the Napier complex for temperatures in the range 980-1,020 °C on a regional (>  $5,000 \text{ km}^2$ ) scale<sup>5-10</sup>. Thus, the xenoliths described by Hayob *et al.* are neither unique nor new in their conditions of formation.

Hayob et al. also provide no convincing arguments for the young age of the granulite metamorphism recorded in their xenoliths; the ideal proof would have been zircon or monazite U-Pb dating. Hayob et al. cite a lack of retrogression and the inference of 900 °C temperatures from exsolved feldspar lamellae as evidence for recent metamorphism followed by sampling from a young, hot crust (page 267 of their paper). These arguments are tenuous, as factors other than time (for example, the access of fluids and the responsiveness of the mineral assemblage to changes in pressure or temperature) may control the extent of retrogression and re-equilibration.

With respect to retrogression and preservation of assemblages, an important aspect of the Napier complex granulites is that they cooled isobarically and stayed buried in the deep crust for at least 500 Myr and apparently for up to 2,000 Myr following the Archaean hightemperature metamorphism<sup>3,9</sup>. Despite this prolonged residence in the lower crust, pristine, little-retrogressed hightemperature assemblages such as sapphirine-quartz, orthopyroxene-sillimanite, sillimanite and calcic mesoperthites similar to those described by Hayob et al. in composition and appearance (see for example, Fig. 8 of ref. 3; Fig. 2a of ref. 11) occur throughout the Napier complex.

Thus, the textures described by Hayob et al. can also be found in exposed Archaean granulites which spent much of their post-Archaean history buried at least 20 km deep in the crust<sup>9</sup>. The textures themselves do not imply a recent metamorphism, and caution is needed to construct a syn-eruptive 'palaeogeotherm' from such crustal xenoliths. In Enderby Land, the Napier complex granulites would have been available for sampling by passing magmas during a considerable time interval. In fact, basaltic magmatism and dyking did occur in Enderby Land in the late Proterozoic3, with little impact on the enclosing dry granulites.

The ages of the xenoliths described by Hayob *et al.* remain to be established. It would be exciting if young metamorphic ages are indeed produced using reliable techniques. The alternative, that the young magmatism is sampling unrelated and possibly much older buried granulite regions, well removed from the source of the magmatism, is equally if not more plausible.

SIMON L. HARLEY Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JW, UK SIR-Hayob et al.1 claim to demonstrate the formation of young high-temperature granulite-facies metamorphic rocks in the lower crust as a result of underplating by basaltic magma. Although we would not deny the possibility of recent or presentday basaltic underplating and granulitefacies metamorphism, we feel that these authors have failed to demonstrate its occurrence. Hayob et al.'s arguments revolve around their finding of hightemperature exsolved feldspar compositions in granulite-facies xenoliths, which have been erupted with basanitic tuffs by Quaternary volcanism in central Mexico. These feldspars (mesoperthites) yield temperature estimates of 800-950 °C for formation of the existing lamellae and host compositions, and temperature estimates of 950-1.125 °C for formation of the integrated compositions of the original feldspars. Havob et al. claim that these temperature estimates set a series of precedents, and make several misleading statements, such as:

mesoperthites yield minimum reintegrated temperatures (950–1,125 °C) that are considerably higher than those typically obtained from exposed granulites (p. 266). The mesoperthites provide evidence for regional metamorphism at T>950-1,125°C, higher than any temperatures recorded previously in regionally metamorphosed rocks of undoubted sedimentary parentage (p. 267).

But there are at least two well-known terrains where both the conditions of metamorphism and mesoperthites similar to those described by Hayob *et al.* have been documented: the Lewisian complex of Scotland and the Napier complex of Antarctica; in both cases the relevant rocks are exposed on the surface, forming parts of regional granulite-facies terrains of Archaean age.

In the Lewisian case, the integrated compositions of mesoperthite grains, which coexist with antiperthite grains, suggest temperatures above 1,000 °C12-14. Although they occur in a granitic rock for which the proportion of igneous and metamorphic features has been debated<sup>12,14,15</sup> they have clearly survived a very long cooling and subsequent history before being exhumed at the surface<sup>14-16</sup>. Other nearby Lewisian rocks, including metasedimentary ironstones, provide evidence of temperatures of  $\ge 1,000$  °C<sup>14-17</sup>. The Napier complex in Enderby Land includes mesoperthites and other minerals and assemblages in metasediments, which provide clear evidence of hightemperature metamorphism exceeding 900 °C<sup>5.6.9</sup>. This Archaean complex probably extends for >15,000 km<sup>2</sup> and has a long exhumation history9.18

The Lewisian and Napier rocks demonstrate that granulite-facies rocks with evidence of metamorphic temperatures of greater than 900 °C and mesoperthites can survive cooling to surface conditions. Although the xenolith assemblages of Hayob *et al.* may indeed never have equilibrated at temperatures below 900 °C, the authors are wrong in implying that this is because the xenoliths never experienced temperatures below 900 °C, other than when they were rapidly quenched by igneous eruption.

Havob et al. assume that their xenoliths have been plucked from the base of the crust at conditions similar to the lowest pressures and temperatures (P and T) preserved in the xenoliths. Such assumptions have been questioned for xenoliths of granulite-facies rocks and even for some mantle-derived xenoliths, because the P-T conditions may have been frozen into the rocks long before eruption<sup>19,20</sup>. The Lewisian and Napier rocks demonstrate that the P-T conditions of the Hayob et al. xenoliths could be preserved from as long ago as the Archaean. Thus Hayob et al.'s assumption that their xenoliths are a product of Tertiary basaltic underplating is unwarranted; from the evidence provided, the xenoliths could have been plucked from a metamorphic complex similar to the Lewisian or Napier complex, lying at any depth in the central Mexican crust and of any age. To demonstrate very high-temperature granulitefacies metamorphism by Tertiary basaltic underplating, Hayob et al. need to provide direct, unequivocal evidence of the age of the relevant mineral equilibria in their xenoliths.

BEN HARTE

Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JW, UK

ANDREW BARNICOAT Department of Earth Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

HAYOB ETAL. REPLY - Harley and Harte and Barnicoat question our inference that granulite-facies paragneiss xenoliths from Quaternary eruptions in central Mexico preserve the highest regional metamorphic temperatures yet recorded in deepseated crustal rocks. Elsewhere, Harley<sup>2</sup> has compiled P-T information for more than 90 granulite terrains, only five of which record temperatures in the range 950-1,000 °C. By contrast, six of the paragneiss xenoliths from central Mexico record minimum temperatures in the range, 1,050-1,125 °C. Thus, the Mexican paragneisses record temperatures at least 100 °C higher than previously reported for regionally metamorphosed rocks; we maintain that these xenoliths do indeed record the highest regional metamorphic temperatures yet cited for crustal granulites. Our statement<sup>1</sup> that "the mesoperthites yield minimum reintegrated temperatures that are considerably higher than those typically [italics added] obtained from exposed granulites" is also