LETTERS TO THE EDITORS

The Editors do not hold themselves responsible for opinions expressed by their correspondents. No notice is taken of anonymous communications.

Electrochemistry of Uranium

As account of the polarographic reactions of the uranium ions has just been published¹. During 1944, experiments on this subject were made at this Laboratory, the publication of which has been delayed by war conditions. In general, our results confirm those of Kolthoff and Harris. The first wave in the uranyl polarogram is due to the reaction. reaction

$$UO_2^{++} + e \rightleftharpoons UO_2^+,$$

and the second 'wave' to a two-stage reduction from U V to U III. The American authors do not mention the fact that this second wave always shows a perceptible kink at half its height : the kink is more easily observed in subpuric acid solutions, in which the first part of the wave has an irregular non-logarithmic form, and the second part something close to the logarithmic form of a reversible wave. We have studied the oxidation waves of U II solutions in normal subpuric and normal hydrochloric acids, as well as the reduction waves of U IV solutions described by Kolthoff and Harris, and find that the oxidation waves nearly the same half-wave potentials as the reduction waves $(-0.94 \times, in normal hydrochloric acid, and$ -1.10 x, in normal sulphuric acid, both vs. the N.C.E.). Our values

for the gradients of the $\log \frac{i}{id-i}$ plots for these waves are nearer the theoretical value for one-electron transfer than theirs, but are nevertheless somewhat high, indicating that the reaction is not per-fectly reversible.

fectly reversible. Since, in agreement with the American workers, we find that none of the half-wave potentials of the uranyl polarogram varies system-atically with the hydrogen-ion concentration, it is clear that none of the three successive reductions involves hydrogen ions, and therefore that a chemical step or steps of inverse hydrolysis must occur some-where between the starting substance, $U_{2}++$, and the end product, $U^{+}+$. The first wave is certainly due to the reaction

$$UO_{e}^{++} + e \Rightarrow UO_{e}^{+}$$
:

the second wave could be due to a reaction such as

$$UO_2^+ + e \rightarrow UO_2$$

resulting in the formation of solid oxide or hydroxide at the electrode surface, at any rate in sulphuric acid solutions, where the wave is obviously caused by an irreversible reaction. This would be followed by the chemical step, giving rise to U^{4+} or UO^{++} , and finally the reaction

$$U^{4+} + e \rightleftharpoons U^{3+} \text{ or } UO^{++} + e \rightleftharpoons UO^{+}$$

(we consider the latter less likely) would be responsible for the third wave

wave. Reducing the free acid concentration of a hydrochloric acid solution of UO_4^{++} progressively at constant total chloride concentration causes the second uranyl wave to flatten and disappear, followed by the first. When the acidity becomes too low, the chemical reaction mentioned above would not take place fast enough, and the second part of the second wave would be eliminated; at still lower acidities, solid hydroxides would begin to be deposited on the dropping electrode in the first reduction

solid hydroxides would begin to be deposited on the dropping electrode in the first reduction. Kolthoff and Harris suggest that, since the half-wave potential of the first uranyl wave (which is independent of acidity) is different from the redox potential of UO_2^{++}/U^{++} mixtures as given by a platinum electrode (which varies with the acidity), the reactions at the platinum and mercury electrodes are probably different. This assumption does not seem necessary. We have established by experi-ments with a platinum micro-electrode that U^{++} cannot be reversibly oxidized at such an electrode at any potential within the range that can be studied, so that the potential of a platinum electrode in uranyl-uranous mixcures is not the true reversible potential of a UVI/U IV eguilibrium (as it was apparently taken to be by earlier workers). However, if the potential-determining reaction at a platinum electrode is the same as that at a mercury electrode, namely,

$$UO_3^{++} + e \rightleftharpoons UO_3^{+}$$

the observed Nernst equation dependence of the potential upon the various concentrations can be explained. We suppose that a mixed solution of UO_a++ and U^4+ contains a small concentration of UO_2+ , maintained by the equilibrium

$$UO_2^{++} + U^{4+} + 2H_2O \rightleftharpoons 2UO_2^{+} + 4H^+$$

(representing the total of several reactions).

