www.bjcancer.com

High curative resection rate with weekly cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil, epidoxorubicin, 6S-leucovorin, glutathione, and filgastrim in patients with locally advanced, unresectable gastric cancer: a report from the Italian Group for the Study of Digestive Tract Cancer (GISCAD)

S Cascinu^{*,1}, M Scartozzi¹, R Labianca², V Catalano³, RR Silva⁴, S Barni⁵, A Zaniboni⁶, A D'Angelo⁷, S Salvagni⁷, G Martignoni⁸, GD Beretta², F Graziano⁹, R Berardi¹ and V Franciosi⁷

¹Clinica di Oncologia, Università Politecnica delle Marche-Azienda Ospedaliera Umberto I, via Conca, Ancona 60020, Italy; ²Medical Oncology, Ospedali Riuniti, Bergamo, Italy; ³Medical Oncology, Azienda Ospedaliera S Salvatore, Pesaro, Italy; ⁴Medical Oncology, Ospedale di Fabriano, Italy; ⁵Medical Oncology, Azienda Ospedaliera di Treviglio, Italy; ⁶Medical Oncology, Casa di Cura Poliambulanza, Brescia, Italy; ⁷Medical Oncology, Azienda Ospedaliera-Universitaria, Parma, Italy; ⁸Medical Oncology, Azienda Ospedaliera S Carlo Borromeo, Milano, Italy; ⁹Medical Oncology, Ospedale di Urbino, Italy

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the role of a weekly preoperative chemotherapy in locally advanced, unresectable gastric cancer. In all, 82 patients with an Eastern Oncology Cooperative Group PS ≤ 2 and normal cardiac function were enrolled onto the study. Surgical unresectability was confirmed in 52 patients (63%) at laparotomy, and in 30 (27%) cases by CT scan of the abdomen and endoscopic ultrasonography. Chemotherapy treatment was: cisplatin 40 mg m⁻²; 5-fluorouracil 500 mg m⁻²; epidoxorubicin 35 mg m⁻²; 6S-leucovorin 250 mg m⁻² and glutathione 1.5 g m⁻² (PELF). One cycle consisted of 8 weekly treatments. Response to chemotherapy was observed in 40 of 82 patients (49%): six (7%) complete and 34 (41%) partial responses, and in four (5%) cases a complete pathological response was confirmed. Of the 40 responding patients, 37 (45%) had potentially curative surgery. Grade 3/4 leucopenia and thrombocytopenia occurred in three and two patients. At a median follow-up of 48 months, 25 of the 37 resected patients (68%) were alive and 24 (65%) were disease free. The median and 4-year survival for the whole group was 17 months and 31%, respectively. The median survival was 12 months for inoperable patients and it was not reached in resected patients.

British Journal of Cancer (2004) **90,** 1521–1525. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6601752 www.bjcancer.com Published online 30 March 2004 © 2004 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: gastric cancer; preoperative chemotherapy; curative resection; neoadjuvant

Although the incidence of gastric cancer has gradually decreased in many Western Countries, it remains one of the leading causes of cancer-related deaths worldwide, and it now ranks second only to lung cancer with about 755 000 new cases per year (Karpeh *et al*, 2001). Since screening for early detection is not performed in Western Countries, in approximately 50% of newly diagnosed cases, the tumour is beyond its local-regional margins (Kelsen, 1996; Karpeh *et al*, 2001). Surgery remains the mainstay of any curative treatment, but only when a radical resection is feasible (removal of all gross cancer cells at the resection margins as determined by histopathological examination). Those patients who are considered not amenable of curative resection generally receive chemotherapy in order to obtain palliation of symptoms and

