conjugate was mixed with the end-labelled chromatosomes at an estimated stoichiometry of 1:1 in $0.5 \times TBE$ buffer.

Nucleoprotein gels and GH5 footprinting. The nucleoprotein gels contained 8% acrylamide (80:1 acryl:bisacryl) in $0.5 \times$ TBE and up to 20% glycerol. Separation of the linker-histone-depleted chromatosomes and the GH5-reconstituted chromatosomes was achieved by overnight electrophoresis at 4 °C.

The GH5–azidophenacyl conjugates were covalently attached to the chromatosomal DNA by exposure of the reconstitutes to a standard ultraviolet transilluminator (312 nm) for 4 min. The crosslinked GH5–DNA complex was extracted with phenol and was precipitated from the phenol phase with ethanol¹. DNA was cleaved at the point of crosslinking by treatment with 1 M piperidine at 90 °C for 30 min. The resulting DNA fragments were denatured by addition of formamide and boiling before separation according to size on DNA-sequencing gels containing 7 M urea. The buffer in the lower gel tank of the 20% gel shown in Fig. 3c contained two volumes 1 × TBE and one volume 3 M sodium acetate.

Received 12 March; accepted 13 July 1998.

- Pendergast, P. S., Chen, Y., Ebright, Y. W. & Ebright R. H. Determination of the orientation of a DNA binding motif in a protein–DNA complex by photocrosslinking. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 89. 10287– 10291 (1992).
- Pruss, D. et al. An asymmetric model for the nucleosome: a binding site for linker histones inside the DNA gyres. Science 274, 614–617 (1996).
- Thoma, F., Koller, T. & Klug, A. Involvement of histone H1 in the organisation of the nucleosome and of the salt dependent superstructure of chromatin. J. Cell Biol. 83, 403–427 (1979).
- Simpson, R. Structure of the chromatosome, a chromatin particle containing 160 bp of DNA and all histones. *Biochemistry* 17, 5524–5531 (1978).
- Allan, J., Crane-Robinson, C. & Aviles, F. X. The structure of histone H1 and its location in chromatin. Nature 288, 675–679 (1980).
- Ramakrishnan, V., Finch, J. T., Graziano, V., Lee, P. L. & Sweet, R. M. Crystal structure of the globular domain of histone H5 and its implications for nucleosome binding. *Nature* 362, 219–223 (1993).
- Cerf, C. et al. Homo- and heteronuclear two-dimensional NMR studies of the globular domain of histone H1: full assignment, tertiary structure and comparison with the globular domain of histone
- H5. Biochemistry 33, 11079–11086 (1994).
 8. Clark, K. L., Halay, E. D., Lai, E. & Burley, S. K. Co-crystal structure of the HNF-3/Fork head DNA-
- recognition motif resembles histone H5. *Nature* 364, 412–420 (1993).
 Goytisolo, F. A. *et al.* Identification of two DNA-binding sites on the globular domain of histone H5. *EMBO I.* 15, 3421–3429 (1996).
- EMBO J. 13, 5421–5429 (1986).
 Crane-Robinson, C. Where is the globular domain of linker histone located on the nucleosome? Trends Biochem. Sci. 22, 75–77 (1997).
- Lambert, S. *et al.* Neutron scattering studies of chromatosomes. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 179, 810–816 (1991).
- Muyldermans, S. & Travers, A. A. DNA sequence organization in chromatosomes. J. Mol. Biol. 235, 855–870 (1994).
- Travers, A. A. & Muyldermans, S. A DNA sequence for positioning chromatosomes. J. Mol. Biol. 257, 486–491 (1996).
- Hayes, J. J. Site-directed cleavage of DNA by a linker histone–Fe(II) EDTA conjugate: localization of a globular domain binding site within a nucleosome. *Biochemistry* 35, 11931–11937 (1996).
- Segers, A., Muyldermans, S. & Wyns, L. The interaction of histone H5 and its globular domain with core particles, depleted chromatosomes, polynucleosomes, and a DNA decamer. J. Biol. Chem. 266, 1502–1508 (1991).
- Lutter, L. C. Precise location of DNase I cutting sites on the nucleosome core determined by high resolution gel electrophoresis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 6, 41–56 (1979).
- Hayes, J. J. & Wolffe, A. P. Preferential and asymmetric interaction of linker histones with 5S DNA in the nucleosome. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 90, 6415–6419 (1993).
- Thomas, J. O. & Wilson, C. M. Selective radiolabeling and identification of a strong nucleosome binding site on the globular domain of histone H5. *EMBO J.* 5, 3531–3537 (1986).

