Bavelier at the University of Rochester, New York, and her colleagues suggest that this is because such games improve a key aspect of decision-making: the ability to infer quickly the probability that a given answer is correct on the basis of limited evidence.

The researchers asked 11 video-game players and 12 non-players to determine the overall direction of a group of randomly moving dots. In another experiment, the volunteers had to identify with which ear they heard a tone concealed in white noise. In both cases, the players gave accurate answers faster than the non-players. According to the authors, this enhanced 'probabilistic inference' explains why video games, unlike other activities that train for specific tasks, can improve performance in tasks not specifically related to game play.

Furthermore, 50 hours of playing action video games, such as 'shooting' games, improved decision-making in another group of non-players, but a non-action game did not.

ANIMAL BEHAVIOUR

Avian optical illusions

Curr. Biol. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2010.08.033 (2010) Male bowerbirds create optical illusions with their bowers — grand exhibits made of sticks and decorated with stones and other objects to impress females — probably to boost their attractiveness.

The male great bowerbird (*Chlamydera nuchalis*) builds a twig-lined avenue, roughly 60 centimetres long and ending at a 'court'. The female looks down the avenue to the court, where the male squawks and hops to entice her. John Endler at Deakin University in Geelong, Australia, and his colleagues

noticed that the objects lining the court floor were arranged by size — smallest at the front, largest at the back (pictured left) — creating an optical illusion known as forced perspective. The team says that the size gradient makes the male in the court look larger or more striking than he actually is.

When the researchers reversed the pattern (right), the male bowerbirds restored the size gradients within three days and had the optical illusion back to normal within two weeks.

For a longer story on this research, see go.nature.com/jSTy1w





PHYSIOLOGY

Fatty-acid effects

Cell 142, 687-698 (2010)

The major components of fish oil, ω -3 fatty acids, are well known to have health benefits. Now researchers have discovered the molecular mechanism for their anti-inflammatory effects.

Jerrold Olefsky at the University of California, San Diego, and his colleagues show that the fatty acids bind to a receptor molecule called GPR120. In fat cells and macrophages — white blood cells involved in inflammation — this binding inhibited

several biochemical activities known to trigger inflammation. In addition, it decreased insulin resistance, which is linked to inflammation, in fat cells and in mice fed a high-fat diet. The fat tissue in these mice also had fewer macrophages. The fatty acids had no effect in cells or mice that lacked GPR120.

NEUROBIOLOGY

Neuronal housekeeping

Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA doi:10.1073/pnas.1004498107 (2010)

Neurodegenerative disorders such as Huntington's disease are characterized by the accumulation of misfolded, defective proteins in brain cells. Certain drugs can stimulate a process for clearing such proteins, called autophagy, in some cells, but not in neurons. Steven Finkbeiner and his colleagues at the University of California, San Francisco, have identified a small molecule, a phenoxazine known as 10-NCP, that induces autophagy in neurons isolated from rodents.

In neurons expressing the mutant huntingtin protein, 10-NCP improved survival. The drug also decreased levels of mutant protein in neurons isolated from a mouse model of Huntington's disease. Moreover, the researchers found that several structurally similar drugs, already approved by the US Food and Drug Administration, could trigger neuronal autophagy.

Correction

The image of the lacewing in 'Leaf-like history of lacewings' (*Nature* **467**, 134; 2010) was incorrectly described. The inset was not a similar-looking leaf, as stated, but a duplicate of one of the wings the authors had isolated for comparison.

JOURNAL CLUB

Georgy Koentges University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

A genomic systems biologist muses on how shared DNA mistakes reveal shared cellular ancestry.

The way an organism or cancer develops often depends on how cells relate to each other. This is because ancestral cells make molecular decisions that affect the regulation of genes in their offspring. Revealing complex lineage relationships usually requires sophisticated methods

of molecular embryology that are available for only a few 'model' species and not for humans. I recently stumbled upon a string of creative papers from two competing labs that prepare the ground for change.

When cells double their genomes, they make very rare mistakes that are passed on to their daughter cells, such that two closely related cells share more identical DNA mistakes than they each do with a third, less closely related cell. If one could quickly and accurately sequence the genomic DNA of single cells, phylogenetic algorithms could reconstruct the underlying lineage relationships by

analysing the patterns of shared mistakes in the various cells.

Marshall Horwitz at the University of Washington in Seattle and his colleagues have accomplished this feat by cataloguing mutations in 300 cells from a single mouse (S. J. Salipante et al. Evol. Dev. 12, 84–94; 2010). Ehud Shapiro at the Weizmann Institute of Science in Rehovot, Israel, and his co-workers had previously conceived this strategy but focused on different cell types (A. Wasserstrom et al. PLoS ONE 3, e1939; 2008).

With these approaches, along with new, faster and cheaper high-throughput sequencing methods,

we will one day be able to pick apart any group of cells from any interesting organism and establish full lineage trees. This would revolutionize not just comparative embryology and the study of evolution, but also medicine. Tumours could be dissected in this way and drugs designed against their specific lineage compositions, as part of truly personalized genomic therapies. Who would have predicted that human ingenuity could turn our common genomic rubble into such intellectual gems?

View the archive at http://blogs.nature.com/nature/journalclub