*Correspondence: Dr S Cascinu; E-mail: cascinu@yahoo.com Received 24 September 2003; revised 4 February 2004; accepted 4 February 2004; published online 30 March 2004 improved survival. Since there is no evidence that a more aggressive treatment could result in a better survival, most of the patients receive a combination of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), mitomycin C or cisplatin (Karpeh et al, 2001). Only a few studies have focused on the role of preoperative chemotherapy in unresectable gastric cancer. Comprehensively, these trials suggested that chemotherapy could allow radical surgery in approximately 40% of all cases not amenable of curative resection at presentation, but at the cost of severe toxicity (Kelsen, 1996). In a pilot trial, we observed that a preoperative chemotherapy with weekly cisplatin (CDDP), epidoxorubicin (epi-ADR), 5-FU, 6S-leucovorin, glutathione and bone marrow support (filgastrim) could allow a radical resection in 13 out of 32 (41%) patients previously considered unresectable (Cascinu et al, 1998). These encouraging findings followed our demonstration of activity (62% overall response rate in 105 patients) of this chemotherapy regimen in patients with advanced gastric cancer. In this latter trial, five of 11 (45%) patients with exclusively locally advanced unresectable disease could undergo a

curative resection after chemotherapy (Cascinu *et al*, 1997). In order to test whether the hypothesis of a more aggressive and expensive approach in this subset of gastric cancer patients could be justifiable, we prospectively analysed the effects of this intensive weekly treatment in a larger group of gastric cancer patients not amenable of curative resection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients' selection

Patients with previously untreated, histologically confirmed, locally confined, gastric adenocarcinoma were eligible for the study, only after the primary tumour was considered not amenable of surgical resection. Unresectable disease was defined jointly by a medical oncologist, a gastroenterologist and an abdominal surgeon on the basis of the laparotomy findings and/or CT scan images (tumour size >7 cm, invasion of adjacent structures, such as pancreas, omentum, aorta, oesophagus, liver), endoscopy and endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS). Patients with distant, liver and peritoneal metastases were excluded. The American Joint Committee on Cancer staging system (6th edition) was applied. Patients were also required to have an Eastern Oncology Cooperative Group (ECOG) performance status ≤ 2 , an adequate hepatic (serum bilirubin $< 1.5 \text{ mg dl}^{-1}$), renal (serum creatinine $<1.5 \text{ mg dl}^{-1}$) and bone marrow function (white blood cell (WBC) >4000 cells μl^{-1} with an absolute granulocyte count >1500 cells μl^{-1} , platelet count >100 000 cells μl^{-1}) and aged between 18 and 70 years. As a potential cardiotoxic drug (epi-ADR) was included in the chemotherapy regimen, patients with a New York Heart Association class >2 were excluded from the study. Pretreatment assessment included complete blood cell count with WBC differential and platelet count, biochemical screening profile, serum creatinine and/or creatinine clearance, CT scan or radiograph of the chest, CT scan of the abdomen, endoscopy and a bone scan. Gated pool scan was not routinely performed unless the patient had a history of cardiac disease, in which case it was mandatory and the patient was ineligible for the study if the left ventricular ejection fraction was <45%. The protocol was approved by the institutional review board, and all patients gave informed consent, which indicated that they were fully aware of the

Chemotherapy

investigational nature of the study itself.

All patients underwent chemotherapy according to the weekly PELF regimen, which consisted of a once a week administration of CDDP 40 mg m⁻² as a 30 min infusion in 250 ml of normal saline, 5-FU 500 mg m⁻² as a 15 min infusion in 100 ml of normal saline and epi-ADR 35 mg m⁻² by intravenous bolus injection. A dose of 250 mg m⁻² of 6S-stereoisomer of leucovorin as a 4 h infusion in 250 ml of normal saline was administered concurrently with hydration and glutathione 1.5 gm^{-2} in 100 ml of normal saline over 15 min, which was infused before each cisplatin administration in order to prevent CDDP-related neurotoxicity (Figure 1). Antiemetic treatment with dexamethasone 20 mg and ondansetron



Figure I Diagram showing the treatment given in the first 2 weeks of therapy. The same treatment schedule was administered for 8 consecutive weeks.

