www.neuropsychopharmacology.org

Disruption of Prepulse Inhibition after Stimulation of Central but not Peripheral α -I Adrenergic Receptors

Karen M Alsene¹, Barbara S Carasso², Elenora E Connors¹ and Vaishali P Bakshi^{*,1}

¹Department of Psychiatry and Neuroscience Training Program, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA; ²UNDP, Amsterdam, Netherlands

Prepulse inhibition (PPI) refers to the attenuation of startle when a weak prestimulus precedes the startling stimulus. PPI is deficient in several psychiatric illnesses involving poor sensorimotor gating. Previous studies indicate that αI adrenergic receptors regulate PPI, yet the extent to which these effects are mediated by central vs peripheral receptors is unclear. The present studies compared the effects of intracerebroventricular (ICV) vs intraperitoneal (IP) delivery of several αI receptor agonists on PPI. Male Sprague–Dawley rats received either cirazoline (0, 10, 25, 50 µg/5 µl), methoxamine (0, 30, 100 µg/5 µl), or phenylephrine (0, 3, 10, 30 µg/5 µl) ICV immediately before testing. Separate groups received either cirazoline (0, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 mg/kg), methoxamine (0, 2, 5, 10 mg/kg), or phenylephrine (0, 0.1, 2.0 mg/kg) IP 5 min before testing. PPI, baseline startle responses, and piloerection, an index of autonomic arousal, were measured. Cirazoline disrupted PPI; effective ICV doses were approximately six times lower than effective IP doses. Methoxamine disrupted PPI after ICV infusion but failed to affect PPI with IP doses that were up to 30-fold higher than the effective ICV dose. Phenylephrine disrupted PPI with ICV administration, but did not alter PPI after IP injection of even a 20-fold higher dose. None of the ICV treatments altered baseline startle magnitude, but phenylephrine and methoxamine lowered startle after administration of high systemic doses. Piloerection was induced by cirazoline via either route of administration, and by IP methoxamine and phenylephrine, but not by ICV infusion of methoxamine or phenylephrine. These findings indicate that αI receptor-mediated PPI disruption occurs exclusively through stimulation of central receptors and is dissociable from alterations in baseline startle or autonomic effects. *Neuropsychopharmacology* (2006) **31**, 2150–2161. doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1300989; published online 11 January 2006

Keywords: prepulse inhibition; startle; norepinephrine; noradrenaline; sensorimotor gating; schizophrenia

INTRODUCTION

Prepulse inhibition (PPI) is an operational measure of sensorimotor gating, a process by which an organism can filter the flow of information from its internal and external environments (Geyer *et al*, 1990). PPI refers to the normal diminution of the startle response when the startling stimulus is preceded immediately by a weak intensity prepulse, and is deficient in a number of psychiatric illnesses that involve disturbed sensorimotor gating, including schizophrenia (Braff *et al*, 1978; Hoffman and Ison, 1980; Ison and Hoffman, 1983). Sensorimotor gating, as indexed by PPI, represents an important form of preattentional information processing that is critical for the maintenance of selective attention and normal cognitive functioning (Braff and Light, 2004). A breakdown in PPI can thus have devastating effects, contributing to the sensory inundation and cognitive fragmentation that are often seen in disorders such as schizophrenia (Braff *et al*, 2001; McGhie and Chapman, 1961).

Despite the large number of studies on the neurotransmitter and neuroanatomical substrates of PPI (Geyer et al, 2001; Swerdlow et al, 2001), relatively little is known about the role of the norepinephrine (NE) system in regulating sensorimotor gating. This paucity is surprising, given the prominent role of NE in processes relevant to attention and cognitive functioning. A number of psychiatric illnesses with manifested dysfunction in attentional mechanisms are hypothesized to involve pathology of the NE system (Aston-Jones et al, 1999). PPI deficits can be seen in many of these conditions including attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), schizophrenia, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD); interestingly, with ADHD, this PPI disruption is seen only with attended-to prepulses (Braff et al, 2001; Castellanos et al, 1996; Grillon et al, 1996; Hawk et al, 2003; Ornitz et al, 1992). Thus, clarifying the nature of PPI modulation by NE may further our understanding of

^{*}Correspondence: Dr VP Bakshi, Department of Psychiatry, UW-Madison School of Medicine, Wisconsin Psychiatric Institute and Clinics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 6001 Research Park Blvd., Madison, WI 53719, USA, Tel: + I 608 265 6062, Fax: + I 608 262 7813, E-mail: vbakshi@wisc.edu

Received 17 May 2005; revised 3 October 2005; accepted 7 October 2005

Online publication: 28 October 2005 at http://www.acnp.org/citations/ Npp102805050329/default.pdf

Increased NE transmission has long been known to enhance the magnitude of the involuntary startle response (Astrachan et al, 1983; Kehne and Davis, 1985). In recent years, the potential clinical importance of NE regulation of PPI has been underscored by the finding that prazosin, an $\alpha 1$ adrenergic receptor antagonist, recapitulates the behavioral effects of atypical but not traditional antipsychotics on PPI by preventing PPI deficits induced by the psychotomimetics phencyclidine (PCP) and dizocilpine (Bakshi and Geyer, 1997, 1999). Accordingly, systemic administration of the $\alpha 1$ noradrenergic receptor agonist cirazoline disrupts PPI with a pharmacological profile identical to that of PCP (Carasso et al, 1998; Shilling et al, 2004; Varty et al, 1999). Thus, stimulation of adrenergic $\alpha 1$ receptors may represent an important mechanism through which the NE system regulates PPI.

One problem with these previous studies is that the $\alpha 1$ compounds were administered systemically, which raises the possibility that $\alpha 1$ receptor modulation of PPI might occur though the periphery rather than the central nervous system (CNS). Moreover, because $\alpha 1$ adrenergic receptor ligands significantly alter sympathetic nervous system activity, it is possible that PPI deficits induced by $\alpha 1$ agonists such as cirazoline are secondary to the sympathomimetic effects of these drugs (Guimaraes and Moura, 2001). Finally, while cirazoline is a potent agonist for the $\alpha 1$ receptor, it also has a high affinity for nonadrenergic imidazoline-binding sites (Wikberg and Uhlen, 1990), so the extent to which cirazoline-induced PPI deficits are mediated specifically by $\alpha 1$ receptors is unclear.

The present studies sought to resolve these questions regarding $\alpha 1$ receptor mediation of PPI. First, to test the hypothesis that CNS rather than peripheral receptors mediate PPI, the effects of central *vs* systemic administration of $\alpha 1$ receptor agonists with low blood-brain barrier permeability were compared. Second, to evaluate if $\alpha 1$ agonist-induced PPI deficits were associated with increased sympathetic tone, the concomitant effects of these drugs on piloerection, an index of autonomic sympathetic activity, were measured. Finally, to determine whether stimulation of imidazoline receptors contributes to cirazoline-induced PPI disruptions, the effects of cirazoline were compared to those of two highly selective $\alpha 1$ agonists with negligible affinity for imidazoline sites.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Male Sprague–Dawley rats (Harlan Laboratories, Madison, WI and San Diego, CA) weighing 300–400 g were used in the present studies. Rats were housed in clear polycarbonate cages (two rats per cage) in a light- and temperature-controlled vivarium. Rats were maintained under a 12 h light/dark cycle (lights on at 0700 and off at 1900). Food and water were available to the rats *ad libitum*. All testing occurred between 0100 and 1500. Upon arrival, rats were handled daily by the experimenter. All facilities and procedures were in accordance with the guidelines regarding animal use and care put forth by the National Institutes

of Health of the United States, and were supervised and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Wisconsin.