- Luger, K., Mäder, A. W., Richmond, R. K., Sargent, D. F. & Richmond, T. J. Crystal structure of the nucleosome core particle at 2.8 Å resolution. *Nature* 389, 251–260 (1997).
- 20. An, W., van Holde, K. & Zlatanova, J. Linker histone protection of chromatosomes reconstituted on 5S rDNA from *Xenopus borealis*: a reinvestigation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (in the press).
- Thomas, J. O., Rees, C. & Finch, J. T. Cooperative binding of the globular domains of histones H1 and H5 to DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 20, 187–194 (1992).
- 22. Drwe, H. R. & McCall, M. J. Structural analysis of a reconstituted DNA containing three histone octamers and histone H5. J. Mol. Biol. 197, 485–511 (1987).
- Staynov, D. Z. & Crane-Robinson, C. Footprinting of linker histones H5 and H1 on the nucleosome. EMBO J. 7, 3685–3691 (1988).
- Pehrson, J. R. Thymine dimer formation as a probe of the path of DNA in and between nucleosomes in intact chromatin. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 86, 9149–9153 (1989).
 Mirzabekov, A., Pruss, D. & Ebralidse, K. K. Chromatin superstructure dependent crosslinking with
- DNA of the histone H5 residues Thr1, His25 and His62. J. Mol. Biol. 211, 479–491 (1989). 26. Hamiche, A., Schulz, P., Ramakrishnan, V., Oudet, P. & Prunell, A. Linker histone dependent DNA
- structure in mononucleosomes. J. Mol. Biol. 257, 30–42 (1996). 27. Hayes, J. J., Pruss, D. & Wolffe, A. P. Contacts of the globular domain of histone H5 and core histones
- with DNA in a "chromatosome". Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 91, 7817–7821 (1994). 28. Howe, L. & Ausió, J. Nucleosome translational position, not histone acetylation, determines TFIIIA
- binding to nucleosomes *Xenopus laevis* rRNA genes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **18**, 1156–1162 (1998). 29. Pannetta, G., Buttinelli, M., Flaus, A., Richmond, T. J. & Rhodes, D. Differential nucleosome
- positioning on Xenopus oocyte and somatic 55 RNA genes determines both RFIIA and H1 binding: a mechanism for selective H1 repression. J. Mol. Biol. (in the press).

Acknowledgements. We thank T. Hamelryck and Y. Geunes for help with figures, and L. Wyns for helpful discussions. Z.Y.B. received a grant from the VIAB. V.R. was supported by a Public Health Service grant from the NIH. This work was supported by grants from (N)FWO and the OZR-VUB.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.M. (e-mail:svmuylde@vub.ac.be).

correction

Weekly cycles of air pollutants, precipitation and tropical cyclones in the coastal NW Atlantic region

Randall S. Cerveny & Robert C. Balling Jr

Nature 394, 561-563 (1998)

In the fourth paragraph from the end of this Letter, the values associated with the long and short data set differences were switched. The corrected statement should read: "For the 'long' data set, maximum surface winds average 3.6 m s^{-1} slower for observations made on Saturdays than those for Fridays (Fig. 2c). The unbiased 'short' data set has Saturday wind observations averaging 5.0 m s^{-1} slower than those on Friday; these differences are highly significant."

letters to nature