8 mg both given intravenously was administered, respectively, 45 and 15 min before CDDP infusion. At 2h before CDDP administration, patients received intravenous hydration with 1500 ml of normal saline plus 20 mEq of potassium chloride and 15 mEq of magnesium sulphate. Intravenous fluids administration proceeded for 2h after CDDP with 1000 ml of normal saline. All patients received filgastrim $5 \mu g kg^{-1}$ by subcutaneous injection from the day after to the day before each chemotherapy administration. One cycle of chemotherapy consisted of 8 weekly chemotherapy administrations. Patients only received 8 weeks of treatment (one cycle). In the event of toxicity, chemotherapy administration was delayed by a week or until full recover in case of WBC count <4000 cells μ l⁻¹, platelet count $<100\,000$ cells μ l⁻¹ or if grade 2 and 3 mucositis and diarrhoea occurred. The criteria for patient withdrawal from study were patient's refusal, tumour progression and any grade 4 toxicities. Chemotherapy was administered as an outpatient procedure in all cases. The planned delay between the last week of treatment and surgery was 6-8 weeks.

Evaluation of response and toxicity

Objective response to chemotherapy was assessed after 8 weeks of therapy, combining findings from both CT scan of the abdomen and endoscopy, including a new biopsy of the tumour, if still visible, or a biopsy of the area originally involved by the tumour. Endoscopy and endoscopic ultrasonography was performed when clinically indicated. Partial response (PR) was defined as having both CT scan evidence of PR, according to the World Health Organisation (WHO) criteria, and endoscopy showing a >50% reduction of the visible tumour, or complete disappearance of the tumour, but positive histology on biopsy of the previously involved area. Complete response (CR) was defined as a complete disappearance of the tumour as seen by CT scan of the abdomen and a complete resolution of the endoscopic findings without histological evidence of neoplastic cells on biopsy of the original site of the tumour. Toxicity was evaluated weekly according to the National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria (NCICTC). The decision to perform a laparotomy with the aim of a radical excision was evaluated each time a complete removal of the tumour was jointly judged feasible by a medical oncologist, a gastroenterologist and an abdominal surgeon.

RESULTS

In all, 82 patients were enrolled onto this study by coinvestigators from seven Italian centres. The median age at diagnosis was 57 years (range 29-68 years); 57 (69.5%) patients were male and 25 (30.5%) were female, most of the patients enrolled were in good general condition as PS (ECOG) was 0-1 in 72 (88%) patients and two in the remaining 10 (12%) patients. The primary tumour was located in the body of the stomach in 40 (49%) cases, in the gastrooesophageal junction in 18 (22%) cases, in the distal stomach in 18 (22%) cases and in the proximal stomach in six (7%) cases. In all, 52 (63%) patients underwent laparotomy, as a part of a failed attempt at radical primary surgery, before study entry, in these cases laparotomy confirmed the presence of locally advanced, unresectable disease, whereas in the remaining 30 (37%) patients the diagnosis of locally advanced disease was confirmed by CT scan of the abdomen (23 patients) and EUS (seven patients). All patients received eight weekly treatments (one cycle of chemotherapy). Patient characteristics are summarised in Table 1.

We observed a response to chemotherapy in 40 of 82 patients (49%), six (7%) patients had a CR and 34 (41%) had a PR. Of these, 30 (36%) patients showed disease stabilisation, whereas 12 (15%) patients progressed on chemotherapy. Among the 40 responding patients, 37 (45%) had potentially curative radical surgery and three (4%) were found not resectable at laparotomy. In four (5%)

cases, a complete pathological response was confirmed (Table 2). Among the 37 patients who received radical surgery after chemotherapy, 16 (50%) had a failed initial laparotomy. At a median follow-up from the start of the treatment of 48 months (range 30-60 months), 25 of the 37 resected patients (68%) were alive and 24 (65%) were disease free, the 4-year survival rate for the whole group was 31%. The median survival was 17 months for the whole group and 12 months for inoperable patients, while it was not reached in resected patients (Figure 2). There were no deaths associated with chemotherapy or major surgical complications in this study and the toxicity profile was globally acceptable. We observed mainly haematological toxicities of grade 2:

Table I Patient characteristics

No of patients	82
Age (years) Median Range	57 29–68
Sex Male/female	57/25
PS (ECOG) 0 1 2	39 33 10
Sites of primary tumor Gastro-oesophageal junction Proximal stomach Body Distal stomach	18 6 40 18
Initial stages T4 N0 M0 T4 N1-2 M0 T3 N1-2 M0	3 5 8
Laparotomy Yes No	52 30

COG = Eastern Oncology Cooperative Group.

