## The birds and the bees

SIR — Many Loranthaceae mistletoes, including Peraxilla in New Zealand, have 'explosive' flowers which cannot open themselves; birds pop the buds open and pollinate them<sup>1</sup>. However, a reduction in bird density has decreased visitation rates in some areas and depressed seed production in these plants<sup>2</sup>. Here we report that tiny native solitary bees (Hylaeus sp.) can successfully prise open Peraxilla tetrapetala buds, which is to our knowledge the first documented case of an invertebrate opening an explosive, bird-pollinated flower. The bee is inefficient at pollen transfer, but still doubles the number of seeds ripened per flower. This example is an extreme case of ecological replacement of vertebrates by an invertebrate in a depauperate island fauna.

Peraxilla flowers are relatively large (27-42 mm); ripe buds open only with considerable force, from a beak or a human hand, for example. The main pollinators are honeyeaters<sup>1</sup>: tui (Prosthemadera novaeseelandiae, mass 120 g) and bellbirds (Anthornis melanura, 30 g). Explosive flowers worldwide are usually bird-opened, exclusively so in the Loranthaceae<sup>3</sup>. Insecttripped explosive flowers occur in several families, such as Cytisus scoparius (Fabaceae)<sup>4,5</sup>, but there are no previous reports of any explosive flower that can be

Fruit-set in Peraxilla under various pollination treatments		
Pollination treatment	P. tetrapetala	P. colensoi
Unopened Bee-opened, birds excluded Hand-opened, birds excluded Control, naturally opened Hand-opened, hand-pollinated	$16.3^{*}$ 30.7 <sup>†</sup> 48.2 <sup>†‡</sup> 28.3 <sup>*†</sup> 66.3 <sup>‡</sup>	11.0 <sup>*</sup> not applicable 15.8 <sup>†</sup> 35.4 <sup>†</sup> not done
Residual variance (with residual Model: Null Plant Plant, treatment Probability (treatment)	al d.f.) 176.8 (34) 88.55 (27) 31.44 (23) < 0.001	177.8 (17) 107.2 (12) 33.7 (10) < 0.001

Fruit-set is defined as the percentage of flowers ripening their solitary ovule. We carried out treatments in summer 1995-96 in the field at Craigieburn, Canterbury (43° 9.2' S, 171° 42.7' E) for *P. tetrapetala* and at Wakefield, Nelson (41° 25.5' S. 173° 2.5' E) for P. colensoi. Pollination treatments were as follows. Unopened: buds within wire-mesh bird-exclosures which remained unopened until petal abscission, see ref. 1; beeopened, birds excluded: opened by bees within the exclosures; hand-opened, birds excluded: buds were opened with human pollen transfer minimized and left accessible to insects; controls: flowers accessible to birds and insects and naturally opened; hand-opened hand-pollinated: abundant outcross pollen but birds excluded. All treatments were replicated within plants as blocks (n=6 for P. colensoi and 7 for P. tetrapetala) and analysed for each species using a generalized linear model with binomial error and logit link function. In each species treatment was highly significant. Comparisons among means were done using t-tests on generalized linear model-generated means (and s.e.m.) for pairs of treatment groups; means within a species indicated by the same symbols (\*†‡) do not differ significantly (P > 0.05).

opened by both birds and insects.

We have observed native solitary bees (Hylaeus sp.; Hymenoptera, Colletidae) opening flowers of P. tetrapetala at two sites, Craigieburn and Lake Ohau. The small bees (body length 7 mm) are dwarfed by mistletoe buds (see figure), yet at Lake Ohau bees successfully opened about one bud in four by attacking the tip of the bud with their mandibles. This takes considerable effort and time (20-40 seconds), whereas tui open buds in  $0.23 \pm 0.06$  seconds<sup>1</sup>. As *Hylaeus* weigh only 0.01 g (1/3,000 of the bellbird mass) it is remarkable that they can open buds at all. Insect-explosive flowers like Cytisus scoparius are much more restrictive; bumblebees trip them easily, whereas slightly lighter honeybees have difficulty<sup>6</sup>.

