## Formation of Dimer Cations of Aromatic Hydrocarbons

STABLE molecules and inert gas atoms, M, form "unstable" dimers,  $M_2$ , which are held together only by van der Waals forces. When the dimer is ionized, an anti-bonding electron is removed and the species  $M_2^+$  should be stable with respect to  $(M^+ + M)$ . Such species have long been known for M = inert gas (dissociation energy, D,  $\sim 1 \text{ eV}$ ) and  $M = N_2$ , CO, etc. ( $D \sim 0.5$  eV). Dimer cations of derivatives of naphthalene<sup>1-3</sup>, anthracene<sup>1,2</sup> and benzene<sup>3</sup> have recently been detected by electron spin resonance (e.s.r.)<sup>1,2</sup> and optical absorption spectroscopy<sup>3</sup>. The e.s.r. evidence<sup>1,2</sup> suggests that the dimer cations have symmetrical sandwich structures similar to those postulated for excimers,  $M_{2}^{*}$  (ref. 4). These species may be formed by reaction (1) whenever the monomer ions are produced chemically, by high energy radiation, or by electrolysis.

$$M^+ + M \rightleftharpoons M_2^+ \tag{1}$$

Our aim here is to assess the possible importance of these species in solution at room temperature, by estimating the equilibrium constant, K, of (1), for a number of compounds.

These species have a strong absorption band in the red or near infrared<sup>3</sup> due to the transition between the attractive ground state and the repulsive excited state of  $M_2^+$  formed by in phase and out of phase combination of the wave-functions corresponding to charge exchange,  $\psi(A^+)\psi(B) \pm \psi(A)\psi(B^+)$ , where A,B represent the component molecules. If the splitting between these states is symmetrical about the initial energies of the separated molecules, then the dissociation energy,  $D(M^+ - M)$ , is equal to half the energy of the observed transition. It seems reasonable to suppose that the entropy change of (1) is the same as that of excimer formation

$$M^* + M \rightleftharpoons M_2^* \tag{2}$$

To obtain the values of K for reaction (1) at  $20^{\circ}$  C given in Table 1, values for reaction (2) have been multiplied by  $\exp\{[D(M^+ - M) - D(M^* - M)]/RT\}.$ These values should be regarded as upper limits of K for two reasons. First, half the transition energy is probably an overestimate of  $D(M^+ - M)$ ; second, the tighter binding found in most  $M_2^+$  compared with  $M_2^*$  will lead to a lower entropy in  $M_2^+$ . If  $[M] \gg [M^+]$ ,  $[M_2^+]$ , the results show that dimer cation formation must occur in fairly dilute solutions and this form will predominate in concentrated solutions or in the pure liquids.

This type of binding can, of course, produce larger clusters such as  $M_3^+$ . If one assumes a triple sandwich structure then a Hückel molecular orbital calculation gives a total binding energy of  $\sqrt{2\beta}$  while that of the dimer is  $\beta$ : the equilibrium constant for formation of  $M_{3}^{+}$  from  $M_{2}^{+}$  will then be 10<sup>5</sup>-10<sup>6</sup> times smaller than for (1).  $M_3^+$  will probably be formed only in very strong solutions and pure liquids while concentrations of larger clusters will be negligible.

The formation of dimer cations will probably affect the reactions of the ions: that is to say, it will slow down the transfer of charge between like molecules in solution and so lead to ion-recombination before charge can be

Table 1. DISSOCIATION ENERGIES, D, AND FORMATION CONSTANTS, K, OF EXCIMERS AND DIMER CATIONS

Excimer		Dimer cation	
D kcal mole <sup>-1</sup>	<i>K</i> l. mole-1	D kcal mole-1	K l, mole-1
5.1*	0.12*	14.8	$2.2 \times 10^{9}$
3.9*	0.055*	13.7	$1.1 \times 10^{4}$
2.8*	0.018*	13.7	$1.2 \times 10^{9}$
5.8+	0.72 +	13.7	$6.1 \times 10^{6}$
6.2+	0.61 †	10.8	$1.5 \times 10^{9}$
6·0†	0.61 †	12.3	$3.2 \times 10^{4}$
9·2 ‡	1,500‡	10.2	$8 \times 10^{3}$
	Exc D kcal mole <sup>-1</sup> $5 \cdot 1^*$ $3 \cdot 9^*$ $2 \cdot 8^*$ $5 \cdot 8^+$ $6 \cdot 2^+$ $6 \cdot 0^+$ $9 \cdot 2^+$	$\begin{array}{c c} & & & \\ \hline D & & K \\ kcal mole^{-1} & l. mole^{-1} \\ $^{5} \cdot 1^* & 0^{-1} 2^* \\ $^{3} \cdot 9^* & 0^{-0} 055^* \\ $^{2} \cdot 8^* & 0^{-0} 018^* \\ $^{5} \cdot 8^+ & 0^{-7} 2^+ \\ $^{6} \cdot 2^+ & 0^{-6} 1^+ \\ $^{6} \cdot 0^+ & 0^{-6} 1^+ \\ $^{9} \cdot 2^+ & 1,500^+ \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

