

Chernobyl

Fusion may be a better way

ONE lesson to be learned from last month's nuclear accident is that there should be a renewed effort in thermonuclear fusion. This was the opinion offered last week by Academician Evgenii Velikhov, vice-president of the Soviet academy and a member of the investigating commission at Chernobyl, speaking at a press conference in Moscow.

The same point was echoed at an international conference on nuclear technology in Yalta last week. Academician Boris Kadomtsev, director of plasma physics at the Soviet Atomic Energy Institute, called for greater international collaboration in the field. The Soviet Union is a partner in the International Atomic Energy Agency's project for the design of a thermonuclear reactor and also has a number of bilateral agreements on collaboration on fusion work.

The main emphasis of Velikhov's statement was that the clean-up operation at Chernobyl has presented those involved with unprecedented problems. Little had been done in advance, he said, to construct models that would assist in the management of the damaged reactor, while such data as were available were often contradictory. The mound of concrete, lead and boron under which the damaged Number 4 reactor is now buried prevents access, so that it will be necessary to estimate its future behaviour by extrapolation. Even so, it appears that it has been possible to install sensors for temperature and radiation intensity in and around the reactor.

After the burial of the reactor, the main task has been, according to Velikhov, that of trapping airborne particles, for which purpose a special film of polythene has been used. Velikhov added that, in any case, only a "certain percentage" of the fission products in the reactor core has reached the atmosphere.

As the most urgent problems were dealt with, others emerged. As well as the pollution of agricultural land and livestock, the forests of the Polesye region and their wildlife aroused concern. These forests — mostly managed plantations of conifers (although the original tree-cover was deciduous) — appear to have taken the brunt of the pollution. Valerii Brezhnev, deputy minister for forests of the Ukrainian SSR, said last week that many plantations will have to be felled and the clearings ploughed up, while veterinary monitoring of wild animals (elk, roe deer, boar and other species) has begun.

Boris Paton, chairman of the Ukrainian Academy of Sciences, also said last week that there are plans for establishing "artificial geochemical barriers" on the "migration paths of radionuclides in the

groundwater". The river Pripyat' and the Kiev reservoir were mentioned specifically. Paton was presumably referring to techniques more specific than the emergency mechanical barriers thrown up to prevent the run-off of rainwater from Chernobyl into the river Pripyat', and which were said to have been completed two weeks after the accident.

On the future of nuclear energy in the Soviet Union, there seems to be a conflict of opinion. The first deputy minister for electrification of the Soviet Union, Alexsei Makukhin, said somewhat off-handedly last week that the accident at Chernobyl had been a "hitch" in the "mastering of the atom". Velikhov was more cautious, saying that although it is unlikely that reactors of the Chernobyl type will be closed down, "it is unlikely that they will stay in the same form".

Vera Rich

Counting the score on transplants

Washington

PROFESSOR Robert Gale, normally to be found at the University of California, Los Angeles, but who has spent most of the past month in Moscow carrying out bone marrow transplantations on victims of the Chernobyl accident, has contributed an account of the present status of marrow transplantation to a new journal *Bone Marrow Transplantation*, first published this week. Gale estimates that there will be some 2,500 transplantations worldwide during 1986, not many fewer than the 4,000 such operations reported to the International Bone Marrow Registry during the past decade.

Radiation exposure is a comparatively rare cause for transplantation, which is most commonly used in the treatment of leukaemia and other diseases of the blood-forming process. The international registry is meant as a means by which data about the effectiveness of transplant operations can be compiled and compared.

Gale's article, which is the first in the new journal, cannot fail to be widely read by those elsewhere than in the Soviet Union who are concerned to know what part marrow transplantation should have in case of future nuclear accidents.

The new journal, published by Macmillan from the United Kingdom, is meant to be a quarterly in the first instance. The editor is Dr John Goldman from the Medical Research Council's leukaemia unit at the Royal Postgraduate Medical School, London. Dr Gale is the journal's co-editor for the United States and Canada. □

British universities

Reputation by repute

BRITISH academics learned last week a great deal more about the reasons why their institutions had done relatively well or badly in the carve-up of the public subsidy for the impending academic year (see *Nature* 321, 459; 1986). The explanations are contained in letters sent to the heads of academic institutions by the University Grants Committee (UGC), and together constitute the most detailed survey of the state of British universities ever.

The two successive sheaves of letters are the first steps in UGC's policy of concentrating resources considered to be inadequate on what are deemed to be the institutions of the highest quality. In this first stage, UGC has made relatively modest steps in that direction, but in the autumn a further word-processing exercise will tell universities what they can expect to receive from public funds in the succeeding three academic years (1987-90).

Apart from providing universities individually with an inkling of how the harsher winds will be blowing in the autumn, last week's letters give the university system as a whole warning of far-reaching and unexpected structural changes. In particular, it is now clear that UGC intends to give less on account of the cost of student education to universities (Oxbridge and some others) organized in a collegiate fashion, and that it will in future exercise its right to deal individually with the member institutions of federal universities.

The first change arises because the collegiate universities and the several colleges to which students are required to belong are able to charge separate tuition fees, mostly paid in respect of British students by local education authorities. The universities chiefly concerned are Oxford and Cambridge, but Durham, Kent, Lancaster and York charge similar but smaller fees. By deciding that half the income derived from college fees should be subtracted from the annual recurrent grant, UGC is in the short run releasing funds to spend in universities under greater pressure. With the prospect that the time will come when British students' fees will not automatically be paid by local authorities, UGC is requiring Oxbridge to choose between conformity and the privatization of at least their collegiate functions.

Last week's sheaf of letters also explains why both Oxford and Cambridge were placed below the middle of the previous week's league table, with increases of cash income of zero and 0.7 per cent respectively, compared with the average for the system as a whole of 1.0 per cent.

UGC's decision to circumvent the