table 4 Association of BMI and risk of CRC among postmenopausal women

BMI, 5–14 years ago (kg m ⁻²) ^a	Cases	Controls	OR (95% CI)	
			Basic model ^b	Adjusted model ^{b,c}
All women ^d				
<23	51 (25%)	73 (30%)	1.00 Ref	1.00 Ref
23 to <25	39 (19%)	48 (20%)	1.19 (0.67–2.11)	0.80 (0.42–1.53)
25 to <27	25 (12%)	49 (20%)	0.72 (0.39–1.34)	0.78 (0.39–1.58)
27 to <30	46 (23%)	36 (15%)	1.80 (1.01–3.20)	1.71 (0.89–3.31)
30+	40 (20%)	38 (16%)	1.60 (0.89–2.87)	1.82 (0.92–3.62)
P for trend			P=0.05	0.02
Never use of HRT				
<23	24 (18%)	27 (26%)	1.00 Ref	1.00 Ref
23 to <25	31 (23%)	25 (24%)	1.45 (0.66–3.17)	1.31 (0.55–3.12)
25 to <27	18 (13%)	18 (17%)	1.18 (0.49–2.84)	1.60 (0.58–4.44)
27 to <30	33 (24%)	16 (16%)	2.19 (0.94–5.09)	2.76 (1.07–7.12)
30+	31 (23%)	17 (17%)	2.11 (0.91–4.88)	3.30 (1.25–8.72)
P for trend			P=0.05	P<0.01
Ever use of HRT				
<23	27 (42%)	46 (33%)	1.00 Ref	1.00 Ref
23 to <25	8 (13%)	23 (16%)	0.57 (0.21–1.55)	0.49 (0.16–1.48)
25 to <27	7 (11%)	31 (22%)	0.38 (0.14–1.01)	0.36 (0.11–1.13)
27 to <30	13 (20%)	20 (14%)	1.37 (0.55–3.41)	1.18 (0.40–3.48)
30+	9 (14%)	21 (15%)	0.68 (0.25–1.81)	0.89 (0.29–2.75)
P for trend			P=0.75	P=0.96

BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; CRC = colorectal cancer; HRT = hormone replacement therapy; NSAID = nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; OR = odds ratio. ^aBMI missing for seven cases and two controls. ^bORs adjusted for matching factors only (age and county of residence). ^cORs additionally adjusted for BMI, history of rheumatic disease, hyperlipidaemia, former health check-up, former colorectal endoscopy, pack-years of smoking, alcohol, regular use of NSAIDs, regular use of statins, and oral contraceptive use. ^dAdditional adjustment for hormone replacement therapy.

among current users and past users who had stopped HRT less than 5 years previously (Table 3). No evidence of interaction was found with previous colorectal endoscopy ($P=0.52$) or previous health check-up visits ($P=0.18$) as potential indicators of general health behaviour with HRT use. The association was similar for colon cancer (OR 0.35, 0.20–0.61) and for rectum cancer (0.54, 0.25–1.13). The mean duration of HRT use was 9.7, 14.2, and 6.2 years among ever, current, and past users, respectively. Although there was a significant trend ($P<0.01$), reduction of CRC risk did

not appear to be stronger with duration of HRT use. The association of duration of HRT and reduction of CRC risk was similar among current and past users of HRT (data not shown).

Risk of CRC was increased in women with BMI 27 to <30 and 30+ kg m⁻², when compared with women with BMI <23 kg m⁻². Among nonusers of HRT, compared to those with BMI <23 kg m⁻², women in higher BMI categories, 23 to <25, 25 to <27, 27 to <30, and 30+ kg m⁻², were at increasing risk of CRC ($P_{\text{trend}} <0.01$). Odds ratios were statistically significant for BMI

categories 27 to <30 and $30 + \text{kg m}^{-2}$. However, among women who ever used HRT, BMI was not associated with CRC risk ($P_{\text{interaction}} < 0.01$) (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

In this first large population-based case-control study from Germany, ever use of HRT was associated with strong and statistically significant reduction of CRC risk of 59%. However, only current or past use that ended less than 5 years previously was significantly associated with reduced risk of CRC. Risk reduction was already apparent after less than 5 years use (OR 0.38, 0.19–0.74) and did not seem to increase after 10–19 years or 20 years and longer. BMI was positively associated with increased risk of CRC among nonusers of HRT, but not among users of HRT.

