



**Quotas mooted**  
Germany strives to entice more women into science posts. p4



**Bomb squad**  
Health probe for sailors involved in 1950s nuclear tests. p5



**Fallen star**  
Innovators lose jobs as 'anarchic' research centre closes. p6



**Just the tonic**  
Hong Kong aims to exploit old-fashioned medicine. p7

# Los Alamos loses physics archive as preprint pioneer heads east

## Declan Butler

The Los Alamos preprint server, which has established itself as physicists' favourite place for early circulation of their research, is leaving the New Mexico laboratory to set up shop at Cornell University in New York state.

Paul Ginsparg, who founded the server — now known as arXiv — 10 years ago, is leaving the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) to take up a faculty position at Cornell, and the server will move with him. Cornell plans to expand arXiv's reach into other disciplines, and to use it as a test bed for research into digital libraries.

Ginsparg says growing dissatisfaction with LANL is a major reason for his departure, citing a lack of enthusiasm for the archive among senior staff. Only his former group leader Geoffrey West and library director Rick Luce gave the archive strong support, he says. He adds that the nuclear-weapons laboratory has been shifting its support towards large groups at the expense of individual investigators, and is suffering from declining morale in the wake of recent security scandals.

Los Alamos experienced a painful security clamp-down after Wen Ho Lee, a Taiwanese-born engineer at the laboratory,



Low vantage point: the loss of the preprint server is a blow for the lab on the New Mexico mesa.

was arrested two years ago on espionage charges and then released (see below). The loss of the prestigious server delivers another blow to the laboratory's standing in the scientific community.

William Press, deputy director of the laboratory, says: "We're sorry to see Paul go, but Cornell has created a very unique opportu-

nity for him. We are very proud to have been the incubator of this revolution in scientific publishing." He adds that senior laboratory staff have strongly supported the archive activity, but admits that it was sometimes "a struggle to see where it would fit in" with the laboratory's other activities.

The archive currently receives around

## Pentagon offers deal on nuclear weapons book

### Irwin Goodwin, Washington

The former director of intelligence at Los Alamos National Laboratory has been told that he can publish his explosive account of China's nuclear-weapons programme — if he accepts heavy censorship of the 500-page manuscript.

Danny Stillman, a physicist who retired from Los Alamos in 1993, filed a suit against the US government on 18 June, arguing that it had unlawfully exceeded its constitutional authority by blocking publication of his manuscript for 18 months.

But on 27 June, Stillman's lawyer, Mark Zaid, was given the manuscript, marked up by Pentagon intelligence officials. "They

released about 85 to 90% of the manuscript and said the rest was highly classified," says Zaid. "I sent the whole thing to Danny to examine. He may end up challenging the omissions on grounds that the information came from the Chinese and doesn't compromise our security in any way."

Stillman made nine trips to China, with government consent, between 1990 and 1999 — six of them as a private citizen after he retired from the lab. His book, *Inside China's Nuclear Weapons Program*, contains what may be the most detailed record ever of the country's nuclear-weapons activities, with information on its 46 nuclear tests and more than 2,000 scientists and engineers

involved in the programme.

The Pentagon has argued that the book contains secret information that, if made public, would damage both US national security and its relations with China.

But some experts think that material in the book would undermine accusations that China relied on spying in the United States to acquire nuclear-weapons expertise. Irving Lerch, the director of international affairs at the American Physical Society, says that releasing Stillman's findings "would go a long way in eliminating the charge that foreign-born scientists, particularly Chinese, can't be trusted with our nuclear secrets" (see News Feature, page 10).



**Paul Ginsparg; set to move to Cornell.**

\$300,000 in annual funding from the National Science Foundation, the Department of Energy, which runs the lab, and LANL itself.

Ginsparg says that consultation with the archive's advisory board, funding agencies and the American Physical Society, produced a consensus that the operation would enjoy more secure funding and stronger intellectual support at a university than at LANL.