Table 2 Stage at surgery (37 patients)

Stage	Patients
pT0N0	4
pTIN0	1
PT2N0	2
PT3N0	12
PT2NI	5
PT3NI	13

Table 3 reatment toxicity (INCIC IC): worst toxicity	v per patient
---	---------------

	Grade I	Grade II	Grade III	Grade IV
Leucopenia	6	5	2	I
Thrombocytopenia	5	6	I	1
Anaemia	5	3	2	_
Mucositis	4	3		_
Diarrhoea		2		_
Nausea/vomiting	6	10	I	_
Neurotoxicity	I	2	_	

NCICTC = National Cancer Institute common toxicity criteria.



leucopenia and thrombocytopenia in five and six patients, respectively, while grade 3-4 haematological toxicities were rare events (three cases of grade 3-4 leucopenia and two cases of grade 3-4 thrombocytopenia). Nonhaematological toxicities were uncommon and moderate (Table 3). Dose delays and dose reductions were applied in 25 (30%) and seven (8.5%) patients, respectively. No unplanned admissions were required at any stage during the course of chemotherapy. All patients considered suitable for surgery after chemotherapy underwent laparotomy within 6-8 weeks (median 48 days) from the end of chemotherapy, accordingly to what was originally planned in the protocol. The median hospital stay for surgery was 10 days (range 8-20 days), accordingly to what was expected. We did not observe relevant 30-day medical or surgical complications and no patients required return to operating Theatre.

DISCUSSION

Advanced gastric cancer patients are a heterogeneous population and at least two different clinical conditions should be considered if the best treatment approach is to be defined. Patients with metastatic tumours often present in poor general conditions and with several disease-induced symptoms. In this subset of patients, a palliative treatment is a reasonable option and a careful



evaluation of the therapy-related toxicity is of primary relevance in the selection of the appropriate chemotherapy regimen. On the other hand, many patients present with a locally advanced disease not amenable of a radical resection, but without metastatic involvement of distant sites. These patients are reported to have a longer survival and a globally better prognosis (Lowy *et al*, 1999; Yano *et al*, 2002). Furthermore, they are potential candidates for a treatment with curative intent, as an objective response to chemotherapy may allow a radical resection of the tumour. In these latter cases, an active chemotherapy regimen should be preferred even when this implies a greater risk of moderate – severe toxicity.

We investigated the role of an intensive weekly chemotherapy regimen in 82 patients diagnosed with unresectable gastric cancer. The overall response rate to chemotherapy in our series was 49% (40 of the 82 patients), which compares well to that achievable with other active chemotherapy regimens available (Findlay et al, 1994; Louvet et al, 2002). However, the most interesting data arising from our study is the curative resectability rate, which we obtained in this particularly difficult setting of patients: in fact, 45% (37 of the 82 patients) of all cases treated could undergo a radical resection, a procedure that was excluded at presentation. Moreover, the 4-year survival rate of the resected group was definitely higher (68%) compared to that achieved by the whole group (31%). These data seem to confirm that complete resection of all gross disease with negative margins on pathology examination represents the only potentially curative therapy for gastric cancer, and that a preoperative, effective, chemotherapy regimen might probably improve the outcome of patients, who would have been otherwise excluded from curative resection on the basis of initial findings. The chemotherapy combination we used also showed a favourable profile of toxicity, which was mild and quite acceptable. Overall, only two cases of grade 4 haematological toxicity (one case of leucopenia and one case of thrombocytopenia) were reported and no surgical complications were observed in those who underwent surgery. Other authors explored preoperative chemotherapy in locally advanced gastric cancer patients with contrasting results, basically depending on the patients' population investigated (resectable or not resectable at presentation) and the chemotherapy regimen employed. The available data with the ECF regimen (epi-ADR, cisplatin and 5-FU) in this setting are disappointing. In a small trial by Melcher et al (1996), only one of 10 patients found to have locally advanced, unresectable, disease proceeded to radical surgery after ECF chemotherapy, and in a more recent study other authors substantially confirmed the findings previously reported by Melcher using the same regimen, as none of the four patients with initially unresectable disease was rendered resectable; moreover, none of them was alive at a median follow-up of 30 months (Geh et al, 2000). Even though it is possible that chemotherapy duration, 12 weeks as opposed to the 24 weeks of the Royal Marsden Hospital's experience, could have negatively affected results, these data do not seem encouraging for further studies in this group of patients. Interesting results have been initially reported with the use of the FAMTX regimen (5-FU, doxorubicin and methotrexate). In patients with high risk, but potentially curable, gastric tumours, 34 of 56 patients (61%) received a curative resection, but at the cost of substantial toxicity (mainly neutropenic fever) with one chemotherapy-related death (Kelsen et al, 1996). Nevertheless, data from a small randomised trial that compared the use of FAMTX as preoperative therapy before surgery vs surgery alone in operable gastric cancer could not demonstrate that the FAMTX regimen was suitable as neoadjuvant chemotherapy, and the authors concluded that more active regimens should be tested in further randomised studies (Songun *et al*, 1999). It should be noticed that in preoperatively unresectable patients, a combination chemotherapy containing methotrexate and 5-FU was reported by Plukker et al (1991) to allow 40% of curative resections. In a further trial, 34 patients with

