Carter aims at 20% solar energy

package of proposed legislative measures designed, he said, towards meeting a national goal of 20% of US energy needs coming from solar and renewable resources by the end of the century.

Among the proposals are the setting up of a \$100 million solar energy bank to subsidize the interest on loans and mortgages made to home-owners and businessmen to install solar energy devices; extensive tax credits for solar installations; and the shift in emphasis from demonstration programmes of highcost centralised solar electric technologies to "those systems which hold wider potential to displace the use of oil and natural gas".

As a result of these new programmes, the total commitment of the federal government in 1980 to solar energy will be more than \$1 billion, "a significant milestone for our country", the President said in a message to the Congress listing his proposals.

However the President's decision to fund some of the developments, including in particular the solar energy bank, from a tax he is proposing on the "windfall profits" expected by oil companies as a result of recent price increases, has been attacked by environmentalists as a political move to gather support for the proposed tax, and thus putting the solar efforts in jeopardy.

In deciding on an ambitious target of 20% solar energy by 2000 - of which one third may come from solar heating, one third from solar cells, and the rest from sources such as hydropower, windpower and the conversion of waste products into energy - the President had had presented to him a range of options, some of which placed the target much higher.

But Dennis Hayes, a research fellow at the Worldwatch Institute in Washington and a leading advocate of solar power, told a House subcommittee the previous week that the cost of the higher options, which administration officials had estimated to cost \$113 billion to reach a solar contribution of one-third of the US energy needs by 2000, had been substantially overestimated, and that in an era of fiscal frugality the high estimate had been a "kiss of death" to more ambitious plans.

The main proposals in the President's programme are:

- a 20% tax credit up to \$2,000 on new houses built to maximise the use of the sun's energy through "passive" designs
- · a tax credit for commercial and multi family buildings of \$20 per million Btu saved beyond energy standards for large buildings
- increasing from 10 to 25% the tax credit for solar equipment designed to provide heat for industrial and

- PRESIDENT Carter last week unveiled a agricultural purposes such as drying crops • a 15% tax credit for the purchase and installation of air-tight woodburning stoves in principal residences
 - a permanent exemption from the 4cents-a-gallon federal gasoline tax on gasohol, a mixture of 90% gasoline and 10% alcohol.

The response of environmentalist groups to the President's proposal however has been little more than lukewarm. Many of his suggestions, they point out, are already being pursued by individual legislators, while linking the solar bank to the energy trust fund, itself a highly controversial proposal in Congress, is, they claim, an unnecessarily risky move.

Many also doubt whether the amount of money which the President proposes to spend on solar energy is sufficient to head toward the goal by 2000 that he has set the nation, especially when compared to the amount of money that continues to be spent on the development of other energy resources such as nuclear energy.

"The proposed programme is a big disappointment to us; the President is talking about a minimal 5% spending on solar energy compared to other energy sources to reach an ambitious 20% goal, said Herb Epstein of the Solar Lobby. adding that his and other groups would seek to have the financial support and tax credits substantially increased as the proposals passed through Congress.



Here comes the sun: research on solar cells using a fresnel lens at the Sandia Laboratory

Senate vetoes plan for Third World research agency

CONSERVATIVES in the US Senate, quoting the need to restrain both public spending and the growth of the federal bureaucracy, have rejected President Carter's proposal to establish a new institute for scientific and technological research related to the needs of developing countries.

The Senate's action is not necessarily fatal to the plans for the institute, provisionally known as the Institute for Scientific and Technical Cooperation (ISTC). The House of Representatives last month defeated a similar move to kill the institute; and the legislation establishing it may therefore well be restored when Senate and House conferees meet next week to resolve differences before the relevant parts of the foreign aid bill become law.

However the Senate's decision took many of the institute's supporters by surprise, being described in terms ranging from "shock" to "disaster". "The decision is certainly very disappointing, and has effectively derailed the ISTC at the present time, although we hope that it will not turn out to be decisive," Dr Ralph Smuckler, head of the institute's planning office, told Nature last week.

One effect has been to cast a shadow over US preparations for the United Nations Conference on Science and Technology for Development, at which ISTC is planned to be a centrepiece in the US presentation. There is some concern that, in an attempt to appease the critics, the administration might be tempted to water down the proposal and tie it more closely to existing programmes and agencies - a move which, some fear, could seriously diminish the credibility of the institute in the eyes of many Third World countries.

It has largely been in response to inadequacies in current aid programmes that the idea of an institute specifically devoted to scientific research on Third World issues has been discussed in Washington and elsewhere since the mid-1960s, most recently in a report from the Brookings Institute.

Following these discussions, President Carter announced in a speech in Venezuala last March that he was proposing setting up a new institute with two main aims: to focus scientific effort on specific problems facing Third World countries, in areas such as health. medicine and agriculture, as well as "global" problems such as energy and the environment; and to assist developing countries in establishing their own research capabilities as a necessary step towards modernisation.

The principle of working towards these