Surgery

Rats used for the intracerebroventricular (ICV) infusion studies (weighing 300-320 g at the time of surgery) were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal (IP) injection of xylazine/ketamine mixture (80 mg ketamine and 12 mg xylazine per ml of the mixture; 1 ml/kg given; Phoenix Scientific, St Joseph, MO), and then secured in a stereotaxic frame (Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA). Stainless-steel cannulae (23 gauge, Small Parts Inc., Miami Lakes, FL) were implanted and affixed to the skull with dental cement (Lang Dental Mfg Co, Wheeling, IL) and anchoring skull screws (Plastics One, Roanoke, VA) and were aimed unilaterally at the lateral ventricle using the coordinates described in the atlas of Paxinos and Watson (1998). Final coordinates were AP: -1.0 mm from bregma, ML: +1.4 mmor -1.4 mm from midline (the laterality of the lateralmedial coordinate was alternated between rats), DV: -2.1 mm from skull surface. Wire stylets were placed in the cannulae to prevent blockage. After surgery, rats were given a recovery period of a week before testing (with daily health checks and handling).

Drugs

All drugs (cirazoline, methoxamine hydrochloride, phenylephrine hydrochloride, prazosin) were obtained from Sigma (St Louis, MO). All drugs except prazosin were dissolved in sterile isotonic (0.9%) saline; prazosin was dissolved with sonication in a vehicle solution of 1% dimethylsulfoxide in isotonic saline. Doses were calculated as salts, and the injection volume for systemic administration was 1 ml/kg; for all ICV experiments, the infusion volume was 5 μ l.

Microinfusion Procedure

For ICV infusions, rats were wrapped loosely in a cotton dishtowel while stylets were removed and placed into 70% ethanol. The cannula was cleaned with a dental broach (Henry Schein, Melville, NY) and a stainless-steel injector (30 gauge, Small Parts Inc., Miami Lakes, FL) was lowered so that it extended 1.5 mm past the tip of the cannula. Thus, the final DV coordinate for the lateral ventricle was 3.6 mm below the skull surface. The injector was attached with polyethylene tubing (PE-10, Becton Dickinson and Co., Sparks, MD) to a 10-µl glass Hamilton syringe (Hamilton Co., Reno, NV). The Hamilton syringe was used to manually administer the infusate over approximately 2 s. The injector remained in place for an additional minute to allow for spreading of the drug through the ventricles before the injector was removed and the stylet was reinserted into the cannula.

Startle Chambers

All testing occurred within startle chambers obtained from San Diego Instruments (San Diego, CA). Each of the startle Central α-1 receptors regulate PPI KM Alsene et al

chambers contained a nonrestrictive cylinder (made of Plexiglas) resting inside a ventilated and illuminated soundattenuating cabinet. A high-frequency loudspeaker inside the chamber produced both a continuous background noise of 65 dB and the various acoustic stimuli. As described previously (Mansbach *et al*, 1988), the whole-body startle response of the animal caused vibrations of the Plexiglas cylinder, which were then converted into analog signals by a piezoelectric unit attached to the platform. These signals were digitized and stored by a microcomputer and interface unit. Monthly calibrations were performed on the chambers to ensure accuracy of the sound levels and measurements. Sound levels were measured using the dB(A) scale.

Startle and PPI Testing

All startle sessions (baseline and test session) employed a continuous background noise of 65 dB that was presented alone for 5 min at the beginning of the session, and remained on throughout the entire session. At 2-3 days prior to the first test day, all rats underwent a brief (baseline) startle session to familiarize them with the testing procedure and to create matched treatment groups based on baseline startle responses (for Experiments 1b, 3a, 3b, and 4). Immediately prior to the baseline startle session, rats that were to receive ICV infusions during the test session were given sham infusions (during which injectors were lowered but no infusion was given). The baseline startle session contained a total of 15 trials presented in a pseudorandom order (ten 120-dB Pulse-Alone trials and five Prepulse + Pulse trials with a 77-dB prepulse and a 120-dB pulse; see below for trial definitions). The test session used in the experiments consisted of presentation of (in a pseudo-random order) 120-dB Pulse–Alone trials (a 40-ms, 120-dB broadband burst), Prepulse + Pulse trials (20-ms noises that were 3, 6, or 12 dB above the background noise and were presented 100 ms before the onset of the 120-dB pulse) and No Stimulus trials (only the background noise). The test session contained at total of 60 trials (10 each of the 3-, 6-, and 12-dB Prepulse + Pulse trials, 22 Pulse-Alone trials and eight No Stimulus trials). In addition, four Pulse–Alone trials were presented at the beginning and the end of the session; these trials were excluded from the analysis of startle and percent PPI but were used to achieve stable startle responses during the test session since steep habituation to the pulse is known to occur within the first few startle presentations (Geyer et al, 1990).

Experimental Protocol

Seven studies were conducted using separate groups of experimentally naïve rats. In each experiment, all rats were rated for the presence or absence of piloerection by an experimenter blind to the experimental conditions upon removal of rats from the startle chambers (approximately 30 min after pharmacological treatment). Figure 1 depicts a representative example of a control rat (no piloerection), and a cirazoline-treated rat exhibiting piloerection; a rat had to display at least the level of fur erectness shown in the right panel of Figure 1 to receive a positive piloerection score.



Figure I Photograph of criterion for piloerection rating. Left panel shows a representative rat not displaying piloerection, and the right panel shows a representative rat with piloerection. Rats had to exhibit at minimum the level of fur erectness seen in the right panel in order to be classified as displaying piloerection by the experimenter, who was blind to the drug treatments.

Experiment 1a examined the effects of central administration of the $\alpha 1$ agonist, cirazoline, on PPI. Rats (N=9) were given an ICV infusion of one dose of cirazoline (0, 10, 25, or 50 µg/5 µl) immediately prior to testing in the startle chambers. The doses were administered in a counterbalanced order, using a within-subjects design with at least one day separating consecutive testing days so that each rat received all doses over 4 test days. Experiment 1b examined the effects of systemically administered cirazoline on PPI. Rats (N=6-9/dose) were treated with an IP injection of cirazoline (saline, 0.25, 0.5, or 0.75 mg/kg) 5 min prior to testing in the startle chambers. The drug treatments were administered in a between-subjects design.

Experiment 2a examined the effects of central administration of a more selective $\alpha 1$ agonist methoxamine, which lacks imidazoline affinity and does not readily cross the blood-brain barrier (Holz *et al*, 1982). Rats (N=12) were given an ICV infusion of methoxamine (0, 30, or 100 µg/ 5µl) immediately prior to testing in the startle chambers. The methoxamine doses were administered in a counterbalanced, within-subjects design with at least 1 day separating consecutive test days. Experiment 2b examined the effects of systemic administration of methoxamine on PPI. Animals (N=6) were treated with an IP injection of methoxamine (0, 2, 5, 10 mg/kg) 5 min prior to testing in the startle chambers. The drug treatments were administered in a counterbalanced, within-subjects design with at least 1 day separating the testing days.

Experiment 3a assessed the effects of central administration of phenylephrine, another nonimidazoline $\alpha 1$ agonist with low permeability into the brain, on PPI (Guo *et al*, 1991; Holz *et al*, 1982). Rats (N=6-7/dose) were treated with an ICV infusion of one dose of phenylephrine (0, 3, 10, or 30 µg/5 µl) and immediately afterwards tested in the startle chambers using a between-subjects design. In Experiment 3b, the effects of systemic phenylephrine on PPI were evaluated. Rats (N=6/group) were given an IP injection of either phenylephrine (0.1 mg/kg) or saline 5 min before being tested in the startle chambers. An additional group of rats (N=7) was given an IP injection of either phenylephrine (2.0 mg/kg) or saline 5 min before being tested in the startle chambers. These experiments employed a between-subjects design.

In the final experiment, Experiment 4, the effects of antagonism of $\alpha 1$ receptors on $\alpha 1$ agonist-induced PPI deficits was evaluated. Rats (N = 5/group) were given an IP

injection of the $\alpha 1$ antagonist prazosin (1 mg/kg) or vehicle 25 min prior to an IP injection of cirazoline (0.5 mg/kg) or saline (in a 2 × 2 between-subjects design). Rats were tested for PPI 5 min following the second IP injection. Note that this dose of prazosin was chosen on the basis of its previously demonstrated ability to block cirazoline- or PCP-induced PPI deficits (Bakshi and Geyer, 1997; Carasso *et al*, 1998).