But for Ginsparg, the last straw was his recent salary review, which, he says, described him as "a strictly average performer by overall lab standards; with no particular computer skills contributing to lab programs; easily replaced, and moreover overpaid, according to an external market survey".

LANL officials declined to comment on Ginsparg's case, but said that some recent salary increases at the laboratory have been available only to certain combinations of programmes and individual skills.

Peter Lepage, chair of Cornell's physics department, notes wryly of the LANL assessment: "Evidently their form didn't have a box for: 'completely transformed the nature and reach of scientific information in physics and other fields.'" ■

♦ <http://arXiv.org>

## Imported stem cells deepen Germany's ethical divide

**Quirin Schiermeier, Munich**

The charged debate in Germany over research on human embryonic stem (ES) cells boiled over earlier this week, with the news that at least one scientist has already imported human ES cell lines.

Wolfgang-Michael Franz, a cardiologist at the Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, revealed on 2 July that in March he received human ES lines, ordered from the WiCell Research Institute in Madison, Wisconsin. He has not yet used the cells, but plans to seek funding from the DFG, Germany's main research granting body, to develop cardiac muscle from the cell lines.


Although German law bans the isolation of human ES cells from 'spare' embryos, their import is not prohibited. But with public feelings running high, the import of cells is intensely controversial. Last week, when it emerged that Stefan Rose-John, a biochemist at the University of Kiel, planned to import stem cells, he was criticized in the media.

Meanwhile, police are assessing the safety of Oliver Brüstle of the University of Bonn, who was the first to apply to the DFG to work on human ES cells. Brüstle received death threats after the *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung* published details of a patent application that included his private address.

The science ministry seems to have been

caught off-guard by the latest revelations. "I call on all researchers planning to use human stem cells to wait for a vote of the national ethics council," says science minister Edelgard Bulmahn. The council is expected to deliver its opinion on whether research should go ahead in the autumn.

The German parliament will vote on a moratorium on the import of stem cells on 5 July. But it is unlikely to find a majority, as the ruling Social Democrat–Green coalition has agreed for now not to impose additional restrictions on biomedical research. ■



**Eye of the storm:** WiCell's human embryonic stem cells have been imported into Germany.

## Quota offered as solution to gender imbalance

**Katja Henssel, Munich**

Germany is looking at a controversial answer to the perennial problem of gender inequality in the workplace. A law being considered by the German parliament would introduce a quota system for women in government-funded jobs, including those in science.

Currently, only about 5% of top positions

at public research institutes and universities in Germany are held by women, compared with nearly 14% in France and 11% in Italy.

The government wants to change that fast. "By 2005, we want at least 20% of full professorships and other leading scientific positions to be filled with women," says Helga Ebeling, head of the federal science ministry's division for women in education and research.

The legislation, which would cover universities as well as government-funded research institutions, was drafted by the government in the spring and is scheduled to be considered by the parliament in the autumn. It already has the support of the ruling Social Democrat–Green coalition.

German research organizations and prominent scientists say that they welcome action on gender discrimination. But many doubt that a quota system is the best approach to fixing the problem.

"It would certainly cause a quick injection of women into the science system,"

Christiane Nüsslein-Volhard, director of the Max Planck Institute for Developmental Biology in Tübingen, told a meeting on women in science last month at the European Molecular Biology Organization in Heidelberg. "But the idea that you owe your job to a quota has an unpleasant taste," she said. "It devalues your skills."

Klaus Landfried, president of the Association of Universities and Other Higher Education Institutions in Germany, says that a quota system would undermine the authority of women in top scientific positions. "It is the least clever way to improve opportunities for women," he says.

Supporters of a quota system include Sybille Krummacher, a scientist at the national research centre in Jülich (FZJ), who helped to set up Germany's first women-only tenure-track programme. "The programme has encouraged many women to apply," says Krummacher, "and they are not employed just because they are female, but because they are highly qualified." ■



**Uneven:** only 5% of top positions in German research institutions are held by women.