NATURE|Vol 465|3 June 2010 OPINION

CORRESPONDENCE

Budget cuts: funding needed for startling new discoveries too

UK research-funding bodies have reacted to paucity in their budgets with plans to focus on supporting just a handful of scientists (see, for example, *Nature* **465**, 16-17; 2010); translational research; or research in areas of monetary importance, such as ageing. However, these strategies leave little room for surprising and groundbreaking discoveries.

Risk-averse funding panels hugely favour hypothesis-driven research because it is clear how results will be obtained and the issues resolved. Here, discovery is generally made after a specific search, such as for a test to indicate whether a particular protein is involved in a process. This is the right approach for uncovering the details behind a phenomenon that is already described.

But a totally unexpected discovery can be a hypothesis-forming breakthrough. For example, when a novel process comes to light that is outside the framework of current understanding. Radioactivity and penicillin are among the seminal discoveries in this category. Findings akin to these should not be precluded by cuts in funding.

Investing in new technology such as super-resolution microscopy (see *Nature* **462**, 675-678; 2009) could be one way to encourage explorative research.

Daniel M. Davis Division of Cell and Molecular Biology, Sir Alexander Fleming Building, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK e-mail: d.davis@imperial.ac.uk

Budget cuts: leaven the curriculum with a pinch of research

Diane Auer Jones suggests that cash-strapped US universities should reserve some faculty positions just for teaching (Opinion, *Nature* **465**, 32–33; 2010). But a university's power and efficiency comes from combining research and teaching. The best way to learn science is to do science.

Universities need teacherscholars who can incorporate the excitement of research into their classes and bring students into research projects to give them a sound understanding of scientific practice. This will widen and deepen the pool of talent for graduate school and benefit the teachers, doctors, lawyers, politicians, business leaders and citizens of the future.

Science as taught at US secondary schools is prone to emphasizing static, authoritative facts. Science in practice focuses on open questions, competing explanations and new discoveries. Undertaking research at university will seal this gap.

Peter A. Bednekoff Department of Biology, Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti, Michigan 49197, USA e-mail: pbednekof@emich.edu

Budget cuts: company investment could help offset the shortfall

Research should not be left just to the elite universities (Opinion, *Nature* **465**, 32–33; 2010).

Take the public university where I teach, where research is important and cost-effective. The university produces the largest number of Hispanic doctorates in the United States. Because it is located in Miami, it has built a strong reputation for research on hurricanes. the Everglades and the coastal ecosystem in Florida, and on many different aspects of Latin-American and Caribbean affairs. Cutting our funding for research and development (R&D) could set us back by decades.

One solution might be for big companies to contribute to a national funding pool to offset

the loss of federal funding. These companies benefit hugely from US government R&D money as a result of employing university graduates. By investing some of their profit into R&D in science, technology, arts, culture and other endeavours, they would be guaranteed a steady supply of highly skilled and cultured employees to increase their productivity and profit margins.

Non-profit associations such as the American Chemical Society have led the way in creating this type of reserve. It is time for our leading industries to become responsible shareholders in one of the world's largest intellectual democracies.

Gautam Sen Department of Earth and Environment, College of Arts and Sciences, Florida International University, Miami, Florida 33199, USA e-mail: seng@fiu.edu

Protection needed for international species collections

The destruction of most of the zoological collection of the Butantan Institute in São Paulo, Brazil, by a fire on 15 May, will affect zoology worldwide (see *Nature* **465**, 272; 2010). Safeguarding and financing such important collections, including those housed in facilities in developing countries, should be an international concern.

More than 80,000 preserved snakes and 500,000 arthropods were lost. Holdings included undescribed species, holotypes used to name species, testimony specimens and extinct animals, all gathered over the past 100 years, plus materials on loan from other institutions.

Institutions that house collections from around the world need to help guarantee the security of other valuable collections by decentralizing national taxonomic records, while maintaining respect for national autonomy. Supporting the

creation of small local collections to provide regional records of the biota would generate information on a wider range of specimens. Such a triple-redundancy system across international, national and regional collections would protect all this important information effectively.

The swift publication of knowledge relating to precious taxonomic collections remains a top priority.

Eduardo Bessa Laboratório de Ecologia Comportamental da Reprodução, Universidade do Estado de Mato Grosso, CP 287, Tangará da Serra, Mato Grosso 78300-000 Brazil

e-mail: prof.bessa@yahoo.com.br

Environment groups are not to blame for public complacency

It is an oversimplification to suggest that environmental groups have distanced themselves from the ordinary people whose interests they seek to serve (Column, *Nature* **465**, 287; 2010). Environmental groups continue to work as hard as ever, but face an increasing challenge because environmental problems are beyond the scale of direct perception by individuals.

Fault, if any, lies mainly with the industrial groups. Although they ameliorate the most visible effects of their systematic global activities, they continue broadly with their unsustainable practices. Fundamental reform is needed.

Environmental advocacy groups are no more to blame for failing to resolve environmental problems than are the researchers who expose the problems. Neither group is to blame for public complacency. Nonetheless, both need to work at every level, from engaging those at the grass roots to informing those at the highest levels of policy and debate.

Kevin Matthews Architecture Week, PO Box 1588, Eugene, Oregon, USA e-mail: matthews@artifice.com