Upon completion of the ICV experiments, the placement of the cannula in the lateral ventricle was confirmed for each rat. Rats were given an overdose of pentobarbital (Abbot laboratories, North Chicago, IL) and given an infusion of 5 μ l of Chicago Sky Blue Dye (Sigma, St Louis, MO) using the same procedure outlined above for infusion of the α l adrenergic agonists. After infusion of the dye, rats were decapitated and brains were sliced into 1-mm sections. Only rats for which blue dye was observed in the third and fourth ventricles were considered to have accurate cannula placements in the lateral ventricle.

Data Analysis

The startle response to the onset of the 120-dB burst was recorded for 100 ms for each Pulse-Alone and Prepulse+ Pulse trial. Two measurements (startle magnitude and PPI) were calculated from these values for each rat for each of the different treatment conditions. Startle magnitude was calculated by taking the average of the startle responses to the Pulse-Alone trials. PPI was calculated as a percent score for each Prepulse + Pulse trial type: %PPI = 100-(((startle response for Prepulse + Pulse trial)/ (startle response for Pulse-Alone trial)) \times 100). Startle magnitude data were analyzed with one-factor ANOVAs with treatment as either a between-subjects (Experiments 1b, 3a, 3b, 4) or a within-subjects (Experiments 1a, 2a, 2b) factor. PPI data were analyzed with two-factor repeatedmeasures ANOVAs with treatment as either a betweensubjects (Experiments 1b, 3a, 3b, 4) or a within-subjects (Experiments 1a, 2a, 2b) factor and prepulse intensity as a repeated measure. Piloerection data were analyzed using Cochran's Q-tests to compare the frequency of piloerection observed in the rats for each drug condition (number of rats exhibiting piloerection per total number of rats in that treatment condition). Post hoc analyses were done using Tukey's *t*-tests. The α level for significance was set at 0.05. Note that for all experiments in which drug treatment was a within-subjects variable, treatment day was initially included as an additional factor in the ANOVA; however, as no main effects of treatment day or interactions between treatment day and any other factor were observed (indicating that there were no carry-over effects from prior $\alpha 1$ agonist administration), only the results of the 1- and 2factor ANOVAs described above are presented (for the sake of brevity). Finally, startle responses to the first four (block 1) and last four (block 2) 120-dB Pulse-Alone trials (omitted from the calculation of startle magnitude and PPI) were analyzed with separate 2-factor ANOVAs (block and drug dose as factors) for each dose-response Experiment, and a 3-factor ANOVA (block, pretreatment, treatment as factors) for experiment 4 in order to evaluate the effects of the drug treatments on short-term startle habituation.

RESULTS

In every experiment, there was a significant main effect of prepulse intensity, indicating that percent PPI increased with increasing prepulse intensity. This effect is a wellknown parametric feature of PPI (Geyer *et al*, 2001). For the sake of brevity, these main effects are not reported below for each individual experiment. Additionally, there were no significant effects on startle habituation in any experiment; for the sake of brevity, these null findings are not reiterated below.

Effects of Cirazoline on PPI

ICV cirazoline. The results from Experiment 1a are illustrated in Figure 2a. Centrally administered cirazoline significantly disrupted PPI, as shown by the main effect of treatment on PPI (F(3,24) = 6.4, P < 0.002). In addition, there was a significant interaction between treatment and prepulse level (F(6,48) = 3.4, P < 0.007). Subsequent *post hoc* tests revealed a significant reduction of PPI by the 25-µg dose of cirazoline at the 3-dB (P < 0.05) prepulse level and a decrease at the 6-dB and 12-dB prepulse levels by the 50-µg dose (P < 0.01), compared to the vehicle treatment.



Figure 2 (a and b) Effects of central (a) and systemic (b) administration of the α I agonist cirazoline on PPI. VEH = vehicle; CIR = cirazoline. Prepulse intensity = decibels above background noise. Values are shown as mean ± SEM. Central doses are in μ g/5 μ I and systemic doses are in mg/kg. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, relative to respective vehicle conditions.

2154

IP cirazoline. The results from Experiment 1b are shown in Figure 2b. Similar to ICV cirazoline, systemically administered cirazoline disrupted PPI as demonstrated by the main effect of treatment on PPI (F(3,23) = 3.5, P < 0.03); this result replicates our previous report of disrupted PPI with systemic cirazoline administration (Carasso et al, 1998). Post hoc tests revealed that the 0.5 mg/kg dose (P < 0.05) and the 0.75 mg/kg (P < 0.05) dose significantly lowered PPI compared to vehicle treatment at the 12-dB prepulse level. It is important to note that the lowest dose of IP cirazoline that disrupted PPI was 0.5 mg/kg while the lowest dose of ICV cirazoline that disrupted PPI was 25 µg. Thus, the central dose of cirazoline that disrupted PPI was six-fold lower than the minimum effective systemic dose (for rats weighing approximately 300 g, as those in the present study).

Effects of Methoxamine on PPI

ICV methoxamine. Experiment 2a sought to compare the effects on PPI of methoxamine, an $\alpha 1$ agonist that lacks imidazoline-binding and does not readily penetrate the brain when given systemically (Holz *et al*, 1982). The results from Experiment 2a are illustrated in Figure 3a. Centrally administered methoxamine dose dependently disrupted



Figure 3 (a and b) Effects of central (a) and systemic (b) administration of the αI agonist methoxamine on PPI. VEH = vehicle; MET = methoxamine. Prepulse intensity = decibels above background noise. Values are shown as mean \pm SEM. Central doses are in $\mu g/5 \,\mu$ I and systemic doses are in mg/kg. *P<0.05, relative to respective vehicle conditions.

Neuropsychopharmacology

PPI, as shown by the main effect of treatment on PPI (F(2,24) = 5.7, P < 0.01). Post hoc tests revealed a significant disruption in PPI by the 100-µg dose at the 12-dB prepulse level (P < 0.05).

IP methoxamine. The results from Experiment 2b are illustrated in Figure 3b. In contrast to ICV methoxamine, there was no effect of systemically administered methoxamine on PPI (F(3,16) = 0.5, NS). Therefore, the highest IP dose of methoxamine, 10 mg/kg, failed to disrupt PPI while a 30-fold lower dose, 100 µg, disrupted PPI when given centrally.

Effects of Phenylephrine on PPI

ICV phenylephrine. The results from Experiment 3a are shown in Figure 4a. Similar to methoxamine, central administration of the nonimidazoline $\alpha 1$ agonist phenylephrine disrupted PPI, as shown by the main effect of treatment on PPI (F(2,18) = 4.9, P < 0.02). Post hoc tests revealed a significant disruption by the 30-µg dose at the 12-dB prepulse level (P < 0.01).

IP phenylephrine. The results from Experiment 3b are illustrated in Figure 4b. In contrast to ICV phenylephrine, there was no effect of systemically administered phenylephrine on PPI. In the first group of rats that received phenylephrine (0 or 0.1 mg/kg), there was no effect of



Figure 4 (a and b) Effects of central (a) and systemic (b) administration of the αI agonist phenylephrine on PPI. VEH = vehicle; PHEN = phenylephrine. Prepulse intensity = decibels above background noise. Values are shown as mean \pm SEM. Central doses are in $\mu g/5 \mu I$ and systemic doses are in mg/kg. **P < 0.01, relative to respective vehicle conditions.

treatment on PPI (F(1,10) = 0.36, P = 0.56, NS). In the second group of rats that received phenylephrine (0 or 2.0 mg/kg), there was also no effect of treatment on PPI (F(1,6) = 0.1.9, P = 0.21, NS). Thus, even at a dose that was 20-fold higher than the PPI-disruptive ICV dose, phenylephrine failed to disrupt PPI after IP administration.

Note that a one-way ANOVA was used to compare the vehicle groups of the two different IP phenylephrine studies (0.1 mg/kg study and 2 mg/kg study). This ANOVA revealed that there was no significant difference between the two vehicle groups (F(1,11) = 0.232, P < 0.64, NS). Therefore, for the sake of brevity, the data from the two vehicle groups are combined in Figure 4b and in Tables 1 and 2.

