Earth science in a fabulous place

Peter J. Smith

Atlantis in the Light of Modern Research.

By Zdeněk Kukal.

Elsevier: 1984. Pp.224. \$57.75, Dfl. 150.

How the childhood memories come flooding back! The idea of Atlantis was introduced early into my genteel upbringing, presumably as a spur to the imagination much as a spot of breakfast is supposed to set the digestive processes rolling. Plato's lost world beat its competitor, Little Noddy, hands down, losing its status as prime imaginative wonder of the world only under the impact of the higher Blytonian *Island of Adventure* and, later, the more robust yarns of Percy F. Westerman and Arthur Conan Doyle. Besides, in the black-and-white world of the teens, fiction was fiction and fact was fact, but fiction masquerading as fact (as, by then, we all knew Atlantis to be) was strictly for the gullible. When we became adults we put away childish things, did we not?

But no, actually, some of us didn't. N.F. Zhironov of the Soviet Union, reputedly the world's most devoted "atlantologist", is even now engaged in a review of all of the estimated 3,600 works on Atlantis published to date. A Dr E. Sykes is said to edit *Atlantean Research*, a periodical containing such gems as "Atlantis, land of giants" and "Atlantian script". Pierre Benoit wrote the most famous of all novels about the place. And Zdeněk Kukal, a member of the Geological Survey of Czechoslovakia and author of more than 200 legitimate papers and books on matters geological, now presents the results of 20 years' study of the scientific aspects of Atlantis.

What these four representatives of a much larger motley demonstrate, of course, is that Atlantis has a number of distinct faces. There is Atlantis as jumping-off point for harmless fantasy, which sees Plato as an early science-fiction writer. To the extent that fantasy is therapeutic, here surely lies Atlantis's most abiding contribution to the welfare of the human race, although Kukal ignores all that because it is not science. Then there is Atlantis as advanced civilization. But is it likely that there was really, as Plato claimed, a ten-million-strong community that could write, work metals and breed domestic animals many millennia before the time for which there is evidence of such things elsewhere? Kukal thinks not.

That leaves Atlantis as a physical, geographical and geological entity, albeit one that, according to Plato, suffered "violent earthquakes and floods, and in a single day and night of misfortune . . . disappeared

in the depth of the sea" about 11,500 years ago. Not that Plato's sea should be taken too literally, it seems, for of the 40-odd possible locations of Atlantis given in the literature (ranging from the United States to the Black Sea and from Iceland to Zimbabwe) almost half are on land. As far as the ocean floor is concerned, however, Kukal has to admit that echo sounding, deep-sea drilling, dredge and grab sampling, underwater photography and bathymetric descents have revealed not one whiff of Plato's place.

From this discouraging beginning Kukal goes on to assess in some detail whether we could just have missed the sunken state (possibly), whether city walls and metal artefacts could have survived 11,500 years of submergence anyway (highly unlikely), whether Atlantis could have been any of the fictitious islands shown on early maps (no), whether it could have been any of today's real islands (geologically impossible) and whether there are any areas of subsidence in the Atlantic or Mediterranean which might qualify (not really). He then considers whether the supposed Atlantis could have been destroyed by earthquake or tsunami (theoretically possible), storm flood (probably not), volcanic eruption (yes), slow tectonic movement (no), cosmic impact (no evidence) or rising sea level (yes, but not "in a single day and night").

There is something faintly ludicrous about all this; it is a bit like mounting a scientific search for the invisible man simply because H.G. Wells happened to write about one. On the other hand, in describing the search for Atlantis, Kukal does manage to impart a lot of earth science — the geology of islands and oceans, the nature of glaciation, the role of sea-level changes, the processes of weathering and much else besides. The means may not justify the ends, but they make a fascinating story all the same.

I began the book with an inclination to mock, but ended up with a grudging respect for Kukal. He has produced a fine and objective refutation of a "theory" that may be inherently ridiculous but is nevertheless important because it has duped thousands of people over the centuries. Atlantis was a deliberate fiction and a particularly successful one at that, for it still attracts attention after 2,500 years. The advanced civilization of Atlantis was Plato's Utopia; its physical and political structure was the best Plato could envisage; and its destruction was by processes known to him. There can be no other conclusion, although one almost wishes that after 20 years of work Kukal could have proved otherwise. Of course, the only people to accept his verdict will be those who believed it in the first place. Those who don't never will. All will continue much as if Kukal had never been. □

Peter J. Smith is Reader in Earth Sciences at the Open University.

Swimming through the cell

Tim Hunt

A Guided Tour of the Living Cell, Vols 1 and 2.

By Christian de Duve.

W.H. Freeman: 1985. Pp.423. \$55.95, £39.90 per set.

HERE is the latest biological work from W.H. Freeman in the Scientific American Library. It spans two volumes, but is a seamless whole and the two jackets are identical except for the words "One" and "Two". Like its predecessors in the series, *A Guided Tour of the Living Cell* is beautifully produced and written, and its author uniquely qualified to show us around. Christian de Duve is one of the great pioneers of cell biology; together with Albert Claude and George Palade, he was justly awarded the Nobel Prize in medicine in 1974 for his "discoveries concerning the structural and functional organization of the cell".

You may remember a film called *The Incredible Voyage* in which heroic brain surgeons operated on the patient by shrinking themselves down to a microscopic size and making their way to the site of the damage in a tiny submarine which was injected into a vein. The operation successfully completed, they escaped by means of the tear duct after many a frightening adventure, the worst an ugly encounter with a macrophage. In his books de Duve shrinks the reader even further, to the point where the very organelles of the cell are as huge caverns to the observer. What is more, we are protected only by a diving suit (a magic suit, it's true, made of the material that coats the leprosy bacillus) as we swim around the cell with the Master as our guide. The idea sounds perilously twee, and when the introduction reveals that these are the books of a series of childrens' Christmas lectures, the heart sinks even further. However, my initial suspicion wore off within a few pages and the idea works brilliantly well — they must have been fabulous lectures!

Not surprisingly, de Duve is at his best describing the workings of the membrane systems of the cell, and particularly in describing lysosomes. As he says "understanding, not description, is the true purpose of scientific exploration", and in this chapter understanding comes as if by enchantment. We are lucky in other ways besides. de Duve's language is plain, his images concrete and familiar, and the text is strewn with wise words. Several times I found myself warming, seeing things said that do not often appear in books of any kind, let alone relatively elementary texts. Other agreeable features of the general style are de Duve's readiness to admit ignorance and to point out