Two previous randomised controlled trials (RCTs) on HRT investigated risk of CRC in postmenopausal women. The WHI was the first trial to report risk reduction of almost 40% by combination therapy of oestrogen plus progestin (Rossouw et al, 2002; Chlebowski et al, 2004), but not in women with previous hysterectomy using unopposed conjugated equine oestrogens (Anderson et al, 2004). CRC risk was, however, not reduced in postmenopausal women using oestrogen plus progestin in the HERS trial (hazard ratio (HR) 0.69, 0.32–1.49; Hulley et al, 2002).

In the meta-analysis by Grodstein et al (1999), four out of five studies providing information about the duration of HRT use found that protection was similar for all women currently taking hormones, regardless of duration (Calle et al, 1995; Folsom et al, 1995; Troisi et al, 1997; Grodstein et al, 1998; Paganini-Hill, 1999). Their summary relative risk for short-term use (RR 0.61, 0.48–0.79) was very similar to the relative risk for long-term use (RR 0.67, 0.56–0.79). In the WHI, a lower overall risk of CRC in the hormone group compared with the placebo group emerged after 4 years of treatment (La Vecchia et al, 2005). In the present study, risk reduction of long-term use ≥ 10 years was comparable to that < 5 years, even though a statistically significant trend towards stronger risk reduction with longer use was observed.

Previous studies have reported inconsistent results regarding the modifying effect of HRT on the association of body mass and risk of CRC among postmenopausal women (Table 1). In a large case-control study within a North American health maintenance organisation, Slattery et al (2003) reported that BMI was not related to CRC among postmenopausal women not using HRT, but among users of HRT: BMI from 23–30 to $> 30 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ was associated with two- to threefold risk of colon cancer, respectively, when compared with BMI $< 23 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$. The mechanism by which oestrogens enhance the positive association was hypothesised to be upregulation of insulin growth factors, which leads to an increase in obesity-induced levels of insulin, and thereby increases CRC risk (Slattery et al, 2003). Lin et al (2004) analysed postmenopausal women of the Women's Health Study (WHS), a large RCT evaluating primary prevention of cancer and CVD by use of aspirin and vitamin E. In a cohort with a mean follow-up of 8.7 years, they found a positive association of BMI and CRC risk in both, current and never users of HRT. In another study within the large prospective NIH-AARP cohort, BMI was positively associated with colon cancer risk after 5 years follow-up among women aged 50–66 years only, and the association was not modified by HRT (Adams et al, 2007). On the other hand, in the EPIC study with a mean follow-up of 6.1 years, there was no association of BMI and risk of colon cancer among HRT users or nonusers, respectively (Pischon et al, 2006). One major difference between the WHS, the NIH-AARP, and the EPIC study compared with the study by Slattery et al (2003) was that the first three cohort studies defined current use of HRT at baseline (up to 8, 5, or 6 years prior to diagnosis), whereas in the latter case-control study, use of HRT was defined as use within the preceding 2 years. In a lean

population from China with very rare HRT use, postmenopausal women in the highest BMI quintile ($> 23.6 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$) had significantly lower risk of colon cancer than those with BMI $< 19 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$. The authors hypothesised that higher levels of endogenous oestrogens increasing with BMI might outweigh the increased risk of CRC with higher BMI among women who are nonusers of HRT (Hou et al, 2006).

