that in 1847 England was behind America must surely be wrong. The Electric Telegraph Company in England was then in full swing.

As this is the jubilee year of the telegraph in England, it is well to be reminded that Cooke and Wheatstone made their first practical and successful trial on July 25, 1837, between Euston and Camden, while Morse did not file his caveat (i.e. did not apply for

his patent) until October 5 of the same year.

We wish the book every success, and shall be glad to see further instalments.

## LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

[The Editor does not hold himself responsible for opinions expressed by his correspondents. Neither can he undertake to return, or to correspond with the writers of, rejected manuscripts. No notice is taken of anonymous communications. [The Editor urgently requests correspondents to keep their letters as short as possible. The pressure on his space is so great that it is impossible otherwise to insure the appearance even of communications containing interesting and novel facts.]

## Normal School of Science and Royal School of Mines

I AM directed to request that you will be so good as to allow me to state, through the medium of your columns, that the number of applications for admission to the Normal School of Science and Royal School of Mines at South Kensington, at the commencement of the present session, having been considerably in excess of the accommodation which the School can afford, it has become necessary to adopt some process of selection for the future. Hereafter, applications for admission should be sent to the Registrar of the School before the end of May, accompanied by a statement of the studies which the applicant has already pursued, the examinations he has passed, and the name of a teacher (or teachers) to whom reference may be made. Such applications will be considered by the Dean and Council of the School, who will decide on them according to their merits. A knowledge of elementary mathematics, such as is required of all Royal Exhibitioners and national scholars, will be held to be of the first importance for those who desire admission to the course for the Associateship of the School; while for occasional students, who propose only to take up certain specific branches of science, some preliminary knowledge of them will have weight. J. F. D. DONNELLY

Science and Art Department, January 14

## The Cambridge Cholera Fungus

THE letter published by Dr. Klein in your issue of December 23 (p. 171) having incidentally referred to my views as to the nature of the fungus present in choleraic tissue, I should be glad to be allowed to make some further remarks upon the subject.

At an early stage of his investigation Prof. Roy brought for my inspection one of his preparations of intestinal mucous membrane, which clearly demonstrated the presence of certain foreign organisms, and especially drew my attention to a form which he took to be the more usual and typical one. Such a structure might perhaps best be described as consisting of a thin and somewhat moniliform filament which at one end exhibited a distinct nodular swelling. Being struck with a certain (and, as I now fear, a somewhat superficial) morphological resemblance to a group of the Chytridiaceæ, I suggested that the organism might possibly be a Chytridiaceæ, I suggested that the organism might possibly be a Chytridium, and this view was perhaps too confidently adopted by Messrs. Roy and Sherrington in their paper. The appearance of Dr. Klein's letter has naturally led me to carefully reconsider the whole question, and on further consideration I entirely abandon the idea of the organism being a Chytridium. I believe, on the contrary, that it is a Bacterium, and that the structure described by Prof. Roy and seen by myself is that particular phase in the life-history of the Bacterium which is known as an involution form. Such forms are described, for instance, in Zopf's article "Die Spaltpilze," in Schenk's "Ency-

clopædie der Naturwissenschaften," as Bacterium aceti and Bacteriumcyanogenum. Indeed, the involution form assumed by the latter Bacterium recalls very vividly to my mind the structure shown to me by Prof. Roy.

27 I

In conclusion, I may assure Dr. Klein that the fungus is certainly neither a Penicillum nor of the nature of a mould, and that I do not believe it is in any way associated with postmortem change.

WALTER GARDINER

Royal Gardens, Kew, January 11

## Snowstorm of January 7, 1887

A MOST extraordinary snowstorm occurred here to-day (January 7). In fifty years' experience I have seen nothing like it, nor has anyone else in this neighbourhood seen any similar phenomenon. It would be impossible to realise the gigantic size of the snow-flakes without seeing them. I can only compare them to a fall of oranges, though the diameter of an orange would be small in comparison with thousands of these snowflakes; in this immediate neighbourhood (i.e. within sight of the place of observation) at 50 yards off it produced a dense snow-wall. The wind was south, and almost calm, and the largest snow came down nearly perpendicularly. The temperature was 32°6, and the air completely saturated with moisture. Before the storm the temperature was 34° I. Snow had been falling with a slight thaw from 10 a.m., the snowflakes being small. Suddenly, at 12h. 12m. p.m. they became  $2\frac{1}{2}$  inches in length; <sup>1</sup> at 12h. 14m. they had increased to 2\frac{3}{4} inches; and one flake that was caught measured 2\frac{3}{4} inches by  $2\frac{1}{2}$  inches, and was  $\frac{3}{10}$  of an inch thick. At 12h. 16m. the were 4 inches across, and there were several larger ones not near enough to be caught); at 12h. 19m. they were somewhat less, and at 12h. 20m. though large, were not gigantic. Fortunately I was measuring and weighing snow at the time, with two assistants, and had a number of flat circular glasses kept cold ready for the purpose of catching crystals, and for measuring the snow that fell upon these glasses. As is usual when very large flakes are falling, there were many of smaller size, though when the flakes were from 2½ to 3½ inches, the majority of the next size were about 2 inches, and the very large flakes would be within 12 inches of each other. A dozen of these large flakes were caught, each on a separate piece of glass, measured and removed under cover, my two assistants giving valuable aid. Of three of these flakes one yielded 14 drops of water, a second 15, and a third 16 drops; and these were not the largest flakes seen. The water from seven flakes weighed a quarter of an ounce within 2 or 3 grains. The weight of ten varied from 13 to 16 grains each; most of the flakes were about a third longer than broad, one flake that was  $3\frac{1}{2}$  inches long by  $2\frac{1}{2}$  broad was estimated (before it touched the glass) to be  $1\frac{1}{2}$  inch thick, when flattened by the force of its descent it was  $\frac{1}{4}$  of an inch thick.

The flakes were not a mass of broken pieces, but were composed mainly of perfect crystals, and there must have been hundreds of these crystals in each flake; they were clinging together at every conceivable angle, though a much larger percentage were more horizontal than vertical. A terrestrial radiation thermometer, buried a fifth of an inch within this snow, marked a temperature of 32°4.

marked a temperature of 32°4.

The snow which fell during the last six minutes of this great storm was just under one-fifth of an inch in depth, and yielded 030 of an inch of water, falling at the rate of I inch of water in three hours and twenty minutes (yielding I inch of water from 6 inches of snow).

There was a great snowstorm here on December 27, 1886, which varied considerably in places near to each other, i.e.—

A		ı	Depth of	1	lelted snow
	and snow				alone
			Inches		
	1.22		7		0.92
	1.07		5		0.41
*, * *	o·86		3		0.42
	o <b>.</b> 96		4		
nt)	0.40		-		_
		and snow I '55 I '07 0 '86 0 '96	1.55 1.07 0.86 0.96	and snow snow Inches I '55 7 I '07 5 0 '86 3 0 '96 4	and snow snow Inches I '55 7 I '07 5 0 '86 3 0 '96 4

The drifts above here are very great, and a large number of men are still engaged in cutting through them.

The following measurements will show the number of inches

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The breadth was less than the length, and the thickness less than th breadth; more or less flattened, and curled over on the edges.
<sup>2</sup> Besides these drops, the wetted glass shall count f.r two more drops.