to a verification of Faxén's formula. The function used by Prof. Jauncey in arriving at (1) has the same property.

G. D. PRESTON.

National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex. Feb. 26.

¹NATURE, 147, 146 (1941). ²Proc. Roy. Soc., A, 172, 116 (1939).

Influence of Estrogens and Androgens on Glycogen Storage in the Fasting Rat

Janes and Nelson¹ have observed that glycogen storage in rats treated daily with diethyl-stilbœstrol for five days or more is at an abnormally high level. We have investigated the influence of œstrogens and other sex hormones on glycogen storage; and also on the insulin content of the pancreas (cf. Griffiths

stances in nut-oil, an increase in liver glycogen was found to occur, in some instances, during a subsequent 30-hour fast. The results with different doses of diethyl-stilbœstrol in experiments of this type are shown in Table 2, and indicate that a single injection of 0.1 mgm. of this substance is capable of increasing the liver glycogen content of the fasting rat under such conditions. No obvious change in muscle glycogen content or in blood sugar level was observed in these experiments, with the possible exception of those in which 10 mgm. of diethyl-stilbœstrol was used. Again the increase in liver glycogen content was accompanied by an increase in liver size; it should be mentioned that the treated rats lost little, if any, more body-weight during the fasting period than did the control animals. Estradiol and cestriol were found also to induce an increase in the liver glycogen content of the fasting rat under similar conditions, a property in which they resemble hormones of the adrenal cortex^{3,4}.

These experiments show that some œstrogens can exert a profound influence on carbohydrate metabolism, inducing an increase of pancreatic insulin

TABLE 1.

INFLUENCE OF GESTROGENS AND OF TESTOSTERONE ON THE STORAGE OF GLYCOGEN AND OF INSULIN IN THE RAT.

| | | | T | | 24 | Pancreatic insulin | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Substance implanted | Number of rats in group | Blood sugar mgm./100 c.c. | gm./100 gm. body weight | glvcogen gm./100 gm. | glycogen gm./100 gm. | u./100 gm. body weight | Percentage increment above control | |
| Cholesterol (control) | 30 | 108 | 3.82 | 1.13 | 0.37 | 0.70 | - | |
| Diethyl-stilbæstrol | 30 | 109 | 5.79 | 2.27 | 0.41 | 0.95 | 36 | |
| Œstriol | 10 | 115 | 5.13 | 2.32 | 0.39 | 0.93 | 33 | |
| Œstradiol | 10 | 113 | 4.48 | 1.67 | 0.43 | 1.05 | 50 | |
| Œstrone | 20 | 105 | 4.40 | 0.77 | 0.42 | 0.68 | nil | |
| Testosterone | 10 | 99 | 3.68 | 0.69 | 0.44 | 0.68 | nil | |

TABLE 2.

INFLUENCE OF DIETHYL-STILBOESTROL ON GLYCOGEN STORAGE IN THE FASTING RAT.

| Treatment of rats | | | | | | | Amount injected | Number of rats in group | Blood sugar mgm./100 c.c. | Liver weight gm./100 gm. body weight | Liver glycogen gm./100 gm. | Muscle glycogen gm./100 gm. |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| nitiall | у | | • | | | | | 30 | 97 | 2.86 | 0.85 | 0.31 |
| Injected and fasted 30 hours | | | | | Nut oil only | 35 | 95 | 2.87 | 0.57 | 0.31 | | |
| | ** | ,, | •• | | | | 0.10 mgm. | 20 | 93 | 2.94 | 1.04 | 0.32 |
| ** | ,, | ,, | ,, | | | | 1.00 mgm. | 25 | 99 | 3.01 | 1.96 | 0.34 |
| ,, | ,, | ,, | ,, | | | | 10.00 mgm. | 20 | 109 | 3.98 | 2.04 | 0.30 |
| | Tre nitiall and : ,, | Treatmen nitially and fasted """""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""" | Treatment of nitially and fasted 30 | Treatment of rat | Treatment of rats | Treatment of rats | Treatment of rats nitially and fasted 30 hours """""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""" | Treatment of rats Amount injected nitially and fasted 30 hours Nut oil only ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, 0.10 mgm. ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, 1.00 mgm. ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, 10.00 mgm. | Treatment of rats Amount injected Number of rats in group nitially 30 and fasted 30 hours Nut oil only 35 ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, | Treatment of rats Amount injected Number of rats in group Blood sugar mgm./100 c.c. nitially 30 97 and fasted 30 hours Nut oil only 35 95 ,, ,, , 0.10 mgm. 20 93 ,, ,, ,, 1.00 mgm. 25 99 ,, ,, ,, 10.00 mgm. 20 109 | Treatment of rats Amount injected Number of rats in group Blood sugar mgm./100 c.c. Liver weight gm./100 gm. body weight nitially | Treatment of rats Amount injected Number of rats in group Blood sugar mgm./100 cc. Liver weight gm./100 gm. Liver glycogen body weight nitially — 30 97 2.86 0.85 and fasted 30 hours Nut oil only 35 95 2.87 0.57 ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, |

and Young²). Data are given in Table 1, including the liver and muscle glycogen contents, after a fast of approximately 18 hr., of rats carrying subcutaneous tablets of various substances for two weeks. These results show that cestriol and cestradiol resemble diethyl-stilbcestrol in promoting glycogen storage under these conditions, although cestrone and testosterone do not. It appears that the influence of these substances on liver glycogen under such conditions is to some extent paralleled by their action in causing enlargement of the liver and an increase in pancreatic insulin ; it seems possible that the latter phenomenon is secondary to the increase in glycogen storage.

When rats were fed on cellulose for 30 hours in order to deplete the glycogen stores, and were then given a single subcutaneous injection of various suband a positive increase, not merely diminished loss, of liver glycogen in the fasting rat, the latter being apparently not due to a transfer of glycogen from the muscles to the liver (Table 2).

M. GRIFFITHS.

H. P. MARKS.

F. G. YOUNG.

National Institute for Medical Research, London, N.W.3. Feb. 20.

¹ Janes, R. G., and Nelson, W. O., Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. N.Y., 43, 340 (1940).

² Griffiths, M., and Young, F. G., NATURE, 146, 266 (1940).

³ Britton, S. W., and Silvette, H., Amer. J. Physiol., 100, 693 (1932).

⁴ Long, C. N. H., and Katzin, B., Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol., N.Y., 39, 516 (1938).