unresectable gastric cancer were treated with either of the two neoadjuvant chemotherapies: FEMTXP (5-FU, epirubicin, methotrexate, cisplatin) or THP-FLPM (pirarubicin, 5-FU, leucovorin, cisplatin, mitomycin C). Of 33 evaluable patients, only eight (24%) curative resections could be performed; interestingly, at multivariate analysis salvage surgery was found to be the only independent prognostic factor in this series (Yano et al, 2002). The EAP regimen (etoposide, doxorubicin and cisplatin) obtained more than 70% of curative resections in patients with high-risk, locally advanced gastric cancer. Nevertheless, toxicity was considerable and all cases were theoretically resectable at presentation (Schuhmacher et al, 2001). Results with the same regimen in not resectable cases are clearly less appealing and approached a 44% of resectability rate (Wilke et al, 1990). A major matter of debate in this area is also accurately defining preoperative staging procedures. Although a correct diagnosis of the extent of disease is of paramount importance in locally advanced gastric cancer, standard techniques are still to be defined. Direct vision by surgical exploration might represent the best initial assessment available, but would significantly contribute to increase treatment morbidity and might cause the patients to undergo an unnecessary laparotomy. Laparoscopy, EUS or a combination of these two techniques could complement traditional staging methods and provide clinical data not otherwise obtainable (Ajani et al, 1999). Demonstration exists that these procedures can improve staging of the primary tumour and detect unsuspected metastatic disease with interesting sensitivity and specificity, thus allowing a better patient selection and confrontation between different series. Particularly promising seemed data with regard to the use of EUS in preoperative staging of stomach tumours (Lightdale et al, 1989; Botet et al, 1991). Endoscopy and endoscopic ultrasonography showed an accuracy of 75-85% in predicting tumour stage before surgery, but its use in the evaluation of response to treatment in patients, who received preoperative chemotherapy, was disappointing and unreliable probably due to chemotherapy-induced changes in the physical pattern of the tumour (Kelsen et al, 1996; Ajani et al, 1999). Nevertheless, before a more widely diffusion of these methods occur, traditional procedures are to be applied in order to define surgical resectability. CT scan proved to possess an accuracy of 80-90% in defining local diffusion in gastric cancers. Clear CT scan signs of tumour extension to pancreas, aorta, omentum, oesophagus and liver, and the presence of bulky tumour (>7 cm)should discourage surgery, as initial treatment in these patients, as the probability to obtain a radical resection is very low (Sussman et al, 1988; Rougier et al, 1994). In our trial more than a half of the patients were considered not resectable by direct surgical exploration: in fact, 52 (63%) patients underwent laparotomy, as a part of a failed attempt at radical primary surgery, before study entry. In the remaining 30 (27%) patients, the diagnosis of locally advanced disease was confirmed by CT scan of the abdomen (23 patients) and EUS (seven patients). These data demonstrate that in our series preoperative tumour upstaging is unlikely to have occurred, thus making our findings fully interpretable.