Yet another finding was obtained in the present study: among postmenopausal women who never used hormones, risk of CRC was increased in women with BMI 27 to < 30 and $30 + \text{kg m}^{-2}$ two- to threefold that of women with BMI $< 23 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$. A positive association of BMI and CRC risk was not found among HRT users ($P < 0.01$). Recently, another large cohort study from the United States including 814 incident cases with CRC observed a statistically significant increase in CRC risk among nonusers of hormones with BMI $\geq 30 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ (HR 1.36, 1.04–1.79), but not among users, when compared to women with BMI $< 25 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ (Wang et al, 2007). However, despite the size of the study, no significant effect modification of the BMI-CRC association was found for never, former, and current use of HRT ($P = 0.5$). Except for the WHS and the study by Wang et al (2007), all other previous studies differentiating between users and nonusers of HRT were mainly reporting on colon cancer risk (Slattery et al, 2003; Hou et al, 2006; Pischon et al, 2006; Adams et al, 2007), so we repeated the analysis after exclusion of patients with rectum cancer (32% of cases). Despite the limited power, ORs were similar for all BMI groups and risk of CRC was still significantly increased in the two highest BMI groups among those with no HRT use. Thus, our results rather indicate that use of HRT might neutralise the increase of CRC risk associated with overweight and obesity observed in previous studies (Bergström et al, 2001; Lin et al, 2004; Frezza et al, 2006). On the other hand, this would not be in line with the biologic mechanisms proposed by Slattery or Hou (Slattery et al, 2003; Hou et al, 2006). Furthermore, Pischon et al (2006) found that waist-to-hip ratio and waist circumference were positively associated with colon cancer risk among nonusers of HRT, but not among users of HRT, and suggested that measures of abdominal adiposity might be more closely linked to hormone effects than BMI.

The strengths of this study include a detailed assessment of exposure and covariable data. The DACHS study was conducted in a population-based setting, and lifetime exposures were assessed and analysed. In particular, we were able to include BMI in the years before diagnosis and HRT use up to the occurrence of CRC or the interview.

The study has also some limitations, which require further discussion. Despite follow-up by mail and phone, the rate of full participation among eligible control subjects in this study was slightly less than 50% in this population-based study requiring personal interviews, blood samples, access to medical records, and including cases and controls aged 75 or older who are more difficult to recruit. Only about 50% of eligible cases could be recruited. Patients were primarily missed due to work overload of the physicians in charge of recruitment in the hospitals, which is unlikely to be a source of selection bias. Only few patients refused to participate. Because of the very strict confidentiality rules in Germany, it was not possible to quantify these proportions precisely, as patients may only be contacted through the doctors in charge of their treatment. Information was available for self-reported start, end, total duration, and reason of HRT use from the interview, but may sometimes not have been adequate due to problems in recalling exact start or duration. However, previous validation studies have found that ever use of HRT and duration of use is well recalled (Goodman et al, 1990; Jain et al, 1999; Banks et al, 2001), and that recall in the present study is similar among cases and controls (Hoffmeister et al, 2007). If HRT was currently used, we only asked about the year of start and not the total duration of use. Interruption periods of several years and

resumption of use, however, are not very likely to occur in users of HRT. The definition of menopausal state may not always be precise, because it was based on self-reported information of several factors. But most women in this study were older than 60 years or provided complete information for the definition of menopausal state. Body mass index was based on self-reported weight within 5–14 years prior to the index date and height. In previous validation studies, reported former weight has been shown to be accurate, but women were slightly more likely to underestimate their past weight, particularly those with higher BMI. However, nondifferential misclassification of BMI would rather have biased the estimates towards no association (Casey *et al*, 1991; Perry *et al*, 1995).

Women who chose to take postmenopausal hormones might differ from nonusers in ways that may affect CRC risk (Banks *et al*, 2002). For instance, many of the women in the present study were long-term users of HRT, which *per se* requires compliant behaviour that is generally associated with a healthier lifestyle and more physician contacts. However, major indicators of health behaviour or confounders that are associated with risk of CRC were ascertained and controlled for in the multivariate analysis, such as former health check-up visits or former endoscopy of the large intestine. Furthermore, there was no evidence of interaction for the effect of HRT use on CRC risk with previous endoscopy of the large intestine ($P=0.52$) or previous health check-up visits ($P=0.18$), respectively. However, the power of the study was limited to detect statistically significant interaction, and confounders might still have been measured inappropriately, or yet unknown confounders could have influenced this case-control study. Finally, we had no details about the hormone preparations prescribed. Potential differences in drug prescriptions, type of therapy and duration of use between Germany and other countries, particularly the United States, might have contributed to the inconsistency of results (Greiser, 2003; Löwel *et al*, 2003; Seifert-Klauss and Schumm-Draeger, 2003; Bromley *et al*, 2004; Hersh *et al*, 2004).

