

of three, with correspondingly larger energy penalties^{2,8}. Each pair of fused pentagons in a neutral fullerene cage carries an energy penalty of 70–90 kJ mol⁻¹ with respect to the structure of C₆₀ (ref. 8). Two candidate structures (isomers 6,140 and 6,275) have the minimum three fused pentagon pairs; all others in the set have from 6 to 15 pentagon fusions.

We confirmed the qualitative preference for isomers with low numbers of fused pentagons using model calculations that treat the cage as an empty fullerene capable of accepting electrons from a central reservoir. At the density-functional tight binding level of computation⁹, with full geometry optimization of a closed-shell electron configuration, the empty cage 6,140 is stabilized by 120 kJ mol⁻¹ with respect to its nearest rival, with a 12-line NMR spectrum and the minimal three pentagon adjacencies (isomer 6,275). As between two and six excess electrons are added to the cage, to simulate the range of likely charge transfer from the encapsulated cluster, isomer 6,140 becomes increasingly favoured over all other empty cages in the set. In view of this consistent preference, we propose a structure for Sc₃N@C₆₈ (Fig. 2) consisting of the encapsulated Sc₃N cluster in the C₆₈ (D₃) three-fold symmetric isomer 6,140 cage. The encapsulated Sc₃N cluster is shown with the Sc atoms on C₂ axes, but from the ⁴⁵Sc NMR it is also possible that these represent time-averaged orientations.

S. Stevenson*, P. W. Fowler†, T. Heine‡, J. C. Duchamp§, G. Rice*, T. Glass*, K. Harich*, E. Hajdull, R. Biblell, H. C. Dorn*

*Department of Chemistry, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061, USA

e-mail: hdorn@chemserver.chem.vt.edu

†School of Chemistry, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter EX4 4QD, UK

‡Dipartimento di Chimica 'G. Ciamician', Università di Bologna, via Selmi 2, Bologna I-40126, Italy

§Department of Chemistry, Emory and Henry College, Emory, Virginia 24327-0943, USA

||Searle, 4901 Searle Parkway, Skokie, Illinois 60077, USA

1. Kroto, H. W. *Nature* **329**, 529–531 (1987).
2. Kobayashi, K., Nagase, S., Yoshida, M. & Osawa, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **119**, 12693–12694 (1997).
3. Dorn, H. C. et al. in *Fullerenes: Recent Advances in the Chemistry and Physics of Fullerenes and Related Materials* (eds Kadish, K. M. & Ruoff, R. S.) 990–1002 (Electrochemical Society, Pennington, 1998).
4. Butenschön, H. *Angew. Int. Edn Engl.* **36**, 1695–1697 (1997).
5. Krätschmer, W., Fostiropoulos, K. & Huffman, D. R. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **170**, 167–170 (1990).
6. Stevenson, S. et al. *Nature* **401**, 55–57 (1999).
7. Fowler, P. W. & Manolopoulos, D. E. *An Atlas of Fullerenes* (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1995).
8. Albertazzi, E. et al. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **1**, 2913–2919 (1999).
9. Seifert, G., Porezag, D. & Frauenheim, T. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **58**, 185–192 (1996).

Supplementary information is available on Nature's World-Wide Web site (<http://www.nature.com>) or as paper copy from the London editorial office of Nature.

Palaeontology

The 'feathers' of *Longisquama*

The elongated dorsal appendages of the reptile *Longisquama insignis*, from the Triassic of Kyrgyzstan¹, have recently been reinterpreted as the first record of feathers in a non-avian tetrapod² — long predating the feathers of the oldest known bird, *Archaeopteryx*. Here we present evidence that the dorsal scales of *Longisquama* are not feathers, and that they are in fact strikingly different from avian feathers. We conclude that *Archaeopteryx* remains the oldest known feathered tetrapod.

Longisquama is a small diapsid reptile with an uncertain phylogenetic position. It is known from an incomplete skeleton with integumentary appendages and isolated appendages. Appendage PIN (for Palaeontological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences) 2584/7, preserved as part and counterpart, retains an infilling of fine-grained sediment and high-fidelity impressions of the external left and right surfaces of the appendage (Fig. 1). This infilling, preserved either on one side of the specimen or on the counterpart, shows that the tubular configuration described for the proximal portion extends along the entire length of the appendage, although the distal portion is expanded anteroposteriorly and flattened transversely. This indicates that in life the two external surfaces were separated from each other by an intervening space (now sediment-filled).

There are no feather-like features on the distal portion of the appendage. Here, two corrugated membrane-like surfaces touch along their leading and trailing edges to form wide, smooth bands. The two membranes were apparently supported by a median vein-like structure extending the length of the appendage. This has been proposed as the homologue of the rachis of avian feathers². On either side of this 'vein', the external surfaces of the appendage are corrugated. This corrugation varies along the appendage: proximally, individual rugae are relatively large and widely spaced, but in the distal portion they are smaller and densely packed. The densely arranged distal corrugations have been compared to the pinnae of avian feathers², but the fossils indicate that these are formed on a membrane-like structure on either side of the 'vein'.

The fossils were split into part and counterpart during collecting, and most of the appendages are now preserved as impressions of their left and right sides, without the intervening sediment core. The surfaces of both the part and counterpart impressions of individual appendages are concave, an indication that these structures are three-dimensional. In contrast, the parts



Figure 1 Part and counterpart of an elongated dorsal scale of *Longisquama insignis* (PIN 2584/7). Where the sedimentary infilling (black circles) is not preserved, sharp impressions of the corrugated external surface of the structure are visible (white circles). Arrows point to corresponding patches of sedimentary infilling on part and counterpart. ab, anterior smooth band; c, corrugations; v, median 'vein'.

and counterparts of feather impressions in *Archaeopteryx* are concave and convex, respectively.

We believe that the dorsal appendages of *Longisquama* are highly modified scales, as suggested previously^{1,3}, rather than feathers. Examination of the holotype of *L. insignis* (PIN 2584/4) suggests that they were anchored in the skin or epaxial muscles.

Robert R. Reisz*, Hans-Dieter Sues†

*Department of Biology, University of Toronto in Mississauga, 3359 Mississauga Road, Mississauga, Ontario L5L 1C6, Canada

e-mail: rreisz@credit.erin.utoronto.ca

†Department of Palaeobiology, Royal Ontario Museum, 100 Queen's Park, Toronto, Ontario M5S 2C6, Canada

e-mail: hdsues@rom.on.ca

1. Sharov, A. G. *Paleontol. Zhur.* **1970**, 127–130 (1970).
2. Jones, T. et al. *Science* **288**, 2202–2205 (2000).
3. Feduccia, A. *The Origin and Evolution of Birds* (Yale Univ. Press, New Haven, 1996).

Correction

Detection of preinvasive cancer cells

V. Backman et al.

Nature **406**, 35–36 (2000)

The name of the tenth author of this communication is J. A. McGiligan (not T. McGilican as published).