Effects of Prazosin on Cirazoline-Induced PPI

The results from Experiment 4 are shown in Figure 5. Similar to Experiment 1b, IP cirazoline disrupted PPI as shown by the main effect of treatment on PPI (F(1,18) = 13.55, P < 0.005). Post hoc tests revealed a significant disruption by the 0.5 mg/kg dose at the 6and 12-dB prepulse levels (P < 0.01). A significant effect of pretreatment was also seen (F(1,18) = 6.38, P < 0.05). Importantly, a significant interaction between pretreatment and treatment was also observed (F(1,16) = 9.0,P < 0.01), indicating that prazosin pretreatment significantly attenuated the effects of cirazoline on PPI. Post hoc analyses revealed that at both the 6- and 12-dB prepulse intensities, the prazosin/cirazoline groups had significantly higher PPI levels than the vehicle/cirazoline condition (P < 0.05). PPI values for the prazosin/cirazoline condition were not significantly different from vehicle/vehicle values.

Table I Effects of α I Agonists on Baseline Startle Magnitude

ICV	Vehicle	0 μg/5 μ	25 μg/5 μl	50 μg/5 μl
Cirazoline	256 <u>+</u> 47	190 <u>+</u> 34	264±56	269 <u>+</u> 79
IP	Vehicle	0.25 mg/kg	0.50 mg/kg	0.75 mg/kg
Cirazoline	457 <u>+</u> 100	363±42	528±81	369 <u>+</u> 66
ICV	Vehicle	30 µg/5 µl	00 μg/5 μ	
Methoxamine	419 <u>+</u> 37	264 <u>+</u> 50	331 <u>±</u> 51	
IP	Vehicle	2 mg/kg	5 mg/kg	10 mg/kg
Methoxamine	402 <u>+</u> 69	231 <u>+</u> 87	157 <u>+</u> 56	151 <u>+</u> 76
ICV	Vehicle	3 μg/5 μl	0 μg/5 μ	30 µg/5 µl
Phenylephrine	346 <u>+</u> 78	289 <u>+</u> 38	246 <u>+</u> 54	213 <u>+</u> 55
IP	Vehicle	0.1 mg/kg	2.0 mg/kg	
Phenylephrine	420±34	510±106	54 <u>+</u> **	
IP Prazosin/	Veh/Veh	Veh/Cir	Praz/Veh	Praz/Cir
IP Cirazoline	414 <u>+</u> 78	557 <u>+</u> 93	507 ± 134	530 <u>+</u> 84

Values are mean \pm SEM. **P<0.01, compared to vehicle.

Startle Magnitude

The effects of all the drug treatments on startle magnitude are shown in Table 1. Neither IP nor ICV cirazoline affected baseline startle magnitude. Similarly, there was no effect of ICV methoxamine or phenylephrine on startle. However, there was a significant effect of IP phenylephrine on baseline startle magnitude in the high-dose study (F(1,12) = 24.0, P < 0.001). *Post hoc* analyses indicated that the 2.0 mg/kg dose significantly reduced startle magnitude compared to vehicle values (P < 0.01). Similarly, IP methoxamine tended

Tabl	е 2	Effects	of α l	Agonists	on	Piloerection
------	-----	---------	---------------	----------	----	--------------

ICV	Vehicle	0 μg/5 μl	25 μg/5 μl	50 μg/5 μl
Cirazoline***	0/9	6/9	9/9	9/9
IP	Vehicle	0.25 mg/kg	0.50 mg/kg	0.75 mg/kg
Cirazoline***	0/9	8/9	9/9	9/9
ICV	Vehicle	30 µg/5 µl	00 μg/5 μl	
Methoxamine	0/5	0/5	0/5	
IP	Vehicle	2 mg/kg	5 mg/kg	10 mg/kg
Methoxamine***	0/5	4/5	5/5	5/5
ICV	Vehicle	3 μg/5 μl	10 μg/5 μl	30 µg/5 µl
Phenylephrine	0/5	0/5	0/5	0/5
IP	Vehicle	0.1 mg/kg	2.0 mg/kg	
Phenylephrine***	0/13	0/6	7/7	
IP Prazosin/	Veh/Veh	Veh/Cir	Praz/Veh	Praz/Cir
IP Cirazoline***	0/5	5/5	0/5	0/5

Values are number of rats showing piloerection over total number of rats per group. ***P < 0.001 compared to vehicle or vehicle/vehicle, Cochran's Q-test.



Figure 5 Effects of pretreatment with the α I antagonist prazosin on cirazoline-induced PPI deficits. VEH = vehicle; CIRAZ = cirazoline; PRAZ = prazosin. Prepulse intensity = decibels above background noise. Values are shown as mean ± SEM. Doses are in mg/kg, all given intraperitoneally. **P<0.01, ***P<0.005, relative to vehicle/vehicle condition and ⁺P<0.05, relative to vehicle/cirazoline condition.

to reduce startle magnitude, although this effect did not reach statistical (F(3,16) = 3.13, P < 0.06). Thus, none of the ICV treatments, all of which disrupted PPI, affected startle magnitude; therefore, the PPI-disrupting effects of central $\alpha 1$ receptor stimulation are independent of changes in baseline startle reactivity.

Piloerection

In order to determine if $\alpha 1$ agonist-induced PPI disruption was related to the well-known autonomic effects of $\alpha 1$ receptor stimulation, the effects of the three $\alpha 1$ noradrenergic agonists on piloerection, an index of autonomic activation (Stephens, 1986), were measured. Rats were scored for the presence or absence of piloerection by an experimenter blind to their treatment condition. The number of rats displaying piloerection per total number of rats in each treatment condition is shown in Table 2. Treatment with cirazoline significantly induced piloerection with either route of administration. Central cirazoline increased piloerection (P<0.001, Cochran's Q-test) with both the medium and the high doses of ICV cirazoline producing piloerection in nine out of nine rats (compared to 0 of nine rats showing piloerection in the vehicle condition). Similarly, all three doses of systemic cirazoline significantly increased the frequency of piloerection (P < 0.001), with the low-dose producing piloerection in eight out of nine rats (89%) and both the medium and the high doses causing piloerection in nine out of nine rats (100%). Similarly, in Experiment 4, five out of the five rats that received cirazoline alone displayed piloerection. In contrast, none of the rats receiving prazosin plus cirazoline (0 out of five) showed piloerection, indicating that prazosin reversed the cirazoline effect and confirming that cirazoline-induced piloerection is mediated by $\alpha 1$ receptors. In the case of methoxamine, both the medium and high doses of systemic methoxamine produced piloerection in five out of five rats (100%, P < 0.01). In contrast to systemic methoxamine, there was no effect of central methoxamine on piloerection, as none of the rats exhibited piloerection with any central methoxamine dose. Finally, there was an effect of systemic phenylephrine on piloerection. In the group that received 2 mg/kg, seven out of seven rats (or 100%) showed piloerection. There was no effect of central phenylephrine on piloerection. Thus, there was a double-dissociation between piloerection and PPI, as illustrated by the effects of methoxamine and phenylephrine: IP administration produced piloerection but failed to disrupt PPI, while ICV administration did not produce piloerection but did disrupt PPI. Thus, piloerection is neither necessary nor sufficient to disrupt PPI.

DISCUSSION

The present studies provide several important findings regarding $\alpha 1$ adrenergic receptor regulation of PPI. First, central administration of the $\alpha 1$ agonist, cirazoline, disrupted PPI. This disruption was robust and occurred with multiple doses of cirazoline. In addition, in accordance with previous reports, systemic administration of cirazoline disrupted PPI (Carasso *et al*, 1998; Shilling *et al*, 2004; Varty

et al, 1999). Second, central administration of the $\alpha 1$ agonist methoxamine disrupted PPI. However, systemic methoxamine did not disrupt PPI, even at a dose approximately 30 times higher than the central dose. Third, central administration of the $\alpha 1$ agonist phenylephrine disrupted PPI. As with methoxamine, however, systemic phenylephrine failed to disrupt PPI, even at a dose that was 20 times higher than the PPI-disruptive central dose. Fourth, the α 1-selective receptor antagonist prazosin completely blocked all the effects of cirazoline, confirming that these effects are mediated specifically by al receptors. Fifth, al receptormediated PPI disruption is dissociable from changes in baseline startle reactivity as none of the treatments produced a significant change in startle magnitude. Finally, α 1 receptor-mediated PPI disruption is dissociable from changes in piloerection, a measure of autonomic activation. Taken together, these results indicate that stimulation of central but not peripheral $\alpha 1$ adrenergic receptors causes a specific disruption of sensorimotor gating that is independent of alterations in baseline startle reactivity or general autonomic activation. To our knowledge, these are the first studies to directly show that the effects of $\alpha 1$ adrenergic receptor stimulation on PPI are centrally mediated.