In conclusion, this first large population-based study of postmenopausal HRT and risk of CRC from Germany is

compatible with existing evidence of a strong inverse relationship that was reported from other countries. Similar to earlier reports, risk reduction was apparent after less than 5 years of use, and did not decrease further with longer duration of use. A positive association of BMI and CRC risk was found among nonusers of HRT, but not among users of HRT, which raises the question whether risk reduction of CRC associated with HRT use might neutralise the increase in risk of CRC associated with increasing BMI. The reasons for the inconsistency of results regarding the role of postmenopausal hormones in the association of BMI with CRC risk remain inconclusive and require further study. In this regard, abdominal obesity might be more closely related to CRC than general body mass. Because risks seem to outweigh the benefits of hormone preparations studied in large RCTs, there seems to be no role for starting or continuing the prevention of CRC and other chronic diseases with HRT.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the study participants and the interviewers who collected the data, and we are grateful to the cooperating hospitals for their support and assistance in the recruitment of patients for this study: Chirurgische Universitätsklinik Heidelberg, Klinik am Gesundbrunnen Heilbronn, St Vincentiuskrankenhaus Speyer, St Josefskrankenhaus Heidelberg, Chirurgische Universitätsklinik Mannheim, Diakonissenkrankenhaus Speyer, Krankenhaus Salem Heidelberg, Kreiskrankenhaus Schwetzingen, St Marien- und St Annastiftkrankenhaus Ludwigshafen, Klinikum Ludwigshafen, Stadtklinik Frankenthal, Diakoniekrankenhaus Mannheim, Kreiskrankenhaus Sinsheim, Klinikum am Plattenwald Bad Friedrichshall, Kreiskrankenhaus Weinheim, Kreiskrankenhaus Eberbach, Kreiskrankenhaus Buchen, Kreiskrankenhaus Mosbach, Enddarmzentrum Mannheim, and Kreiskrankenhaus Brackenheim. We thank U Handte-Daub, S Toth, and B Collins for technical assistance over the course of this study. This study was supported by the German Research Council (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft), Grant nos. BR 1704/6-1, BR 1704/6-3, and CH 117/1-1.