Peraxilla stigmas are well placed to collect pollen from an approaching bird, but Hylaeus are pollen harvesters<sup>7</sup> and are so small that they often do not touch the stigma, reducing pollination effectiveness. Our experiments on plants in the absence of birds show that bees cannot open P. colensoi flower buds, even though they visit flowers frequently. P. colensoi buds require more force to open than P. tetrapetala, and may be beyond the strength of these bees. In contrast, bees open many P. tetra-

petala buds inside our experimental

wire-mesh bird-exclosures; significantly more of these opened flowers ripen a seed (see table). A few unopened buds set seeds by self-pollination in both Peraxilla species<sup>1</sup>, but by opening *P* tetrapetala buds *Hylaeus* doubles the fruit-set. As Hylaeus cannot readily contact the stigma, it could improve the fruit-set partly by giving other invertebrates access to open flowers. Introduced wasps (Vespula spp.) and honeybees (Apis *mellifera*) were present at all sites that we studied, but do not open Peraxilla flowers. These flowers are opened only by native animals (whether birds or insects).

New Zealand generally has unspecialized pollination syndromes<sup>8</sup> and a depauperate fauna (there are no land mammals). This could explain why the only invertebrate known to open birdadapted explosive flowers is found in New Zealand. Elsewhere, changes in pollinator availability in isolated



A native bee (Hylaeus sp.) harvesting pollen from the explosive-flowered mistletoe P. colensoi at Wakefield. New Zealand. Although the flowers are normally bird-opened, Hylaeus can open flowers of P. tetrapetala, but not P. colensoi. The bee is so small that it may not regularly contact the stigma in either species, but P. tetrapetala flowers opened by these bees do ripen more seeds.

populations may promote speciation via pollination syndrome shifts, like the one recently analysed genetically in Minulus<sup>9</sup>. The tiny Hylaeus is an unlikely substitute for birds on large flowers like P. tetrapetala, yet we have found that in the absence of birds it can double seed production. Because habitat clearance and introduced mammalian predators in New Zealand have lowered both bird<sup>10</sup> and mistletoe<sup>11</sup> densities, this small pollen harvester provides important conservation benefits.

## **Dave Kelly**

Jenny J. Ladley Plant and Microbial Sciences, University of Canterbury, Christchurch 1, New Zealand e-mail: D.Kelly@botn.canterbury.ac.nz

## Alastair W. Robertson

Ecology Department, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand Joan Edwards

## **David C. Smith**

Biology Department, Williams College, Williamstown, Massachusetts 01267, USA

- 1. Ladley, J. J. & Kelly, D. Nature 378, 766 (1995).
- Ladley, J. J. & Kelly, D. For. Biol **278**, 16–21 (1995).
  Kuijt, J. The Biology of Parasitic Flowering Plants (Univ. California Press, Los Angeles, 1969).
- 4 Proctor, M. C. F. & Yeo, P. F. The Pollination of Flowers 201 (Collins, London, 1973).
- 5. Aluri, R. J. S. & Subba Reddi, C. Proc. Indian Natl Sci. Acad. B 61, 323-332 (1995)
- 6. Meeuse, J. D. The Story of Pollination (Ronald, New York, 1961). 7
- Donovan, B. J. & Macfarlane, R. P. in New Zealand Pests and Beneficial Insects (ed. Scott, R. R.) 247-264 (Lincoln Univ. Coll. Agriculture, Canterbury, 1984)
- 8 Webb, C. J. & Kelly, D. Trends Ecol. Evol. 8, 442-447 (1993)
- 9. Bradshaw, H. D. Jr, Wilbert, S. M., Otto, K. G. &
- Schemske, D. W. Nature **376**, 762–765 (1995). 10. King, C. M. (ed.) The Handbook of New Zealand
- Mammals (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1990) 11. Ogle, C. & Wilson, P. For. Bird 237, 10-13 (1985)