

favour of first-line irinotecan-based therapy (Douillard *et al*, 2000; Saltz *et al*, 2000).

We only referred to the MRC CRO8 (FOCUS) study since the NICE panel recommended entering patients into this trial and were planning to review their guidance when the results from this study are available. We never questioned the ethical validity of the FOCUS study. We simply pointed out that NICE seem to have linked their guidance very closely to this study and feel that they have frankly misinterpreted the many positive studies from here and abroad. NICE also failed to appreciate that patients who were randomised into the two oxaliplatin arms were unable to receive irinotecan at any point, even though they supported second-line use of this drug. We were not questioning the FOCUS study; we simply alluded to this disparity created by NICE. Since our editorial was published, the FOCUS study has, in our opinion, quite rightly added a third-tier of treatment, so allowing all patients to receive both oxaliplatin and irinotecan at some point.

However, according to NICE recommendations, patients who prefer not to be entered into this study should only receive 5-Fluorouracil and folinic acid (5FU/FA) 'up-front', unless they fall into the very small group of patients that have potentially operable liver metastases.

With the widespread availability of the internet and because we need to provide enough information to allow patients to give informed consent, they are quite rightly questioning the NICE guidance in a similar manner to the 28 colorectal oncologists who wrote to the Daily Telegraph in June. Patients are also rightly concerned that the NICE guidance was influenced too much by government funding constraints rather than clinical-effectiveness. We would strongly urge NICE to consider a *fresh review* before 2005, based on both the convincing existing data (Douillard *et al*, 2000; Saltz *et al*, 2000) and the rapidly emerging new data using all three drugs – planned or unplanned (Tournigand *et al*, 2001; Goldberg *et al*, 2002).

REFERENCES

- Douillard JY, Cunningham D, Roth AD, Navarro M, James RD, Karasek P, Jandik P, Iveson T, Carmichael J, Alakl M, Gruia G, Awad L, Rougier P (2000) Irinotecan combined with fluorouracil compared with fluorouracil alone as first-line treatment for metastatic colorectal cancer: a multicentre randomised trial. *Lancet* **355**: 1041–1047
- Goldberg RM, Morton RF, Sargent DJ, Fuchs C, Ramanathan RK, Williamson S, Findlay B (2002) Oxaliplatin or CPT11+5-fluorouracil/leucovorin or Oxa+CPT11 in advanced colorectal cancer (ACRC). Efficacy and safety results from a North American Gastrointestinal Intergroup Study (N9741). Abstract 6, Perspectives in Colorectal Cancer, A consensus meeting, Fourth International Conference, Barcelona, 2002
- Saltz LB, Cox JV, Blanke C, Rosen LS, Fehrenbacher L, Moore MJ, Maroun JA, Ackland SP, Locker PK, Pirota N, Elfring GL, Miller LL (2000) Irinotecan plus fluorouracil and leucovorin for metastatic colorectal cancer. Irinotecan Study Group [see comments]. *N Engl J Med* **343**: 905–914
- Tournigand C, Louvet C, Quinaux E, Andre T, Lledo G, Flesch M, Ganem G, Landi B, Colin P, Denet C, Mery-Mignard D, Risse M, Buyse M, de Gramont A (2001) FOLFIRI followed by FOLFOX versus FOLFOX followed by FOLFIRI in metastatic colorectal cancer (MCRC): final results of a phase III study. *Proc Am J Clin Oncol* **20**: 124a (abstract 494)

Reply 2: NICE guidelines on drugs for colorectal cancer

PJ Ross^{1,2} and D Cunningham^{*,1,2}

¹Royal Marsden Hospital, London, UK; ²Department of Medicine, Royal Marsden Hospital, Downs Road, Sutton, Surrey SM2 5PT, UK

British Journal of Cancer (2003) **88**, 1154–1155. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6600853 www.bjcancer.com

© 2003 Cancer Research UK

Sir,

Drs Mason, Johnson and Rudd suggest that criticism of the guidance issued by the National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) regarding the use of irinotecan and oxaliplatin in the treatment of patients with advanced colorectal cancer may have implications for the FOCUS trial (Cunningham *et al*, 2002; Mason *et al*, 2002; NICE, 2002; Saunders and Valle, 2002). However, the reason for criticism of the NICE guidance is due to the overwhelming evidence that access to the three drugs 5-fluorouracil, irinotecan and oxaliplatin is the optimal therapeutic approach to metastatic colorectal cancer. Subsequent to this

guidance entry into the revised FOCUS protocol is the only way that patients in the UK can access all these agents. Therefore, patients not participating in the FOCUS trial will receive suboptimal therapy. Although the median survival for FOCUS of 16 months is encouraging, and certainly a major step forward over CRO6 with a median survival of approximately 10 months (Maughan *et al*, 2002), it remains significantly less than that for patients treated with all three agents in randomised trials such as N9741 (Goldberg *et al*, 2002) and the Tournigand trial (Tournigand *et al*, 2001) with median survivals of 18.6 months (data presented at the American Society of Clinical Oncology, Orlando, 2002) and approximately 21 months (data presented at the American Society of Clinical Oncology, San Francisco, 2001).

*Correspondence: Dr D Cunningham: Department of Medicine, Royal Marsden Hospital, Downs Road, Sutton, Surrey SM2 5PT, UK; E-mail: david.cunningham@icr.ac.uk

While recognising there may be merit in fine-tuning the sequence of use of these three agents (combinations vs mono-

therapy), the crucial point is that all patients should have access to these three drugs.

REFERENCES

- Cunningham D, Begent R, Bessell E, Bridgewater J, Carmichael J, Cassidy J, Coxon F, Daniel F, Falk S, Gildersleve J, Glynne-Jones R, Hawkins R, Hickish T, Hill M, Hochauser D, Iveson T, James R, Levine E, Mainwaring P, Maraveyas A, O'Byrne K, Radstone D, Saunders M, Smith D, Steward W, Twelves C, Valle J, Wasan H (2002) Cancer patients' treatment limited. *The Daily Telegraph*. London
- Goldberg RM, Morton R, Sargent D, Fuchs C, Ramanathan R, Williamson S, Findlay B (2002) N9741: oxaliplatin (oxal) or CPT-11+5-fluorouracil (5FU)/leucovorin (LV) or oxal+CPT-11 in advanced colorectal cancer (CRC). Initial toxicity and response data from a GI intergroup study. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 21: 128a (abstract 511)
- Mason M, Johnson P, Rudd R (2002) *Br J Cancer*
- Maughan T, James R, Kerr D, Ledermann J, McArdle C, Seymour M, Cohen D, Hopwood P, Johnston C, Stephens R, Party ftBMCCW (2002) Comparison of survival, palliation, and quality of life with three chemotherapy regimens in metastatic colorectal cancer: a multicentre randomised trial. *Lancet* 359: 1555 – 1563
- NICE (2002) Guidance on the use of irinotecan, oxaliplatin and raltitrexed for the treatment of advanced colorectal cancer. Technology Appraisal Guidance No. 33. National Institute for Clinical Excellence
- Saunders M, Valle J (2002) Why hasn't the National Institute been 'NICE' to patients with colorectal cancer. *Br J Cancer* 86: 1667 – 1669
- Tournigand C, Louvet C, Quinaux E, Andre T, Lledo G, Flesch M, Ganem G, Landi B, Colin P, Denet C, Mery-Mignard D, Riise M-L, Buyse M, de Gramont A (2001) FOLFIRI followed by FOLFOX versus FOLFOX followed by FOLFIRI in metastatic colorectal cancer (MCRC): final results of a phase III study. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 20: 124a (abstract 494)