Table 1. FECUNDITY AND PRIMORDIAL GERM CELL NUMBERS IN THREE SPECIES OF LAMPREYS

~ .	Fecundity			Counts of primordial germ cells		
Species	Adult egg numbers	Larval oocyte numbers	Estimated total germ cell numbers	Number of animals	Mean and $S.E$.	Range
L. planeri	1,000-3,000	5,000-10,000	30,000	44	51.4 ± 3.3	10-94
L. fluviatilis P. marinus (landlocked form)	11,000-26,000 21,000-107,000	7,000-42,000 $114,000-165,000$	500,000	13 7	26.5 ± 4.2 54.1 ± 5.6	$\begin{array}{c} 7-49 \\ 27-69 \end{array}$

mean germ cell number for this species (51) was rather higher than the figures given by Beard 15 and Okkelberg 5 for the primordial germ cells of brook lampreys. In spite of its greater fecundity, the river lamprey, L. fluviatilis, gave significantly lower counts than L. planeri (Table 1) and a similar trend was also observed in some preliminary observations made during the preceding year. Counts on the larvae of P. marinus showed no significant differences compared to either of the other two species. There are, therefore, no grounds for believing that the number of primordial germ cells bears any direct relationship to the number of definitive germ cells characteristic of the three species, although the possibility cannot be excluded that within a particular species the wide range of observed germ cell numbers might have some influence on the fecundity of individual animals.

M. W. HARDISTY J. Cosh

School of Biological Sciences, University of Bath.

- Hughes, G. C., J. Embryol. exp. Morph., 11, 513 (1963).
 Beaumont, H. M., and Mandl, A. M., Proc. Roy. Soc., B, 155, 557 (1962).
 Franchi, L. L., Mandl, A. M., and Zuckerman, S., in The Ovary, edit. by Zuckerman, S. (New York and London, Academic Press, 1962).
 Hardisty, M. W., J. Zool., 146, 346 (1965).

- Hardisty, M. W., J. Zool., 146, 346 (1963).
 Okkelberg, P., J. Morph., 35, 1 (1921).
 Hardisty, M. W., J. Zool., 146, 305 (1965).
 Hardisty, M. W., Evolution, 17, 17 (1963).
 Hardisty, M. W., Arch. Hydrobiol., 60, 340 (1964).
- ⁹ Vladykov, V., Canad. Fish. Cult., 10, 1 (1951).
- ¹⁰ Mintz, B., Arch. Anat. Micr. Morph. Exp., 48, 155 (1959).
- Mintz, B., and Russell, E. S., J. Exp. Zool., 134, 207 (1957).
 Bounoure, L., Aubry, R., and Huck, M., J. Embryol. exp. Morph., 2, 245
- ¹³ Blackler, A. W., and Fischberg, M., J. Embryol. exp. Morph., 9, 634 (1961).
- 14 Hardisty, M. W., Nature, 191, 1215 (1961).
- 15 Beard, J., Anat. Anz., 21, 189 (1902).

Dwarf Eggs and the Timing of Ovulation in the Domestic Fowl

THE production of eggs considerably smaller than the normal has been reported in various birds1 and has been well documented for the domestic fowl². Such eggs have been described as "witch eggs", "cock eggs", "dwarf eggs" and "wind eggs"—the latter term also being applied to infertile eggs3. It was known as early as the seventeenth century³ that the yolks of such eggs were frequently absent, but that there was a central nucleus around which albumin was secreted. In an investigation of 236 dwarf eggs, Pearl and Curtis2 reported that 35 per cent of all dwarf eggs were without a yolk, 55 per cent contained free yolk and 15 per cent had a complete yolk.

During recent observations on the time of oviposition in a group of hens, five were observed to lay dwarf eggs. The degree of calcification varied from a soft-shelled to a normal-shelled egg. On opening, the eggs were free from yolk but possessed a nucleus of solid material around which albumin had collected. No eggs weighed more then 25 g.

