fathoms: the sea deepens again, and Pearv's soundings found no bottom at 1500 fathoms. The existence of this shallow ridge has an important bearing on the possible existence of land further to the west. This question is discussed by Mr. Harris, and he concludes from the tidal evidence, and the fact that the flood at Point Barrow comes from the west and not from the north, that there must be a wide area of land or of island strewn sea to the west of the Arctic Archipelago. He estimates that there is an area of nearly half a million square miles either of land, of islands, or of shoals still undiscovered in the Arctic Ocean to the north of the western part of North America and of eastern Asia. Mr. Harris suggests that this land must extend from north of Bennett Land, which is to the north of Siberia, eastward to Crocker Land, and with Peary's attainment of the pole the settlement of this problem is the most in teresting geographical question left in the Arctic Ocean.

ENERGY AND THE ORGANISM.

Vicious Circles in Disease. By Dr. J. B. Hurry. Pp. xiv+186. (London: J. and A. Churchill, 1911.) Price 6s. net.

A FEW days before this volume was placed in the hands of the reviewer he had been watching for a few minutes the race of a small brook into a larger but more sluggish stream. Curiously near the inrush a wisp of straws lay almost at rest, circling slowly round and round, but not swept with other wisps and leaves into the main current. This arrest was due to a still but deep whirlpool formed by the different velocities of the waters at the angle of meeting. Light objects which skirted this eddy swiftly vanished on their way to the sea; those caught in it were imprisoned. However, by placing a walking stick tangentially to the eddy, now one straw, now another, would dart aside, and, catching a streak of the main current, would speed off into liberty.

This humble little parable may serve to illustrate Dr. Hurry's interesting volume on vicious circles of disease. The author's message may be summed up thus: In health the confluent or congruent streams of energy should work in reciprocal harmony for the several ends of the organism as a whole; but in disorder this agent or that, alien or home-grown, may strike tangentially upon one or more of such streams and form a vortex, twisting the lines of function and setting up, in one or more situations, a focus of wasting energy, and, it may be, a trap for alien or degraded products which should be run out of the system. Now at some point in this circle the gyrating lines may be cut, the eddy may be diverted, and the lines of energy released to their normal directions. The hound which had turned to hunting its own tail may be put again on the track.

Among the absurd axioms which we are apt to repeat without thought is that which unconditionally impugns the practical impulse to "treat symptoms"; but in the majority of cases—in all for which we have no specific antidote—no other course is open to the

NO. 2168, VOL. 86]

practitioner. Moreover, even where we have such a specific, to refrain from treating symptoms, if the physician's, is not the patient's point of view. He asks for cure; but also for relief. Now these observations and maxims of Dr. Hurry emphasise a further truth-that in so doing we may be cutting across-at any point, it matters not where-a "vicious circle." To disperse a vortex, expending energy in mere friction, may serve even to disperse the malady; at least it may moderate its intensity, or dispel vexatious symptoms. But often the whole trouble consists in such a vortex, and in a single one; in these cases, therefore, of which the author gives many an instructive instance, to treat a symptom is to cure a disease; for the conception of disease as an "entity" ought to be banished even from the language of the modern physician. Sometimes it is the knife which must take the place of the walking stick of the parable; but happily milder means often suffice to divert the currents into the normal channels, but not, as Dr. Hurry inadvertently says (p. 167), to "reverse" the circular movement. This cannot happen-or, more accurately, never does. Evolution never returns by the way it came.

There is one more demur. Dr. Hurry seems scarcely to realise, or fully to impress upon us, the factor of "organic memory" in these phases of function, the bent of biological matter to repeat what it has done before; a faculty on which development and purpose depend. In vicious circles every gyration deepens the groove, an abnormal habit is formed, so that arrest of such a local waste of energy and such a distress becomes more and more difficult; herein enters the problem of "faith healing," of the stronger tangential force which is to dissipate the vortex and redistribute the currents of energy. The longer the "habit"—the fixture of organic memory—the harder the impulse needed to "break the circle," for the habit has become independent of the original cause, which indeed had often vanished.

Dr. Hurry does not pretend for a moment to have discovered this notion of vicious circles, but he has made it his own; it is one often remarked upon by medical practitioners, but no one has presented the subject systematically to us before in a printed book. But both in lectures and practice I remember that the Teales, of Leeds-especially Mr. Pridgin Tealetaught the principle emphatically, and, if they did not publish the experience, put it variously into practice. And so it has been, no doubt, with many another physician; but of this the author is well aware, while he has himself the merit of perceiving the need of a systematic study of the problem, of adapting the principle with much ingenuity to explain many morbid conditions, and of illustrating the practice by interesting examples. Out of his careful clinical studies and large experience Dr. Hurry is justified in pointing to the great array of evidence which he has brought forward in his chapters on the systems of the body, and formulated in diagram, and in declaring that this aspect of medicine "is one which no practitioner of the ars medendi can afford to neglect.'

C. Allbutt.