REFERENCES

- Adams KF, Leitzmann MF, Albanes D, Kipnis V, Mouw T, Hollenbeck A, Schatzkin A (2007) Body mass and colorectal cancer risk in the NIH – AARP cohort. *Am J Epidemiol* **166**: 36–45, doi:10.1093/aje/kwm049
- Anderson GL, Limacher M, Assaf AR, Bassford T, Beresford SA, Black H, Bonds D, Brunner R, Brzyski R, Caan B, Chlebowski R, Curb D, Gass M, Hays J, Heiss G, Hendrix S, Howard BV, Hsia J, Hubbell A, Jackson R, Johnson KC, Judd H, Kotchen JM, Kuller L, LaCroix AZ, Lane D, Langer RD, Lasser N, Lewis CE, Manson J, Margolis K, Ockene J, O'Sullivan MJ, Phillips L, Prentice RL, Ritenbaugh C, Robbins J, Rossouw JE, Sarto G, Stefanick ML, Van Horn L, Wactawski-Wende J, Wallace R, Wassertheil-Smoller S (2004) Effects of conjugated equine estrogen in postmenopausal women with hysterectomy: the Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* **291**: 1701–1712
- Banks E, Beral V, Cameron R, Hogg A, Langley N, Barnes I, Bull D, Elliman J, Harris CL (2001) Agreement between general practice prescription data and self-reported use of hormone replacement therapy and treatment for various illnesses. *J Epidemiol Biostat* **6**: 357–363
- Banks E, Beral V, Cameron R, Hogg A, Langley N, Barnes I, Bull D, Reeves G, English R, Taylor S, Elliman J, Lole Harris C (2002) Comparison of various characteristics of women who do and do not attend for breast cancer screening. *Breast Cancer Res* **4**: R1
- Beral V (2003) Breast cancer and hormone-replacement therapy in the Million Women Study. *Lancet* **362**: 419–427
- Bergström A, Pisani P, Tenet V, Wolk A, Adami HO (2001) Overweight as an avoidable cause of cancer in Europe. *Int J Cancer* **91**: 421–430
- Brenner H, Chang-Claude J, Seiler CM, Sturmer T, Hoffmeister M (2006) Does a negative screening colonoscopy ever need to be repeated? *Gut* **55**: 1145–1150
- Brenner H, Chang-Claude J, Seiler CM, Sturmer T, Hoffmeister M (2007a) Case-control study supports extension of surveillance interval after colonoscopic polypectomy to at least 5 yr. *Am J Gastroenterol* **102**: 1739–1744, doi:10.1111/j.1572-0241.2007.01231.x
- Brenner H, Chang-Claude J, Seiler CM, Sturmer T, Hoffmeister M (2007b) Potential for colorectal cancer prevention of sigmoidoscopy versus colonoscopy: population-based case control study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **16**: 494–499
- Bromley SE, de Vries CS, Farmer RD (2004) Utilisation of hormone replacement therapy in the United Kingdom. A descriptive study using the general practice research database. *BJOG* **111**: 369–376
- Calle EE, Kaaks R (2004) Overweight, obesity and cancer: epidemiological evidence and proposed mechanisms. *Nat Rev Cancer* **4**: 579–591
- Calle EE, Miracle-McMahill HL, Thun MJ, Heath Jr CW (1995) Estrogen replacement therapy and risk of fatal colon cancer in a prospective cohort of postmenopausal women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **87**: 517–523
- Casey VA, Dwyer JT, Berkey CS, Coleman KA, Gardner J, Valadian I (1991) Long-term memory of body weight and past weight satisfaction: a longitudinal follow-up study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **53**: 1493–1498
- Chlebowski RT, Wactawski-Wende J, Ritenbaugh C, Hubbell FA, Ascensao J, Rodabough RJ, Rosenberg CA, Taylor VM, Harris R, Chen C, Adams-Campbell LL, White E (2004) Estrogen plus progestin and colorectal cancer in postmenopausal women. *N Engl J Med* **350**: 991–1004
- Dudley EC, Hopper JL, Taffe J, Guthrie JR, Burger HG, Dennerstein L (1998) Using longitudinal data to define the perimenopause by menstrual cycle characteristics. *Climacteric* **1**: 18–25
- Folsom AR, Mink PJ, Sellers TA, Hong CP, Zheng W, Potter JD (1995) Hormonal replacement therapy and morbidity and mortality in a