The eggs were not laid at any particular point in the clutch, and on examination of the time of lay it was found that this time was very close to that expected for the laying of an egg following a normal oviposition. This is illustrated by the data in Tables 1 and 2. In Table 1 is given the actual time of oviposition of all eggs including the dwarf eggs in each clutch, and in Table 2 is given the period of "lag" found and expected between the laying of the dwarf eggs and the preceding and succeeding oviposition. The time of "expected lag" was calculated on the basis of a normal ovulation occurring. It will be seen that the production of the dwarf egg in no way altered the timing of the subsequent ovulation.

The normally low incidence of dwarf eggs, less than 0.1 per cent2, has not in the past permitted many investigations to be made as to their cause. Pearl and Curtis2, from an examination of autopsied hens, concluded that the eggs were probably formed as a result of an ovulation occurring at the normal time but depositing the yolk in the body cavity with debris entering the oviduct. This would appear to be a reasonable explanation both for their production and for their oviposition at the expected

Table 1. Time of Oviposition of Dwarf Eggs in Clutches laid by Five Hens

		Time	e of ovipo	sition of	follicle (l	h)	1940
Bird No.	C_1	$C_{\mathbf{s}}$	C_3	C_4	C_5	C_6	C,
1		11.15	13.15	14.00	17.30		
2		_	11.30	12.00	13.15	14.00	16.45
3		09.00	11.30	16.00	16.30		-
41*	08.00	09.30	11.00	12.15	16.00		
42*	08.00	09.15	10.30	12.30			
5	10.30	13.30					

The nomenclature G_1 , G_2 , etc., is that used by Fraps (ref. 4) and designates the first, second, etc., ovum in the clutch. A dash indicates a missing time. Dwarf eggs are enclosed in a panel.

* This bird laid a dwarf egg in two successive clutches recorded here as

4, and 4,

Table 2. CALCULATED AND OBSERVED LAG IN THE PRODUCTION OF DWARF EGGS

Bird No.		en dwarf egg vious egg Expected	Lag between dwarf egg and succeeding egg Found Expected		
1 2	27·5 26·25	26·25 25·0	26.75	25.75	
3 4 ₁ 4 ₂ 5	25·5 25·25	25·5 25·25	25·5 26·5 28·0 27·5	25·5 25·75 27·75 27·5	

The term "lag" is used as described by Fraps (ref. 4) and denotes the time in hours between one oviposition and the next.

Present theories for the control of ovulation in the fowl⁵ place varying emphasis on the role of the oviducal egg, which has been ascribed a major⁶ and a minor⁵ role in controlling the time of ovulation. If dwarf eggs are produced without an accompanying ovulation, their appearance at the expected point in the clutch might be taken as support for the hypothesis ascribing a major role to the oviducal egg. On the other hand, if they are accompanied by an ovulation into the body cavity no major significance can be attached to their appearance.

A search of the literature has not revealed any further data on the precise time of production of dwarf eggs, and it is hoped that this communication will encourage the collection and publication of further data relating to the time of oviposition and the origin of these relatively rare curiosities.

K. A. ROOKLEDGE P. J. HEALD

Twyford Laboratories, Ltd., Twyford Abbey Road, London, N.W.10.

- Romanoff, A. L., and Remanoff, A. J., The Avian Egg, 257 (Chapman and Hall, Ltd., London, 1949).
 Pearl, R., and Curtis, M. R., J. Agric. Res., 6, 977 (1916).
 Lind, L. R., Aldrovandi on Chickens. The Ornithology of Ulisse Aldrovandi (1600), 2, Book XIV (Univ. Oklahoma Press, 1963).
 Fraps, R. M., Progress in Physiology of Farm Animals, edit. by Hammond, J., 661 (Butterworths Scientific Publications, London, 1955).

- Fraps, R. M., Ovulation in the Domestic Food in Control of Ovulation, edit. by Villee, C. A., 133 (Pergamon Press, London).
 Nalbandov, A. V., in Comparative Endocrinology, edit. by Gorbman, A., 161 (John Wiley, New York, 1959).