In the present studies and in previous work, cirazoline has been found to disrupt PPI when given systemically (Carasso et al, 1998; Shilling et al, 2004; Varty et al, 1999). This effect can be blocked with either the $\alpha 1$ antagonist prazosin or the atypical antipsychotic seroquel, but not a dopamine D2 receptor antagonist (Carasso et al, 1998). The present study sought to extend this finding by determining whether the effects of cirazoline, which readily crosses the blood-brain barrier, on PPI are mediated by central or peripheral $\alpha 1$ adrenergic receptors. The finding that centrally administered cirazoline disrupted PPI more robustly, and at six-fold lower doses than systemic cirazoline, suggests that the effect is likely mediated by central $\alpha 1$ receptors. Nonetheless, in order to confirm that $\alpha 1$ receptor-induced deficits in PPI are mediated by the CNS and not the periphery, the effects of $\alpha 1$ agonists with low blood-brain barrier permeability were also examined. When comparing the effects of central vs systemic administration of methoxamine and phenylephrine, two $\alpha 1$ agonists with low blood-brain barrier permeability (Holz et al, 1982; Krulich et al, 1982), it was found that both methoxamine and phenylephrine disrupted PPI when administered centrally, but not systemically. In the case of methoxamine, even a dose that was 30-fold higher than the effective central dose failed to disrupt PPI when given systemically, indicating that central and not peripheral $\alpha 1$ receptors mediate the effects of $\alpha 1$ adrenergic agonists on PPI.

It has been shown that augmenting noradrenergic transmission can increase startle magnitude, thus raising the possibility that $\alpha 1$ agonist-induced effects on PPI are simply an artifact of altered baseline startle reactivity. For example, intrathecal infusion of direct postsynaptic adrenergic agonists, including phenylephrine, have been found to increase startle responses in rats (Astrachan and Davis, 1981). Previous studies with cirazoline have shown that systemic administration of this $\alpha 1$ agonist can also increase startle responses, although at a higher dose range than what was utilized in the present studies (Carasso *et al*, 1998;

Varty *et al*, 1999). Further, systemic administration of the $\alpha 2$ adrenergic antagonist, yohimbine, which would increase NE tone, has been shown to facilitate acoustic startle amplitude in both humans and animals (Kehne and Davis, 1985; Krulich *et al*, 1982; Morgan *et al*, 1993). However, a recent study found no effect of yohimbine or another $\alpha 2$ antagonist, atipamezole, on startle (Powell *et al*, 2005), and, in the present studies, high systemic doses of phenylephrine and methoxamine actually decreased baseline startle magnitude. Thus, the regulation of startle magnitude by manipulations that increase NE transmission remains unclear.

Importantly, in this study we found that none of the $\alpha 1$ agonists altered startle magnitude after central infusion even though they disrupted PPI with this route of administration. Moreover, the manipulations that affected startle magnitude (IP phenylephrine and IP methoxamine) failed to influence PPI. Taken together, there results indicate that $\alpha 1$ receptor-mediated changes in PPI are completely dissociable from changes in startle magnitude. In concert with this dissociation is the recent finding that two different $\alpha 2$ antagonists, which could increase NE via blockade of inhibitory autoreceptors, were found to disrupt PPI without affecting baseline startle reactivity (Powell et al, 2005). Therefore, within the dose ranges utilized in the present studies, stimulation of central a1 receptors specifically and selectively disrupts this form of plasticity of the startle response (ie PPI) rather than the startle reflex itself.

Another issue that could compromise the interpretation of the present findings is that enhanced NE transmission can cause sympathetic arousal (Cooper et al, 2003), leading to the possibility that the $\alpha 1$ agonist-induced PPI deficits observed in the present study were secondary to the recruitment of autonomic activation. In order to test the hypothesis that $\alpha 1$ agonist-induced PPI deficits are associated with increased sympathetic tone, the effects of the drugs on piloerection, an index of autonomic sympathetic activity (Stephens, 1986) was examined. Piloerection is believed to involve the spinal cord (Roberts and Foglesong, 1988) and postganglionic sympathetic fibers (Gibbins, 1992) and is part of the constellation of autonomic effects, such as increased heart rate and blood pressure, that are commonly observed with enhanced NE transmission (Hein et al, 1999; Lahdesmaki et al, 2002; Minneman et al, 1981; Philipp and Hein, 2004). Several lines of evidence implicate the noradrenergic system in the regulation of piloerection. Mice lacking the $\alpha 2a$ receptor and thus displaying a phenotype of increased noradrenergic function have an increased frequency of piloerection (Lahdesmaki et al, 2002). Conversely, the $\alpha 1$ antagonist prazosin blocks fearinduced piloerection in mice (Masuda et al, 1999). Similarly, mice who lack the gene for dopamine- β -hydroxylase (the enzyme that converts dopamine into NE) and are thus unable to synthesize NE show a reduction in piloerection (Thomas and Palmiter, 1997). Thus, as with other indices of autonomic activation such as increased heart rate, manipulations that increase NE tone generally elicit piloerection, whereas those that reduce NE transmission decrease piloerection. Several studies show that methoxamine produces piloerection in humans (Duke et al, 1963; Radley et al, 2001; Tomasi et al, 2005). Consistent with this pattern, it was found in the present studies that direct $\alpha 1$ agonists elicited piloerection. Cirazoline-elicited piloerection when administered either centrally or systemically; both routes of administration also led to PPI disruption. In the case of methoxamine and phenylephrine, however, a critical dissociation between piloerection and PPI was observed. Systemic administration of these drugs produced piloerection but failed to affect PPI whereas central infusion disrupted PPI but failed to induce piloerection. Taken together, these findings indicate that different populations of al receptors may modulate piloerection and PPI, with piloerection likely being mediated by $\alpha 1$ receptors in the periphery and spinal cord and PPI being regulated by a1 receptors in the brain. The finding that ICV infusion of cirazoline-elicited piloerection is likely due to the activation of peripheral $\alpha 1$ receptors, as this drug is highly lipophilic and may cross the blood-brain barrier after central administration, unlike methoxamine and phenylephrine, which elicited piloerection only after IP injection. Thus, it appears that autonomic arousal (as indexed by piloerection) is neither necessary nor sufficient to disrupt PPI after $\alpha 1$ agonist administration.

It is important to note that cirazoline, in addition to acting on the noradrenergic system, also has high affinity for imidazoline receptors (Angel et al, 1995; Bricca et al, 1988, 1989; Wikberg and Uhlen, 1990). Imidazoline receptors have been shown to be important in mediating the hypotensive effects of antihypertensive drugs such as clonidine (Head, 1995). In the case of cirazoline, it has been shown that infusions of cirazoline into the nucleus reticularis lateralis of the medulla oblongata results in a hypotensive effect that is independent of α receptors (Bousquet et al, 1984). Thus, it appears that cirazoline produces some of its effects through central imidazoline sites and raises the possibility that the PPI-disruptive effects of this compound are mediated through imidazoline rather than $\alpha 1$ receptors. This possibility is unlikely, however, given that both methoxamine and phenylephrine, which have negligible affinity for imidazoline receptors, potently disrupt PPI after central infusion. In addition, the α 1selective antagonist prazosin, which also lacks affinity for imidazoline sites (Angel et al, 1995; Wikberg and Uhlen, 1990) completely reverses cirazoline-induced deficits in PPI (Carasso *et al*, 1998). Thus, the PPI-disruptive effects of $\alpha 1$ agonists such as cirazoline are due to actions at $\alpha 1$ and not imidazoline receptors.

