Controls

supplemented by vitamin B1; or to the Bourquin-Sherman diet. Administration of vitamin B, (lactoflavin) intensified the symptoms even more, and here it should be mentioned that egg-white is already known to be rich in vitamin B₂ (H. Chick and M. H. Roscoe⁶).

These effects were obtained with remarkable regularity and we must conclude from the results that the 'pellagra-like' dermatitis is not produced by a lack of vitamin B2, as it is isolated in flavin pigment. We are much more readily able to produce the pellagra-like dermatitis, unaccompanied by nonspecific and uncharacteristic secondary symptoms, in the presence of B₁ (perhaps contaminated with B₄) and B2. This pellagra-like dermatitis can be cured by the administration of the B₁+B₄ eluate from the charcoal adsorbate from yeast extract as prepared by the method of Kinnersley, O'Brien, Peters and Reader⁷. This antidermatitis factor cannot be identical with B4 for the following reasons: (1) our

animals show no signs of B4 deficiency; (2) the skin lesions can be alleviated by alkaline autoclaved marmite, in which according to Reader the vitamin B, must have been destroyed. One might rather identify it with the alkali stable factor Y of H. Chick and A. M. Copping or the B pigeon factor. In order to avoid confusion, we have for the time being named this 'rat pellagra preventive factor' in its narrow sense vitamin B6.

By the administration of $B_1 + B_4 (+B_6)$ or Peters's yeast eluate for 10-15 weeks, skin changes were certainly produced, but they were never pellagra-like, but 'unspecific' as above mentioned and mostly

only trivial. These skin changes can be cured by B₂. In this sense, B₂ is also a skin factor and it can be understood that egg-white, for example, which contains no B_s, can cure these 'non-specific' skin changes because it is rich in B2 (cf. Chick and Copping).

So we have been able to separate vitamin B₂, the antidermatitis factor, into two components-the real vitamin B, (flavin) and vitamin B6.*

PAUL GYÖRGY.

Physiological and Nutritional Laboratories. University of Cambridge. Feb. 6.

*The vitamin B, was prepared by the I. G. Farbenindustrie, Elberfeld, Germany, and the lactoflavin was kindly prepared by my colleagues, R. Kuhn and Th. Wagner-Jauregg (Heidelberg), at my request.

Kulm and Th. Wagner-Jauregg (Heidenberg), at my request.
 P. György, R. Kuhn and Th. Wagner-Jauregg, Naturwiss., 560;
 933. Kin. Wschr., 1241; 1933. Z. physiol. Chem., 1934.
 Public Health Rep. Wash., 41, 1025; 1926.
 Buochem. J., 21, 698; 1927.
 cf. M. Kellog and W. H. Eddy, Science, 33, 609, Dec. 29, 1933.
 J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 53, 3501; 1931.
 Biochem. J., 23, 498; 1929.
 Biochem. J., 27, 225; 1933.

Effect of Mitogenetic Rays on Eggs of Drosophila melanogaster

The different methods for the demonstration of Gurwitsch rays have in common that the technique is always subtle and requires much practice; Magrou alone has described a simple method while using the eggs of the sea-urchin, but these eggs are only obtainable in certain months of the year and in marine laboratories; so we have sought for a more convenient object and have found it in the eggs of Drosophila melanogaster.

We used strips of paper, with a layer of agar and ordinary treacle; after deposition of the eggs by the flies, we put the paper strips into Petri dishes and moistened them with water. The source of our Gurwitsch rays was a culture three hours old of Staphylococcus pyogenes aureus in ordinary broth. The broth was put into test-tubes of fused silica closed by corks, and placed on the opened Petri dishes containing the paper strips with the eggs. The most suitable time for irradiation was found to be 20 minutes. Afterwards the two Petri dishes (irradiated and control) were closed with their covers of glass, and kept under the same conditions. We counted the larvæ that were hatched each day and sometimes every couple of hours; so we could always choose an epoch, when only 20-60 per cent of the control eggs were hatched, while a much greater number of the irradiated eggs were hatched.

The following results, which speak for themselves,

Irradiated Eggs

were obtained from nine experiments.

	Per cent hatched			Per cent hatched	Time of irradiation	Diff. (per cent
25 15	64 18.6	51 72	45 30	88 41·7	15-20 min. 15-20	24 ± 10 $23.1 \pm 7.$

OI CEES	natorica	marched	Or OFFE	mounta	Imported	Himalout	(per cent)
39 81	25 15	64 18·6	51 72	45 30	88 41·7	15-20 min. 15-20	$\begin{array}{c} 24 & \pm & 10.6 \\ 23.1 \pm & 7.45 \end{array}$
52	18	34.6	60	49	81.7	15-30	47·1 ± 8·1
$\frac{304}{324}$	210 147	69 45·4	312 304	300 228	96·1 75	20 20	27·1 ± 8·5 29·6 ± 3·7
344 366	255 136	74 37	327 357	323 244	98·4 68	20 20	24·4 ± 2·6 31 ± 3·5
118 74	79 38	67 51·3	117 85	98	83·5 70·6	20 20	$ \begin{array}{c} 31 & \pm & 3 \cdot 3 \\ 16 \cdot 5 \pm & 5 \cdot 5 \\ 19 \cdot 3 \pm & 7 \cdot 7 \end{array} $
1702	923	54.2	1685	1377	81.7		27·5 ± 1·54
		± 1·2		ì	± 0.95		

L. K. WOLFF. G. RAS.

Laboratory of Hygiene, University of Utrecht. Feb. 14.

The Pectoral Fin of Coelacanthus tingleyensis

The structure of the internal skeleton of Coelacanthus tingleyensis, Davis, was first described and figured by Wellburn as having six basal supports radiating out from the shoulder girdle in a manner similar to those in a pectoral fin described by Woodward³ from the Talbragar Beds. In view of the recent work of Stensiö⁴ on the structure of this fin in the Triassic Coelacanth Laugia groenlandica, we have re-examined Wellburn's specimen, which is now in the Leeds City Museum (No. D17), and found that the fin does not show the radials described by Wellburn. This we consider is important and worth putting on record, for it would have been difficult to reconcile the actinopterygian-like arrangement described by Wellburn with the archipterygial type of fin present in the later Triassic Coelacanths.

J. A. Moy-Thomas. Dept. of Zoology and Comparative Anatomy,

E. I. WHITE.

British Museum (Natural History), London. Feb. 9.

Geol. Mag., dec iv, 8, 71; 1901.
 Proc. York. Geol. and Polyt. Soc., 14, 483; 1902.
 Mem. Geol. Survey of New South Wales. Pal. No. 9, 1895.
 No. 1, 11 fig. 1.
 Med. om Grønland, 83, 62; 1932.