Chemotherapy with weekly CDDP, epi-ADR, 5-FU, 6S-leucovorin, glutathione and bone marrow support (filgastrim) seemed to be highly effective in patients with locally advanced, unresectable, gastric cancer, as it allowed a potentially curative resection in 45% of the cases we observed. Moreover, even though the study design could not allow any definitive conclusion, the median survival and the 4-year survival time (68%) of the resected group were suggestive of a survival advantage in this subset of patients determined by the use of preoperative chemotherapy. These findings are even more interesting when we consider the favourable profile of toxicity and the short period of treatment requested (8 weeks as opposed to 12–24 weeks of other chemotherapy regimens). Taken together, the data obtained from the present study suggest that this intensive weekly regimen could



Clinical

represent a therapeutic option for patients with locally advanced, unresectable, gastric cancer, with the aim to allow a curative resection, and hopefully a prognostic improvement, in about half of all cases. According to these findings, the PELF weekly regimen has now become our standard 'off-trial' treatment for selected cases, showing clinical characteristics similar to those outlined in our protocol. However, in the daily clinical practice, this chemotherapy regimen should not always be considered a possible

REFERENCES

- Ajani JA, Mansfield PF, Lynch PM, Pisters PW, Feig B, Dumas P, Evans DB, Raijman I, Hargraves K, Curley S, Ota DM (1999) Enhanced staging and ALL chemotherapy preoperatively in patients with potentially resectable gastric carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 17: 2403-2411
- Ajani JA, VanCutsem E, Moiseyenko V, Tjulandin S, Fodor M, Majlis A, Boni C, Zuber E, Blattmann A (2003) Docetaxel (D), cisplatin, 5fluorouracil compare to cisplatin (C) and 5-fluorouracil (F) for chemotherapy-naïve patients with metastatic or locally recurrent, unresectable gastric carcinoma (MGC): Interim results of a randomized phase III trial (V325). Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 22: 249 (abstr 999)
- Botet JF, Lightdale CJ, Zauber AG, Gerdes H, Winawer SJ, Urmacher C, Brennan MF (1991) Preoperative staging of gastric cancer: comparison of endoscopic US and dynamic CT. Radiology 181: 426-432
- Cascinu S, Labianca R, Alessandroni P, Marcellini M, Silva RR, Pancera G, Testa E, Martignoni G, Barni S, Frontini L, Zaniboni A, Luporini G, Cellerino R, Catalano G (1997) Intensive weekly chemotherapy for advanced gastric cancer using 5-fluorouracil, cisplatin, epidoxorubicin, 6S-leucovorin, glutathione and filgastrim. A report from the Italian Group for the Study of Digestive Tract Cancer (GISCAD). J Clin Oncol 5: 3313-3319
- Cascinu S, Labianca R, Graziano F, Pancera G, Barni S, Frontini L, Luporini G, Cellerino R, Catalano G (1998) Intensive weekly chemotherapy for locally advanced gastric cancer using 5-fluorouracil, cisplatin, epidoxorubicin, 6S-leucovorin, glutathione and filgastrim: a report from the Italian Group for the Study of Digestive Tract cancer (GISCAD). Br J Cancer 78: 390-393
- Findlay M, Cunningham D, Norman A, Mansi J, Nicolson M, Hickish T, Nicolson V, Nash A, Sacks N, Ford H (1994) A phase II study in advanced gastro-esophageal cancer using epirubicin and cisplatin in combination with continuous infusion 5-fluorouracil (ECF). Ann Oncol 5: 609-616
- Geh JI, Glynne-Jones R, Kwok QS, Banerji U, Livingstone JI, Townsend ER, Harrison RA, Mitchell IC (2000) Preoperative ECF chemotherapy in gastro-oesophageal adenocarcinoma. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 12: 182-187
- Karpeh MS, Kelsen DP, Tepper JE (2001) Cancer of the stomach. In: Cancer Principles and Practice of Oncology, De Vita VT, Helman S, Rosemberg SA (eds) pp 1092-1126. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins
- Kelsen DP (1996) Adjuvant and neoadjuvant therapy for gastric cancer. Semin Oncol 23: 379-389
- Kelsen D, Karpeh M, Schwartz G, Gerdes H, Lightdale C, Botet J, Lauers G, Klimstra D, Huang Y, Saltz L, Quan V, Brennan M (1996) Neoadjuvant