Despite the well-known role of NE in processes related to arousal, attention, and cognitive function (Arnsten *et al*, 1998; Aston-Jones *et al*, 1999; Berridge and Waterhouse, 2003), surprisingly few studies have examined the role of the adrenergic system in modulating PPI. A few studies using transgenic mice have shown that mice lacking the $\alpha 2C$ receptor have disrupted PPI (Sallinen *et al*, 1998) while mice lacking the $\alpha 1D$ receptor or the $\alpha 2A$ receptor do not show the same magnitude of disruption in PPI after psychotomimetic drug administration as wild-type mice (Lahdesmaki *et al*, 2004; Mishima *et al*, 2004). It must be pointed out though, that a recent study examined the effects of the $\alpha 2$ antagonist yohimbine on PPI and found that while yohimbine disrupts PPI, this effect may in part be due to its actions at serotonin-1A receptors (Powell *et al*, 2005).

Our previous work using acute drug administration as in the present study has indicated that $\alpha 1$ receptors may in part mediate the PPI-disrupting effects of psychotomimetic drugs such as PCP and dizolcipine and may represent an important mechanism through which atypical antipsychotics block these sensorimotor gating deficits, since the $\alpha 1$ receptor antagonist prazosin mimics the ability of clozapine but not traditional neuroleptics to block N-methyl-Dasparate antagonist-induced PPI deficits (Bakshi and Geyer, 1997, 1999). Consistent with these results is the finding that stimulation of $\alpha 1$ receptors disrupts PPI (Carasso *et al*, 1998; Shilling et al, 2004; Varty et al, 1999). While clearly indicating an important role for $\alpha 1$ receptors in PPI, these studies did not determine if $\alpha 1$ receptor effects on PPI are mediated in the CNS, and failed to address the possibility that the PPI-disruptive effect of $\alpha 1$ receptor stimulation may be secondary to the recruitment of other α 1-mediated effects such as autonomic activation. Our findings clarify these issues and significantly extend this literature regarding noradrenergic modulation of PPI by showing that $\alpha 1$ receptor-mediated PPI disruptions are produced specifically through central rather than peripheral receptors and that these disruptions are independent of changes in baseline startle reactivity or autonomic activation, which are two other well-known effects associated with noradrenergic receptor stimulation. Thus, the present studies corroborate the notion that the NE system modulates PPI and are the first to systematically demonstrate that CNS $\alpha 1$ receptors selectively and specifically disrupt sensorimotor gating.

The finding that central $\alpha 1$ receptor stimulation disrupts sensorimotor gating is syntonic with several prominent theories on the regulation of cognitive processing by the noradrenergic system. For many years, the locus coeruleus (LC)-NE system has been known to influence attention and cognition functioning, participating in the maintenance of states of high arousal and vigilance, and contributing to general processes underlying learning and memory (Aston-Jones et al, 1999; Berridge and Waterhouse, 2003; Everitt et al, 1983; Foote et al, 1983; Langlais et al, 1993; Rajkowski et al, 1994). For instance, it has been proposed that the regulation of attention by LC-NE neurons has an inverted-U-shaped profile, with either hypo- or hyperactivity of tonic LC-NE discharge rates disrupting the ability to maintain focused attention (Aston-Jones et al, 1999). Arnsten (2004) have proposed that high levels of NE in the prefrontal cortex, which could result from a hyperactivation of the LC-NE system, act on $\alpha 1$ receptors to disrupt cognition. For example, $\alpha 1$ receptor stimulation within the prefrontal cortex with cirazoline or phenylephrine produces impairments in tasks of working memory in rats and nonhuman primates (Arnsten and Jentsch, 1997; Arnsten et al, 1999; Mao et al, 1999). A similar mechanism could govern the regulation of PPI by the LC-NE system, with hyperactivation of LC resulting in the stimulation of $\alpha 1$ receptors via enhanced NE release in terminal regions. Importantly, disruption of PPI and working memory are two of the cardinal features observed in schizophrenia patients, and are thought to provide operational measures for the information-processing deficits that are hypothesized to contribute to the pathophysiology of this illness (Arnsten, 2004; Braff and Light, 2004). The present results thus further strengthen the notion that central α 1 receptors may regulate cognitive processes that are deficient in psychiatric illnesses such as schizophrenia.

of schizophrenia patients, an observation that offers some evidence for disturbances of the noradrenergic system within this psychiatric population (Breier et al, 1990; Hornykiewicz, 1982; van Kammen et al, 1989a). In fact, some have proposed that the noradrenergic system may be an important component in the therapeutic actions of certain antipsychotic medications (Baldessarini et al, 1992; Breier, 1994; Cohen and Lipinski, 1986; Prinssen et al, 1994; Svensson et al, 1995). Several disorders with deficient sensorimotor gating, such as ADHD and PTSD have hypothesized pathology within the NE system (Aston-Jones et al, 1999; Southwick et al, 1999), and there is some evidence that administration of clonidine, which decreases noradrenergic transmission from the LC, improves conduct symptoms in patients with ADHD and global symptoms in patients with PTSD (Hazell and Stuart, 2003; Kinzie and Leung, 1989; Porter and Bell, 1999). In the case of schizophrenia, a few studies have shown that clonidine may be beneficial in treating psychotic symptoms (Freedman et al, 1982; Maas et al, 1995; van Kammen et al, 1989b). However, several studies have reported no effect of clonidine in schizophrenic patients (Jimerson et al, 1980; Simpson et al, 1967; Sugerman, 1967), but this discrepancy has been attributed to patient selection (ie antipsychotic drug responsiveness) and differences in dosage of clonidine (Freedman et al, 1982). Insofar as deficient PPI represents an operational measure of information-processing deficits associated with schizophrenia and has been proposed as an endophenotype for this and other illnesses involving deficient sensorimotor gating (Braff and Freedman, 2002; Braff et al, 2001), the present findings suggest that dysregulation of neurotransmission at CNS α 1 receptors may contribute to the information-filtering abnormalities that are observed in these illnesses.

It is interesting to note that elevations in NE have been

found in the cerebrospinal fluid, plasma, or brain tissue

In summary, the present findings indicate that the noradrenergic system, in part acting through central $\alpha 1$ receptors, plays an important role in modulating PPI. It was found that central administration of three different α 1 agonists produced a disruption in PPI, while systemic administration of $\alpha 1$ agonists with low brain permeability did not produce any effect on PPI. In addition, effects of the $\alpha 1$ drugs on baseline startle magnitude and piloerection, two responses that commonly result from $\alpha 1$ receptor stimulation, were dissociable from effects on PPI. Thus, the current results for the first time indicate a CNSmediated disruption specifically of sensorimotor gating by α 1 receptor stimulation. Future studies are needed to understand the neuroanatomical regions subserving the effects of noradrenergic drugs on PPI as well as the contributions of the different noradrenergic receptor subtypes. Nonetheless, the present studies represent an important first step in characterizing the neural substrates through which the NE system regulates sensorimotor gating. Ultimately, this work may lead to an improved understanding of how a system that has been relatively overlooked in studies of PPI may participate in the information-processing deficits that are observed in schizophrenia and other disorders of deficient information filtering.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

These studies were supported by NIMH Grant #42228, NRSA T32 GM0757, the Scottish Rite Schizophrenia Research Fellowship Program (to KMA), the NARSAD Young Investigator Award program (to VPB), and a Howard Hughes Medical Institute Faculty Development award (to VPB).

