Conclusion

We find that duplex Doppler evaluation of post prostatectomy patients reveals a low prevalence of cavernous arterial asymmetry in individuals with vasculogenic impotence. This finding, in conjunction with the high prevalence of cavernous asymmetry seen in individuals with normal vascular responses, does not support the theory that accessory pudendal sacrifice leads to post-RRP vascular impotence.

References

1 Broderick GA, Foreman MM. Iatrogenic sexual dysfunction. In: Krane RJ, Siroky MB, Goldstein I, eds. Male Sexual Dysfunction, Churchill Livingstone: London, 1999 (in press).

- 2 Broderick GA, Lue TF. Evaluation and nonsurgical management of erectile dysfunction and priapism. In: Walsh PC, Retik AB, Vaughan ED, Wein AJ, eds. Campbell's Urology. W.B. Saunders Company: Philadelphia, 1998, pp 1181-1214.
- 3 Aboseif SR et al. Role of penile vascular injury in erectile dysfunction after radical prostatectomy. Br J Urol 1994; 73: 75 - 82.
- 4 Breza J et al. Detailed anatomy of penile neurovascular structures: surgical significance. J Urol 1989; 141:
- 5 Juhan CM, Padula G, Huguet JH. Angiography in male impotence. In: Bennett AH, ed. Management of Male Impotence. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore: 1982, pp 73-107.
- 6 Ginestie JF, Romieu A. Radiologic Exploration of Impotence. Martinus-Nijhoff: Boston; 1978.
- 7 Polascik TJ, Walsh PC. Radical retropubic prostatectomy: the influence of accessory pudendal arteries on the recovery of sexual function. *J Urol* 1995; **153**: 150–152.
- 8 Broderick GA. Color duplex Doppler ultrasound: penile blood flow study. In: Hellstrom WJG, ed. Male Infertility and Sexual Dysfunction. Saunders: New York, 1997; pp 367-

Editorial Comment

This study was undertaken to determine whether sacrifice of the accessory pudendal artery (APA) at the time of radical retropubic prostatectomy (RRP) is an important determinant of post-operative erectile dysfunction. The authors hypothesize that if this artery is unilaterally destroyed that postoperative differences in peak flow velocity of the two cavernosal arteries should be demonstrable. The data do not show a high incidence of cavernous artery asymmetry in patients with vascular impotence following RRP, and the authors conclude that APA sacrifice does not lead to vascular impotence.

This is a well-constructed study which answers the question about as well as it can be currently answered. On the other hand, it underscores our lack of ability to precisely measure what we want to measure. What does a $> 10 \,\mathrm{cm/s}$ flow velocity difference between the two cavernosal arteries really mean? Do the doses of PGE₁ utilized in this study (or any study) appropriately answer the questions posed? Finally, if the APA is important in erectile physiology, what is its innervation?

G Benson

Editorial Comment

These authors evaluated penile inflows in 103 previously potent patients who underwent nerve sparing radical retropubic prostatectomy for the treatment for prostate cancer. They evaluated whether identification or sparing of the accessory pudendal artery (APA) was of any use. They tested the hypothesis that the presence of the APA in a significant number of men and its ligation would contribute significantly to post operative impotence. Should this be true there would be an increased incidence of asymmetry between right and left cavernous arterial flows among these post-operative patients with vascular impotence.

They found that 26% of patients postoperatively developed excellent rigidity consistent with isolated neurogenic impotence, 23% had adequate vascular responses and 52% had inadequate rigidity consistent with vascular insufficiency. In testing the hypothesis 48% of the patients with excellent erectile response exhibited cavernosal artery flow asymmetry suggesting that sacrifice of a unilateral accessory pudendal artery *did not* play a role in postoperative impotence. The authors properly emphasized considerable variability in the anatomy of the APA. In addition the variations of the entire penile vascular system supply should be reemphasized. The authors demonstrate a null-hypothesis