- prospective study of postmenopausal women. *Am J Public Health* **85**: 1128–1132
- Frezza EE, Wachtel MS, Chiriva-Internati M (2006) Influence of obesity on the risk of developing colon cancer. *Gut* **55**: 285–291
- Goodman MT, Nomura AM, Wilkens LR, Kolonel LN (1990) Agreement between interview information and physician records on history of menopausal estrogen use. *Am J Epidemiol* **131**: 815–825
- Greiser E (2003) *Gutachten zur Anwendung der postmenopausalen Hormontherapie in Deutschland und Vorschläge zur Senkung der Anwendungshäufigkeit*. Bremen: Bremer Institut für Präventionsforschung und Sozialmedizin (BIPS)
- Grodstein F, Martinez ME, Platz EA, Giovannucci E, Colditz GA, Kautzky M, Fuchs C, Stampfer MJ (1998) Postmenopausal hormone use and risk for colorectal cancer and adenoma. *Ann Intern Med* **128**: 705–712
- Grodstein F, Newcomb PA, Stampfer MJ (1999) Postmenopausal hormone therapy and the risk of colorectal cancer: a review and meta-analysis. *Am J Med* **106**: 574–582
- Hersh AL, Stefanick ML, Stafford RS (2004) National use of postmenopausal hormone therapy: annual trends and response to recent evidence. *JAMA* **291**: 47–53
- Hoffmeister M, Chang-Claude J, Brenner H (2007) Validity of self-reported endoscopies of the large bowel and implications for estimates of colorectal cancer risk. *Am J Epidemiol* **166**: 130–136
- Hou L, Ji BT, Blair A, Dai Q, Gao YT, Potter JD, Chow WH (2006) Body mass index and colon cancer risk in Chinese people: menopause as an effect modifier. *Eur J Cancer* **42**: 84–90
- Hulley S, Furberg C, Barrett-Connor E, Cauley J, Grady D, Haskell W, Knopp R, Lowery M, Satterfield S, Schrott H, Vittinghoff E, Hunninghake D (2002) Noncardiovascular disease outcomes during 6.8 years of hormone therapy: Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement Study follow-up (HERS II). *JAMA* **288**: 58–66
- Jain MG, Rohan TE, Howe GR (1999) Agreement of self-reported use of menopausal hormone replacement therapy with physician reports. *Epidemiology* **10**: 260–263
- La Vecchia C, Gallus S, Fernandez E (2005) Hormone replacement therapy and colorectal cancer: an update. *J Br Menopause Soc* **11**: 166–172
- Lin J, Zhang SM, Cook NR, Rexrode KM, Lee IM, Buring JE (2004) Body mass index and risk of colorectal cancer in women (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* **15**: 581–589
- Löwel H, Heier M, Schneider A, Gösele U, Meisinger C (2003) Hormontherapie (II): Vergleiche sind zulässig. Ergebnisse aus dem KORA-Survey 2000. *Dtsch Arztebl* **100**: A2561–A2562
- Nanda K, Bastian LA, Hasselblad V, Simel DL (1999) Hormone replacement therapy and the risk of colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol* **93**: 880–888
- Paganini-Hill A (1999) Estrogen replacement therapy and colorectal cancer risk in elderly women. *Dis Colon Rectum* **42**: 1300–1305
- Perry GS, Byers TE, Mokdad AH, Serdula MK, Williamson DF (1995) The validity of self-reports of past body weights by US adults. *Epidemiology* **6**: 61–66
- Pischon T, Lahmann PH, Boeing H, Friedenreich C, Norat T, Tjonneland A, Halkjaer J, Overvad K, Clavel-Chapelon F, Boutron-Ruault MC, Guerne G, Bergmann MM, Linseisen J, Becker N, Trichopoulou A, Trichopoulos D, Sieri S, Palli D, Tumino R, Vineis P, Panico S, Peeters PH, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Boshuizen HC, Van Guelpen B, Palmqvist R, Berglund G, Gonzalez CA, Dorronsoro M, Barricarte A, Navarro C, Martinez C, Quiros JR, Roddam A, Allen N, Bingham S, Khaw KT, Ferrari P, Kaaks R, Slimani N, Riboli E (2006) Body size and risk of colon and rectal cancer in the European Prospective Investigation Into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *J Natl Cancer Inst* **98**: 920–931
- Rossouw JE, Anderson GL, Prentice RL, LaCroix AZ, Kooperberg C, Stefanick ML, Jackson RD, Beresford SA, Howard BV, Johnson KC, Kotchen JM, Ockene J (2002) Risks and benefits of estrogen plus progestin in healthy postmenopausal women: principal results From the Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* **288**: 321–333
- Seifert-Klauss V, Schumm-Draeger PM (2003) Hormontherapie um die Menopause. Ein aktueller Blick. *Der Internist* **44**: 1500–1507
- Slattery ML, Ballard-Barbash R, Edwards S, Caan BJ, Potter JD (2003) Body mass index and colon cancer: an evaluation of the modifying effects of estrogen (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* **14**: 75–84
- Troisi R, Schairer C, Chow WH, Schatzkin A, Brinton LA, Fraumeni Jr JF (1997) A prospective study of menopausal hormones and risk of colorectal cancer (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* **8**: 130–138
- Wang Y, Jacobs EJ, Teras LR, Pavluck AL, Rodriguez C, Thun MJ, Calle EE (2007) Lack of evidence for effect modification by estrogen of association between body mass index and colorectal cancer risk among postmenopausal women. *Cancer Causes Control* **18**: 793–799