role, if any, magnetostriction takes in the production of magnetic anisotropy in these alloys. The experiments form part of a larger programme undertaken jointly by this Laboratory and the Electrical Research Association.

M. McCaig

Permanent Magnet Association, Central Research Laboratory, Brown Street, Sheffield 1. March 14.

## Antennal Oligomery in Heteroptera

Puttarudriah and Channabasavanna¹ state " far as we are aware, no reference occurs of an insect possessing antennæ with unequal number of joints [sic] on the two sides, affecting its bilateral symmetry". In fact, antennal oligomery is a common phenomenon in the Heteropterous family Lygaida. Butler<sup>2</sup> records its occurrence in twenty of the sixtysix British Lygæidæ known to him, and in certain genera, for example, Scolopostethus Fieber, it occurs frequently. However, I have reported3 examining 208 specimens of Ischnodemus sabuleti ssp. palustris Carayon (stated by Butler to exhibit oligomery) without finding an oligomerous individual. Butler does not include Heterogaster urticæ (F.) among the oligomerous species, but I have a male with normal 4-segmented left antenna and 3-segmented right antenna; this was the sole abnormal specimen in a catch of 75 of both sexes.

In Lygæidæ the absence of a segment, apparently the third, is partially compensated by an increase in length of the remaining segments. A French specimen of the non-British Lygæus pandurus (Scop.) exhibits bilateral oligomery (a phenomenon not, it seems, previously noted), and comparison with the normal male antennæ shows this compensatory elongation.

For these examples, as well as for the undetermined Bracon spp. reported by Puttarudriah and Channabasavanna, their term "teratological" does not seem apt; it should perhaps be confined to non-recurring While most cases of true teratology, anomalies. duplication of appendages, etc., are probably traumatic in origin, this is certainly not so in the Lygaida. Puttarudriah and Channabasavanna conclude ... the number of antennal joints forms one of the important taxonomic characters in the determination of many species of insects; and differences in the number of antennal joints in the same specimen vitiate the use of this character". As oligomery is restricted to such a minute part of the insect fauna, their fears are groundless.

D. LESTON

44 Abbey Road, London, N.W.8. March 18.

<sup>3</sup> Leston, D., Ent. Gaz., 2, 255 (1951).

## Absorption of Silver on the Gills of a Freshwater Crab

UNDER this title, Dr. D. W. Ewer and Ivan Hattingh<sup>1</sup> quote in the following context a passage from a paper of mine<sup>2</sup>: "The localization of the silver-absorbing areas to certain gills which we have found in *Potamon* provides an exception to Koch's

statement that 'tous les organes décrits comme branchies chez les Crustacés . . . absorbent l'Ag'.' However, the complete passage reads as follows: "Pour être bref nous pouvons dire que tous les organes décrits comme branchies chez les Crustacés, aussi bien d'eau douce que marins, que nous avons observés, absorbent l'Ag . . . Les aspects obtenus diffèrent fortement d'une espèce à l'autre. C'est ainsi que chez Asellus (aquaticus) la 'région spéciale' (Kimus, 1898) des exopodites seule prend l'Ag : Kimus avait déjà constaté cette affinité pour l'Ag et l'a employée à des fins anatomiques . . ."

Dr. D. W. Ewer and I. Hattingh's findings thus extend rather than contradict my statement of 1934.

Н. Ј. Косн

Laboratory of Zoophysiology, University, Louvain.

<sup>1</sup> Nature, 169, 460 (1952).

If I have followed Prof. Koch aright, his point was that at least somewhere on each respiratory appendage of the Crustacea there was an area which absorbed silver. In *Potamon*, however, there are no silverabsorbing areas on the more posterior gills. To the best of my knowledge this type of specialization has not been found before. I believe that this may be of importance in a study of the biochemical aspects of the absorption of silver and other ions, for the posterior gills act, in a way, as a biochemical control on the specialization found in the anterior gills. It is hoped to be able to extend these observations in the near future.

D. W. EWER

Department of Zoology, University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg.

## "International Relations as a University Discipline"

The leading article in Nature of April 5 on the brochure on "The University Teaching of International Relations" is a welcome indication of interest in the possibilities of the subject. May I hope, however, that readers will not be deterred from reading the brochure itself, for then they may find that the participants at the Windsor Conference, far from being muddle-headed about the function of university education, merely held—as might be expected at such an international gathering—a diversity of views on what is, as is recognized in the *Nature* article, a controversial subject. Furthermore, to suggest that with the founding of the College of Europe the teaching in British universities of international relations has become superfluous seems to me, if I may say so, like suggesting that the establishment of, say, the Hague Academy of International Law rendered obsolete the Inns of Court.

It was also a little embarrassing to learn that I am held to endorse views, for example, that the subject can "accustom the student to making decisions in problematical situations", with which I do not agree and which, in the brochure, are clearly attributed to individuals at the Conference, at which I was not present.

GEOFFREY L. GOODWIN

25 Queen's Gate Gardens, London, S.W.7. April 28.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Puttarudriah, M., and Channabasavanna, G. P., Nature, 169, 378 (1952).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Butler, E. A., "A Biology of the British Hemiptera-Heteroptera" (Witherby, London, 1923).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Koch, H. J., Ann. Soc. Sci. Brux., 54, 346 (1934).