REFERENCES

- Angel I, Le Rouzic M, Pimoule C, Graham D, Arbilla S (1995). [3H]cirazoline as a tool for the characterization of imidazoline sites. *Ann NY Acad Sci* **763**: 112–124.
- Arnsten AF (2004). Adrenergic targets for the treatment of cognitive deficits in schizophrenia. *Psychopharmacology (Berlin)* 174: 25–31.
- Arnsten AF, Jentsch JD (1997). The alpha-1 adrenergic agonist, cirazoline, impairs spatial working memory performance in aged monkeys. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 58: 55–59.
- Arnsten AF, Mathew R, Ubriani R, Taylor JR, Li BM (1999). Alpha-1 noradrenergic receptor stimulation impairs prefrontal cortical cognitive function. *Biol Psychiatr* **45**: 26–31.
- Arnsten AF, Steere JC, Jentsch DJ, Li BM (1998). Noradrenergic influences on prefrontal cortical cognitive function: opposing actions at postjunctional alpha 1 versus alpha 2-adrenergic receptors. Adv Pharmacol 42: 764–767.
- Aston-Jones G, Rajkowski J, Cohen J (1999). Role of locus coeruleus in attention and behavioral flexibility. *Biol Psychiatr* 46: 1309–1320.
- Astrachan DI, Davis M (1981). Spinal modulation of the acoustic startle response: the role of norepinephrine, serotonin and dopamine. *Brain Res* **206**: 223–228.
- Astrachan DI, Davis M, Gallager DW (1983). Behavior and binding: correlations between alpha 1-adrenergic stimulation of acoustic startle and alpha 1-adrenoceptor occupancy and number in rat lumbar spinal cord. *Brain Res* **260**: 81–90.
- Bakshi VP, Geyer MA (1997). Phencyclidine-induced deficits in prepulse inhibition of startle are blocked by prazosin, an alpha-1 noradrenergic antagonist. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **283**: 666–674.
- Bakshi VP, Geyer MA (1999). Alpha-1-adrenergic receptors mediate sensorimotor gating deficits produced by intracerebral dizocilpine administration in rats. *Neuroscience* **92**: 113–121.
- Baldessarini RJ, Huston-Lyons D, Campbell A, Marsh E, Cohen BM (1992). Do central antiadrenergic actions contribute to the atypical properties of clozapine? *Br J Psychiatr* 17(Suppl): 12–16.
- Berridge CW, Waterhouse BD (2003). The locus coeruleusnoradrenergic system: modulation of behavioral state and state-dependent cognitive processes. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev* 42: 33-84.
- Bousquet P, Feldman J, Schwartz J (1984). Central cardiovascular effects of alpha adrenergic drugs: differences between catecholamines and imidazolines. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 230: 232-236.
- Braff D, Stone C, Callaway E, Geyer M, Glick I, Bali L (1978). Prestimulus effects on human startle reflex in normals and schizophrenics. *Psychophysiology* 15: 339–343.
- Braff DL, Freedman R (2002). Endophenotypes in the studies of the genetics of schizophrenia. In: Davies KL, Charney DS, Coyle JT, Nemeroff CB (eds). *Neuropsychopharmacology: The* 5th Generation of Progress. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: Philadelphia, pp 703-716.
- Braff DL, Geyer MA, Swerdlow NR (2001). Human studies of prepulse inhibition of startle: normal subjects, patient groups, and pharmacological studies. *Psychopharmacology (Berlin)* **156**: 234–258.

- Braff DL, Light GA (2004). Preattentional and attentional cognitive deficits as targets for treating schizophrenia. *Psychopharmacology* (*Berlin*) 174: 75–85.
- Breier A (1994). Clozapine and noradrenergic function: support for a novel hypothesis for superior efficacy. *J Clin Psychiatr* 55(Suppl B): 122–125.
- Breier A, Wolkowitz OM, Roy A, Potter WZ, Pickar D (1990). Plasma norepinephrine in chronic schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatr 147: 1467–1470.
- Bricca G, Dontenwill M, Molines A, Feldman J, Belcourt A, Bousquet P (1988). Evidence for the existence of a homogeneous population of imidazoline receptors in the human brainstem. *Eur J Pharmacol* **150**: 401–402.
- Bricca G, Dontenwill M, Molines A, Feldman J, Belcourt A, Bousquet P (1989). The imidazoline preferring receptor: binding studies in bovine, rat and human brainstem. *Eur J Pharmacol* **162**: 1–9.
- Carasso BS, Bakshi VP, Geyer MA (1998). Disruption in prepulse inhibition after alpha-1 adrenoceptor stimulation in rats. *Neuropharmacology* **37**: 401–404.
- Castellanos FX, Fine EJ, Kaysen D, Marsh WL, Rapoport JL, Hallett M (1996). Sensorimotor gating in boys with Tourette's syndrome and ADHD: preliminary results. *Biol Psychiatr* **39**: 33–41.
- Cohen BM, Lipinski JF (1986). *In vivo* potencies of antipsychotic drugs in blocking alpha 1 noradrenergic and dopamine D2 receptors: implications for drug mechanisms of action. *Life Sci* **39**: 2571–2580.
- Cooper JR, Bloom FE, Roth RH (2003). *The Biochemical Basis of Neuropharmacology*, 8th edn. Oxford University Press Inc.: Oxford.
- Duke M, Ames RP, Abelmann WH (1963). Hemodynamic effects of methoxamine in normal human subjects. *Am J Med Sci* 246: 301–307.
- Everitt BJ, Robbins TW, Gaskin M, Fray PJ (1983). The effects of lesions to ascending noradrenergic neurons on discrimination learning and performance in the rat. *Neuroscience* 10: 397–410.
- Foote SL, Bloom FE, Aston-Jones G (1983). Nucleus locus ceruleus: new evidence of anatomical and physiological specificity. *Physiol Rev* 63: 844–914.
- Freedman R, Kirch D, Bell J, Adler LE, Pecevich M, Pachtman E *et al* (1982). Clonidine treatment of schizophrenia. Double-blind comparison to placebo and neuroleptic drugs. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* **65**: 35–45.
- Geyer MA, Krebs-Thomson K, Braff DL, Swerdlow NR (2001). Pharmacological studies of prepulse inhibition models of sensorimotor gating deficits in schizophrenia: a decade in review. *Psychopharmacology (Berlin)* **156**: 117–154.
- Geyer MA, Swerdlow NR, Mansbach RS, Braff DL (1990). Startle response models of sensorimotor gating and habituation deficits in schizophrenia. *Brain Res Bull* 25: 485–498.
- Gibbins IL (1992). Vasoconstrictor, vasodilator and pilomotor pathways in sympathetic ganglia of guinea-pigs. *Neuroscience* **47**: 657–672.
- Grillon C, Morgan CA, Southwick SM, Davis M, Charney DS (1996). Baseline startle amplitude and prepulse inhibition in Vietnam veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychiatr Res* 64: 169–178.
- Guimaraes S, Moura D (2001). Vascular adrenoceptors: an update. *Pharmacol Rev* **53**: 319–356.
- Guo TZ, Tinklenberg J, Oliker R, Maze M (1991). Central alpha 1-adrenoceptor stimulation functionally antagonizes the hypnotic response to dexmedetomidine, an alpha 2-adrenoceptor agonist. *Anesthesiology* **75**: 252–256.
- Hawk Jr LW, Yartz AR, Pelham Jr WE, Lock TM (2003). The effects of methylphenidate on prepulse inhibition during attended and ignored prestimuli among boys with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Psychopharmacology (Berlin)* **165**: 118–127.