\*, †, 1, Data from refs. 5, 6 and 7, respectively

transferred to additives. This will alter the chemical and scintillation properties of solutions exposed to highenergy radiation. The dimer cations may undergo specific reactions themselves; they have been postulated as intermediates in the oxidative oligomerization of carcinogenic hydrocarbons<sup>8</sup>—possibly an important step in carcinogenesis.

On recombination, dimer cations will probably give excimers

$$M_{2}^{+} + e^{-} (M^{-}) \to M_{2}^{*} (+M)$$
 (3)

This has been observed at low temperatures<sup>3</sup> but may not occur at room temperature if a repulsive excimer state is the first product. Recombination of  $M^+$  and  $M^-$  does not give  $M_2^*$  at low temperatures<sup>3</sup> and the same result may be found at room temperature for electron transfer even in polar solvents is believed to take place over distances greater than 10 Å (ref. 9). Excimer emission in electrochemiluminescence<sup>10</sup> may be due at least in part to reaction (3). An important result of reactions (1) and (3) in radiation chemistry is that the relative yields of singlet and triplet states are likely to be altered. In irradiated non-polar liquids, ion lifetimes are very short<sup>11</sup> so that  $M_2^+$  formation will depend on the rate of the forward reaction, which is probably diffusion-controlled, rather than on the equilibrium constant.

We thank the S.R.C. for a maintenance grant to one of us (Badger).

> B. BADGER B. BROCKLEHURST

Department of Chemistry, University of Sheffield.

Received May 20; revised June 10, 1968.

- <sup>1</sup> Lewis, I. C., and Singer, L. S., J. Chem. Phys., 43, 2712 (1965).
- <sup>2</sup> Howarth, O. W., and Fraenkel, G. K., J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 88, 4514 (1966). <sup>a</sup>Badger, B., Brocklehurst, B., and Russell, R. D., Chem. Phys. Let., 1, 122 (1967).
- <sup>4</sup>Birks, J. B., Nature, 214, 1187 (1967).

- <sup>5</sup> Lumb, M. D., and Weyl, D. A., J. Mol. Spectros., 23, 365 (1967).
  <sup>6</sup> Aladekomo, J. B., and Birks, J. B., Proc. Roy. Soc., A, 234, 551 (1965).
  <sup>7</sup> Birks, J. B., Lumb, M. D., and Munro, I. H., Proc. Roy. Soc., A, 280, 289 (1964).
- Rochlitz, J., Tetrahedron, 23, 3043 (1967).
- <sup>9</sup> Hoytink, G. J., Disc. Faraday Soc. (in the press). <sup>10</sup> Parker, C. A., and Short, G. D., Trans. Faraday Soc., 63, 2618 (1967). <sup>11</sup> Buchanan, J. W., and Williams, F., J. Chem. Phys., 44, 4377 (1966).

## **BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES**

## **Disappearance of Polyribosomes** during in vivo Erythroid Maturation and Nuclease Activity

DEBELLIS and I have compared the disappearance of ribosomes and polyribosomes during the maturation in vivo of erythroid cells in the rabbit<sup>1</sup>. This involved injecting twice labelled cells, obtained from a donor animal, into a recipient animal in which the bone marrow was repressed by continuing injections of colchicine. The events which occurred, including disappearance of total erythroid cell RNA, of reticulocytes, and of ribosomes and polyribosomes, were the result of maturation of the donor cells in the recipient circulation, for evidence was presented to show that the donor cells were not removed from the circulation during the time of the study. In contrast to previous work<sup>2-4</sup> there was no disproportionate loss of polyribosomes during erythroid cell maturation. Rowley<sup>5</sup> criticized these studies because the initial and subsequent sucrose density gradient centrifugation patterns of ribosomes (Fig. 1, upper) resembled those obtained by other workers', with polyribosomes treated with small amounts of ribonuclease. He concluded that "the similarity in (sucrose density gradient) patterns