choice, especially for patients in poor general conditions, thus making this treatment unsuitable for approximately 15-20% of all cases. Further, well-designed randomised studies are clearly warranted in order to confirm our findings. We believe that a randomised clinical trial investigating the PELF weekly regimen against a docetaxel-containing regimen, as proposed by Ajani et al (2003), would be of particular interest in order to improve the standard of care in this setting.

therapy of high-risk gastric cancer: a phase II trial of preoperative FAMTX and postoperative intraperitoneal fluorouracil-cisplatin plus intravenous fluorouracil. J Clin Oncol 14: 1818-1828

- Lightdale C, Botet J, Brennan M (1989) Endoscopic ultrasonography compared to computerized tomography for preoperative staging of gastric cancer. Gastrointest Endosc 35: 154-159
- Louvet C, Andre T, Tigaud JM, Gamelin E, Douillard JY, Brunet R, Francois E, Jacob JH, Levoir D, Taamma A, Rougier P, Cvitkovic E, de Gramont A (2002) Phase II study of oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, and folinic acid in locally advanced or metastatic gastric cancer patients. J Clin Oncol 20: 4543-4548
- Lowy AM, Mansfield PF, Leach SD, Pazdur R, Dumas P, Ajani JA (1999) Response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy best predicts survival after curative resection of gastric cancer. Ann Surg 229: 303-308
- Melcher AA, Mort D, Maughan TS (1996) Epirubicin, cisplatin and continuous infusion 5-fluorouracil (ECF) as neoadjuvant chemotherapy in gastro-oesophageal cancer. Br J Cancer 74: 1651-1654
- Plukker JT, Mulder NH, Sleijfer DT, Grond J, Verschueren RC (1991) Chemotherapy and surgery for locally advanced cancer of the cardia and fundus: phase II study with methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil. Br J Surg 78: 955-958
- Rougier P, Lasser P, Ducreux M, Mahjoubi M, Bognel C, Elias D (1994) Preoperative chemotherapy of locally advanced gastric cancer. Ann Oncol 5: 59-68
- Schuhmacher CP, Fink U, Becker C, Busch R, Dittler HJ, Mueller J, Siewert JR (2001) Neoadjuvant therapy for patients with locally advanced gastric carcinoma with etoposide, doxorubicin, and cisplatinum. Cancer 91: 918-927
- Songun I, Keizer HJ, Hermans J, Klementschitsch P, de Vries JE, Wils JA, van der Bijl J, van Krieken JH, van de Velde CJ (1999) Chemotherapy for operable gastric cancer: results of the Dutch randomized FAMTX trial. Eur J Cancer 35: 558-562
- Sussman H, Halvorsen RA, Illescas FF, Cohan RH, Saeed M, Silverman PM, Thompson WM, Meyers WC (1988) Gastric adenocarcinoma: CT versus surgical staging. Radiology 167: 335-340
- Wilke H, Preusser P, Fink U, Achterrath W, Meyer HJ, Stahl M, Lenaz L, Meyer J, Siewert JR, Geerlings H (1990) New developments in the treatment of gastric carcinoma. Semin Oncol 17: S61-S70
- Yano M, Shiozaki H, Inoue M, Tamura S, Doki Y, Yasuda T, Fujiwara Y, Tsujinaka T, Monden M (2002) Neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by salvage surgery: effect on survival of patients with primary noncurative gastric cancer. World J Surg 26: 1155-1159