- Hazell PL, Stuart JE (2003). A randomized controlled trial of clonidine added to psychostimulant medication for hyperactive and aggressive children. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatr 42: 886–894.
- Head GA (1995). Importance of imidazoline receptors in the cardiovascular actions of centrally acting antihypertensive agents. *Ann NY Acad Sci* **763**: 531–540.
- Hein L, Altman JD, Kobilka BK (1999). Two functionally distinct alpha2-adrenergic receptors regulate sympathetic neurotransmission. *Nature* **402**: 181–184.
- Hoffman HS, Ison JR (1980). Reflex modification in the domain of startle: I. Some empirical findings and their implications for how the nervous system processes sensory input. *Psychol Rev* 87: 175–189.
- Holz WC, Hieble JP, Gill CA, DeMarinis RM, Pendleton RG (1982). alpha-Adrenergic agents. 3. Behavioral effects of 2-aminotetralins. *Psychopharmacology (Berlin)* 77: 259–267.
- Hornykiewicz O (1982). Brain catecholamines in schizophrenia—a good case for noradrenaline. *Nature* **299**: 484–486.
- Ison JR, Hoffman HS (1983). Reflex modification in the domain of startle: II. The anomalous history of a robust and ubiquitous phenomenon. *Psychol Bull* **94**: 3–17.
- Jimerson DC, Post RM, Stoddard FJ, Gillin JC, Bunney Jr WE (1980). Preliminary trial of the noradrenergic agonist clonidine in psychiatric patients. *Biol Psychiatr* 15: 45–57.
- Kehne JH, Davis M (1985). Central noradrenergic involvement in yohimbine excitation of acoustic startle: effects of DSP4 and 6-OHDA. *Brain Res* **330**: 31–41.
- Kinzie JD, Leung P (1989). Clonidine in Cambodian patients with posttraumatic stress disorder. J Nerv Ment Dis 177: 546-550.
- Krulich L, Mayfield MA, Steele MK, McMillen BA, McCann SM, Koenig JI (1982). Differential effects of pharmacological manipulations of central alpha 1- and alpha 2-adrenergic receptors on the secretion of thyrotropin and growth hormone in male rats. *Endocrinology* **110**: 796–804.
- Lahdesmaki J, Sallinen J, MacDonald E, Kobilka BK, Fagerholm V, Scheinin M (2002). Behavioral and neurochemical characterization of alpha(2A)-adrenergic receptor knockout mice. *Neuroscience* **113**: 289–299.
- Lahdesmaki J, Sallinen J, MacDonald E, Scheinin M (2004). Alpha2A-adrenoceptors are important modulators of the effects of D-amphetamine on startle reactivity and brain monoamines. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **29**: 1282–1293.
- Langlais PJ, Connor DJ, Thal L (1993). Comparison of the effects of single and combined neurotoxic lesions of the nucleus basalis magnocellularis and dorsal noradrenergic bundle on learning and memory in the rat. *Behav Brain Res* 54: 81–90.
- Maas JW, Miller AL, Tekell JL, Funderburg L, Silva JA, True J et al (1995). Clonidine plus haloperidol in the treatment of schizophrenia/psychosis. J Clin Psychopharmacol 15: 361–364.
- Mansbach RS, Geyer MA, Braff DL (1988). Dopaminergic stimulation disrupts sensorimotor gating in the rat. *Psychopharmacology (Berlin)* **94**: 507–514.
- Mao ZM, Arnsten AF, Li BM (1999). Local infusion of an alpha-1 adrenergic agonist into the prefrontal cortex impairs spatial working memory performance in monkeys. *Biol Psychiatr* 46: 1259–1265.
- Masuda Y, Suzuki M, Akagawa Y, Takemura T (1999). Developmental and pharmacological features of mouse emotional piloerection. *Exp Anim* **48**: 209–211.
- McGhie A, Chapman J (1961). Disorders of attention and perception in early schizophrenia. *Br J Med Psychol* 34: 103–116.
- Minneman KP, Pittman RN, Molinoff PB (1981). Beta-adrenergic receptor subtypes: properties, distribution, and regulation. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 4: 419–461.
- Mishima K, Tanoue A, Tsuda M, Hasebe N, Fukue Y, Egashira N et al (2004). Characteristics of behavioral abnormalities in

alpha1d-adrenoceptors deficient mice. Behav Brain Res 152: 365–373.

- Morgan III CA, Southwick SM, Grillon C, Davis M, Krystal JH, Charney DS (1993). Yohimbine-facilitated acoustic startle reflex in humans. *Psychopharmacology (Berlin)* **110**: 342–346.
- Ornitz EM, Hanna GL, de Traversay J (1992). Prestimulationinduced startle modulation in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and nocturnal enuresis. *Psychophysiology* **29**: 437–451.
- Paxinos G, Watson C (1998). The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates. Academic Press: New York.
- Philipp M, Hein L (2004). Adrenergic receptor knockout mice: distinct functions of 9 receptor subtypes. *Pharmacol Ther* 101: 65-74.
- Porter DM, Bell CC (1999). The use of clonidine in post-traumatic stress disorder. J Natl Med Assoc **91**: 475–477.
- Powell SB, Palomo J, Carasso BS, Bakshi VP, Geyer MA (2005). Yohimbine disrupts prepulse inhibition in rats via action at 5-HT1A receptors, not alpha2-adrenoceptors. *Psychopharma*cology (Berlin) 180: 491–500.
- Prinssen EP, Ellenbroek BA, Cools AR (1994). Combined antagonism of adrenoceptors and dopamine and 5-HT receptors underlies the atypical profile of clozapine. *Eur J Pharmacol* 262: 167–170.
- Radley SC, Chapple CR, Bryan NP, Clarke DE, Craig DA (2001). Effect of methoxamine on maximum urethral pressure in women with genuine stress incontinence: a placebo-controlled, doubleblind crossover study. *Neurourol Urodyn* **20**: 43–52.
- Rajkowski J, Kubiak P, Aston-Jones G (1994). Locus coeruleus activity in monkey: phasic and tonic changes are associated with altered vigilance. *Brain Res Bull* **35**: 607–616.
- Roberts WJ, Foglesong ME (1988). Spinal recordings suggest that wide-dynamic-range neurons mediate sympathetically maintained pain. *Pain* **34**: 289–304.
- Sallinen J, Haapalinna A, Viitamaa T, Kobilka BK, Scheinin M (1998). Adrenergic alpha2C-receptors modulate the acoustic startle reflex, prepulse inhibition, and aggression in mice. *J Neurosci* 18: 3035–3042.
- Shilling PD, Melendez G, Priebe K, Richelson E, Feifel D (2004). Neurotensin agonists block the prepulse inhibition deficits produced by a 5-HT(2A) and an alpha(1) agonist. *Psychopharmacology (Berlin)* **175**: 353–359.
- Simpson GM, Kunz-Bartholini E, Watts TP (1967). A preliminary evaluation of the sedative effects of catapres, a new antihypertensive agent, in chronic schizophrenic patients. J Clin Pharmacol J New Drugs 7: 221–225.
- Southwick SM, Bremner JD, Rasmusson A, Morgan III CA, Arnsten A, Charney DS (1999). Role of norepinephrine in the pathophysiology and treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatr* **46**: 1192–1204.
- Stephens MD (1986). Drug-induced piloerection in man: an alpha 1-adrenoceptor agonist effect? *Hum Toxicol* 5: 319–324.
- Sugerman AA (1967). A pilot study of ST-155 (catapres) in chronic schizophrenics. J Clin Pharmacol J New Drugs 7: 226–228.
- Svensson TH, Mathe JM, Andersson JL, Nomikos GG, Hildebrand BE, Marcus M (1995). Mode of action of atypical neuroleptics in relation to the phencyclidine model of schizophrenia: role of 5-HT2 receptor and alpha 1-adrenoceptor antagonism [corrected]. J Clin Psychopharmacol 15: 11S-18S.
- Swerdlow NR, Geyer MA, Braff DL (2001). Neural circuit regulation of prepulse inhibition of startle in the rat: current knowledge and future challenges. *Psychopharmacology (Berlin)* 156: 194–215.
- Thomas SA, Palmiter RD (1997). Thermoregulatory and metabolic phenotypes of mice lacking noradrenaline and adrenaline. *Nature* **387**: 94–97.
- Tomasi PA, Fanciulli G, Delitala G (2005). Successful treatment of retrograde ejaculation with the alpha(1)-adrenergic agonist methoxamine: case study. *Int J Impot Res* 17: 297–299.



- van Kammen DP, Peters J, van Kammen WB, Nugent A, Goetz KL, Yao J et al (1989a). CSF norepinephrine in schizophrenia is elevated prior to relapse after haloperidol withdrawal. *Biol Psychiatr* 26: 176–188.
- van Kammen DP, Peters JL, van Kammen WB, Rosen J, Yao JK, McAdam D *et al* (1989b). Clonidine treatment of schizophrenia: can we predict treatment response? *Psychiatr Res* 27: 297-311.
- Varty GB, Bakshi VP, Geyer MA (1999). M100907, a serotonin 5-HT2A receptor antagonist and putative antipsychotic, blocks dizocilpine-induced prepulse inhibition deficits in Sprague– Dawley and Wistar rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 20: 311–321.
- Wikberg JE, Uhlen S (1990). Further characterization of the guinea pig cerebral cortex idazoxan receptor: solubilization, distinction from the imidazole site, and demonstration of cirazoline as an idazoxan receptor-selective drug. *J Neurochem* **55**: 192–203.