In a solution, 1 mole in each of UO_3^{++} and U^{4+} , and 1 N in H+, the concentration of UO_3^{+} would be about 10^{-6} mole, calculated from the potentials. This concentration would vary in such a way with the concentrations of the other ions that the equation, established experimentally by Titlestad³,

$$E = E_0 + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \frac{(\mathrm{UO}_2^{++}) (\mathrm{H}^+)^4}{(\mathrm{U}^{4+})}$$

is obeyed. However, E_0 here is not the true standard potential of the electrode reaction.

but results agree with those of Kolthoff and Harris in showing that the first uranyl wave is due to a one-electron transfer. We have

studied in more detail the interesting question of the ion of pentavalent uranium, probably $\rm UO_2+$, formed in this reduction. No solid salts of this ion are known, so it must be unstable. According to the idea stated above, the concentration of this ion can be determined approximately from the equation

$$E = E_0' + \frac{RT}{F} \ln \frac{(\mathrm{UO}_2^{++})}{(\mathrm{UO}_2^{++})},$$

where *E* is the potential of a platinum electrode in the solution, and E_{d}' the half-wave potential of the first uranyl wave: and changes in the UO₂+ concentration cause corresponding changes in the platinum electrode potential. In this way we have been able to follow the decomposition of UO₂+ formed in uranyl solutions by electrolytic reduction; we find that the rate varies with the acidity. In addition, we have shown that the concentration of this ion is increased above the computing when built built is equivalent to the first varies with the acidity. As expected, and that the concentration of this ion is increased above the equilibrium value by illuminating the solution, and that this fact is responsible for the potential-shift which a platinum electrode undergoes in the light in such solutions ("Becquerel Effect" ; see Titlestad, *loc. cit.*). From studies of the activation energy of the disproportionation, however, we conclude that the potential-determining ion is probably not identical with the disproportionating ion, but that the concentrations of the two are in constant ratio to each other in a given solution, this ratio being a function of the acidity and temperature. For example, the ions might be UO₂+ (determining the potential) and UO(0H)++ (disproportionating); these are interconvertible by hydrolysis or inverse hydrolysis. It is not unlikely that unstable ions might be detected electrochemically in systems of the salts of other transition elements. We hope to publish details of this work in the near future. H. G. HEAL

Montreal Laboratory, National Research Council, Canada. Oct. 2.

¹ Kolthoff and Harris, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 67, 1484 (1945). ⁸ Z. phys. Chem., 63, 689 (1908).

The Clay Minerals Halloysite and Meta-halloysite

The Clay Minerals Halloysite and Meta-halloysite X-BAY studies of these minerals in relation to (a) their reactions which organic liquids (MacEwan), (b) the effect of heat treatment (G, W, B, and K. R.) have led us to certain conclusions which can best be expressed in a joint communication. Meta-halloysite has the same formula as kaolinite, $Al_{s}l_{0}$, (0, 0, 0, 1), and the work of Hendricks and others' is generally considered to have a closely similar structure, which in kaolinite' are arranged regularly above each other, but which as we believe, with almost complete disorder as regards the orientation of the layers. In what follows we set out briefly the evidence for this vew of the meta-halloysite structure. In the first place, such a structure would be expected to give two frections with indices (000) having the usual symmetrical distributione' re-fervions should occur with indices (hk). We find that all the re-fervions should occur with indices (hk). We find that all the re-fervions should occur with indices (hk). We find that all the re-fervions should occur with indices (hk), which indices (hk), $h_0 = 5.14 A$, $h_0 = 8.90 A$, $e_0 = 7.2 A$. The reflexions to which (hk) indices are assigned all have the asymmetrical shape characteristic of those from a two-dimensional lattice (see figure). The band between 2.56 and 2.33 A, for certain meta-halloysite, such as to brack the indices (hk) indices from a two-dimensional lattice (see figure). The band between 2.56 and 2.33 A, for certain meta-halloysite, such as to brich (hk) indices are assigned all have the asymmetrical shape characteristic of those from a two-dimensional lattice (he for some degree of ordering in the orienta-tion of the layers. We consider therefore that the essential character of the meta-halloysite structure is that it consists of randomly oriented sheets. Similar structures have been sugested for carbon black' and montmorillonite4.



MICROPHOTOMETER RECORDS OF LOW-ORDER REFLEXIONS FROM NATURAL AND HEAT-TREATED META-HALLOYSITE, CURVES I AND II RESPECTIVELY.

Halloysite differs from meta-halloysite in having an additional $2H_2O$ per 'molecule' and consists, according to Hendricks¹, of the same layers which occur in kaolinite and meta-halloysite, with intervening layers of H_2O molecules. MacEwan⁴ has shown that halloysite organic complexes can be formed in which the H_2O layers are replaced by organic layers. Both halloysite and its organic compounds give X-ray photographs similar to those of meta-halloysite, with only the basal reflexions changed, corresponding to an expanded c_0 parameter. The character of the (hk) reflexions and the absence of (hkl)

H. G. HEAL.